



## PARTIES

4. Plaintiff Association of Club Executives of Dallas, Inc., (“ACE”) is a not-for-profit corporation organized under the laws of the Texas, whose principal place of business is Dallas, Texas.
5. Plaintiff Nick’s Mainstage, Inc.-Dallas PT’s”, dba PT’s Mens Club (“PT’s”) is a Texas corporation that does business in the city of Dallas, Texas.
6. Plaintiff “Fine Dining Club, Inc.,” dba Silver City (“Silver City”) is a Texas corporation that does business in the City of Dallas, Texas.
7. Plaintiff TMCD Corporation, dba The Men’s Club of Dallas (“Men’s Club of Dallas”) is a Texas corporation that does business in the City of Dallas, Texas.
8. Plaintiff 11000 Reeder, LLC, dba Bucks Wild (“Buck’s Wild”) is a Texas limited liability company that does business in the City of Dallas, Texas.
9. Plaintiff AVM-AUS, Ltd., dba New Fine Arts Shiloh (“New Fine Arts Shiloh”) is a limited partnership organized and existing under the laws of Texas, that does business in the City of Dallas, Texas.
10. Defendant City of Dallas, Texas is a municipal corporation organized and existing under the constitution and laws of the state of Texas.

## FACTS GIVING RISE TO PLAINTIFFS’ CLAIMS

### Background

11. This action challenges an Ordinance adopted by the Dallas City Council on January 26, 2022, (“the Ordinance”) which amended Chapter 41A of the Codified Ordinances of the City of Dallas to, *inter alia*, require businesses subject to that chapter’s provisions to close between the hours of 2:00 a.m. and 6:00 a.m. each day. A copy of the Ordinance is attached as Exhibit A.



12. Chapter 41A of the City of Dallas's Code of Ordinances governs "sexually oriented businesses," which are defined, in relevant part, in Section 41A-2(31) as "an adult arcade, adult bookstore or adult video store, [and] adult cabaret." Each of those types of businesses are separately defined in that same section.

13. Section 41A-2(2) defines an "Adult Arcade" as "any place to which the public is permitted or invited wherein coin-operated or slug-operated or electronically, electrically, or mechanically controlled still or motion picture machines, projectors, or other image-producing devices are maintained to show images to five or fewer persons per machine at any one time, and where the images so displayed are distinguished or characterized by the depicting or describing of 'specified sexual activities' or "'specified anatomical areas.'"

14. Section 41A-2(3) defines an "Adult Bookstore or Adult Video Store," in pertinent part, as "a commercial establishment that as one of its principal business purposes offers for sale or rental for any form of consideration any one or more of the following: (A) books, magazines, periodicals or other printed matter, or photographs, films, motion pictures, DVD's, video cassettes or video reproductions, slides, or other visual representations, that depict or describe "specified sexual activities" or "specified anatomical areas."

15. Section 41A-2(4) defines an "adult cabaret" as a "commercial establishment that regularly features the offering to customers of adult cabaret entertainment."

16. "Adult cabaret entertainment" is a term of art under the section as is defined in Section 41A-2(5) as "live entertainment that: (A) is intended to provide sexual stimulation or sexual gratification; and (B) is distinguished by or characterized by an emphasis on matter depicting, simulating, describing, or relating to "specified anatomical areas" or "specified sexual activities."

17. Section 41A-2(8) defines an "Adult Motion Picture Theater" as "a commercial establishment

where, for any form of consideration, films, motion pictures, video cassettes, slides, or similar photographic reproductions are regularly shown that are characterized by the depiction or description of “specified sexual activities” or “specified anatomical areas.”

18. “Specified anatomical areas,” is a term of art and is defined in Section 41A-2(3) as “as any of the following, or any combination of the following, when less than completely and opaquely covered:(I) any human genitals, pubic region, or pubic hair;(ii) any buttock; or (iii) any portion of the female breast or breasts that is situated below a point immediately above the top of the areola; or (B) human male genitals in a discernibly erect state, even if completely and opaquely covered.”

19. “Specified sexual activities” is also a term of art and is defined in Section 41A-2(34) as including “any of the following:(A) the fondling or other erotic touching of human genitals, pubic region, buttocks, anus, or female breasts; (B) sex acts, normal or perverted, actual or simulated, including intercourse, oral copulation, or sodomy; (C) masturbation, actual or simulated; or (D) excretory functions as part of or in connection with any of the activities set forth in Paragraphs (A) through (C) of this subsection.”

20. Chapter 41A imposes a number of regulatory obligations on the businesses governed by it. Among them, it requires sexually oriented businesses in the City to obtain annual licenses from the Chief of Police.

21. Chapter 41A also sets forth a number of operational requirements for sexually oriented businesses governed by its terms, the violation of which can lead to a license suspension by the Chief of Police for up to 30 days, a revocation of the license, as well as criminal penalties.

22. One such example is found in Section 41A-7.1, which requires sexually oriented businesses to maintain on the premises an registration card or file that “completely identifies all employees.” The registration card or file must contain, for each employee, their legal name, aliases and stage

names, date of birth, race and gender, hair color, eye color, height and weight. The registration card or file must also contain the employee's residence address and phone number, and for "designated operators" and for "adult cabaret entertainers," and must list their residence addresses for the previous twelve months. In addition, that section also requires that the file or registration card contain a copy of a valid driver's license or government- issued identification card that has the employee's date of birth and photograph. *Id.*

23. The records maintained by the sexually oriented business must also list the date on which the employee first began his or her employment or contractual relationship with the businesses, as well as a full-face color photograph of the employee. *Id.*

24. Another is found in Section 41A-20.1(a)(2) and (3), which makes it an offense for any sexually oriented business, or any employee of a sexually oriented business to knowingly employ contract with or engage or allow a minor to perform adult cabaret entertainment or to employ a minor in a sexually oriented business, and 41A-20.1(c)(2) and (3), which makes it an offense for an employee to knowingly employ, contract with, or engage or allow a minor to perform adult cabaret entertainment or to employ a minor in a sexually oriented business.

25. Section 41A-21 provides that a violation of any act forbidden by Chapter 41A, or failing to perform a required act required by Chapter 41A is punishable as provided by Section 243.010(b) of the Texas Local Government Code. That section provides that a violation is a Class A misdemeanor and subjects a violator to a fine of up to \$4,000.00 and up to a year of confinement in the County Jail.

#### The Plaintiffs

26. ACE is a trade association whose members include adult bookstores, adult arcades, and adult cabarets that are located in the City of Dallas. All of its members sell or present constitutionally

protected expression. Some of the adult cabarets comprising its members have liquor licenses issued by the Texas Alcoholic Beverage Commission and some do not. Some of ACE's members that operate adult cabarets remain open well past 2:00 a.m. on some or all of the days of the week— some stay open until 4:00 a.m., some until 5:00 a.m., and some until 6:00 a.m. Indeed, ACE has members that provide adult oriented entertainment almost exclusively between the hours of 2:00 a.m. and 6:00 a.m. ACE members also operate adult bookstore and adult arcades that are open 24 hours a day. Among ACE's objectives are the promotion and protection of the rights of its members, and in furtherance of those objectives, it brings this action on behalf of its members who maintain late night hours and remain open after 2:00 a.m. on some or all days of the week.

27. Plaintiff PT's is an adult cabaret as defined in Chapter 41A of the Dallas Codified Ordinances. It is also a member of ACE. The business known as PT's opened nearly 40 years ago and has, under various ownership and locations, presented constitutionally protected erotic dance performances to its patrons. PT's holds a sexually oriented business license issued by the City of Dallas, and operates as a BYOB establishment at which it presents performances to its patrons during the course of which the performers appear in a state of nudity.

28. Silver City is an adult cabaret as defined in Chapter 41A of the Dallas Codified Ordinances and has been in business for more than 20 years. It is also a member of ACE. It holds, and has held for many years, a sexually oriented business license issued by the City of Dallas. It also holds a mixed beverage permit from the Texas Alcoholic Beverage Commission authorizing it to sell alcoholic beverages to its patrons. Silver City presents constitutionally protected erotic dance performances to its patrons during the course of which the entertainers appear topless.

29. The Men's Club of Dallas is an adult cabaret as defined in Chapter 41A of the Dallas Codified Ordinances, and has been in business for 30 years. It is a member of ACE. It holds, and has

held for many years, a sexually oriented business license issued by the City of Dallas, and also holds a mixed beverage license from the Texas Alcoholic Beverage Commission authorizing it to sell alcoholic beverages to its patrons. The Men's Club of Dallas presents constitutionally protected erotic dance performances to its patrons during the course of which the entertainers appear topless.

30. Bucks Wild is an adult cabaret as defined in Chapter 41A of the Dallas Codified Ordinances, and is a member of ACE. It holds, and has held for many years, a sexually oriented business license issued by the City of Dallas. Bucks Wild operates as a BYOB establishment and presents constitutionally protected erotic dance performances to its patrons during the course of which the entertainers appear nude.

31. Plaintiff New Fine Arts Shiloh is an adult bookstore and video store and arcade as defined in Chapter 41A of the Dallas Codified Ordinances, and has been in business since the 1960s. It is a member of ACE. It holds and has held, a sexually oriented business and arcade license issued by the City of Dallas. It sells constitutionally protected sexually oriented books, magazines, and other expressive media to its patrons. In addition, it provides its patrons with the opportunity to view expressive media in its adult arcade. It does not permit the consumption of alcohol on its premises.

#### The Ordinance and Its Effects

32. On January 26, 2022, the Dallas City Council adopted the Ordinance, which amends Chapter 41A in ways that suppress and restrain the dissemination and presentation of constitutionally protected expression and impose a prior restraint.

33. More specifically, Section 2 of the Ordinance creates a new section 41A-14.3, which requires sexually oriented businesses to close each day between the hours of 2:00 a.m. and 6:00 a.m.

34. The Ordinance is a content-based restriction that curtails the sale and presentation of sexually oriented expression. Businesses that do not disseminate adult media or entertainment, such as fast

food and other restaurants, gas stations, drug stores, grocery stores, retail outlets that do not qualify as adult bookstores, non-adult nightclubs, and other enterprises are not burdened by the Ordinance's restrictions on the hours they are allowed to operate. Many of these other types of businesses do, in fact, operate during the hours the Ordinance requires Plaintiffs to be closed.

35. As a result of the restrictions imposed by the Ordinance, the members of ACE and each of the named Plaintiffs will be irreparably harmed by not being allowed to operate between the hours of 2:00 am and 6:00 a.m.: They will lose a significant number of employees and entertainers; they will lose the goodwill they have built up over their decades of operation; and, a great number of their customers will be deprived of the constitutionally protected expression they disseminate in a legal setting.

36. Specifically, PT's is open from 11:00 a.m. until 2:00 a.m. on Monday through Wednesday, and on Thursdays through Sundays, it is open until 4:00 a.m. A substantial number of its patrons attend the club, and a significant portion of its revenue is earned, after 2:00 a.m. on Thursdays through Sundays.

37. Silver City operates from 11:00 a.m. to 2:00 a.m., on Sunday through Thursday, and is open from 11:00 a.m. to 4:00 a.m. on Fridays and Saturdays. On the weekends, when it is open and engaged in the presentation of constitutionally protected expression after 2:00 a.m., a substantial number of its patrons attend the club, and a significant portion of its revenue is earned after that time.

38. Bucks Wild is open and engaged in the presentation of constitutionally protected expression to its patrons Monday through Thursday from 11:00 a.m. until 4:00 a.m., until 5:00 a.m. on Friday, and until 6:00 a.m. on Saturday. On Sunday, it opens at noon and remains open until 4:00 a.m. A substantial number of its patrons attend the club, and a significant portion of its revenue is earned after 2:00 a.m.

39. The Men's Club of Dallas regularly operates after 2:00 a.m., and in particular, is open from 11:00 a.m. to 4:00 a.m. on Fridays into Saturday morning, and from 6:00 p.m. until 4:00 a.m. on Saturday evening into Sunday morning. It may also remain open after 2:00 a.m. from time-to-time on other days. When it is open and engaged in the presentation of constitutionally protected expression after 2:00 a.m., a substantial number of its patrons attend the club, and a significant portion of its revenue is earned after that time.

40. New Fine Arts is currently open 24 hours each day. A significant number of its patrons shop after 2:00 a.m. and view sexually oriented media in its arcade after 2:00 a.m. A large portion of its revenue is earned after that time.

41. The changes brought about by forcing the Plaintiffs and ACE's members to close between 2:00 a.m. and 6:00 a.m. will have a draconian effect on them. The compelled closure of ACE's members' and the named Plaintiffs' businesses will result in the complete loss of their First and Fourteenth Amendment rights to sell and present constitutionally protected media and performances during those hours, which all of the Plaintiffs have heretofore exercised.

42. In addition to the loss and deprivation of their constitutional rights, other effects will flow from the substantial curtailment of the hours that they may be open. More particularly, as a direct and proximate result of the forced closure of the Plaintiffs' businesses, a substantial number of adult citizens will no longer be able to purchase, view and avail themselves of the constitutionally protected expression that the Plaintiffs sell and disseminate. That is particularly true with respect to adults in the City of Dallas who are "late" millennials and members of "Gen Z," i.e. those born in the 1990s and after, who often do not begin their evenings out until after 11:00 p.m. and stay out well after 2:00 a.m., and for service industry employees who work until 1:00 a.m. or 2:00 a.m., and go out for entertainment after their work shift ends

43. The curtailment of hours will also detrimentally effect the Plaintiffs' businesses, and their employees and contractors as well. Compelling the Plaintiffs to close between 2:00 and 6:00 a.m., when a large number of patrons are arriving at and patronizing their establishments, will result in a substantial loss of revenue.

44. The loss of revenue that the Plaintiffs will incur will have a downstream effect, and will cause an attendant reduction in the number of employees the business can afford to continue to employ. Stated differently, employees will lose their jobs because the businesses will no longer afford to retain them.

45. Entertainers and contractors in adult cabarets who rely on their ability to perform during the early morning hours to support themselves and their families, will be affected as well. In addition to the loss of their opportunity to engage in constitutionally protected expression, they will lose their livelihoods.

46. The Ordinance also imposes other restrictions. Section 5 of the Ordinance amends Section 41A-20.1(b)(2) and (3) and (c)(2) and (3) by making it unlawful for a licensee or an employee to knowingly employ, contract with, or engage or allow anyone under the age of 21 to perform adult cabaret entertainment, or to employ anyone under the age of 21 in a sexually oriented business.

47. Plaintiffs incorporate by reference the attached deposition testimony of Deputy Chief Rick Watson of the Dallas Police Department (Exhibit B) and Lt. Stephen Bishopp (Exhibit C), excerpts of which were read into the record at the preliminary injunction hearing on March 7, 2022; the testimony of Dr. Daniel Linz presented at the preliminary injunction hearing held on March 7, 2022; and his report, which was admitted as Plaintiffs' Exhibit 6 and is attached hereto (Exhibit D), all of which show that the data and information on which the City relied to support the Ordinance was invalid, flawed in several respects, and shoddy, and does not support or justify the Ordinance or the



rationale for the Ordinance.

48. Further, none of the studies referenced in the preamble to the Ordinance deal with, examine, or support a restriction on the hours that sexually oriented businesses may operate.

49. Additionally, none of the studies referenced in the preamble to the Ordinance support the claim that the operation of sexually oriented businesses between the hours of 2:00 a.m and 6:00 a.m. is detrimental to the public health, safety and general welfare.

### COUNT I

50. Plaintiffs incorporate paragraphs 1 through 49 of their Amended Complaint as though fully re-written.

51. The Ordinance is unconstitutional under the First and Fourteenth Amendments, both on its face and as applied, for each of the following reasons:

- a. it imposes an unconstitutional prior restraint on expression during the hours of 2:00 a.m. to 6:00 a.m.;
- b. it is an unconstitutional content-based restriction of protected expression;
- c. it does not further any governmental interest, compelling, substantial or otherwise, and thus, is unconstitutional under either strict or intermediate scrutiny;
- d. it is not the least restrictive means, nor is it narrowly tailored, to further any governmental interest, and thus, is unconstitutional under either strict or intermediate scrutiny;
- e. it was adopted without valid empirical information to support it;
- f. the data and information on which the City relied to support the Ordinance was invalid, flawed in several respects, and shoddy, and does not support or justify the Ordinance either under strict scrutiny or intermediate scrutiny;
- g. it is unconstitutionally overbroad because it brings within its scope businesses that are not associated with adverse secondary effects;
- h. it deprives Plaintiffs' patrons of their First and Fourteenth Amendment rights to receive protected expression;

I. it impairs the constitutional right to associate and to freely contract;

j. it deprives Plaintiffs of the equal protection of the law.

52. Plaintiffs are therefore entitled to a declaration that the Ordinance is unconstitutional under the First and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution, both on its face and as applied.

## COUNT II

53. Plaintiffs incorporate paragraphs 1 through 52 of their Amended Complaint as if fully rewritten.

54. By reason of the adoption and threatened enforcement of the Ordinance, the Defendant has deprived and will deprive in the future Plaintiffs of their rights secured by the First and Fourteenth Amendments to the Constitution to engage in protected expressive activity, to be free from prior restraint, and to be free of overbroad, irrational, arbitrary and capricious laws, all of which has caused and threatens to cause in the future, irreparable harm to Plaintiffs for which there is no adequate remedy at law.

55. By reason of the threat of enforcement of the Ordinance, and the irreparable harm Plaintiffs will suffer, Plaintiffs are entitled to a temporary restraining order, preliminary injunction and, after final hearing, a permanent injunction demanded hereunder.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs demand the following relief:

Upon Count I of their Amended Complaint, a declaration that the Ordinance is unconstitutional on its face and as applied under the First and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution; and,

Upon Count II of their Amended Complaint, a temporary restraining order, preliminary

injunction, and after final hearing, a permanent injunction restraining and enjoining the Defendant, its officers, agents, servants, attorneys and all persons in active concert or participation with them who receive actual notice of the injunction by personal service or otherwise, from enforcing the Ordinance against Plaintiffs; and,

Upon all counts of their Amended Complaint, any other relief to which Plaintiffs may be entitled, whether legal or equitable, including their reasonable attorneys' fees.

SHEILS WINNUBST

A Professional corporation

By: /s/ Roger Albright

Roger Albright

State Bar No. 00974580

T.Craig Sheils

State Bar No. 18187350

1701 N. Collins Boulevard, Suite 1100

Richardson, Texas 75080

Telephone: (972) 644-8181

Facsimile: (972) 644-8180

roger@sheilswinnubst.com

craig@sheilswinnubst.com

/s/ J. Michael Murray

J. MICHAEL MURRAY

(Ohio Bar No. 0019626)

jmmurray@bgmdlaw.com

BERKMAN, GORDON, MURRAY & DeVAN

55 Public Square, Suite 2200

Cleveland, Ohio 44113-1949

(216) 781-5245

(216) 781-8207 (Facsimile)

Counsel for Plaintiffs

1-26-22

ORDINANCE NO. 32125

An ordinance amending Chapter 41A, "Sexually Oriented Businesses," of the Dallas City Code by amending Sections 41A-9, 41A-16, 41A-17, and 41A-20.1 and adding a new Section 41A-14.3; prohibiting a sexually oriented business from employing or contracting with a person who is under the age of 21; providing that sexually oriented businesses may not operate between 2:00 a.m. and 6:00 a.m. each day; providing that a sexually oriented business license shall be suspended for a period not to exceed 30 days for a violation of the hours of operation; providing a penalty not to exceed \$4,000 and confinement in jail not to exceed one year; providing a saving clause; providing a severability clause; and providing an effective date.

WHEREAS, the 87<sup>th</sup> Texas Legislature met in regular session between January 12, 2021 and May 31, 2021; and

WHEREAS, S.B. 315 was filed on January 11, 2021; and

WHEREAS, S.B. 315 prohibits a sexually oriented business from employing or contracting with a person who is under the age of 21; and

WHEREAS, S.B. 315 was approved by both chambers of the Texas Legislature; and

WHEREAS, S.B. 315 was signed by Governor Greg Abbott on May 24, 2021 and took effect immediately; and

WHEREAS, the Dallas Police Department created the northwest club taskforce in March 2021 due to multiple shootings and other violent crimes occurring at or near sexually oriented businesses; and

WHEREAS, crime data shows a significant increase in violent crime and drug and gun arrests at or near sexually oriented businesses between the hours of 2:00 a.m. and 6:00 a.m.; and



32125

220258

WHEREAS, Dallas Fire-Rescue Department data shows a significant increase in the number of calls for service at sexually oriented businesses between the hours of 2:00 a.m. and 6:00 a.m.; and

WHEREAS, a 2012 research study by McCord and Tewksbery analysing sexually oriented businesses in Louisville, Kentucky showed that there were higher rates of all types of criminal offenses in the immediate vicinity of sexually oriented businesses and that the effects of sexually oriented businesses significantly impact the local community; and

WHEREAS, a 2008 study by McCleary showed that when a sexually oriented business opens on an interstate highway offramp in a rural community, total crime rises by 60 percent; and

WHEREAS, a 2012 study by Weinstein and McCleary showed that sexually oriented businesses are associated with a higher incidence of crime regardless of the business's location; and

WHEREAS, the cities of Beaumont, Texas and Amarillo, Texas produced a report showing that sexually oriented businesses: (1) promote prostitution, drug use, and other criminal activity; (2) have a deleterious effect on existing businesses and the surrounding residential areas adjacent to them, and (3) increase crime, and that there is a positive correlation between the hours of operation of a sexually oriented business and higher crime rates; and

WHEREAS, based upon this data the Dallas City Council finds that the operation of sexually oriented businesses between the hours of 2:00 a.m. and 6:00 a.m. is detrimental to the public health, safety, and general welfare; and

WHEREAS, the city council wishes to reduce crime and conserve police and fire-rescue resources by requiring sexually oriented businesses to be closed for business between the hours of 2:00 a.m. and 6:00 a.m.; Now, Therefore,

BE IT ORDAINED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF DALLAS:

SECTION 1. That Section 41A-9, "Suspension," of Chapter 41A, "Sexually Oriented Businesses," of the Dallas City Code is amended to read as follows:

**“SEC. 41A-9. SUSPENSION.**

The chief of police shall suspend a license for a period not to exceed 30 days if the chief of police determines that a licensee, an operator, or an employee has:

(1) violated or is not in compliance with Section 41A-4(h), 41A-7, 41A-7.1, 41A-13, 41A-14.1, 41A-14.2, 41A-14.3, 41A-15, 41A-16, 41A-17, 41A-18, 41A-18.1, 41A-19, or 41A-20 of this chapter;

(2) refused to allow an inspection of the sexually oriented business premises as authorized by this chapter; or

(3) knowingly permitted gambling by any person on the sexually oriented business premises.

SECTION 2. That Chapter 41A, “Sexually Oriented Businesses,” of the Dallas City Code is amended by adding a new Section 41A-14.3, “Hours of Operation,” to read as follows:

**“SEC. 41A-14.3. HOURS OF OPERATION.**

(a) A sexually oriented business must be closed for business each day between the hours of 2:00 a.m. and 6:00 a.m.

(b) This section shall be reviewed by the appropriate city council committee on or before January 26, 2024, and by the January of every even numbered year thereafter.”

SECTION 3. That Subsection (a) of Section 41A-16, “Additional Regulations for Nude Model Studios,” of Chapter 41A, “Sexually Oriented Businesses,” of the Dallas City Code is amended to read as follows:

“(a) A person commits an offense if he knowingly allows a person under 21 years of age to appear in a state of nudity in or on the premises of a nude model studio. ~~[Reserved.]~~”

SECTION 4. That Subsection (a) of Section 41A-17, “Additional Regulations for Adult Motion Picture Theaters,” of Chapter 41A, “Sexually Oriented Businesses,” of the Dallas City Code is amended to read as follows:

“(a) A person commits an offense if he knowingly allows a person under 21 years of age ~~[minor]~~ to appear in a state of nudity in or on the premises of an adult motion picture theater.”

SECTION 5. That Section 41A-20.1, "Prohibitions Against Minors In Sexually Oriented Businesses," of Chapter 41A, "Sexually Oriented Businesses," of the Dallas City Code is amended to read as follows:

**"SEC. 41A-20.1. PROHIBITIONS AGAINST MINORS IN SEXUALLY ORIENTED BUSINESSES.**

- (a) A licensee or operator commits an offense if he knowingly:
- (1) allows a minor to enter the interior premises of a sexually oriented business;
  - (2) employs, contracts with, or otherwise engages or allows a person under 21 years of age [minor] to perform adult cabaret entertainment; or
  - (3) employs a person under 21 years of age [minor] in a sexually oriented business.
- (b) Knowledge on the part of the licensee or operator is presumed under Paragraph (2) or (3) of Subsection (a) if identification records were not kept in accordance with the requirements of Section 41A-7.1, and properly kept records would have informed the licensee or operator of the person's [minor's] age.
- (c) An employee commits an offense if the employee knowingly:
- (1) allows a minor to enter the interior premises of a sexually oriented business;
  - (2) employs, contracts with, or otherwise engages or allows a person under 21 years of age [minor] to perform adult cabaret entertainment; or
  - (3) employs a person under 21 years of age [minor] in a sexually oriented business.
- (d) A minor commits an offense if the minor knowing enters the interior premises of a sexually oriented business."

SECTION 6. That a person violating a provision of this ordinance, upon conviction, is punishable by a fine not to exceed \$4,000 and confinement in jail not to exceed one year.

SECTION 7. That Chapter 41A of the Dallas City Code shall remain in full force and effect, save and except as amended by this ordinance.



220258

32125

SECTION 8. That any act done or right vested or accrued, or any proceeding, suit, or prosecution had or commenced in any action before the amendment or repeal of any ordinance, or part thereof, shall not be affected or impaired by amendment or repeal of any ordinance, or part thereof, and shall be treated as still remaining in full force and effect for all intents and purposes as if the amended or repealed ordinance, or part thereof, had remained in force.

SECTION 9. That the terms and provisions of this ordinance are severable and are governed by Section 1-4 of Chapter 1 of the Dallas City Code, as amended.

SECTION 10. That this ordinance shall take effect immediately from and after its passage and publication in accordance with the provisions of the Charter of the City of Dallas, and it is accordingly so ordained.

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

CHRISTOPHER J. CASO, City Attorney

By Cathy Buzers  
Assistant City Attorney

Passed JAN 26 2022





## PROOF OF PUBLICATION – LEGAL ADVERTISING

The legal advertisement required for the noted ordinance was published in the Dallas Morning News, the official newspaper of the city, as required by law, and the Dallas City Charter, Chapter XVIII, Section 7.

DATE ADOPTED BY CITY COUNCIL JAN 26 2022

ORDINANCE NUMBER 32125

DATE PUBLISHED JAN 29 2022

ATTESTED BY:

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to be "R. G. [unclear]", is written over a horizontal line. Below the signature, there are two small, faint rectangular stamps.

Rick Watson



IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS  
DALLAS DIVISION

ASSOCIATION OF CLUB EXECUTIVES )  
OF DALLAS, et al, )  
Plaintiffs, ) CIVIL ACTION NO.  
vs. ) 3:22-CV-00177-M  
CITY OF DALLAS, )  
Defendant. )

\* \* \* \* \*

ORAL DEPOSITION OF

RICK WATSON

TUESDAY, FEBRUARY 22, 2022

\* \* \* \* \*

ORAL DEPOSITION OF RICK WATSON, produced as a  
witness at the instance of the Plaintiffs, and duly  
sworn, was taken in the above-styled and numbered cause  
on Tuesday, February 22, 2022, from 9:34 a.m. to  
11:18 a.m., before Cheryl A. Dixon, RPR, CRR, Notary  
Public, in and for the State of Texas, reported by  
machine shorthand, at the Dallas City Attorney's Office,  
1500 Marilla Street, Dallas, Texas, 75201, pursuant to  
the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

**Rick Watson**

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

A P P E A R A N C E S

FOR THE PLAINTIFFS:

MR. J. MICHAEL MURRAY

BERKMAN, GORDON, MURRAY & DEVAN

55 Public Square, Suite 2200

Cleveland, Ohio 44113

216.781.5245

jmmurray@bgmdlaw.com

MR. ROGER ALBRIGHT

SHEILS WINNUBST PC

1701 N. Collins Boulevard

Richardson, Texas 75080

972.644.8181

roger@sheilswinnubst.com

FOR THE DEFENDANT:

MS. ANN MARIE "ANA" JORDAN

DALLAS CITY ATTORNEY'S OFFICE

1500 Marilla Street

Dallas, Texas 75201

214.670.3519

ann.jordan@dallascityhall.com

ALSO PRESENT:

Steve Craft

(Page 2)

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

P R O C E E D I N G S

--- o0o ---

RICK WATSON,

having been first duly sworn, testified as follows:

EXAMINATION

BY MR. MURRAY:

Q. Please state your full name and business

address for the record.

A. Yes, sir. Rick A. Watson. I have two

addresses. I apologize for that because I -- because of

the two patrol divisions that I'm responsible for, I

have an office at each location; 9801 Harry Hines

Boulevard, and then 6969 McCallum Boulevard, Dallas --

both in Dallas, Texas.

Q. And your title is Deputy Chief; is that

correct?

A. Yes, sir.

Q. Thank you. Deputy Chief I'm going to ask you

a series of questions today and I'm going to do the best

I can to make my questions clear and understandable, but

can I have your agreement that if you don't understand

my question, you will bring that to my attention?

A. Yes, sir.

Q. Secondly, since our court reporter cannot

take down gestures, would you please be agreeable to

(Page 4)

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I N D E X

PAGE

Appearances2

RICK WATSON

Examination By Mr. Murray4

Examination By Ms. Jordan53

Examination By Mr. Murray55

Witness Errata Sheet58

Certificate of Shorthand Reporter60

E X H I B I T S

NO. DESCRIPTION PAGE

Exhibit 1 Deposition Notice9

Exhibit 2 Copy of Slide Presentation10

Exhibit 3 Memorandum18

(Page 3)

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

make sure that all of your answers are audible,

verbal?

A. Yes, sir.

Q. And finally, I'll do my best to let you

finish an answer before I begin another question. Can I

have your agreement that you will do your best to let me

finish my question before you begin your answer?

A. Yes, sir.

Q. Okay. So you're obviously your occupation is

Deputy Chief of the Dallas Police Department; correct?

A. Yes, sir.

Q. Okay. Why don't you summarize your career

with the police department?

A. I joined the Dallas Police Department in 1977

April 4th. I graduated from the academy from there,

then I was assigned to the Southwest Patrol Division as

a patrol officer. I transferred from the Southwest

Patrol Division to the Central Patrol Division where I

was also a patrol officer at that assignment. From

Central Patrol, I transferred to the Vice Division. I

was a detective in the Vice Division.

Q. What years are we talking about, if you don't

mind, approximately?

A. Approximately will be the early '80s. From

vice I transferred to the Narcotics Division where I was

(Page 5)

**Rick Watson**

(Page 6)	(Page 8)
<p>1 a detective in narcotics. I promoted to sergeant from</p> <p>2 there. I was transferred to the Southeast Patrol</p> <p>3 Division as a sergeant. From Southeast I transferred to</p> <p>4 the Internal Affairs Division. From the Internal</p> <p>5 Affairs Division I transferred -- and this is called the</p> <p>6 Legislative Coordinator. From Legislative Coordinator I</p> <p>7 promoted to lieutenant. I was transferred to the</p> <p>8 Northwest Patrol Division. From Northwest Division I</p> <p>9 was transferred to PIO, our Public Information Office.</p> <p>10 I was promoted to deputy chief. And I was sent to the</p> <p>11 Southwest Patrol Division.</p> <p>12 Q. And what year were you promoted to deputy</p> <p>13 chief?</p> <p>14 A. '06.</p> <p>15 Q. Well, you've done just about everything,</p> <p>16 haven't you?</p> <p>17 A. Well, I'm not through yet. Sorry. From</p> <p>18 Southwest, I went and I was put over the Property Crimes</p> <p>19 Division, and that was for the entire city. I was</p> <p>20 responsible for all property crime that occurred in the</p> <p>21 city. Then I was transferred and put over the</p> <p>22 Communications Division. From there I was transferred</p> <p>23 to the North Central Patrol Division. And when Chief</p> <p>24 Hall came on board as the new chief, I was assigned to</p> <p>25 the Northwest Patrol Division and to the North Central</p>	<p>1 Q. And they, of course, then all report directly</p> <p>2 to the chief?</p> <p>3 A. No, sir.</p> <p>4 Q. No? Okay.</p> <p>5 A. We have another level and it is called First</p> <p>6 Executive Assistant Chief.</p> <p>7 Q. And how many officers have that title?</p> <p>8 A. Two.</p> <p>9 Q. And then those are the two that directly</p> <p>10 report to the chief?</p> <p>11 A. Correct, yes, sir.</p> <p>12 Q. Okay. Now -- and how many employees of the</p> <p>13 department report to you, approximately?</p> <p>14 A. Approximately probably close to 250.</p> <p>15 Q. And approximately how many members of the</p> <p>16 Dallas Police Department are there in total?</p> <p>17 A. Right now I believe we're at 3,133; I'm not</p> <p>18 exact but it's the 3,100.</p> <p>19 Q. What is your educational background?</p> <p>20 A. I attended elementary school. From there I</p> <p>21 went to high school, I graduated from high school. I</p> <p>22 entered college, got my bachelor's degree from</p> <p>23 Midwestern State University out of Wichita Falls, Texas,</p> <p>24 then I received my master's degree from the University</p> <p>25 of Texas at Dallas in the city of Richardson, which is</p>
(Page 7)	(Page 9)
<p>1 Patrol Division. And that's been my current assignment</p> <p>2 for the last four years.</p> <p>3 Q. Now -- and what exactly are your duties as</p> <p>4 deputy chief in those patrol divisions?</p> <p>5 A. I oversee and manage the day-to-day</p> <p>6 operations for both of the divisions. I have a police</p> <p>7 major that is considered the division commander for each</p> <p>8 one of the substations. They both report to me. But</p> <p>9 overall, I'm responsible for managing and running both</p> <p>10 of those patrol divisions.</p> <p>11 Q. And what exactly is the West Patrol Group?</p> <p>12 A. The West Patrol Group is North Central Patrol</p> <p>13 Division and Northwest Patrol Division.</p> <p>14 Q. Okay. And how many divisions are there</p> <p>15 altogether in the city?</p> <p>16 A. There's a total of seven patrol divisions</p> <p>17 that make up the city.</p> <p>18 Q. Okay. And who do you report to?</p> <p>19 A. I report to Assistant Chief Jesse Reyes.</p> <p>20 Q. I'm sorry, what's the last name?</p> <p>21 A. Reyes, R-e-y-e-s.</p> <p>22 Q. Is there more than one assistant chief?</p> <p>23 A. Yes, sir, there is.</p> <p>24 Q. How many are there?</p> <p>25 A. There's, what, five -- five.</p>	<p>1 local here.</p> <p>2 Q. And what was your bachelor's degree in?</p> <p>3 A. Criminal justice.</p> <p>4 Q. And what about your master's degree?</p> <p>5 A. Same thing, criminal justice.</p> <p>6 Q. And so do you have training in statistics and</p> <p>7 statistical analysis?</p> <p>8 A. No, sir, not in-depth training but I had a</p> <p>9 little bit in college, but enough that it required a</p> <p>10 course.</p> <p>11 Q. Now, I want to show you what has been marked</p> <p>12 as Plaintiff's Exhibit 1.</p> <p>13 (Exhibit 1 marked.)</p> <p>14 MR. MURRAY: Copy for you as well.</p> <p>15 BY MR. MURRAY:</p> <p>16 Q. And I'll ask you if you've had an opportunity</p> <p>17 to see that document?</p> <p>18 A. Yes, sir.</p> <p>19 Q. And you will see that if you go to page --</p> <p>20 the last page of the document, you see that in paragraph</p> <p>21 four your name is mentioned at the top?</p> <p>22 A. Yes, sir.</p> <p>23 Q. Okay. And it states that you're prepared to</p> <p>24 testify about the Northwest Task Force and the events</p> <p>25 leading to its creation; correct?</p>

**Rick Watson**

(Page 10)	(Page 12)
<p>1       <b>A.     Yes, sir.</b></p> <p>2       Q.     And you understand that you're going to be</p> <p>3     testifying as a representative and on behalf of the City</p> <p>4     of Dallas?</p> <p>5       <b>A.     Yes, sir.</b></p> <p>6       Q.     Okay. So let's begin, let me show you what</p> <p>7     has been marked as Plaintiff's Exhibit 2?</p> <p>8                 (Exhibit 2 marked.)</p> <p>9     BY MR. MURRAY:</p> <p>10      Q.     You have seen that document before, I take</p> <p>11     it?</p> <p>12      <b>A.     I saw the presentation that was made. I</b></p> <p>13     <b>didn't actually have this actual presentation given to</b></p> <p>14     <b>me. I was watching the public safety committee who this</b></p> <p>15     <b>presentation was given to, to them.</b></p> <p>16      Q.     Okay. I'd like to direct your attention to</p> <p>17     page 9. There are page numbers at the bottom. There's</p> <p>18     also other page numbers. It's COD-015 and right below</p> <p>19     that is page 9.</p> <p>20      <b>A.     Correct.</b></p> <p>21      Q.     You see that?</p> <p>22      <b>A.     Yes, sir.</b></p> <p>23      Q.     This is the page that introduces the</p> <p>24     Northwest Club Task Force 2021, at least in this</p> <p>25     document; correct?</p>	<p>1     crime, we were looking at where these violent crimes</p> <p>2     were taking place. And many of them were taking place</p> <p>3     at our I'll call them our entertainment businesses in</p> <p>4     the division.</p> <p>5                 So we looked at that and where the</p> <p>6     various violent offenses were taking place. We had our</p> <p>7     crime analyst look at it and do an analysis and take a</p> <p>8     look at where these violent offenses were occurring. So</p> <p>9     as a result of that, we looked at the locations of where</p> <p>10    they were predominantly happening and we talked about</p> <p>11    how best to do as far as putting something together to</p> <p>12    address the violent crime. The challenge we faced was</p> <p>13    manpower. The department is still currently</p> <p>14    approximately 500 to 600 officers short, so we had to</p> <p>15    take into consideration our officers that we had for</p> <p>16    calls for service. Anyone -- any resident, anybody that</p> <p>17    calls 911 and needs police service, we had a</p> <p>18    responsibility and an obligation to ensure that those</p> <p>19    calls for service were answered.</p> <p>20                 At the same time, we were looking at how</p> <p>21    are we going to get some officers and a supervisor to</p> <p>22    monitor the various locations that we had identified</p> <p>23    there in the Northwest Division regarding the violent</p> <p>24    crime. So what we did is we assigned one sergeant and</p> <p>25    with respect to the number of officers that worked on</p>
(Page 11)	(Page 13)
<p>1       <b>A.     Correct, yes, sir.</b></p> <p>2       Q.     And you were present when information was</p> <p>3     shared with the safety committee about that task</p> <p>4     force?</p> <p>5       <b>A.     Yes, sir.</b></p> <p>6       Q.     Okay. So it says --</p> <p>7       <b>A.     May I clarify the question that you did?</b></p> <p>8       Q.     Absolutely.</p> <p>9       <b>A.     When you said that I was present, I was</b></p> <p>10    <b>watching it via TV through television and I was in and</b></p> <p>11    <b>out of my office, you know, doing other things, but</b></p> <p>12    <b>if -- I just wanted to clarify. I was not sitting there</b></p> <p>13    <b>looking at it from its start to the end, to the finish,</b></p> <p>14    <b>so I am -- I know that this was the presentation that</b></p> <p>15    <b>they did present to the Public Safety Committee, so yes,</b></p> <p>16    <b>sir. Thank you.</b></p> <p>17      Q.     And you know about the Northwest Club Task</p> <p>18     Force of 2021?</p> <p>19      <b>A.     Yes, sir.</b></p> <p>20      Q.     How was it originally created?</p> <p>21      <b>A.     Myself and Major Sam Sarmiento, who is the</b></p> <p>22    <b>division commander for the Northwest Patrol Division, he</b></p> <p>23    <b>and I discussed about what we were seeing with respect</b></p> <p>24    <b>to violent crime and how we were getting upticks in</b></p> <p>25    <b>violent crime. And also associated with that violent</b></p>	<p>1     that task force, it varied. Maximum would be eight</p> <p>2     officers, and at times the bare minimum would be four.</p> <p>3     And the reason for that was because of officers -- the</p> <p>4     officers that worked the task force, they may be taking</p> <p>5     off on vacation, they may be out sick, they may be out</p> <p>6     on military leave, they may be out for training. They</p> <p>7     were just -- they were not there present to carry out</p> <p>8     the assignment. Then also keeping in mind that we</p> <p>9     didn't want to take more officers from the officers that</p> <p>10    were answering calls for service. So it fluctuated</p> <p>11    between eight and four, no less than four.</p> <p>12                 So we identified the sergeant and the</p> <p>13    sergeant identified the eight, and then we started the</p> <p>14    task force March the 25th of 2021.</p> <p>15      Q.     Now, let me back up, then. First of all,</p> <p>16    what's the name of that sergeant, by the way?</p> <p>17      <b>A.     Matt Carlson.</b></p> <p>18      Q.     So this discussion was in and around March of</p> <p>19    2021?</p> <p>20      <b>A.     Correct, yes, sir.</b></p> <p>21      Q.     And it says here that it was created due to</p> <p>22    multiple shootings, violent crimes, and crime data</p> <p>23    showed it increasing after midnight; is that correct?</p> <p>24      <b>A.     Yes, sir.</b></p> <p>25      Q.     Okay. You mentioned there was a crime</p>

**Rick Watson**

(Page 14)	(Page 16)
<p>1 analyst involved?</p> <p>2 A. Yes, sir.</p> <p>3 Q. Who was that?</p> <p>4 A. Detective Jack Jernigan.</p> <p>5 Q. And what does he do as a crime analyst?</p> <p>6 A. He analyzes -- he's our crime</p> <p>7 analyst/intelligence officer. So he looks and read</p> <p>8 about all of the offenses that occur in that -- in the</p> <p>9 division, Northwest Patrol Division, for the day before.</p> <p>10 So he'll look at property crime offenses and he would</p> <p>11 also look at violent crime offenses.</p> <p>12 Q. And what is he reading? Incident reports?</p> <p>13 A. Yes, sir, offense reports. Correct, yes,</p> <p>14 sir.</p> <p>15 Q. Calls for service, does he look at that?</p> <p>16 A. If requested, yes, sir.</p> <p>17 Q. So an incident report would be something that</p> <p>18 an officer would create, for example, in the -- if there</p> <p>19 was a reported crime?</p> <p>20 A. Both ways, yes, sir. To answer your</p> <p>21 question, yes, sir, an officer can and does do offense</p> <p>22 reports, any kind of miscellaneous incident report which</p> <p>23 we refer to as an "MIR." They will also create them.</p> <p>24 That is self-initiated on their own.</p> <p>25 The second avenue is if we have a</p>	<p>1 A. Yes, sir. Division, yes, sir.</p> <p>2 Q. And where were those? Where did they</p> <p>3 occur?</p> <p>4 A. The first one occurred at Kalua Discotheque.</p> <p>5 Q. What kind of business is that?</p> <p>6 A. It's an establishment where you can dance and</p> <p>7 they serve alcohol.</p> <p>8 Q. It's not a sexually-oriented business?</p> <p>9 A. No, sir.</p> <p>10 Q. Okay. And what time of day did that homicide</p> <p>11 occur?</p> <p>12 A. I don't recall the time, the exact time.</p> <p>13 Q. What about the next one?</p> <p>14 A. Okay. The next one -- it's called Zona Rosa</p> <p>15 and it was a SOB. It was a totally nude. Then --</p> <p>16 sorry.</p> <p>17 Q. Let's talk about that for a moment. Was it a</p> <p>18 licensed sexually-oriented business?</p> <p>19 A. Yes, sir.</p> <p>20 Q. And do you know what time that occurred?</p> <p>21 A. No, sir.</p> <p>22 Q. And do you know what the circumstances</p> <p>23 were?</p> <p>24 A. What I remember of it was a shooting in the</p> <p>25 parking lot and an individual died as a result of the</p>
(Page 15)	(Page 17)
<p>1 complainant, a victim, they will take that information</p> <p>2 that's reported to them by that complainant and just</p> <p>3 depending on what it is, if it's an offense, they will</p> <p>4 take the information and they will create an offense of</p> <p>5 whatever that complainant is alleging happened.</p> <p>6 Q. So it says here that the "task force was</p> <p>7 created due to multiple shootings, violent crimes, and</p> <p>8 crime data showed it increasing after midnight."</p> <p>9 You see that?</p> <p>10 A. Yes, sir.</p> <p>11 Q. Okay. And that is what Detective Jernigan</p> <p>12 reported to you?</p> <p>13 A. Yes, sir.</p> <p>14 Q. And how many shootings are we talking</p> <p>15 about?</p> <p>16 A. I don't know.</p> <p>17 Q. And how many violent crimes were we talking</p> <p>18 about when he reported this to you?</p> <p>19 A. I don't know. I do know that we looked at</p> <p>20 homicides and aggravated assaults, non-family-violence</p> <p>21 offenses, and I don't know what the total number was,</p> <p>22 but I do remember we had six homicides from March 1 of</p> <p>23 2020 through March 31st of 2021.</p> <p>24 Q. And those were all in the Northwest</p> <p>25 District?</p>	<p>1 shooting.</p> <p>2 Q. What about the first one that you described?</p> <p>3 What were the circumstances of that?</p> <p>4 A. If I remember correctly, it was also a</p> <p>5 shooting in the parking lot where an individual was shot</p> <p>6 and killed.</p> <p>7 Q. And were the -- was it a customer of that</p> <p>8 dance club?</p> <p>9 A. I don't know if it was a customer. I don't</p> <p>10 know what association that individual had with the</p> <p>11 actual Kalua Discotheque.</p> <p>12 Q. So there might have been no relationship</p> <p>13 between that --</p> <p>14 A. That's a possibility.</p> <p>15 Q. You've got to let me finish the question.</p> <p>16 A. Sorry. Sorry.</p> <p>17 Q. That's okay. It's a hard rule to follow,</p> <p>18 believe me.</p> <p>19 A. Yes, sir.</p> <p>20 Q. So you don't know whether there was a</p> <p>21 connection between the club and that shooting other than</p> <p>22 the fact that it occurred in the parking lot?</p> <p>23 A. Yes, sir, that's correct.</p> <p>24 Q. And the Zona Rosa -- I want to show you what</p> <p>25 has been marked as Plaintiff's Exhibit 3.</p>

**Rick Watson**

(Page 18)	(Page 20)
<p>1 (Exhibit 3 marked.)</p> <p>2 BY MR. MURRAY:</p> <p>3 Q. Have you seen this document?</p> <p>4 A. No, sir.</p> <p>5 Q. Okay. Let me direct your attention to the</p> <p>6 fact that the first page of this indicates that it's a</p> <p>7 memorandum from Chief Garcia to the mayor and counsel.</p> <p>8 Do you see that?</p> <p>9 A. Yes, sir, I do.</p> <p>10 Q. And he says that there's an attachment that</p> <p>11 includes a list identifying the licensed</p> <p>12 sexually-oriented business locations.</p> <p>13 Do you see that?</p> <p>14 A. Yes, sir.</p> <p>15 Q. Okay. Go to the next page. That is the list</p> <p>16 that the chief provided of the various sexually-oriented</p> <p>17 businesses in the city of Dallas that are licensed.</p> <p>18 Do you see that?</p> <p>19 A. Yes, sir.</p> <p>20 Q. Do you see the Zona Rosa Club on that list?</p> <p>21 A. Yes, sir, I see it down here on I guess you</p> <p>22 could say number 31, if you want to call it D, as in</p> <p>23 David, District 2, La Zona Rosa -- sorry.</p> <p>24 Q. Okay. And it indicates that club is not</p> <p>25 operating currently; is that correct?</p>	<p>1 Q. Do you see it on the list here under "full</p> <p>2 nude cabarets" on Plaintiff's Exhibit 3?</p> <p>3 A. No, sir, I don't.</p> <p>4 Q. And do you know what time of day that</p> <p>5 incident occurred?</p> <p>6 A. No, sir.</p> <p>7 Q. And do you know what connection, if any, the</p> <p>8 people involved, the victims and/or the shooters, had</p> <p>9 with the particular club?</p> <p>10 A. No, sir, I don't.</p> <p>11 Q. Or whether they had any connection at all?</p> <p>12 A. No, sir, I don't.</p> <p>13 Q. What about the fourth?</p> <p>14 A. Dallas Cabaret South.</p> <p>15 Q. By the way, the one at Aces, was that in the</p> <p>16 parking lot?</p> <p>17 A. It was inside.</p> <p>18 Q. It was inside the club?</p> <p>19 A. Inside the club.</p> <p>20 Q. The one at Dallas Cabaret South, when did</p> <p>21 that occur, approximately?</p> <p>22 A. I don't have the date. I don't recall the</p> <p>23 date or the time.</p> <p>24 Q. Okay. And do you know where it occurred?</p> <p>25 A. The parking lot.</p>
(Page 19)	(Page 21)
<p>1 A. That is correct.</p> <p>2 Q. Do you know how long that club has not been</p> <p>3 operating?</p> <p>4 A. I would say approximately it's been maybe six</p> <p>5 to eight months, approximately.</p> <p>6 Q. And do you know why it ceased operating six</p> <p>7 to eight months ago?</p> <p>8 A. No, sir, I don't.</p> <p>9 Q. At the Zona Rosa Club where the second</p> <p>10 homicide occurred, do you know what time it occurred?</p> <p>11 A. No, sir, I don't.</p> <p>12 Q. And do you know whether the people involved</p> <p>13 actually had any connection with that club?</p> <p>14 A. No, sir, I have no indication of what their</p> <p>15 association was with the club.</p> <p>16 Q. Okay. So then what was the third homicide?</p> <p>17 A. The third, I believe, was Aces, A-c-e-s, and</p> <p>18 that was a multiple shooting where three individuals</p> <p>19 were shot and killed.</p> <p>20 Q. And what is Aces?</p> <p>21 A. It's a totally-nude establishment, BYOB,</p> <p>22 bring your own bottle.</p> <p>23 Q. And where is that located?</p> <p>24 A. It's on Manafia, I don't know the exact</p> <p>25 address.</p>	<p>1 Q. And again, you don't have any information as</p> <p>2 to whether there was any relationship between the victim</p> <p>3 or the perpetrator and the club?</p> <p>4 A. No, sir.</p> <p>5 Q. Okay. So then there's the -- what's the</p> <p>6 fifth one?</p> <p>7 A. XTC, X-T-C.</p> <p>8 Q. And where did that occur?</p> <p>9 A. That also occurred right outside the front of</p> <p>10 the business, the establishment, there in that parking</p> <p>11 general area that's up front.</p> <p>12 Q. So in the parking area?</p> <p>13 A. Yes, sir. Up front closer to the building</p> <p>14 but it was still in a parking area, yes, sir.</p> <p>15 Q. And do you know what time that one</p> <p>16 occurred?</p> <p>17 A. No, sir.</p> <p>18 Q. And do you know whether either the victim or</p> <p>19 the perpetrator had any relationship with XTC?</p> <p>20 A. No, sir, I don't know.</p> <p>21 Q. And then what's the sixth one?</p> <p>22 A. Prym, P-r-y-m, Bar, and that was a mass</p> <p>23 shooting where we had I believe it was nine individuals</p> <p>24 shot and one was killed as a result of it.</p> <p>25 Q. And where did that occur?</p>



**Rick Watson**

(Page 22)	(Page 24)
<p>1 A. That occurred inside the club.</p> <p>2 Q. And is that a sexually-oriented business?</p> <p>3 A. No, sir, it is not.</p> <p>4 Q. What kind of business is it?</p> <p>5 A. It's a business that offers dancing and they</p> <p>6 also offer liquor. They sell liquor. They have a TABC</p> <p>7 license.</p> <p>8 Q. Okay. And you don't know what time of day</p> <p>9 that occurred?</p> <p>10 A. Early morning, 2, 3 o'clock in the morning.</p> <p>11 And going -- if I may?</p> <p>12 Q. Sure.</p> <p>13 A. And going back to the question that you were</p> <p>14 asking me about the previous five about times, I</p> <p>15 couldn't give you an exact time but the times would be</p> <p>16 between 2:00 and 4:00 in the morning, 2:00 and 5:00 in</p> <p>17 the morning. It was after 2 o'clock in the morning, but</p> <p>18 to tell you it was at 3:15 a.m., no, sir, I don't</p> <p>19 remember them. But all six of those occurred after</p> <p>20 2 a.m. And Prym Bar, that occurred 20 minutes before</p> <p>21 2 a.m. in the morning.</p> <p>22 Q. And how are you able to recall that these all</p> <p>23 occurred during the times that you just described?</p> <p>24 A. Just as I said, they were early morning,</p> <p>25 late -- in other words, late night, early morning, after</p>	<p>1 Q. Okay. And do you know what percentage of</p> <p>2 those homicides occurred after 2 -- between the hours of</p> <p>3 2 a.m. and 6 a.m.?</p> <p>4 MS. JORDAN: Objection, calls for</p> <p>5 speculation.</p> <p>6 THE WITNESS: No, sir, I don't.</p> <p>7 BY MR. MURRAY:</p> <p>8 Q. Going back to Plaintiffs' Exhibit 2 on that</p> <p>9 same page, page COD-015, we were talking about multiple</p> <p>10 shootings, violent crimes, and crime data showing it</p> <p>11 increasing after midnight. Did your crime analyst do a</p> <p>12 comparison of violent crimes that were occurring before</p> <p>13 midnight and violent crimes occurring after midnight?</p> <p>14 A. No, sir.</p> <p>15 Q. And other than the shootings and the</p> <p>16 homicides that you've described, what kind of violent</p> <p>17 crimes are we talking about? The aggravated assaults</p> <p>18 primarily?</p> <p>19 A. Yes, sir. And --</p> <p>20 MS. JORDAN: I just want to make sure</p> <p>21 when we're talking about Northwest Club Task Force,</p> <p>22 we're talking about just those violent crimes that</p> <p>23 occurred within that division. We're not talking about</p> <p>24 the city statewide --</p> <p>25 MR. MURRAY: I understand.</p>
(Page 23)	(Page 25)
<p>1 2 a.m. in the morning.</p> <p>2 Q. How many homicides were there in Dallas in</p> <p>3 2020? Do you know?</p> <p>4 A. No, sir, I don't know right off the top of my</p> <p>5 head in 2020.</p> <p>6 Q. Do you have any estimate at all?</p> <p>7 A. No, sir. I'd hate to give an estimate on</p> <p>8 that. I don't.</p> <p>9 Q. What about in 2021? Do you know what the</p> <p>10 homicide rate was in the city of Dallas approximately?</p> <p>11 A. No, sir. When you say "the rate" --</p> <p>12 Q. I'm sorry. How many?</p> <p>13 A. I'd be speculating, and I don't recall the</p> <p>14 exact number.</p> <p>15 Q. Would it be over a hundred, for example?</p> <p>16 A. Yes, sir, it would be.</p> <p>17 Q. Okay. Would it be over 200?</p> <p>18 MS. JORDAN: Objection to the form of the</p> <p>19 question.</p> <p>20 THE WITNESS: Possibly. Because we</p> <p>21 had -- in 2021, we did lower the crime as far as</p> <p>22 homicides in our city. We did lower it, and it may</p> <p>23 have -- it may have topped out at, say, 200, but I don't</p> <p>24 recall the exact number.</p> <p>25 BY MR. MURRAY:</p>	<p>1 MS. JORDAN: -- I mean citywide. So when</p> <p>2 you ask the question, if we could just -- we're just</p> <p>3 specifying that it's only within that division.</p> <p>4 MR. MURRAY: Yeah, we're talking about</p> <p>5 this page that refers to the Northwest Club Task Force,</p> <p>6 yes.</p> <p>7 MS. JORDAN: Right.</p> <p>8 BY MR. MURRAY:</p> <p>9 Q. So you're talking about -- and we're</p> <p>10 referring to "It was created due to multiple shootings,</p> <p>11 violent crimes, and crime data showed it increasing</p> <p>12 after midnight" in that division, in that Northwest</p> <p>13 area?</p> <p>14 A. Yes, sir.</p> <p>15 Q. Okay.</p> <p>16 A. And if I may? The violent crimes, and I</p> <p>17 mentioned this earlier but I forgot one. I mentioned</p> <p>18 homicide, I mentioned ag assaults non-family violence,</p> <p>19 and the one I forgot to mention was robbery of</p> <p>20 individuals.</p> <p>21 Q. Okay. But again, you don't know how your</p> <p>22 crime analyst, if he did, came to the conclusion that</p> <p>23 these type of crimes were increasing in that area after</p> <p>24 midnight, as compared with before midnight?</p> <p>25 A. No, sir. That I know of, he didn't do an</p>



**Rick Watson**

(Page 26)	(Page 28)
<p>1 analysis comparing what happened before midnight to what 2 was occurring after midnight, no, sir.</p> <p>3 Q. And then the next bullet point says 4 "primarily occurred at or near the sexually-oriented 5 businesses."</p> <p>6 Do you see that?</p> <p>7 A. Yes, sir.</p> <p>8 Q. And what do you mean -- or what was meant by 9 near the businesses?</p> <p>10 A. Any parking lots that were adjacent to that 11 particular business and also parking lots that were 12 designated as an area that the clients -- the customers 13 could park without being -- having the fear of their 14 vehicle towed away because they were not supposed to 15 park in that area. It was a designated area.</p> <p>16 Q. So the "near the sexually-oriented 17 businesses" was not a radius, for example, of 500 feet? 18 You weren't talking about that?</p> <p>19 A. When we looked at our -- violent crime and 20 where it was occurring, we didn't discuss was it 500 21 yards, was it a thousand yards or was it closer. We 22 just looked and -- at where did this offense occur. 23 Where did the shooting take place?</p> <p>24 Q. Now, the task force was called the "Northwest 25 'Club' Task Force;" correct?</p>	<p>1 A. No, sir, it was more clubs, nightclubs, yes, 2 sir.</p> <p>3 Q. Now, I take it that it is true that there are 4 a number of other businesses besides clubs that are open 5 after midnight?</p> <p>6 A. Yes, sir.</p> <p>7 Q. In fact, there are other businesses that are 8 open after 2 a.m.?</p> <p>9 A. Yes, sir.</p> <p>10 Q. That would include gas stations?</p> <p>11 A. Yes, sir.</p> <p>12 Q. Convenience stores?</p> <p>13 A. Yes, sir.</p> <p>14 Q. Those businesses, some of them are open 15 24/7?</p> <p>16 A. Yes, sir.</p> <p>17 Q. There are some drug stores that are open late 18 night?</p> <p>19 A. Yes, sir.</p> <p>20 Q. Between 2 a.m. and 6 a.m.?</p> <p>21 A. Yes, sir.</p> <p>22 Q. Obviously there are bars that are open until 23 at least 2:00; correct?</p> <p>24 A. Yes, sir, correct.</p> <p>25 Q. There are non-adult nightclubs,</p>
(Page 27)	(Page 29)
<p>1 A. I'm sorry, what I read here is "Northwest" -- 2 in quotes -- "'Club' Task Force 2021."</p> <p>3 Q. Right.</p> <p>4 A. Okay. Yes, sir.</p> <p>5 Q. And what are you referring to when you use 6 the term "club"?</p> <p>7 A. It was all entertainment type of businesses 8 that could be considered a club.</p> <p>9 Q. Would that include adult bookstores?</p> <p>10 A. In some cases yes, sir.</p> <p>11 Q. So the study that you were doing or the 12 investigation that you were doing included not just 13 nightclubs but it included adult bookstores?</p> <p>14 A. If it had a high volume of violent offenses, 15 looking at the totality and looking at it all, and if 16 one particular or if several of an arcade bookstore had 17 numerous violent offenses, we would look at it and we 18 would address it. We would -- yes, sir, we would look 19 and patrol it to see in order to reduce that violent 20 crime that was occurring.</p> <p>21 Q. Well, my question was a little bit more 22 specific than that. When you called it the "Northwest 23 'Club' Task Force," was that intended to communicate the 24 notion that nightclubs as well as adult bookstores were 25 being studied?</p>	<p>1 non-sexually-oriented business nightclubs such as the 2 two that you mentioned already?</p> <p>3 A. Yes, sir.</p> <p>4 Q. And they can be open to 4 a.m. if they have a 5 late-night permit; correct?</p> <p>6 A. Yes, sir.</p> <p>7 Q. Okay. There are some grocery stores that are 8 open 24/7?</p> <p>9 A. Yes, sir.</p> <p>10 Q. Fast food restaurants that are open 24/7?</p> <p>11 A. Yes, sir.</p> <p>12 Q. Other restaurants besides fast food 13 restaurants that are open late night hours?</p> <p>14 A. Yes, sir.</p> <p>15 Q. For example, International House of Pancakes 16 would be one example?</p> <p>17 A. Yes, sir.</p> <p>18 Q. Are there any liquor stores that are open 19 past midnight?</p> <p>20 A. No, sir.</p> <p>21 Q. Obviously motels and hotels stay open all 22 night; correct?</p> <p>23 A. Correct.</p> <p>24 Q. There may be other retail establishments that 25 stay open between 2 a.m. and 6 a.m. that we haven't</p>

**Rick Watson**

(Page 30)	(Page 32)
<p>1 mentioned?</p> <p>2 <b>A. Yes, sir.</b></p> <p>3 Q. Including retail stores that are not</p> <p>4 sexually-oriented businesses or that are not licensed as</p> <p>5 sexually-oriented businesses?</p> <p>6 <b>A. Yes, sir.</b></p> <p>7 Q. Now, did your task force study all of those</p> <p>8 businesses as well or did you concentrate on the clubs</p> <p>9 that we've described?</p> <p>10 <b>A. We concentrated on any location that showed</b></p> <p>11 <b>we had a high volume of violent offenses. And we -- it</b></p> <p>12 <b>didn't matter to us what the business may be. That's --</b></p> <p>13 <b>we were not interested in that. He would look at the</b></p> <p>14 <b>offenses and if it happened to be at Walgreens and we</b></p> <p>15 <b>had an ag assault, three victims, then we certainly</b></p> <p>16 <b>would -- we would patrol it. We would look at it. We</b></p> <p>17 <b>would monitor it.</b></p> <p>18 Q. But you called it the Northwest Club Task</p> <p>19 Force; correct?</p> <p>20 <b>A. Yes, sir.</b></p> <p>21 Q. And I think you've indicated that that was</p> <p>22 primarily designed to indicate the notion that the</p> <p>23 primary target of this investigation were the</p> <p>24 nightclubs?</p> <p>25 <b>A. Yes, sir. But never forgetting that we also</b></p>	<p>1 Northwest Task Force -- of the Northwest?</p> <p>2 <b>A. The Northwest geographically, okay, so coming</b></p> <p>3 <b>down Loop 12, for the west side it's Loop 12. The</b></p> <p>4 <b>southern border would be -- Oak Lawn would be the</b></p> <p>5 <b>southern border. The -- and I already said the west</b></p> <p>6 <b>border was Loop 12. The east border is a little bit of</b></p> <p>7 <b>Lemmon Avenue, but it goes a little bit further east</b></p> <p>8 <b>because we don't take in Highland Park, University Park,</b></p> <p>9 <b>but we border that particular city, so that's the</b></p> <p>10 <b>borders. It's 50 square miles in size.</b></p> <p>11 Q. Okay. How does that compare in size to the</p> <p>12 other districts?</p> <p>13 <b>A. If I'm not mistaken, Northwest is, if not the</b></p> <p>14 <b>second largest, it may be the third largest of all seven</b></p> <p>15 <b>patrol divisions.</b></p> <p>16 Q. What's the largest?</p> <p>17 <b>A. Southeast would be the largest.</b></p> <p>18 Q. And if I understand correctly, the Northwest</p> <p>19 "Club" Task Force did not target any of those other</p> <p>20 districts; correct?</p> <p>21 <b>A. Yes, sir, that's correct.</b></p> <p>22 Q. So you've got eight officers starting at</p> <p>23 midnight but only on Thursday, Friday, and Saturday;</p> <p>24 correct?</p> <p>25 <b>A. Yes, sir.</b></p>
(Page 31)	(Page 33)
<p>1 <b>have a responsibility to the list of businesses that you</b></p> <p>2 <b>just mentioned, to ensure their safety, as well as the</b></p> <p>3 <b>customers that go and shop there.</b></p> <p>4 Q. Sure. Even if you had never formed the task</p> <p>5 force, your job is to protect the public and these</p> <p>6 businesses, period, isn't it?</p> <p>7 <b>A. Yes, sir.</b></p> <p>8 Q. Okay. But the task force was created in</p> <p>9 order to focus specific attention and investigations on</p> <p>10 the clubs?</p> <p>11 <b>A. Yes, sir.</b></p> <p>12 Q. Okay. And if I understand correctly, what</p> <p>13 this says is -- and you've explained that it was a</p> <p>14 maximum of eight officers and a minimum of four</p> <p>15 officers. But this document says "eight officers</p> <p>16 starting at midnight on Thursday, Friday, and Saturday."</p> <p>17 Do you see that?</p> <p>18 <b>A. Yes, sir.</b></p> <p>19 Q. Okay. So that was the idea at the outset?</p> <p>20 <b>A. Yes, sir.</b></p> <p>21 Q. Okay. And plus the sergeant -- or did that</p> <p>22 include the sergeant?</p> <p>23 <b>A. No, sir, that's one sergeant and eight max --</b></p> <p>24 <b>or if not, four minimum, sometimes six, but...</b></p> <p>25 Q. Okay. What is the geographic area of the</p>	<p>1 Q. So there was no stepped-up enforcement as a</p> <p>2 result of the task force on Sunday, Monday, Tuesday,</p> <p>3 Wednesday; correct?</p> <p>4 <b>A. Correct.</b></p> <p>5 Q. And what type of officers are we talking</p> <p>6 about? Are we talking about undercover? Patrol</p> <p>7 officers?</p> <p>8 <b>A. Patrol officers.</b></p> <p>9 Q. And were they eight individual patrols or</p> <p>10 were there two per car?</p> <p>11 <b>A. We paired them up, two per car.</b></p> <p>12 Q. So four additional patrol cars basically?</p> <p>13 <b>A. Yes, sir.</b></p> <p>14 Q. Starting at midnight?</p> <p>15 <b>A. Yes, sir.</b></p> <p>16 Q. Okay. And how many hours did it last in a</p> <p>17 given night?</p> <p>18 <b>A. Depending on if the officers, say, made an</b></p> <p>19 <b>arrest and got tied up, it could end at 2:00 in the</b></p> <p>20 <b>morning. It could end at 1:00 in the morning. It could</b></p> <p>21 <b>end any time between the time they started and the time</b></p> <p>22 <b>they got off, which was normally 7:00 in the morning or</b></p> <p>23 <b>8:00 in the morning. So it could end any time in</b></p> <p>24 <b>between there because depending on arrests and how many</b></p> <p>25 <b>arrests were made, you could virtually take all of those</b></p>

**Rick Watson**

(Page 34)	(Page 36)
<p>1 eight officers, if they all made arrests, and have them 2 tied up. And going to the jail is a lengthy process, so 3 it may take, if not the whole entire shift, to put 4 whatever they had in jail, depending on the 5 circumstances, the offense, and things of that nature, 6 and then the property that had been recovered as a 7 result of the arrest.</p> <p>8 Q. And so these were all in marked patrol 9 cars?</p> <p>10 A. Yes, sir.</p> <p>11 Q. Okay. So there were no undercover officers 12 as part of the Northwest Club Task Force; is that 13 correct?</p> <p>14 A. That's correct.</p> <p>15 Q. And so how would the officers stop people?</p> <p>16 A. They would patrol the areas in and around. 17 High visibility, just being seen and letting the general 18 public know that we're in the area. If they observed, 19 say, a vehicle commit a traffic violation, they would 20 conduct a traffic stop to address the violation that 21 they had observed. Also --</p> <p>22 Q. Go ahead.</p> <p>23 A. Also, if there were pedestrians in and around 24 the area, the officers would make contact with them as 25 well.</p>	<p>1 A. Yes, sir.</p> <p>2 Q. And so these four groups of officers would 3 patrol the streets and if they saw a traffic violation 4 or if they had probable cause to believe a traffic 5 violation had occurred, they would stop the car?</p> <p>6 A. Yes, sir.</p> <p>7 Q. And then that would lead to potentially a 8 search?</p> <p>9 A. If they had probable cause to search, yes, 10 sir.</p> <p>11 Q. And that's what would result in the vast 12 majority of the arrests that occurred?</p> <p>13 A. Yes, sir.</p> <p>14 Q. Well, many of these people could be traveling 15 through the streets and have absolutely nothing to do 16 with any of the clubs; correct?</p> <p>17 A. Correct.</p> <p>18 Q. In fact, many of these people could have 19 something to do with many of these other businesses that 20 are open all night; correct?</p> <p>21 A. Correct.</p> <p>22 Q. Or they could just be going to and from 23 work?</p> <p>24 A. Correct.</p> <p>25 Q. Or they could just be going home from</p>
(Page 35)	(Page 37)
<p>1 Q. How would they make contact with 2 pedestrians?</p> <p>3 A. Well, sometimes you see them walking in a 4 parking lot and just asking them, "Hey, how's it going? 5 How are you doing? Just checking, because we've had a 6 lot of car break-ins. We've had cars stolen out of this 7 parking lot. We're just making sure, are you part of 8 the staff or do you belong here?" Just asking, 9 inquiring about, you know, why would they be in that 10 parking lot.</p> <p>11 Q. How would that lead to an arrest if they're 12 just a pedestrian?</p> <p>13 A. It wouldn't necessarily lead to any type of 14 arrest.</p> <p>15 Q. So would you say that the vast majority of 16 citations, arrests, however you want to call it, were 17 the result of traffic stops?</p> <p>18 A. Yes, sir.</p> <p>19 Q. And those would -- the vast majority of those 20 would be the result of some kind of a traffic violation, 21 going through a red light, turning right without 22 stopping, things like that?</p> <p>23 A. Yes, sir.</p> <p>24 Q. An expired license plate, that kind of 25 thing?</p>	<p>1 visiting a friend?</p> <p>2 A. Correct.</p> <p>3 Q. I gather that you would agree that if you put 4 eight officers in four squad cars at any given time of 5 the day, in any given area, if you put an additional 6 eight officers in four squad cars patrolling the 7 streets, there are going to be a number of stops that 8 otherwise wouldn't have happened; isn't that true?</p> <p>9 A. Yes, sir.</p> <p>10 Q. Just by virtue of the stepped-up enforcement; 11 correct?</p> <p>12 A. Correct.</p> <p>13 Q. And that would be true no matter what time of 14 day it was; correct?</p> <p>15 A. Correct.</p> <p>16 Q. All right. Let's go to the next page of 17 Plaintiffs' Exhibit 2. And this documents the Northwest 18 Club Task Force Activity from March to December of 2021; 19 correct?</p> <p>20 A. Yes, sir.</p> <p>21 Q. Did the task force conclude in December of 22 last year or is it still ongoing?</p> <p>23 A. It's still ongoing.</p> <p>24 Q. Using the same methods?</p> <p>25 A. Yes, sir.</p>

**Rick Watson**

(Page 38)	(Page 40)
<p>1 Q. And do you know whether any other area of the</p> <p>2 city has been invested with a task force of this kind</p> <p>3 besides Northwest?</p> <p>4 A. I'm not aware of any other task forces, but</p> <p>5 that doesn't necessarily mean that they don't exist in</p> <p>6 another division.</p> <p>7 Q. All right. So we're talking March through</p> <p>8 December, Thursday, Friday, and Saturday. I had counted</p> <p>9 there are 132 Thursdays, Fridays, and Saturdays total</p> <p>10 between March and December, although you said it really</p> <p>11 didn't start until the end of March; correct?</p> <p>12 A. March 25th.</p> <p>13 Q. Okay. So the number would be smaller than</p> <p>14 132 days then. But we're talking about somewhere in the</p> <p>15 neighborhood of 120 days, just from the standpoint of</p> <p>16 looking at the calendar; correct?</p> <p>17 A. Of Thursday, Friday, and Saturday or just the</p> <p>18 Thursdays?</p> <p>19 Q. No, Thursday, Friday, Saturday.</p> <p>20 A. Okay. I don't know. I haven't seen it</p> <p>21 but --</p> <p>22 Q. I mean, we can count it out. I'm just saying</p> <p>23 that --</p> <p>24 A. Yes, sir.</p> <p>25 Q. Okay. So as a consequence of that stepped-up</p>	<p>1 convicted felon.</p> <p>2 Q. Okay. But a convicted felon is still</p> <p>3 allowed, assuming that the person has already served his</p> <p>4 time or paid his price to society, has a right to drive</p> <p>5 down the street; right?</p> <p>6 A. Yes, sir.</p> <p>7 Q. Okay. So when you say -- so you're saying</p> <p>8 that if they find out that the person has a record, a</p> <p>9 felony record, and then they do, what, they search the</p> <p>10 car to see if there's a firearm?</p> <p>11 A. No, sir.</p> <p>12 Q. How would they arrest him?</p> <p>13 MS. JORDAN: I'm going to object to</p> <p>14 speculation. This is not based on his actual knowledge</p> <p>15 on these particular arrests. You're giving him</p> <p>16 hypotheticals that -- you know, the variables could be</p> <p>17 vast.</p> <p>18 BY MR. MURRAY:</p> <p>19 Q. You can answer.</p> <p>20 A. I apologize, what was the question?</p> <p>21 Q. Well, you mentioned -- we're talking about</p> <p>22 the categories of felony arrests?</p> <p>23 A. Yes, sir.</p> <p>24 Q. And you mentioned, for example, if someone</p> <p>25 has a warrant that's outstanding?</p>
(Page 39)	(Page 41)
<p>1 enforcement, you list -- I'm not saying you do but this</p> <p>2 document that you're helping us understand -- indicates</p> <p>3 a number of categories, felony 123, what is that</p> <p>4 supposed to mean?</p> <p>5 A. Those were felony arrests that the officers</p> <p>6 made from March through December of 2021.</p> <p>7 Q. So what kind of offenses would that be,</p> <p>8 particularly with respect to traffic stops?</p> <p>9 A. The individual might have an outstanding</p> <p>10 felony warrant, the individual may be a convicted</p> <p>11 individual and in possession of a firearm.</p> <p>12 Q. Let's stop right there for a moment.</p> <p>13 A. Yes, sir.</p> <p>14 Q. How would your officer know that the person</p> <p>15 that got stopped for speeding, for example, was a</p> <p>16 convicted felon?</p> <p>17 A. He wouldn't know that until he made the</p> <p>18 traffic stop for, as you mentioned, speeding. He goes</p> <p>19 up and he requests to see the driver's license and</p> <p>20 insurance. At that time then the officer will do a</p> <p>21 warrants check and also do what we refer to as an "AIS,"</p> <p>22 that is a criminal background to show if this individual</p> <p>23 has -- what he -- if he or she has ever been arrested</p> <p>24 for anything prior to this, and to see if they have --</p> <p>25 what the charge was, and if the individual could be a</p>	<p>1 A. Yes, sir.</p> <p>2 Q. We'll come back to the convictions. But</p> <p>3 let's start with the warrants that are outstanding. So</p> <p>4 in those instances that would be a situation where the</p> <p>5 individual was arrested because of the outstanding</p> <p>6 warrant, not because the person committed a felony that</p> <p>7 night; correct?</p> <p>8 A. Correct.</p> <p>9 Q. Okay. And again, no matter where you patrol</p> <p>10 in the city, and no matter how many officers do the</p> <p>11 patrolling, and no matter what time of day or night they</p> <p>12 do it, if they stop somebody for a traffic violation,</p> <p>13 they're going to check to see if that person has an</p> <p>14 outstanding warrant; right? That's standard</p> <p>15 procedure?</p> <p>16 A. Correct.</p> <p>17 Q. And then they're going to arrest that person,</p> <p>18 even if that person didn't do anything wrong that</p> <p>19 particular occasion other than the traffic violation;</p> <p>20 correct?</p> <p>21 A. Correct.</p> <p>22 Q. Okay. Because that's standard operating</p> <p>23 procedure?</p> <p>24 A. Yes, sir.</p> <p>25 Q. Okay. So that's one category that makes up</p>

**Rick Watson**

(Page 42)	(Page 44)
<p>1 the 123 felonies; correct?</p> <p>2 <b>A. Yes, sir.</b></p> <p>3 Q. So the next one you mentioned was that --</p> <p>4 maybe I misunderstood you. I thought you said a</p> <p>5 convicted person who might be in possession of a firearm</p> <p>6 illegally?</p> <p>7 <b>A. Yes, sir.</b></p> <p>8 Q. Okay. Because if you're a convicted felon,</p> <p>9 you're under a disability with respect to owning a</p> <p>10 firearm?</p> <p>11 <b>A. Correct.</b></p> <p>12 Q. Okay. And the way that would happen -- and</p> <p>13 again, I understand you didn't make these arrests but</p> <p>14 the way those incidents must happen is an officer</p> <p>15 discovers that the person has a conviction, and for</p> <p>16 whatever reason, based on whatever circumstances,</p> <p>17 discovers that the person has a firearm; correct?</p> <p>18 <b>A. Correct.</b></p> <p>19 Q. And then that person is arrested for the</p> <p>20 felony of having a firearm, despite the disability?</p> <p>21 <b>A. Correct.</b></p> <p>22 Q. Okay. So that's -- and that -- again, that</p> <p>23 could happen anywhere in the city, any time of day, any</p> <p>24 time of night? Whenever an officer stops somebody for</p> <p>25 speeding, for example, that can happen?</p>	<p>1 Q. Or for that matter to any of the other</p> <p>2 numerous types of businesses that you and I talked about</p> <p>3 that are open between the hours of 2 and 6 a.m.?</p> <p>4 <b>A. That is correct.</b></p> <p>5 Q. This document then lists 183 misdemeanors;</p> <p>6 correct?</p> <p>7 <b>A. Yes, sir.</b></p> <p>8 Q. What were those?</p> <p>9 <b>A. They could range from again outstanding</b></p> <p>10 <b>warrants. And what I mean by "warrants" is individuals</b></p> <p>11 <b>who didn't pay, say, for example, their traffic tickets,</b></p> <p>12 <b>they're outstanding, and they didn't make payment or</b></p> <p>13 <b>restitution for the citations they had previously</b></p> <p>14 <b>received. Also, going back to warrants again, this</b></p> <p>15 <b>individual could be wanted for a theft misdemeanor</b></p> <p>16 <b>warrant. He or she could also be wanted for a</b></p> <p>17 <b>misdemeanor assault and that could be maybe family</b></p> <p>18 <b>violence. It could also mean just plain simple assault.</b></p> <p>19 <b>The misdemeanors, basically that's a lot,</b></p> <p>20 <b>if not most of misdemeanor is warrants. Or not unless</b></p> <p>21 <b>the officer happened to observe the individual commit an</b></p> <p>22 <b>offense and that particular offense would be a</b></p> <p>23 <b>misdemeanor charge, then that would be another way for a</b></p> <p>24 <b>misdemeanor arrest.</b></p> <p>25 Q. And again, those kinds of arrests can happen</p>
(Page 43)	(Page 45)
<p>1 <b>A. Yes, sir.</b></p> <p>2 Q. Okay. What are the other categories of</p> <p>3 felonies that make up the 123?</p> <p>4 <b>A. They could make a felony traffic stop because</b></p> <p>5 <b>of information that had come out about a particular</b></p> <p>6 <b>vehicle that may have been involved in an offense. An</b></p> <p>7 <b>example of that could be a business robbery occurred and</b></p> <p>8 <b>with a description of the vehicle.</b></p> <p>9 <b>Also, you could -- a robbery of an</b></p> <p>10 <b>individual, and with the information that is given by</b></p> <p>11 <b>the victim, the complainant at the time, to the</b></p> <p>12 <b>officers, the officers puts it out over the radio on the</b></p> <p>13 <b>MDT and says "This particular vehicle is alleged to have</b></p> <p>14 <b>been involved in a robbery of an individual." That's</b></p> <p>15 <b>one way.</b></p> <p>16 Q. Did anybody analyze the 123?</p> <p>17 <b>A. I don't know. I don't know if they analyzed</b></p> <p>18 <b>it.</b></p> <p>19 Q. Do you know whether the majority were</p> <p>20 outstanding warrants?</p> <p>21 <b>A. I don't have that information.</b></p> <p>22 Q. So you have no information as to how many of</p> <p>23 the 123 would have had any connection at all to a</p> <p>24 sexually-oriented business; correct?</p> <p>25 <b>A. Correct.</b></p>	<p>1 whenever a patrol officer stops someone for just</p> <p>2 speeding, for example, no matter what time of day, no</p> <p>3 matter what time of night, no matter where in the</p> <p>4 city?</p> <p>5 <b>A. Yes, sir, that's correct.</b></p> <p>6 Q. And there's no information that you can</p> <p>7 provide us that would demonstrate that these 183</p> <p>8 misdemeanors had anything at all to do with the</p> <p>9 sexually-oriented businesses that were the focus of the</p> <p>10 Northwest Club Task Force; correct?</p> <p>11 <b>A. Correct.</b></p> <p>12 Q. Then there's a category called "citations."</p> <p>13 I assume those would primarily be the traffic offenses</p> <p>14 that led to the stop in the first place?</p> <p>15 <b>A. That's correct.</b></p> <p>16 Q. Speeding, red lights, those kind of things?</p> <p>17 <b>A. Yes, sir, that's correct.</b></p> <p>18 Q. And "citations," that means nobody got</p> <p>19 arrested; correct? They were just cited?</p> <p>20 <b>A. Correct.</b></p> <p>21 Q. And they would have to appear in court</p> <p>22 later?</p> <p>23 <b>A. Yes, sir.</b></p> <p>24 Q. Okay. And again, those citations, you put a</p> <p>25 patrol officer and his partner in a squad car and you</p>



**Rick Watson**

<p style="text-align: right;">(Page 46)</p> <p>1 send them out at any time of the day or in any part of</p> <p>2 the city, they're going to find traffic offenses that</p> <p>3 lead to stopping people; correct?</p> <p>4 <b>A. Yes, sir.</b></p> <p>5 Q. And issuing citations?</p> <p>6 <b>A. Yes, sir.</b></p> <p>7 Q. And you have no information that any of those</p> <p>8 citations had any connection to any of the</p> <p>9 sexually-oriented businesses that were the focus of the</p> <p>10 Northwest Club Task Force; correct?</p> <p>11 <b>A. Correct.</b></p> <p>12 Q. Then there's a category "calls answered 134,"</p> <p>13 what would that consist of?</p> <p>14 <b>A. That would be the dispatcher putting out a</b></p> <p>15 <b>call for service either on the MDT or it would come over</b></p> <p>16 <b>the air and say -- call the element out and you have a</b></p> <p>17 <b>disturbance at this location and the officers would go</b></p> <p>18 <b>and respond. Or if the officers, say, for example, have</b></p> <p>19 <b>been flagged down by an individual -- business owner or</b></p> <p>20 <b>somebody -- and they wanted to report something, then</b></p> <p>21 <b>the officers would consider that as a call for service</b></p> <p>22 <b>as well.</b></p> <p>23 Q. Okay. And again, that happens all hours of</p> <p>24 the day and night throughout the city whenever a patrol</p> <p>25 car is out and about?</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">(Page 48)</p> <p>1 BY MR. MURRAY:</p> <p>2 Q. The next category is traffic stops. That's</p> <p>3 the total number of stops that were made by the eight</p> <p>4 officers or the -- however many there were; correct?</p> <p>5 <b>A. Correct.</b></p> <p>6 Q. So at least 600 or so didn't even end up in a</p> <p>7 citation correct?</p> <p>8 <b>A. Correct.</b></p> <p>9 Q. Okay. You had eight instances of stolen</p> <p>10 property recovered; correct?</p> <p>11 <b>A. Yes, sir.</b></p> <p>12 Q. You had 113 instances of the citizen having a</p> <p>13 weapon seized as a result of the stop?</p> <p>14 <b>A. Yes, sir.</b></p> <p>15 Q. 244 -- drugs seized, 244, are those</p> <p>16 separate drugs? Separate incidents? What is that?</p> <p>17 <b>A. If it -- the way we captured it, if it's</b></p> <p>18 <b>listed, it's 244 different incidences of where the</b></p> <p>19 <b>officers seized some type of drug.</b></p> <p>20 Q. And then the man hours 1241, what is that</p> <p>21 calculation?</p> <p>22 <b>A. That calculation would be on the number of</b></p> <p>23 <b>hours the task force worked from its inception from</b></p> <p>24 <b>March through December of 2021.</b></p> <p>25 Q. Now, I take it, though, that these eight</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">(Page 47)</p> <p>1 <b>A. Yes, sir.</b></p> <p>2 Q. And again, you have no information as to the</p> <p>3 relationship between any of those calls and the</p> <p>4 sexually-oriented businesses that were the focus of the</p> <p>5 Northwest Club Task force?</p> <p>6 <b>A. No, sir, I do not.</b></p> <p>7 MS. JORDAN: Michael, Steve Bishopp is</p> <p>8 designated as the person to provide that information.</p> <p>9 Chief Deputy Watson is talking about the task force</p> <p>10 itself, the patrols, why it was created. But this</p> <p>11 information, he's not designated to talk about, the</p> <p>12 correlation between these arrests, this information, and</p> <p>13 the regulation, so I'm just -- you can keep asking him</p> <p>14 the questions if you want but --</p> <p>15 MR. MURRAY: You know, it's not easy to</p> <p>16 understand the demarcations, that's all, on the</p> <p>17 descriptions.</p> <p>18 MS. JORDAN: But if you wanted to list</p> <p>19 those for yourself, you know, then send them to me then</p> <p>20 I'll find those people. But this was my brief -- this</p> <p>21 is the way I worded it. But if you weren't</p> <p>22 understanding what they were, you could have submitted</p> <p>23 something that was more specific.</p> <p>24 MR. MURRAY: Okay. Well, that's fine. I</p> <p>25 appreciate the answers that the Deputy Chief is giving.</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">(Page 49)</p> <p>1 officers on a given Thursday, Friday, or Saturday that</p> <p>2 we're talking about were not the only officers who were</p> <p>3 patrolling that district; correct?</p> <p>4 <b>A. If I may? Can I clarify and make sure you're</b></p> <p>5 <b>asking me what I think you're asking me?</b></p> <p>6 Q. Let me rephrase it.</p> <p>7 <b>A. Okay.</b></p> <p>8 Q. If this task force had never been formed,</p> <p>9 there still would be some police presence in the form of</p> <p>10 patrol officers in the Northwest area?</p> <p>11 <b>A. In the Northwest Division, yes, sir.</b></p> <p>12 Q. Okay. And did those typical patrols still</p> <p>13 occur in addition to the eight extra officers?</p> <p>14 <b>A. No, sir, not necessarily.</b></p> <p>15 Q. So did the eight extra officers actually</p> <p>16 replace the officers who otherwise would have been</p> <p>17 patrolling?</p> <p>18 <b>A. Not necessarily. The other officers, yes,</b></p> <p>19 <b>they could go in and patrol as well as the club task</b></p> <p>20 <b>force officers. However, what we've experienced in</b></p> <p>21 <b>seeing is that call loads, calls for service by</b></p> <p>22 <b>individuals calling 911 and asking for a police</b></p> <p>23 <b>response, they're usually very heavy on Thursdays,</b></p> <p>24 <b>Fridays, and Saturday evenings, as well as it goes into</b></p> <p>25 <b>early morning. So those officers were really answering</b></p>

**Rick Watson**

<p style="text-align: right;">(Page 50)</p> <p>1 calls for service, but nothing prevented them from going 2 into the area that the club task force officers were 3 patrolling.</p> <p>4 Q. So if I'm understanding it correctly then, 5 what you're saying is that the intention of the task 6 force was not to take these eight officers and 7 substitute them for whatever police presence would 8 otherwise have been there?</p> <p>9 A. No, sir.</p> <p>10 Q. It was to provide additional presence of 11 patrols?</p> <p>12 A. Yes, sir, that is correct.</p> <p>13 Q. Okay.</p> <p>14 (Recess taken.)</p> <p>15 BY MR. MURRAY:</p> <p>16 Q. Deputy Chief, your counsel and I were talking 17 a little bit off the record and she mentioned that in 18 2021 there was a plan put in by Chief Garcia that 19 preceded the task force; is that correct?</p> <p>20 A. Yes, sir.</p> <p>21 Q. And what was that plan?</p> <p>22 A. The plan basically was to address all crime 23 in the city, however, it focused on violent crime, which 24 that was a lot of the focus was violent crime. And the 25 plan, what Chief Garcia did was he reached out to the</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">(Page 52)</p> <p>1 A. No, sir, I couldn't add anything to this data 2 because he is the one responsible for pulling this data.</p> <p>3 Q. Okay. So I will defer my questions on that 4 subject to Lieutenant Bishopp.</p> <p>5 Go to the next page and I'll ask you the 6 same question. Is there anything that -- any 7 information that you have about this particular page, 8 COD-018, that Lieutenant Bishopp wouldn't have?</p> <p>9 A. No, sir.</p> <p>10 Q. And you would agree that he would be the more 11 appropriate person to -- for me to question about 12 these -- this page?</p> <p>13 A. Yes, sir.</p> <p>14 Q. Okay. And then, of course, beginning at 15 COD-019, your answer would be the same, Lieutenant 16 Bishopp would be the better person to address the data 17 on that page?</p> <p>18 A. Yes, sir.</p> <p>19 Q. Well, then let me consult with my colleagues 20 for a moment and we may be done.</p> <p>21 (Recess taken.)</p> <p>22 MR. MURRAY: Okay. Then given the fact 23 that Lieutenant Bishopp will be the better witness for 24 the pages that we just talked about, I have nothing 25 further of Deputy Chief, but thank you very much for</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">(Page 51)</p> <p>1 University of Texas at San Antonio and he talked to the 2 academia about crime in our city. Now what I'm going to 3 say next, I'm speculating because I was not privy to his 4 conversation with the academia. So what he presented 5 and what he said to the academia, I don't know what he 6 said or what he presented to them.</p> <p>7 Q. Well, then I won't ask you to speculate. But 8 then later on when you get to March of 2021, as an 9 evolution perhaps of Chief Garcia's plan, this task 10 force was created?</p> <p>11 A. Yes, sir.</p> <p>12 Q. Is that an accurate way to put it?</p> <p>13 A. Yes, sir.</p> <p>14 Q. Now, I want you to turn your attention to 15 page 17 of Plaintiffs' Exhibit 2. The COD-017.</p> <p>16 A. COD-017.</p> <p>17 Q. Okay. So it's my understanding from my 18 conversations with your counsel that Lieutenant Bishopp 19 would have more information about this particular data 20 than you would. Is that a fair -- is that an accurate 21 statement?</p> <p>22 A. Yes, sir, it is.</p> <p>23 Q. Okay. And that -- so there's really nothing 24 that you would be able to add to what Lieutenant Bishopp 25 could provide about this data?</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">(Page 53)</p> <p>1 your time.</p> <p>2 THE WITNESS: Thank you, Mr. Murray.</p> <p>3 MS. JORDAN: I just have one question 4 regarding the Chief Garcia's violent crime prevention 5 plan.</p> <p>6 EXAMINATION</p> <p>7 BY MS. JORDAN:</p> <p>8 Q. Can you describe what your understanding of 9 Chief Garcia's approach with respect to that plan?</p> <p>10 A. With respect to that, it's my understanding 11 he provided the academia at the University of Texas at 12 San Antonio with crime data, both property crime and 13 violent crime for the city. As a result of that, the 14 academia did an analysis of both property and violent 15 crime and they identified the -- if I may -- the "hot 16 spot areas" within the city. Along with that, they 17 refer to it as grids, g-r-i-d-s, which is a 300 by 300 18 space area that had numerous violent crime offenses. 19 And our focus was to go in on those particular grids 20 that had been ID'd, one being an offender grid, and the 21 other one being a high-visibility grid.</p> <p>22 And the instructions that we gave to the 23 officers was that they would stay on the grids and work 24 the grids, both for individuals and then also, for 25 example, the 58 A's was a high-visibility grid. They</p>

**Rick Watson**

(Page 54)	(Page 56)
<p>1 had some violent offenses but not very many. So in our 2 effort to try to curtail violent crime on that grid, the 3 officers would park out there with their red lights, 4 their cruising lights on and would remain there on that 5 grid for 15 minutes at certain specific times that the 6 academia had identified that offenses were occurring, in 7 an effort to keep the offenses from occurring during 8 those times.</p> <p>9 Q. And what about the offender grid?</p> <p>10 A. The offender showed more as far as more 11 violent offenses on the offenders. Therefore, we had 12 our specialty groups, which is CRT and deployment, they 13 would work those specifically because of the high number 14 of violent crime offenses and the potential of the 15 individuals who lived in that grid.</p> <p>16 Q. What does "CRT" stand for?</p> <p>17 A. Crime Response Team.</p> <p>18 Q. Okay. And how did this eventually evolve 19 into the Northwest Task Force?</p> <p>20 A. Well, as I said earlier, we used our CRT 21 teams to focus on the grids; therefore, we needed 22 officers to focus in and around the areas, the 23 entertainment district, that we were having high violent 24 offenses occurring, to focus in on that. It was very 25 difficult to pull CRT off of those grids. Our goal was</p>	<p>1 A. Correct.</p> <p>2 Q. Do you know who?</p> <p>3 A. No, sir, I do not who the academia was that 4 was consulted.</p> <p>5 Q. Do you know whether they ever issued a 6 report?</p> <p>7 A. I don't know if they issued a report.</p> <p>8 Q. You're not aware of a report from UT being 9 submitted to City Counsel, do you?</p> <p>10 A. I hadn't seen that report, no, sir.</p> <p>11 Q. You said somebody -- did the academics you 12 say ascertained what the hot spots were in the city?</p> <p>13 A. It's my understanding through their analysis 14 of the data that was provided to them by the department, 15 they looked at it and they ascertained grids, if you 16 want to call them grids. You can call them hot spots. 17 But basically the grids is how we become to know the 18 grid. It's same difference, hot-spot policing.</p> <p>19 Q. Well, didn't you tell me there was like 200 20 grids?</p> <p>21 A. They're possibly could be more, yes, sir.</p> <p>22 Q. So they identified more than 200 or more hot 23 spots, also known as grids?</p> <p>24 A. They came up with grids and just because that 25 is a grid that doesn't necessarily mean that that was</p>
(Page 55)	(Page 57)
<p>1 basically to reduce crime in those grids to ultimately 2 zero. So we focused on that and we brought these other 3 officers as part of the task force. And like I said 4 earlier, because of shortage of manpower on the 5 department, we were trying to make the best use we could 6 of the resources we had in order to accomplish both 7 goals.</p> <p>8 Q. Okay.</p> <p>9 MS. JORDAN: I'll reserve the rest of my 10 questions for the hearing.</p> <p>11 MR. MURRAY: I'm going to ask a few 12 questions on what you just said.</p> <p>13 FURTHER EXAMINATION</p> <p>14 BY MR. MURRAY:</p> <p>15 Q. You said the grids -- the city was divided 16 into grids, 300 by 300?</p> <p>17 A. Yes, sir.</p> <p>18 Q. What? 300 by 300 --</p> <p>19 A. 300 by 300 feet, very small.</p> <p>20 Q. How many grids did it take?</p> <p>21 A. I don't know that particularly but it's well 22 over 200, and maybe in the area of 500 plus. I don't 23 know the exact number of grids for the entire city.</p> <p>24 Q. So -- and it's your understanding that these 25 academics at UT were consulted; correct?</p>	<p>1 where we were going to focus, because based on the 2 information that they were given by the department, this 3 particular grid may not have generated but maybe one 4 violent offense, where a grid next to it would have 5 generated -- just an estimate -- five or six or plus 6 more.</p> <p>7 Q. Well, then what were you referring to when 8 you said it was your understanding that they identified 9 hot spots in the city?</p> <p>10 A. Well, maybe it was my incorrect terminology 11 that I used, but hot spot, grid, one and the same.</p> <p>12 MR. MURRAY: Okay. That's all I have.</p> <p>13 THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.</p> <p>14 MR. MURRAY: Does he want to read or 15 waive?</p> <p>16 MS. JORDAN: I think we'll read. (Off record at 11:18 a.m.)</p>



**Rick Watson**

(Page 58)

1 WITNESS ERRATA SHEET

2 WITNESS NAME: RICK WATSON DATE: FEBRUARY 22, 2022

3 CASE NAME: ASSOCIATION OF CLUB EXECUTIVES OF DALLAS, et al

4

5 Reason Codes: (1) to clarify the record; (2) to conform to the facts; (3) to correct a transcription error; (4) other (please explain.)

6	PAGE	LINE	CHANGE	REASON CODE
7	_____	_____	_____	_____
8	_____	_____	_____	_____
9	_____	_____	_____	_____
10	_____	_____	_____	_____
11	_____	_____	_____	_____
12	_____	_____	_____	_____
13	_____	_____	_____	_____
14	_____	_____	_____	_____
15	_____	_____	_____	_____
16	_____	_____	_____	_____
17	_____	_____	_____	_____
18	_____	_____	_____	_____
19	_____	_____	_____	_____
20	_____	_____	_____	_____
21	_____	_____	_____	_____
22	_____	_____	_____	_____
23	_____	_____	_____	_____
24	_____	_____	_____	_____
25	_____	_____	_____	_____

(Page 60)

1 I, CHERYL A. DIXON, Registered Professional Reporter, Certified Realtime Reporter and Notary Public, do hereby declare:

2 That, prior to being examined, the witness named in the foregoing deposition was by me duly sworn pursuant to Section 30(f)(1) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and the deposition is a true record of the testimony given by the witness.

3 That said deposition was taken down by me in shorthand at the time and place therein named and thereafter reduced to text under my direction.

4 That the witness was requested to review the transcript and make any changes to the transcript as a result of that review pursuant to Section 30(e) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

5 Signature is waived.

6 The changes made by the witness are appended to the transcript.

7 No request was made that the transcript be reviewed pursuant to Section 30(e) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

8

9 I further declare that I have no interest in the event or the action.

10 I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America that the foregoing is true and correct.

11 Witness my hand this 23rd day of February, 2022.

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

*Cheryl A. Dixon*

Cheryl A. Dixon, RPR, CRR

(Page 59)

1 I, RICK WATSON, have read the foregoing deposition and hereby affix my signature that same is true and correct, except as noted above.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

RICK WATSON

THE STATE OF \_\_\_\_\_)

COUNTY OF \_\_\_\_\_)

Before me, \_\_\_\_\_, on this day personally appeared RICK WATSON, known to me (or proved to me under oath or through \_\_\_\_\_) [description of identity card or other document] to be the person whose name is subscribed to the foregoing instrument and acknowledged to me that they executed the same for the purposes and consideration therein expressed.

Given under my hand and seal of office this \_\_\_\_\_ day of \_\_\_\_\_, \_\_\_\_\_.

NOTARY PUBLIC IN AND FOR THE STATE OF \_\_\_\_\_

COMMISSION EXPIRES: \_\_\_\_\_

**Rick Watson****Index: (1)...appeared**

<b>(</b>	21 27:2 37:18 39:6 48:24 50:18 51:8	<b>6969</b> 4:13	<b>address</b> 4:8 12:12 19:25 27:18 34:20 50:22 52:16
<b>(1)</b> 58:5	<b>2022</b> 58:2	<b>7</b>	<b>addresses</b> 4:10
<b>(2)</b> 58:5	<b>22</b> 58:2	<b>7:00</b> 33:22	<b>adjacent</b> 26:10
<b>(3)</b> 58:5	<b>24/7</b> 28:15 29:8,10	<b>8</b>	<b>adult</b> 27:9,13,24
<b>(4)</b> 58:6	<b>244</b> 48:15,18	<b>80s</b> 5:24	<b>Affairs</b> 6:4,5
<b>0</b>	<b>250</b> 8:14	<b>8:00</b> 33:23	<b>affix</b> 59:2
<b>06</b> 6:14	<b>25th</b> 13:14 38:12	<b>9</b>	<b>ag</b> 25:18 30:15
<b>1</b>	<b>2:00</b> 22:16 28:23 33:19	<b>9</b> 10:17,19	<b>aggravated</b> 15:20 24:17
<b>1</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>911</b> 12:17 49:22	<b>agree</b> 37:3 52:10
<b>1</b> 9:12,13 15:22	<b>3</b> 17:25 18:1 20:2 22:10	<b>9801</b> 4:12	<b>agreeable</b> 4:25
<b>113</b> 48:12	<b>3,100</b> 8:18	<b>A</b>	<b>agreement</b> 4:21 5:6
<b>11:18</b> 57:17	<b>3,133</b> 8:17	<b>A's</b> 53:25	<b>ahead</b> 34:22
<b>12</b> 32:3,6	<b>300</b> 53:17 55:16,18, 19	<b>A-C-E-S</b> 19:17	<b>air</b> 46:16
<b>120</b> 38:15	<b>31</b> 18:22	<b>a.m.</b> 22:18,20,21 23:1 24:3 28:8,20 29:4,25 44:3 57:17	<b>AIS</b> 39:21
<b>123</b> 39:3 42:1 43:3, 16,23	<b>31st</b> 15:23	<b>absolutely</b> 11:8 36:15	<b>alcohol</b> 16:7
<b>1241</b> 48:20	<b>3:15</b> 22:18	<b>academia</b> 51:2,4,5 53:11,14 54:6 56:3	<b>alleged</b> 43:13
<b>132</b> 38:9,14	<b>4</b>	<b>academics</b> 55:25 56:11	<b>alleging</b> 15:5
<b>134</b> 46:12	<b>4</b> 29:4	<b>academy</b> 5:15	<b>allowed</b> 40:3
<b>15</b> 54:5	<b>4:00</b> 22:16	<b>accomplish</b> 55:6	<b>altogether</b> 7:15
<b>17</b> 51:15	<b>4th</b> 5:15	<b>accurate</b> 51:12,20	<b>analysis</b> 9:7 12:7 26:1 53:14 56:13
<b>183</b> 44:5 45:7	<b>5</b>	<b>Aces</b> 19:17,20 20:15	<b>analyst</b> 12:7 14:1,5 24:11 25:22
<b>1977</b> 5:14	<b>50</b> 32:10	<b>acknowledged</b> 59:15	<b>analyst/ intelligence</b> 14:7
<b>1:00</b> 33:20	<b>500</b> 12:14 26:17,20 55:22	<b>Activity</b> 37:18	<b>analyze</b> 43:16
<b>2</b>	<b>58</b> 53:25	<b>actual</b> 10:13 17:11 40:14	<b>analyzed</b> 43:17
<b>2</b> 10:7,8 18:23 22:10, 17,20,21 23:1 24:2,3, 8 28:8,20 29:25 37:17 44:3 51:15	<b>5:00</b> 22:16	<b>add</b> 51:24 52:1	<b>analyzes</b> 14:6
<b>20</b> 22:20	<b>6</b>	<b>addition</b> 49:13	<b>and/or</b> 20:8
<b>200</b> 23:17,23 55:22 56:19,22	<b>6</b> 24:3 28:20 29:25 44:3	<b>additional</b> 33:12 37:5 50:10	<b>answering</b> 13:10 49:25
<b>2020</b> 15:23 23:3,5	<b>600</b> 12:14 48:6		<b>answers</b> 5:1 47:25
<b>2021</b> 10:24 11:18 13:14,19 15:23 23:9,			<b>Antonio</b> 51:1 53:12
			<b>apologize</b> 4:10 40:20
			<b>appeared</b> 59:11

Rick Watson

Index: approach..club

<b>approach</b> 53:9	<b>avenue</b> 14:25 32:7	<b>business</b> 4:7 16:5,8, 18 18:12 21:10 22:2, 4,5 26:11 29:1 30:12 43:7,24 46:19	<b>category</b> 41:25 45:12 46:12 48:2
<b>approximately</b> 5:23,24 8:13,14,15 12:14 19:4,5 20:21 23:10	<b>aware</b> 38:4 56:8		<b>ceased</b> 19:6
<b>April</b> 5:15	<hr/> <b>B</b> <hr/>	<b>businesses</b> 12:3 18:17 26:5,9,17 27:7 28:4,7,14 30:4,5,8 31:1,6 36:19 44:2 45:9 46:9 47:4	<b>Central</b> 5:18,20 6:23,25 7:12
<b>arcade</b> 27:16	<b>bachelor's</b> 8:22 9:2	<b>BYOB</b> 19:21	<b>challenge</b> 12:12
<b>area</b> 21:11,12,14 25:13,23 26:12,15 31:25 34:18,24 37:5 38:1 49:10 50:2 53:18 55:22	<b>back</b> 13:15 22:13 24:8 41:2 44:14	<hr/> <b>C</b> <hr/>	<b>CHANGE</b> 58:7
<b>areas</b> 34:16 53:16 54:22	<b>background</b> 8:19 39:22	<b>Cabaret</b> 20:14,20	<b>charge</b> 39:25 44:23
<b>arrest</b> 33:19 34:7 35:11,14 40:12 41:17 44:24	<b>Bar</b> 21:22 22:20	<b>cabarets</b> 20:2	<b>check</b> 39:21 41:13
<b>arrested</b> 39:23 41:5 42:19 45:19	<b>bare</b> 13:2	<b>calculation</b> 48:21, 22	<b>checking</b> 35:5
<b>arrests</b> 33:24,25 34:1 35:16 36:12 39:5 40:15,22 42:13 44:25 47:12	<b>bars</b> 28:22	<b>calendar</b> 38:16	<b>chief</b> 4:15,18 5:10 6:10,13,23,24 7:4,19, 22 8:2,6,10 18:7,16 47:9,25 50:16,18,25 51:9 52:25 53:4,9
<b>ascertained</b> 56:12, 15	<b>based</b> 40:14 42:16 57:1	<b>call</b> 12:3 18:22 35:16 46:15,16,21 49:21 56:16	<b>circumstances</b> 16:22 17:3 34:5 42:16
<b>assault</b> 30:15 44:17, 18	<b>basically</b> 33:12 44:19 50:22 55:1 56:17	<b>called</b> 6:5 8:5 16:14 26:24 27:22 30:18 45:12	<b>citation</b> 48:7
<b>assaults</b> 15:20 24:17 25:18	<b>begin</b> 5:5,7 10:6	<b>calling</b> 49:22	<b>citations</b> 35:16 44:13 45:12,18,24 46:5,8
<b>assigned</b> 5:16 6:24 12:24	<b>beginning</b> 52:14	<b>calls</b> 12:16,17,19 13:10 14:15 24:4 46:12 47:3 49:21 50:1	<b>cited</b> 45:19
<b>assignment</b> 5:19 7:1 13:8	<b>behalf</b> 10:3	<b>captured</b> 48:17	<b>citizen</b> 48:12
<b>assistant</b> 7:19,22 8:6	<b>belong</b> 35:8	<b>car</b> 33:10,11 35:6 36:5 40:10 45:25 46:25	<b>city</b> 6:19,21 7:15,17 8:25 10:3 18:17 23:10,22 24:24 32:9 38:2 41:10 42:23 45:4 46:2,24 50:23 51:2 53:13,16 55:15, 23 56:9,12 57:9
<b>association</b> 17:10 19:15 58:3	<b>Bishopp</b> 47:7 51:18, 24 52:4,8,16,23	<b>card</b> 59:13	<b>citywide</b> 25:1
<b>assume</b> 45:13	<b>bit</b> 9:9 27:21 32:6,7 50:17	<b>career</b> 5:12	<b>clarify</b> 11:7,12 49:4 58:5
<b>assuming</b> 40:3	<b>board</b> 6:24	<b>Carlson</b> 13:17	<b>clear</b> 4:20
<b>attachment</b> 18:10	<b>bookstore</b> 27:16	<b>carry</b> 13:7	<b>clients</b> 26:12
<b>attended</b> 8:20	<b>bookstores</b> 27:9,13, 24	<b>cars</b> 33:12 34:9 35:6 37:4,6	<b>close</b> 8:14
<b>attention</b> 4:22 10:16 18:5 31:9 51:14	<b>border</b> 32:4,5,6,9	<b>CASE</b> 58:3	<b>closer</b> 21:13 26:21
<b>audible</b> 5:1	<b>borders</b> 32:10	<b>cases</b> 27:10	<b>club</b> 10:24 11:17 17:8,21 18:20,24 19:2,9,13,15 20:9,18, 19 21:3 22:1 24:21 25:5 26:25 27:6,8,23 30:18 32:19 34:12
	<b>bottle</b> 19:22	<b>categories</b> 39:3 40:22 43:2	
	<b>bottom</b> 10:17		
	<b>Boulevard</b> 4:13		
	<b>break-ins</b> 35:6		
	<b>bring</b> 4:22 19:22		
	<b>brought</b> 55:2		
	<b>building</b> 21:13		
	<b>bullet</b> 26:3		

**Rick Watson****Index: Club'..discussion**

37:18 45:10 46:10 47:5 49:19 50:2 58:3	<b>consequence</b> 38:25	<b>court</b> 4:24 45:21	<b>day-to-day</b> 7:5
<b>Club'</b> 27:2	<b>consideration</b> 12:15 59:16	<b>create</b> 14:18,23 15:4	<b>days</b> 38:14,15
<b>clubs</b> 28:1,4 30:8 31:10 36:16	<b>considered</b> 7:7 27:8	<b>created</b> 11:20 13:21 15:7 25:10 31:8 47:10 51:10	<b>December</b> 37:18,21 38:8,10 39:6 48:24
<b>COD-015</b> 10:18 24:9	<b>consist</b> 46:13	<b>creation</b> 9:25	<b>defer</b> 52:3
<b>COD-017</b> 51:15,16	<b>consult</b> 52:19	<b>crime</b> 6:20 11:24,25 12:1,7,12,24 13:22, 25 14:5,6,10,11,19 15:8 23:21 24:10,11 25:11,22 26:19 27:20 50:22,23,24 51:2 53:4,12,13,15,18 54:2,14,17 55:1	<b>degree</b> 8:22,24 9:2,4
<b>COD-018</b> 52:8	<b>consulted</b> 55:25 56:4		<b>demarcations</b> 47:16
<b>COD-019</b> 52:15	<b>contact</b> 34:24 35:1		<b>demonstrate</b> 45:7
<b>CODE</b> 58:7	<b>Convenience</b> 28:12		<b>department</b> 5:10, 13,14 8:13,16 12:13 55:5 56:14 57:2
<b>Codes</b> 58:5	<b>conversation</b> 51:4		<b>depending</b> 15:3 33:18,24 34:4
<b>colleagues</b> 52:19	<b>conversations</b> 51:18	<b>crimes</b> 6:18 12:1 13:22 15:7,17 24:10, 12,13,17,22 25:11, 16,23	<b>deployment</b> 54:12
<b>college</b> 8:22 9:9	<b>convicted</b> 39:10,16 40:1,2 42:5,8	<b>criminal</b> 9:3,5 39:22	<b>deposition</b> 59:2
<b>commander</b> 7:7 11:22	<b>conviction</b> 42:15	<b>CRT</b> 54:12,16,20,25	<b>deputy</b> 4:15,18 5:10 6:10,12 7:4 47:9,25 50:16 52:25
<b>COMMISSION</b> 59:25	<b>convictions</b> 41:2	<b>cruising</b> 54:4	<b>describe</b> 53:8
<b>commit</b> 34:19 44:21	<b>Coordinator</b> 6:6	<b>current</b> 7:1	<b>description</b> 43:8 59:13
<b>committed</b> 41:6	<b>Copy</b> 9:14	<b>curtail</b> 54:2	<b>descriptions</b> 47:17
<b>committee</b> 10:14 11:3,15	<b>correct</b> 4:16 5:10 8:11 9:25 10:20,25 11:1 13:20,23 14:13 17:23 18:25 19:1 26:25 28:23,24 29:5, 22,23 30:19 32:20, 21,24 33:3,4 34:13, 14 36:16,17,20,21,24 37:2,11,12,14,15,19 38:11,16 41:7,8,16, 20,21 42:1,11,17,18, 21 43:24,25 44:4,6 45:5,10,11,15,17,19, 20 46:3,10,11 48:4,5, 7,8,10 49:3 50:12,19 55:25 56:1 58:5 59:3	<b>customer</b> 17:7,9	<b>designated</b> 26:12, 15 47:8,11
<b>communicate</b> 27:23		<b>customers</b> 26:12 31:3	<b>designed</b> 30:22
<b>Communications</b> 6:22		<hr/> <b>D</b> <hr/>	<b>detective</b> 5:21 6:1 14:4 15:11
<b>compare</b> 32:11		<b>Dallas</b> 4:13,14 5:10, 14 8:16,25 10:4 18:17 20:14,20 23:2, 10 58:3	<b>died</b> 16:25
<b>compared</b> 25:24		<b>dance</b> 16:6 17:8	<b>difference</b> 56:18
<b>comparing</b> 26:1		<b>dancing</b> 22:5	<b>difficult</b> 54:25
<b>comparison</b> 24:12		<b>data</b> 13:22 15:8 24:10 25:11 51:19,25 52:1,2,16 53:12 56:14	<b>direct</b> 10:16 18:5
<b>complainant</b> 15:1,2, 5 43:11	<b>correctly</b> 17:4 31:12 32:18 50:4	<b>date</b> 20:22,23 58:2	<b>directly</b> 8:1,9
<b>concentrate</b> 30:8	<b>correlation</b> 47:12	<b>David</b> 18:23	<b>disability</b> 42:9,20
<b>concentrated</b> 30:10	<b>counsel</b> 18:7 50:16 51:18 56:9	<b>day</b> 14:9 16:10 20:4 22:8 37:5,14 41:11 42:23 45:2 46:1,24 59:11,19	<b>Discotheque</b> 16:4 17:11
<b>conclude</b> 37:21	<b>count</b> 38:22		<b>discovers</b> 42:15,17
<b>conclusion</b> 25:22	<b>counted</b> 38:8		<b>discuss</b> 26:20
<b>conduct</b> 34:20	<b>COUNTY</b> 59:9		<b>discussed</b> 11:23
<b>conform</b> 58:5			<b>discussion</b> 13:18
<b>connection</b> 17:21 19:13 20:7,11 43:23 46:8			

Rick Watson

Index: dispatcher..grid

<b>dispatcher</b> 46:14	<b>enforcement</b> 33:1 37:10 39:1	<hr/> <b>F</b> <hr/>	47:5,9 48:23 49:8,20 50:2,6,19 51:10 54:19 55:3
<b>district</b> 15:25 18:23 49:3 54:23	<b>ensure</b> 12:18 31:2	<b>faced</b> 12:12	<b>forces</b> 38:4
<b>districts</b> 32:12,20	<b>entered</b> 8:22	<b>fact</b> 17:22 18:6 28:7 36:18 52:22	<b>foregoing</b> 59:1,14
<b>disturbance</b> 46:17	<b>entertainment</b> 12:3 27:7 54:23	<b>facts</b> 58:5	<b>forgetting</b> 30:25
<b>divided</b> 55:15	<b>entire</b> 6:19 34:3 55:23	<b>fair</b> 51:20	<b>forgot</b> 25:17,19
<b>division</b> 5:16,18,20, 21,25 6:3,4,5,8,11, 19,22,23,25 7:1,7,13 11:22 12:4,23 14:9 16:1 24:23 25:3,12 38:6 49:11	<b>ERRATA</b> 58:1	<b>Falls</b> 8:23	<b>form</b> 23:18 49:9
<b>divisions</b> 4:11 7:4,6, 10,14,16 32:15	<b>error</b> 58:5	<b>family</b> 44:17	<b>formed</b> 31:4 49:8
<b>document</b> 9:17,20 10:10,25 18:3 31:15 39:2 44:5 59:13	<b>establishment</b> 16:6 19:21 21:10	<b>fast</b> 29:10,12	<b>fourth</b> 20:13
<b>documents</b> 37:17	<b>establishments</b> 29:24	<b>fear</b> 26:13	<b>Friday</b> 31:16 32:23 38:8,17,19 49:1
<b>drive</b> 40:4	<b>estimate</b> 23:6,7 57:5	<b>FEBRUARY</b> 58:2	<b>Fridays</b> 38:9 49:24
<b>driver's</b> 39:19	<b>evenings</b> 49:24	<b>feet</b> 26:17 55:19	<b>friend</b> 37:1
<b>drug</b> 28:17 48:19	<b>events</b> 9:24	<b>felon</b> 39:16 40:1,2 42:8	<b>front</b> 21:9,11,13
<b>drugs</b> 48:15,16	<b>eventually</b> 54:18	<b>felonies</b> 42:1 43:3	<b>full</b> 4:7 20:1
<b>due</b> 13:21 15:7 25:10	<b>evolution</b> 51:9	<b>felony</b> 39:3,5,10 40:9,22 41:6 42:20 43:4	<hr/> <b>G</b> <hr/>
<b>duly</b> 4:4	<b>evolve</b> 54:18	<b>finally</b> 5:4	<b>g-r-i-d-s</b> 53:17
<b>duties</b> 7:3	<b>exact</b> 8:18 16:12 19:24 22:15 23:14,24 55:23	<b>find</b> 40:8 46:2 47:20	<b>Garcia</b> 18:7 50:18,25
<hr/> <b>E</b> <hr/>	<b>EXAMINATION</b> 4:5 53:6 55:13	<b>fine</b> 47:24	<b>Garcia's</b> 51:9 53:4,9
<b>earlier</b> 25:17 54:20 55:4	<b>executed</b> 59:15	<b>finish</b> 5:5,7 11:13 17:15	<b>gas</b> 28:10
<b>early</b> 5:24 22:10,24, 25 49:25	<b>Executive</b> 8:6	<b>firearm</b> 39:11 40:10 42:5,10,17,20	<b>gather</b> 37:3
<b>east</b> 32:6,7	<b>EXECUTIVES</b> 58:3	<b>flagged</b> 46:19	<b>gave</b> 53:22
<b>easy</b> 47:15	<b>exhibit</b> 9:12,13 10:7, 8 17:25 18:1 20:2 24:8 37:17 51:15	<b>fluctuated</b> 13:10	<b>general</b> 21:11 34:17
<b>educational</b> 8:19	<b>exist</b> 38:5	<b>focus</b> 31:9 45:9 46:9 47:4 50:24 53:19 54:21,22,24 57:1	<b>generated</b> 57:3,5
<b>effort</b> 54:2,7	<b>experienced</b> 49:20	<b>focused</b> 50:23 55:2	<b>geographic</b> 31:25
<b>element</b> 46:16	<b>expired</b> 35:24	<b>follow</b> 17:17	<b>geographically</b> 32:2
<b>elementary</b> 8:20	<b>EXPIRES</b> 59:25	<b>food</b> 29:10,12	<b>gestures</b> 4:25
<b>employees</b> 8:12	<b>explain</b> 58:6	<b>force</b> 9:24 10:24 11:4,18 13:1,4,14 15:6 24:21 25:5 26:24,25 27:2,23 30:7,19 31:5,8 32:1, 19 33:2 34:12 37:18, 21 38:2 45:10 46:10	<b>give</b> 22:15 23:7
<b>end</b> 11:13 33:19,20, 21,23 38:11 48:6	<b>explained</b> 31:13		<b>giving</b> 40:15 47:25
	<b>expressed</b> 59:17		<b>goal</b> 54:25
	<b>extra</b> 49:13,15		<b>goals</b> 55:7
			<b>graduated</b> 5:15 8:21
			<b>grid</b> 53:20,21,25 54:2,5,9,15 56:18,25 57:3,4,11

Rick Watson

Index: grids..lieutenant

<b>grids</b> 53:17,19,23,24 54:21,25 55:1,15,16, 20,23 56:15,16,17, 20,23,24	<b>hot-spot</b> 56:18	<b>individuals</b> 19:18 21:23 25:20 44:10 49:22 53:24 54:15	47:7,18 53:3,7 55:9 57:16
<b>grocery</b> 29:7	<b>hotels</b> 29:21	<b>information</b> 6:9 11:2 15:1,4 21:1 43:5,10,21,22 45:6 46:7 47:2,8,11,12 51:19 52:7 57:2	<b>justice</b> 9:3,5
<b>Group</b> 7:11,12	<b>hours</b> 24:2 29:13 33:16 44:3 46:23 48:20,23		<hr/> <b>K</b> <hr/>
<b>groups</b> 36:2 54:12	<b>House</b> 29:15	<b>inquiring</b> 35:9	<b>Kalua</b> 16:4 17:11
<b>guess</b> 18:21	<b>how's</b> 35:4	<b>inside</b> 20:17,18,19 22:1	<b>keeping</b> 13:8
<hr/> <b>H</b> <hr/>	<b>hundred</b> 23:15	<b>instances</b> 41:4 48:9, 12	<b>killed</b> 17:6 19:19 21:24
<b>Hall</b> 6:24	<b>hypotheticals</b> 40:16	<b>instructions</b> 53:22	<b>kind</b> 14:22 16:5 22:4 24:16 35:20,24 38:2 39:7 45:16
<b>hand</b> 59:18	<hr/> <b>I</b> <hr/>	<b>instrument</b> 59:15	<b>kinds</b> 44:25
<b>happen</b> 42:12,14,23, 25 44:25	<b>ID'D</b> 53:20	<b>insurance</b> 39:20	<b>knowledge</b> 40:14
<b>happened</b> 15:5 26:1 30:14 37:8 44:21	<b>idea</b> 31:19	<b>intended</b> 27:23	<hr/> <b>L</b> <hr/>
<b>happening</b> 12:10	<b>identified</b> 12:22 13:12,13 53:15 54:6 56:22 57:8	<b>intention</b> 50:5	<b>La</b> 18:23
<b>hard</b> 17:17	<b>identifying</b> 18:11	<b>interested</b> 30:13	<b>largest</b> 32:14,16,17
<b>Harry</b> 4:12	<b>identity</b> 59:13	<b>Internal</b> 6:4	<b>late</b> 22:25 28:17 29:13
<b>hate</b> 23:7	<b>illegally</b> 42:6	<b>International</b> 29:15	<b>late-night</b> 29:5
<b>he'll</b> 14:10	<b>in-depth</b> 9:8	<b>introduces</b> 10:23	<b>Lawn</b> 32:4
<b>head</b> 23:5	<b>inception</b> 48:23	<b>invested</b> 38:2	<b>lead</b> 35:11,13 36:7 46:3
<b>hearing</b> 55:10	<b>incidences</b> 48:18	<b>investigation</b> 27:12 30:23	<b>leading</b> 9:25
<b>heavy</b> 49:23	<b>incident</b> 14:12,17,22 20:5	<b>investigations</b> 31:9	<b>leave</b> 13:6
<b>helping</b> 39:2	<b>incidents</b> 42:14 48:16	<b>involved</b> 14:1 19:12 20:8 43:6,14	<b>led</b> 45:14
<b>Hey</b> 35:4	<b>include</b> 27:9 28:10 31:22	<b>issued</b> 56:5,7	<b>Legislative</b> 6:6
<b>high</b> 8:21 27:14 30:11 34:17 54:13,23	<b>included</b> 27:12,13	<b>issuing</b> 46:5	<b>Lemmon</b> 32:7
<b>high-visibility</b> 53:21,25	<b>includes</b> 18:11	<hr/> <b>J</b> <hr/>	<b>lengthy</b> 34:2
<b>Highland</b> 32:8	<b>Including</b> 30:3	<b>Jack</b> 14:4	<b>letting</b> 34:17
<b>Hines</b> 4:12	<b>incorrect</b> 57:10	<b>jail</b> 34:2,4	<b>level</b> 8:5
<b>home</b> 36:25	<b>increasing</b> 13:23 15:8 24:11 25:11,23	<b>Jernigan</b> 14:4 15:11	<b>license</b> 22:7 35:24 39:19
<b>homicide</b> 16:10 19:10,16 23:10 25:18	<b>indication</b> 19:14	<b>Jesse</b> 7:19	<b>licensed</b> 16:18 18:11,17 30:4
<b>homicides</b> 15:20,22 23:2,22 24:2,16	<b>individual</b> 16:25 17:5,10 33:9 39:9,10, 11,22,25 41:5 43:10, 14 44:15,21 46:19	<b>job</b> 31:5	<b>lieutenant</b> 6:7 51:18,24 52:4,8,15, 23
<b>hot</b> 53:15 56:12,16, 22 57:9,11		<b>joined</b> 5:14	
		<b>JORDAN</b> 23:18 24:4,20 25:1,7 40:13	



**Rick Watson****Index: light..occupation**

<b>light</b> 35:21	<b>Manaña</b> 19:24	<b>minimum</b> 13:2 31:14,24	<b>nightclubs</b> 27:13,24 28:1,25 29:1 30:24
<b>lights</b> 45:16 54:3,4	<b>manpower</b> 12:13 55:4	<b>minutes</b> 22:20 54:5	<b>non-adult</b> 28:25
<b>liquor</b> 22:6 29:18	<b>March</b> 13:14,18 15:22,23 37:18 38:7, 10,11,12 39:6 48:24 51:8	<b>MIR</b> 14:23	<b>non-family</b> 25:18
<b>list</b> 18:11,15,20 20:1 31:1 39:1 47:18	<b>marked</b> 9:11,13 10:7,8 17:25 18:1 34:8	<b>miscellaneous</b> 14:22	<b>non-family- violence</b> 15:20
<b>listed</b> 48:18	<b>mass</b> 21:22	<b>misdemeanor</b> 44:15,17,20,23,24	<b>non-sexually- oriented</b> 29:1
<b>lists</b> 44:5	<b>master's</b> 8:24 9:4	<b>misdemeanors</b> 44:5,19 45:8	<b>North</b> 6:23,25 7:12
<b>lived</b> 54:15	<b>Matt</b> 13:17	<b>mistaken</b> 32:13	<b>Northwest</b> 6:8,25 7:13 9:24 10:24 11:17,22 12:23 14:9 15:24 24:21 25:5,12 26:24 27:1,22 30:18 32:1,2,13,18 34:12 37:17 38:3 45:10 46:10 47:5 49:10,11 54:19
<b>loads</b> 49:21	<b>matter</b> 30:12 37:13 41:9,10,11 44:1 45:2, 3	<b>misunderstood</b> 42:4	<b>NOTARY</b> 59:24
<b>local</b> 9:1	<b>max</b> 31:23	<b>moment</b> 16:17 39:12 52:20	<b>noted</b> 59:3
<b>located</b> 19:23	<b>maximum</b> 13:1 31:14	<b>Monday</b> 33:2	<b>notion</b> 27:24 30:22
<b>location</b> 4:12 30:10 46:17	<b>mayor</b> 18:7	<b>monitor</b> 12:22 30:17	<b>nude</b> 16:15 20:2
<b>locations</b> 12:9,22 18:12	<b>Mccallum</b> 4:13	<b>months</b> 19:5,7	<b>number</b> 12:25 15:21 18:22 23:14,24 28:4 37:7 38:13 39:3 48:3, 22 54:13 55:23
<b>long</b> 19:2	<b>MDT</b> 43:13 46:15	<b>morning</b> 22:10,16, 17,21,24,25 23:1 33:20,22,23 49:25	<b>numbers</b> 10:17,18
<b>looked</b> 12:5,9 15:19 26:19,22 56:15	<b>means</b> 45:18	<b>motels</b> 29:21	<b>numerous</b> 27:17 44:2 53:18
<b>Loop</b> 32:3,6	<b>meant</b> 26:8	<b>multiple</b> 13:22 15:7 19:18 24:9 25:10	
<b>lot</b> 16:25 17:5,22 20:16,25 35:4,6,7,10 44:19 50:24	<b>members</b> 8:15	<b>Murray</b> 4:6 9:14,15 10:9 18:2 23:25 24:7, 25 25:4,8 40:18 47:15,24 48:1 50:15 52:22 53:2 55:11,14 57:12,14	<b>O</b>
<b>lots</b> 26:10,11	<b>memorandum</b> 18:7	<b>N</b>	<b>Oak</b> 32:4
<b>lower</b> 23:21,22	<b>mention</b> 25:19	<b>narcotics</b> 5:25 6:1	<b>oath</b> 59:12
<hr/> <b>M</b> <hr/>	<b>mentioned</b> 9:21 13:25 25:17,18 29:2 30:1 31:2 39:18 40:21,24 42:3 50:17	<b>nature</b> 34:5	<b>object</b> 40:13
<b>made</b> 10:12 33:18,25 34:1 39:6,17 48:3	<b>methods</b> 37:24	<b>necessarily</b> 35:13 38:5 49:14,18 56:25	<b>Objection</b> 23:18 24:4
<b>major</b> 7:7 11:21	<b>Michael</b> 47:7	<b>needed</b> 54:21	<b>obligation</b> 12:18
<b>majority</b> 35:15,19 36:12 43:19	<b>midnight</b> 13:23 15:8 24:11,13 25:12,24 26:1,2 28:5 29:19 31:16 32:23 33:14	<b>neighborhood</b> 38:15	<b>observe</b> 44:21
<b>make</b> 4:20 5:1 7:17 24:20 34:24 35:1 42:13 43:3,4 44:12 49:4 55:5	<b>Midwestern</b> 8:23	<b>night</b> 22:25 28:18 29:13,22 33:17 36:20 41:7,11 42:24 45:3 46:24	<b>observed</b> 34:18,21
<b>makes</b> 41:25	<b>miles</b> 32:10		<b>occasion</b> 41:19
<b>making</b> 35:7	<b>military</b> 13:6		<b>occupation</b> 5:9
<b>man</b> 48:20	<b>mind</b> 5:23 13:8		
<b>manage</b> 7:5			
<b>managing</b> 7:9			

## Rick Watson

## Index: occur..pulling

<b>occur</b> 14:8 16:3,11 20:21 21:8,25 26:22 49:13	<b>operations</b> 7:6	<b>pay</b> 44:11	<b>predominantly</b> 12:10
<b>occurred</b> 6:20 16:4, 20 17:22 19:10 20:5, 24 21:9,16 22:1,9,19, 20,23 24:2,23 26:4 36:5,12 43:7	<b>opportunity</b> 9:16	<b>payment</b> 44:12	<b>prepared</b> 9:23
<b>occurring</b> 12:8 24:12,13 26:2,20 27:20 54:6,7,24	<b>order</b> 27:19 31:9 55:6	<b>pedestrian</b> 35:12	<b>presence</b> 49:9 50:7, 10
<b>offender</b> 53:20 54:9, 10	<b>originally</b> 11:20	<b>pedestrians</b> 34:23 35:2	<b>present</b> 11:2,9,15 13:7
<b>offenders</b> 54:11	<b>outset</b> 31:19	<b>people</b> 19:12 20:8 34:15 36:14,18 46:3 47:20	<b>presentation</b> 10:12, 13,15 11:14
<b>offense</b> 14:13,21 15:3,4 26:22 34:5 43:6 44:22 57:4	<b>outstanding</b> 39:9 40:25 41:3,5,14 43:20 44:9,12	<b>percentage</b> 24:1	<b>presented</b> 51:4,6
<b>offenses</b> 12:6,8 14:8,10,11 15:21 27:14,17 30:11,14 39:7 45:13 46:2 53:18 54:1,6,7,11,14, 24	<b>oversee</b> 7:5	<b>period</b> 31:6	<b>prevented</b> 50:1
<b>offer</b> 22:6	<b>owner</b> 46:19	<b>permit</b> 29:5	<b>prevention</b> 53:4
<b>offers</b> 22:5	<b>owning</b> 42:9	<b>perpetrator</b> 21:3,19	<b>previous</b> 22:14
<b>office</b> 4:12 6:9 11:11 59:18	<hr/> <b>P</b> <hr/>	<b>person</b> 39:14 40:3,8 41:6,13,17,18 42:5, 15,17,19 47:8 52:11, 16 59:14	<b>previously</b> 44:13
<b>officer</b> 5:17,19 14:7, 18,21 39:14,20 42:14,24 44:21 45:1, 25	<b>P-R-Y-M</b> 21:22	<b>personally</b> 59:11	<b>price</b> 40:4
<b>officers</b> 8:7 12:14, 15,21,25 13:2,3,4,9 31:14,15 32:22 33:5, 7,8,18 34:1,11,15,24 36:2 37:4,6 39:5 41:10 43:12 46:17, 18,21 48:4,19 49:1,2, 10,13,15,16,18,20,25 50:2,6 53:23 54:3,22 55:3	<b>pages</b> 52:24	<b>PIO</b> 6:9	<b>primarily</b> 24:18 26:4 30:22 45:13
<b>ongoing</b> 37:22,23	<b>paid</b> 40:4	<b>place</b> 12:2,6 26:23 45:14	<b>primary</b> 30:23
<b>open</b> 28:4,8,14,17,22 29:4,8,10,13,18,21, 25 36:20 44:3	<b>paired</b> 33:11	<b>plain</b> 44:18	<b>prior</b> 39:24
<b>operating</b> 18:25 19:3,6 41:22	<b>Pancakes</b> 29:15	<b>Plaintiff's</b> 9:12 10:7 17:25 20:2	<b>privy</b> 51:3
	<b>paragraph</b> 9:20	<b>Plaintiffs'</b> 24:8 37:17 51:15	<b>probable</b> 36:4,9
	<b>park</b> 26:13,15 32:8 54:3	<b>plan</b> 50:18,21,22,25 51:9 53:5,9	<b>procedure</b> 41:15,23
	<b>parking</b> 16:25 17:5, 22 20:16,25 21:10, 12,14 26:10,11 35:4, 7,10	<b>plate</b> 35:24	<b>process</b> 34:2
	<b>part</b> 34:12 35:7 46:1 55:3	<b>point</b> 26:3	<b>promoted</b> 6:1,7,10, 12
	<b>partner</b> 45:25	<b>police</b> 5:10,13,14 7:6 8:16 12:17 49:9,22 50:7	<b>property</b> 6:18,20 14:10 34:6 48:10 53:12,14
	<b>past</b> 29:19	<b>policing</b> 56:18	<b>protect</b> 31:5
	<b>patrol</b> 4:11 5:16,17, 18,19,20 6:2,8,11,23, 25 7:1,4,10,11,12,13, 16 11:22 14:9 27:19 30:16 32:15 33:6,8, 12 34:8,16 36:3 41:9 45:1,25 46:24 49:10, 19	<b>possession</b> 39:11 42:5	<b>proved</b> 59:12
	<b>patrolling</b> 37:6 41:11 49:3,17 50:3	<b>possibility</b> 17:14	<b>provide</b> 45:7 47:8 50:10 51:25
	<b>patrols</b> 33:9 47:10 49:12 50:11	<b>possibly</b> 23:20 56:21	<b>provided</b> 18:16 53:11 56:14
		<b>potential</b> 54:14	<b>Prym</b> 21:22 22:20
		<b>potentially</b> 36:7	<b>public</b> 6:9 10:14 11:15 31:5 34:18 59:24
		<b>preceded</b> 50:19	<b>pull</b> 54:25
			<b>pulling</b> 52:2



**Rick Watson****Index: purposes..sir**

<b>purposes</b> 59:16	53:17	21:24 33:2 34:7	<b>service</b> 12:16,17,19
<b>put</b> 6:18,21 34:3	<b>referring</b> 25:10 27:5	35:17,20 36:11 48:13	13:10 14:15 46:15,21
37:3,5 45:24 50:18	57:7	53:13	49:21 50:1
51:12	<b>refers</b> 25:5	<b>retail</b> 29:24 30:3	<b>sexually-oriented</b>
<b>puts</b> 43:12	<b>regulation</b> 47:13	<b>Reyes</b> 7:19,21	16:8,18 18:12,16
<b>putting</b> 12:11 46:14	<b>relationship</b> 17:12	<b>Richardson</b> 8:25	22:2 26:4,16 30:4,5
	21:2,19 47:3	<b>Rick</b> 4:3,9 58:2 59:1,	43:24 45:9 46:9 47:4
<b>Q</b>	<b>remain</b> 54:4	5,11	<b>shared</b> 11:3
	<b>remember</b> 15:22	<b>robbery</b> 25:19 43:7,	<b>SHEET</b> 58:1
<b>question</b> 4:22 5:5,7	16:24 17:4 22:19	9,14	<b>shift</b> 34:3
11:7 14:21 17:15	<b>rephrase</b> 49:6	<b>Rosa</b> 16:14 17:24	<b>shooters</b> 20:8
22:13 23:19 25:2	<b>replace</b> 49:16	18:20,23 19:9	<b>shooting</b> 16:24
27:21 40:20 52:6,11	<b>report</b> 7:8,18,19 8:1,	<b>rule</b> 17:17	17:1,5,21 19:18
53:3	10,13 14:17,22 46:20	<b>running</b> 7:9	21:23 26:23
<b>questions</b> 4:19,20	56:6,7,8,10		<b>shootings</b> 13:22
47:14 52:3 55:10,12	<b>reported</b> 14:19 15:2,	<b>S</b>	15:7,14 24:10,15
<b>quotes</b> 27:2	12,18		25:10
	<b>reporter</b> 4:24	<b>safety</b> 10:14 11:3,15	<b>shop</b> 31:3
<b>R</b>	<b>reports</b> 14:12,13,22	31:2	<b>short</b> 12:14
	<b>representative</b> 10:3	<b>Sam</b> 11:21	<b>shortage</b> 55:4
<b>R-E-Y-E-S</b> 7:21	<b>requested</b> 14:16	<b>San</b> 51:1 53:12	<b>shot</b> 17:5 19:19
<b>radio</b> 43:12	<b>requests</b> 39:19	<b>Sarmiento</b> 11:21	21:24
<b>radius</b> 26:17	<b>required</b> 9:9	<b>Saturday</b> 31:16	<b>show</b> 9:11 10:6
<b>range</b> 44:9	<b>reserve</b> 55:9	32:23 38:8,17,19	17:24 39:22
<b>rate</b> 23:10,11	<b>resident</b> 12:16	49:1,24	<b>showed</b> 13:23 15:8
<b>reached</b> 50:25	<b>resources</b> 55:6	<b>Saturdays</b> 38:9	25:11 30:10 54:10
<b>read</b> 14:7 27:1 57:14,	<b>respect</b> 11:23 12:25	<b>school</b> 8:20,21	<b>showing</b> 24:10
16 59:1	39:8 42:9 53:9,10	<b>seal</b> 59:18	<b>sick</b> 13:5
<b>reading</b> 14:12	<b>respond</b> 46:18	<b>search</b> 36:8,9 40:9	<b>side</b> 32:3
<b>reason</b> 13:3 42:16	<b>response</b> 49:23	<b>seized</b> 48:13,15,19	<b>signature</b> 59:2
58:5,7	54:17	<b>self-initiated</b> 14:24	<b>simple</b> 44:18
<b>recall</b> 16:12 20:22	<b>responsibility</b>	<b>sell</b> 22:6	<b>sir</b> 4:9,17,23 5:3,8,11
22:22 23:13,24	12:18 31:1	<b>send</b> 46:1 47:19	7:23 8:3,11 9:8,18,22
<b>received</b> 8:24 44:14	<b>responsible</b> 4:11	<b>separate</b> 48:16	10:1,5,22 11:1,5,16,
<b>recess</b> 50:14 52:21	6:20 7:9 52:2	<b>sergeant</b> 6:1,3	19 13:20,24 14:2,13,
<b>record</b> 4:8 40:8,9	<b>rest</b> 55:9	12:24 13:12,13,16	14,16,20,21 15:10,13
50:17 57:17 58:5	<b>restaurants</b> 29:10,	31:21,22,23	16:1,9,19,21 17:19,
<b>recovered</b> 34:6	12,13	<b>series</b> 4:19	23 18:4,9,14,19,21
48:10	<b>restitution</b> 44:13	<b>serve</b> 16:7	19:8,11,14 20:3,6,10,
<b>red</b> 35:21 45:16 54:3	<b>result</b> 12:9 16:25	<b>served</b> 40:3	12 21:4,13,14,17,20
<b>reduce</b> 27:19 55:1			22:3,18 23:4,7,11,16
<b>refer</b> 14:23 39:21			24:6,14,19 25:14,25
			26:2,7 27:4,10,18
			28:1,2,6,9,11,13,16,
			19,21,24 29:3,6,9,11,

Rick Watson

Index: sitting..transferred

14,17,20 30:2,6,20, 25 31:7,11,18,20,23 32:21,25 33:13,15 34:10 35:18,23 36:1, 6,10,13 37:9,20,25 38:24 39:13 40:6,11, 23 41:1,24 42:2,7 43:1 44:7 45:5,17,23 46:4,6 47:1,6 48:11, 14 49:11,14 50:9,12, 20 51:11,13,22 52:1, 9,13,18 55:17 56:3, 10,21 57:13	<b>squad</b> 37:4,6 45:25 <b>square</b> 32:10 <b>staff</b> 35:8 <b>stand</b> 54:16 <b>standard</b> 41:14,22 <b>standpoint</b> 38:15 <b>start</b> 11:13 38:11 41:3 <b>started</b> 13:13 33:21 <b>starting</b> 31:16 32:22 33:14 <b>state</b> 4:7 8:23 59:8, 24 <b>statement</b> 51:21 <b>states</b> 9:23 <b>statewide</b> 24:24 <b>stations</b> 28:10 <b>statistical</b> 9:7 <b>statistics</b> 9:6 <b>stay</b> 29:21,25 53:23 <b>stepped-up</b> 33:1 37:10 38:25 <b>Steve</b> 47:7 <b>stolen</b> 35:6 48:9 <b>stop</b> 34:15,20 36:5 39:12,18 41:12 43:4 45:14 48:13 <b>stopped</b> 39:15 <b>stopping</b> 35:22 46:3 <b>stops</b> 35:17 37:7 39:8 42:24 45:1 48:2, 3 <b>stores</b> 28:12,17 29:7,18 30:3 <b>street</b> 40:5 <b>streets</b> 36:3,15 37:7 <b>studied</b> 27:25 <b>study</b> 27:11 30:7 <b>subject</b> 52:4	<b>submitted</b> 47:22 56:9 <b>subscribed</b> 59:14 <b>substations</b> 7:8 <b>substitute</b> 50:7 <b>summarize</b> 5:12 <b>Sunday</b> 33:2 <b>supervisor</b> 12:21 <b>supposed</b> 26:14 39:4 <b>sworn</b> 4:4	<b>Texas</b> 4:14 8:23,25 51:1 53:11 <b>theft</b> 44:15 <b>thing</b> 9:5 35:25 <b>things</b> 11:11 34:5 35:22 45:16 <b>thought</b> 42:4 <b>thousand</b> 26:21 <b>Thursday</b> 31:16 32:23 38:8,17,19 49:1 <b>Thursdays</b> 38:9,18 49:23 <b>tickets</b> 44:11 <b>tied</b> 33:19 34:2 <b>time</b> 12:20 16:10,12, 20 19:10 20:4,23 21:15 22:8,15 33:21, 23 37:4,13 39:20 40:4 41:11 42:23,24 43:11 45:2,3 46:1 53:1 <b>times</b> 13:2 22:14,15, 23 54:5,8 <b>title</b> 4:15 8:7 <b>today</b> 4:19 <b>top</b> 9:21 23:4 <b>topped</b> 23:23 <b>total</b> 7:16 8:16 15:21 38:9 48:3 <b>totality</b> 27:15 <b>totally</b> 16:15 <b>totally-nude</b> 19:21 <b>towed</b> 26:14 <b>traffic</b> 34:19,20 35:17,20 36:3,4 39:8, 18 41:12,19 43:4 44:11 45:13 46:2 48:2 <b>training</b> 9:6,8 13:6 <b>transcription</b> 58:5 <b>transferred</b> 5:17,20,
<b>sitting</b> 11:12 <b>situation</b> 41:4 <b>sixth</b> 21:21 <b>size</b> 32:10,11 <b>small</b> 55:19 <b>smaller</b> 38:13 <b>SOB</b> 16:15 <b>society</b> 40:4 <b>South</b> 20:14,20 <b>Southeast</b> 6:2,3 32:17 <b>southern</b> 32:4,5 <b>Southwest</b> 5:16,17 6:11,18 <b>space</b> 53:18 <b>specialty</b> 54:12 <b>specific</b> 27:22 31:9 47:23 54:5 <b>specifically</b> 54:13 <b>speculate</b> 51:7 <b>speculating</b> 23:13 51:3 <b>speculation</b> 24:5 40:14 <b>speeding</b> 39:15,18 42:25 45:2,16 <b>spot</b> 53:16 57:11 <b>spots</b> 56:12,16,23 57:9	<b>T</b>	<b>TABC</b> 22:6 <b>taking</b> 12:2,6 13:4 <b>talk</b> 16:17 47:11 <b>talked</b> 12:10 44:2 51:1 52:24 <b>talking</b> 5:22 15:14, 17 24:9,17,21,22,23 25:4,9 26:18 33:5,6 38:7,14 40:21 47:9 49:2 50:16 <b>target</b> 30:23 32:19 <b>task</b> 9:24 10:24 11:3, 17 13:1,4,14 15:6 24:21 25:5 26:24,25 27:2,23 30:7,18 31:4, 8 32:1,19 33:2 34:12 37:18,21 38:2,4 45:10 46:10 47:5,9 48:23 49:8,19 50:2,5, 19 51:9 54:19 55:3 <b>Team</b> 54:17 <b>teams</b> 54:21 <b>television</b> 11:10 <b>term</b> 27:6 <b>terminology</b> 57:10 <b>testified</b> 4:4 <b>testify</b> 9:24 <b>testifying</b> 10:3	

Rick Watson

Index: traveling..Zona

25 6:2,3,5,7,9,21,22	<b>verbal</b> 5:2	<b>west</b> 7:11,12 32:3,5
<b>traveling</b> 36:14	<b>vice</b> 5:20,21,25	<b>Wichita</b> 8:23
<b>true</b> 28:3 37:8,13 59:3	<b>victim</b> 15:1 21:2,18 43:11	<b>worded</b> 47:21
<b>Tuesday</b> 33:2	<b>victims</b> 20:8 30:15	<b>words</b> 22:25
<b>turn</b> 51:14	<b>violation</b> 34:19,20 35:20 36:3,5 41:12, 19	<b>work</b> 36:23 53:23 54:13
<b>turning</b> 35:21	<b>violence</b> 25:18 44:18	<b>worked</b> 12:25 13:4 48:23
<b>TV</b> 11:10	<b>violent</b> 11:24,25 12:1,6,8,12,23 13:22 14:11 15:7,17 24:10, 12,13,16,22 25:11,16 26:19 27:14,17,19 30:11 50:23,24 53:4, 13,14,18 54:1,2,11, 14,23 57:4	<b>wrong</b> 41:18
<b>type</b> 25:23 27:7 33:5 35:13 48:19		<hr/> <b>X</b> <hr/>
<b>types</b> 44:2		<b>X-T-C</b> 21:7
<b>typical</b> 49:12		<b>XTC</b> 21:7,19
<hr/> <b>U</b> <hr/>		<hr/> <b>Y</b> <hr/>
<b>ultimately</b> 55:1	<b>virtually</b> 33:25	<b>yards</b> 26:21
<b>undercover</b> 33:6 34:11	<b>virtue</b> 37:10	<b>year</b> 6:12 37:22
<b>understand</b> 4:21 10:2 24:25 31:12 32:18 39:2 42:13 47:16	<b>visibility</b> 34:17	<b>years</b> 5:22 7:2
<b>understandable</b> 4:20	<b>visiting</b> 37:1	<hr/> <b>Z</b> <hr/>
<b>understanding</b> 47:22 50:4 51:17 53:8,10 55:24 56:13 57:8	<b>volume</b> 27:14 30:11	<b>Zona</b> 16:14 17:24 18:20,23 19:9
<b>University</b> 8:23,24 32:8 51:1 53:11	<hr/> <b>W</b> <hr/>	
<b>upticks</b> 11:24	<b>waive</b> 57:15	
<b>UT</b> 55:25 56:8	<b>Walgreens</b> 30:14	
<hr/> <b>V</b> <hr/>	<b>walking</b> 35:3	
<b>vacation</b> 13:5	<b>wanted</b> 11:12 44:15, 16 46:20 47:18	
<b>variables</b> 40:16	<b>warrant</b> 39:10 40:25 41:6,14 44:16	
<b>varied</b> 13:1	<b>warrants</b> 39:21 41:3 43:20 44:10,14,20	
<b>vast</b> 35:15,19 36:11 40:17	<b>watching</b> 10:14 11:10	
<b>vehicle</b> 26:14 34:19 43:6,8,13	<b>Watson</b> 4:3,9 47:9 58:2 59:1,5,11	
	<b>ways</b> 14:20	
	<b>weapon</b> 48:13	
	<b>Wednesday</b> 33:3	

Page 1		Page 2	
IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS DALLAS DIVISION ASSOCIATION OF CLUB ) EXECUTIVES OF DALLAS, ) ET AL, ) Plaintiff, ) ) VS ) CIVIL ACTION NO. 3:22-CV-00177-M ) CITY OF DALLAS, ) ) ) Defendant. )  -----  ORAL DEPOSITION OF  STEPHEN ARTHUR BISHOPP  FEBRUARY 23, 2022  VOLUME 1 OF 1  -----  ORAL DEPOSITION OF STEPHEN ARTHUR BISHOPP, produced as a witness at the instance of the PLAINTIFF, and duly sworn, was taken in the above-styled and -numbered cause on February 23, 2022, from 10:17 a.m. to 2:01 p.m., before Janet E. Wright, CSR in and for the State of Texas, reported by machine shorthand, at Dallas City Attorney's Office, 1500 Marilla Street, 7DN, Dallas, Texas 75201, pursuant to the Texas Rules of Civil Procedure and the provisions stated on the record or attached hereto.		1 APPEARANCES 2 3 FOR THE PLAINTIFF: 4 ANN MARIE 'ANA' JORDAN Dallas City Attorney's Office 5 1500 Marilla Street Dallas, TEXAS 75201 6 (214) 670-3519 7 8 FOR THE DEFENDANT: 9 J. MICHAEL MURRAY Berkman, Gordon, Murray & DeVan 10 55 Public Square, Suite 2200 Cleveland, Ohio 44113-1949 11 (216) 781-5245 12 13 ROGER ALBRIGHT Sheils Winnubst 14 1100 Atrium II 1701 N. Collins Boulevard Richardson, Texas 75080 15 (972) 644-8181 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	
Page 3		Page 4	
1 INDEX 2 PAGE 3 Appearances..... 2 4 STEPHEN ARTHUR BISHOPP Examination by Mr. Murray..... 4 5 6 Signature and Changes..... 127 7 8 Court Reporter's Certificate..... 129 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23		1 PLAINTIFF EXHIBITS 2 NUMBER DESCRIPTION PAGE 3 1 Notice of Deposition 11 4 2 City Council Briefing 12 5 1-5-22 6 3 Memorandum 1-14-22 24 7 SOB Data Info 8 4 Memorandum 1-14-22 94 9 Update on Activation of 10 of Temporary Weather Shelters 11 5 Memorandum, 2-11-22 96 12 ACE vs COD 13 6 DPD on General Orders 9-13-21 96 14 7 DPD Standard Operating Procedures 97 15 8 COD 000010 98 16 9 List of Offenses 105 17 10 List of Arrests 115 18 11 Count of Master Incident 121 19 Number 20 12 Data, Dallas Fire and Rescue 122 21 22 23 24 25	



1 (Pages 1 to 4)

Page 5	Page 6
<p>1 PROCEEDINGS</p> <p>2 STEPHEN ARTHUR BISHOPP,</p> <p>3 having been first duly sworn, testified as follows:</p> <p>4 EXAMINATION</p> <p>5 BY MR. MURRAY:</p> <p>6 Q. Please state your full name and business address</p> <p>7 for the record.</p> <p>8 A. Stephen Arthur Bishopp and 1400 South Lamar,</p> <p>9 Dallas, Texas.</p> <p>10 Q. Thank you, sir. And you are a Lieutenant?</p> <p>11 A. Yes.</p> <p>12 Q. Lieutenant, I'm going to ask you a series of</p> <p>13 questions today, and I'm going to do the best I can to</p> <p>14 make them clear and understandable. But can I have your</p> <p>15 agreement that if you don't understand a question that I</p> <p>16 pose to you, you'll bring that to my attention?</p> <p>17 A. Absolutely.</p> <p>18 Q. Secondly, can I have your agreement that all</p> <p>19 your answers will be verbal rather than gestures?</p> <p>20 A. Yes, sir.</p> <p>21 Q. Finally, I'll do the best I can to let you</p> <p>22 finish an answer before I begin a question again. But</p> <p>23 can I have your agreement that you will do your best to</p> <p>24 let me finish my question before you begin your answer?</p> <p>25 A. Yes, sir.</p>	<p>1 Q. Okay. So you're currently a full-time officer</p> <p>2 at the rank of Lieutenant for the Dallas Police</p> <p>3 Department?</p> <p>4 A. Yes, sir.</p> <p>5 Q. And can you briefly summarize what your career</p> <p>6 has been with the Dallas Police Department?</p> <p>7 A. Yes, sir. My first 10 years were in patrol.</p> <p>8 And I was promoted to sergeant and then became a</p> <p>9 supervisor in patrol for the next four years, followed</p> <p>10 with nearly three years in a deployment unit which is a</p> <p>11 covert undercover unit.</p> <p>12 Then I became an administrative and field</p> <p>13 training sergeant at a division which is still in patrol,</p> <p>14 but with an administrative function, during which time I</p> <p>15 was in school. Once I graduated school, I went back to</p> <p>16 deployment and became undercover again for a few years.</p> <p>17 And in 2013 went to become the Associate Director for</p> <p>18 Research at the Caruth Police Institute. Did that for</p> <p>19 five years. Went back to patrol as a sergeant in 2018.</p> <p>20 And then in 2020 was promoted to lieutenant and moved to</p> <p>21 a patrol division for four months.</p> <p>22 And I was brought over to my current position in</p> <p>23 November of 2020 which is the -- it's the UCR Crime</p> <p>24 Analysis Unit. I renamed it the Data Analysis and</p> <p>25 Management Unit. But it's essentially UCR NIBRS FBI</p>
Page 7	Page 8
<p>1 System Crime Analysis.</p> <p>2 Q. Okay. So when did you begin your career at</p> <p>3 Dallas Police Department?</p> <p>4 A. November of 1990.</p> <p>5 Q. And you mentioned the Caruth Police Institute.</p> <p>6 What is that?</p> <p>7 A. Caruth Police Institute was a collaboration</p> <p>8 between the Dallas Police Department, the University of</p> <p>9 North Texas Dallas, UT Dallas, and the Caruth Foundation</p> <p>10 where they gave a grant to the -- the Caruth folks</p> <p>11 gave a grant of I'm going to say 11 million dollars.</p> <p>12 And through that grant they funded the Caruth Police</p> <p>13 Institute, which is a leadership institute designed</p> <p>14 around developing not only evidence based research,</p> <p>15 but also mainly teaching leadership development to the</p> <p>16 department. And it's housed within the Dallas Police</p> <p>17 Department at the time. And one of the positions open,</p> <p>18 of course, was for Associate Research Director, which</p> <p>19 they hadn't had a full-time person until I came along,</p> <p>20 so.</p> <p>21 Q. And what years were you there?</p> <p>22 A. I was there from 2013 to 2018.</p> <p>23 Q. And were you actually still employed by the</p> <p>24 Dallas Police Department?</p> <p>25 A. I'm still a Dallas police sergeant, yes, sir.</p>	<p>1 Q. And were there people actually employed by</p> <p>2 Caruth Police Institute?</p> <p>3 A. Yes. So everybody else there were faculty --</p> <p>4 not faculty, but staff or faculty at UNT Dallas. We had</p> <p>5 a few graduate students from -- sorry about the desk --</p> <p>6 a few graduate students from UNT Dallas that was also</p> <p>7 there. I was the only full time sworn officer in the</p> <p>8 office.</p> <p>9 Q. Okay. What are your current duties? What have</p> <p>10 your duties been since November of 2020 in the position</p> <p>11 that you currently hold?</p> <p>12 A. Really my position now is overseeing the numbers</p> <p>13 from the Dallas Police Department. That involves from</p> <p>14 the minute the officer makes a report on the street on an</p> <p>15 offense to how it's reviewed and how that review is</p> <p>16 reviewed by our UCR unit who makes sure it's correct, and</p> <p>17 it eventually gets reported to DPS and then to the FBI.</p> <p>18 So I oversee the numbers and the collection of data for</p> <p>19 the department.</p> <p>20 Q. Who do you report to?</p> <p>21 A. I report to Deputy Chief Monique Alex who</p> <p>22 reports to Chief Garcia.</p> <p>23 Q. And who reports to you, if anyone?</p> <p>24 A. I have three -- currently three sworn -- sorry,</p> <p>25 five sworn sergeants and one civilian supervisor who is a</p>

2 (Pages 5 to 8)

Page 9	Page 10
<p>1 civil rank equivalent to sergeant.</p> <p>2 Q. Okay. Now I was given a copy of your CV, so I</p> <p>3 won't make you go through the whole thing because I have</p> <p>4 it here. But just to summarize it, you got your Bachelor</p> <p>5 of Arrests degree in December of 1989 at Shippensburg</p> <p>6 University in Pennsylvania Majoring in psychology?</p> <p>7 A. Yes, sir.</p> <p>8 Q. And then you got a Master of Science?</p> <p>9 A. Yes, sir.</p> <p>10 Q. In December of 2009 at UT Dallas?</p> <p>11 A. Yes, sir.</p> <p>12 Q. In criminology?</p> <p>13 A. Yes, sir.</p> <p>14 Q. Okay. And you did a thesis as part of that?</p> <p>15 A. Yes, sir.</p> <p>16 Q. Okay. And then you went to UT Dallas beyond</p> <p>17 that to obtain your Ph.D.?</p> <p>18 A. Yes, sir.</p> <p>19 Q. And that's in criminology?</p> <p>20 A. Yes, sir.</p> <p>21 Q. And you had to do a dissertation on that as</p> <p>22 well?</p> <p>23 A. Yes, sir.</p> <p>24 Q. And that was entitled An Examination of General</p> <p>25 Strain Theory and the Effects of Violent Crime Exposure</p>	<p>1 on Police Suicide Ideation, Alcohol Consumption, and</p> <p>2 Divorce?</p> <p>3 A. Yes, sir.</p> <p>4 Q. Now, as part of your education in any of those</p> <p>5 universities, did you have training in statistic?</p> <p>6 A. Yes, sir.</p> <p>7 Q. And in statistical analysis and theory?</p> <p>8 A. Yes, sir.</p> <p>9 Q. What kind of training in that did you have?</p> <p>10 A. So my training in statistics, research methods,</p> <p>11 design included survey research, designed two levels of</p> <p>12 doctorate level of research design and methods,</p> <p>13 descriptive statistics, regression advanced statistics,</p> <p>14 and then a class that combined sort of research methods</p> <p>15 and statistical analysis.</p> <p>16 Q. Okay. So you were familiar with the concept of</p> <p>17 a multivariate regression?</p> <p>18 A. Yes.</p> <p>19 Q. Okay. And you're familiar with the concept of</p> <p>20 calculating whether some data or difference in data</p> <p>21 reaches the level of statistical significance?</p> <p>22 A. Yes.</p> <p>23 Q. Now, I want to show you what has been marked --</p> <p>24 MR. MURRAY: You still have your copies?</p> <p>25 MS. JORDAN: Yes, I do.</p>
Page 11	Page 12
<p>1 Q. (BY MR. MURRAY) -- Plaintiff's Exhibit 1. I</p> <p>2 will ask you to tell me whether you have reviewed that</p> <p>3 document?</p> <p>4 A. I did.</p> <p>5 Q. And you understand that your name appears in a</p> <p>6 couple places, but most importantly on page four under --</p> <p>7 at the very bottom there's a paragraph two?</p> <p>8 A. Yes, sir.</p> <p>9 Q. Which reads, "The gathering of place data used</p> <p>10 to evaluate and address crime concentrations in relevant</p> <p>11 areas, organize the data used in the city council</p> <p>12 presentation, and gathering of data in response to city</p> <p>13 council inquiries about matters including not limited to</p> <p>14 the ordinance." Correct?</p> <p>15 A. That's correct, yes, sir.</p> <p>16 Q. And you understand that your role today is to</p> <p>17 serve as a representative witness on behalf of the City</p> <p>18 of Dallas?</p> <p>19 A. Yes, sir.</p> <p>20 Q. And have you reviewed materials to prepare</p> <p>21 yourself to be in a position to testify fully and</p> <p>22 accurately on behalf of the City on those subject</p> <p>23 matters?</p> <p>24 A. Yes, sir.</p> <p>25 Q. Okay. Now, I'm going to begin at a place, which</p>	<p>1 is kind of in the middle because -- I don't know if you</p> <p>2 know, but we had some depositions yesterday. And we were</p> <p>3 able to get through some material, and I'm going to</p> <p>4 duplicate it with you.</p> <p>5 A. Thank you.</p> <p>6 Q. But I'm going to show you what's been marked as</p> <p>7 Plaintiff's Exhibit 2, and I'm going to ask you if you're</p> <p>8 familiar with that document.</p> <p>9 A. Yes, sir.</p> <p>10 Q. And what is that document?</p> <p>11 A. This was the city council briefing PowerPoint</p> <p>12 that we put together and related to the SOB ordinance</p> <p>13 change.</p> <p>14 Q. And the sexually oriented businesses we're</p> <p>15 talking about is the ordinance adopted by the city</p> <p>16 council that requires sexually oriented businesses to</p> <p>17 close between the hours was two a.m. and six a.m. seven</p> <p>18 days a week, correct?</p> <p>19 A. Yes, sir.</p> <p>20 Q. Okay. And you -- if I understand correctly, did</p> <p>21 you actually put this document together?</p> <p>22 A. I put a chunk of it together. So that would be</p> <p>23 a lot of the information that I did my information,</p> <p>24 graphs, so forth, that's what I organized for them on the</p> <p>25 slide.</p>

3 (Pages 9 to 12)



Page 13	Page 14
<p>1 Q. Okay. So just to kind of summarize what this 2 document actually is, when you go to the second page of 3 the document, there's I guess what you might call a table 4 of contents? 5 A. Yes, sir. 6 Q. And then there's the next page has a definition 7 of sexually oriented businesses; is that correct? 8 A. Yes, sir. 9 Q. And it includes adult book store, adult arcade, 10 adult video store, adult cabaret; is that correct? 11 A. Yes, sir. 12 Q. And more specifically those entities as defined 13 in the Dallas City Ordinance Chapter 41A; is that 14 correct? 15 A. Yes, sir. 16 Q. And, for example, I won't ask you to repeat the 17 definition precisely, but to be an adult book store under 18 that chapter depends upon the sexually explicit content 19 of a substantial portion of media items that are offered 20 at retail. 21 A. That's my understanding, but I don't have direct 22 knowledge of the vice side. 23 Q. Are you familiar with the definitions in Chapter 24 41A? 25 A. Yes, sir.</p>	<p>1 Q. And in the case of an adult cabaret, that 2 depends upon whether an entertainment business offers 3 erotic dancing by either topless dancers or nude dancers 4 performed before an audience, correct? 5 A. Yes, sir. 6 Q. All right. Then the next page we can skip over. 7 And then there's a page dealing with constitutional 8 considerations. Do you see that? 9 A. Yes, sir. 10 Q. Do you regard yourself to be an expert in that 11 area at all? 12 A. No, sir. 13 Q. Okay. And then the next page talks about some 14 Texas Senate Bill 315; is that correct? 15 A. Yes, sir. 16 Q. And then the next page describes the regulations 17 of sexually oriented businesses that were currently in 18 effect before this ordinance, correct? 19 A. Yes, sir. 20 Q. And the next page delineated what some of the 21 other cities in Texas did by way of hours of operation 22 regulations for sexually oriented businesses correct? 23 A. Yes, sir. 24 Q. So, for example, in Fort Worth the ordinance in 25 that city allows sexually oriented businesses to remain</p>
Page 15	Page 16
<p>1 open I guess till -- let's see. They can remain open 2 till three o'clock a.m. on Fridays and Saturdays, 3 correct? 4 A. Yes, sir. 5 Q. Plus if they have a valid food establishment 6 permit, they can remain open on Fridays and Saturdays 7 until four a.m.; is that correct? 8 A. Yes, sir. 9 Q. And then the next page begins a description and 10 discussion of the Northwest Club Task Force, correct? 11 A. Yes, sir. 12 Q. And I will tell you that I went over that page 13 and the next page with the Deputy Chief yesterday. 14 A. Right. 15 Q. But I was told that beginning on the next page, 16 which is COD-017, and it's also page 11 of the document, 17 that you would be the person most knowledgeable about the 18 information beginning on that page; is that correct? 19 A. No, that's not. 20 Q. That's not correct? 21 A. I didn't put together this data. 22 Q. Well, who did do you know? 23 A. I would assume it was Major Sarmienta or it came 24 from the Northwest Division. 25 Q. Okay. Well, you're at least familiar with the</p>	<p>1 document? 2 A. I am. 3 Q. And you're familiar with the data that's there? 4 A. Yes, sir. 5 Q. Okay. And certainly in your role in being in 6 charge of the police data, you would be familiar with the 7 underlying data that would support these? 8 A. Yes, sir. 9 Q. Okay. 10 A. Um-hum. 11 Q. Well, then, maybe you are the proper person to 12 talk to about it. 13 A. Well, that could be. 14 Q. Okay. So you're familiar with the fact that 15 there was this Northwest Club Task Force? 16 A. Yes, sir. 17 Q. That operated, at least for purposes of this 18 document, between March and December of 2021. 19 A. Yes, sir. 20 Q. I guess it's still ongoing actually; is that 21 correct? 22 A. Yes, sir. 23 Q. But the data that we're talking about on this 24 document went through the end of 2021. 25 A. Yes, sir.</p>

4 (Pages 13 to 16)

214-324-3733

Production@victorycourtreporting.com



Page 17	Page 18
<p>1 Q. Okay. So if you've looking again at page 017, 2 this is a page that describes the aggravated assaults 3 that occurred within the Northwest District for the year 4 2021, correct? 5 A. Yes, sir. 6 Q. Okay. Now, when we're talking about aggravated 7 assaults, we're talking about UCR Part 1 crime? 8 A. Yes, sir. 9 Q. And how does it correspond TO the NIBRS? 10 A. Very roughly. 11 Q. Yes. 12 A. So under the old UCR summary data system Part 1s 13 were defined as seven -- if you include arson, eight 14 offenses. 15 Q. Right. 16 A. So when they went to the NIBRS system, it 17 changed to a category A which includes all offenses from 18 murder to criminal mischief. 19 And the way -- the reason it does that is 20 because it counts the incident level data. So an 21 incident -- a robbery under UCR would be a robbery if 22 somebody robbed me or robbed everybody in this room would 23 be one robbery under the UCR. Under NIBRS it would be 24 robbery offense, but you would have seven or eight 25 victims so you would seven or eight different incidences.</p>	<p>1 That's the way it's recorded under NIBRS. So NIBRS 2 records every crime. You can have several crimes within 3 one incident occur, even to the same person. But the 4 category A covers many more crimes than UCR. 5 Q. And -- 6 A. As far as data gathering. 7 Q. And what is your obligation these days? Do you 8 have to report to the FBI both UCR Part 1 and Part 2 9 crimes as well as NIBRS or what is the reporting 10 requirement? 11 A. No, it's strictly NIBRS. Dallas reports to 12 NIBRS. We were to go to the NIBRS system, if I remember 13 correctly, about 2018. This was all through what I was 14 told and the reason I was brought down there in the first 15 place. 16 But we still report both, and we're making 17 corrections towards NIBRS system to only report to NIBRS. 18 DPS will take our NIBRS and create a summary data like 19 UCR data, and the FBI does much the same. But we are 20 strictly reporting NIBRS information. 21 Q. Okay. All right. So on this page we're talking 22 about aggravated assault. So what is the general 23 definition of an aggravated assault for purposes of the 24 data on this page? 25 A. If somebody commits an assault that puts</p>
Page 19	Page 20
<p>1 somebody in fear of eminent bodily injury or death. 2 Q. And would that include domestic type aggravated 3 assaults? 4 A. Yes, sir. 5 Q. Family members assaulting other family members? 6 A. Yes. You can have aggravated assault in a 7 family, yes. 8 Q. Okay. So this data indicates that there were 9 459 aggravated assaults within the Northwest Patrol 10 Division's boundaries for the year 2021; is that correct? 11 A. Yes, sir. 12 Q. And so -- and then it indicates that between 10 13 p.m. and two a.m. there were 110 of them, which accounted 14 for 20 percent, correct? 15 A. That's what it says, yes, sir. 16 Q. Well, is that correct? 17 A. Yes, sir. 18 Q. And then between two a.m. and six a.m. there 19 were 130 that accounted for 23.7 percent, correct? 20 A. Yes, sir. 21 Q. Now, we're talking about reports of aggravated 22 assault, correct? 23 A. That's correct. 24 Q. We don't know whether -- how many of those ended 25 up with actual charges or convictions, do we?</p>	<p>1 A. No, sir. 2 Q. Okay. So we're just talking about someone 3 reported, whether it was a victim or some other person 4 who had knowledge, someone reported that perpetrator A or 5 unknown perpetrator for that matter committed an 6 aggravated assault upon a particular person. 7 A. Absolutely correct. 8 Q. Okay. So if I understand this correctly 9 then -- and obviously there were other aggravated 10 assaults in other areas of the city, correct? 11 A. Yes, sir. 12 Q. This was just in that one district or division, 13 I guess. 14 A. Yes, sir. Patrol Division, yes, sir. 15 Q. And in that one division, then, during the eight 16 hours that we're talking about between 10 p.m. and six 17 a.m., 43.7 percent of the aggravated assaults that were 18 reported occurred between that eight hour period of 10 19 p.m. to six a.m. correct? 20 A. Yes, sir. 21 Q. And that means that 56.3 percent of the 22 aggravated assaults that were reported occurred outside 23 those hours, correct? 24 A. Yes, sir. 25 Q. We then know --</p>

5 (Pages 17 to 20)

Page 21	Page 22
<p>1 MS. JORDAN: I'm just going to object to that.</p> <p>2 That assumes facts not in evidence, that last question.</p> <p>3 MR. MURRAY: Okay.</p> <p>4 Q. (BY MR. MURRAY) And then according to this</p> <p>5 document, 76.3 percent of the aggravated assaults</p> <p>6 reported within the Northwest Division boundaries</p> <p>7 occurred outside the hours of two a.m. to six a.m.,</p> <p>8 correct?</p> <p>9 A. I'm sorry. You said...</p> <p>10 Q. 76.3 percent. Is my math off?</p> <p>11 A. I'm sorry. What time frame? You said outside</p> <p>12 the 2a to 6a?</p> <p>13 Q. Yes.</p> <p>14 A. Yes, sir.</p> <p>15 Q. That's correct, in other words?</p> <p>16 A. Yes, sir.</p> <p>17 Q. Apparently, at least for these purposes, there</p> <p>18 was not a calculation done to determine what the</p> <p>19 percentage of aggravated assaults were during the four</p> <p>20 hour period between six a.m. and 10 a.m., correct?</p> <p>21 A. Correct.</p> <p>22 Q. And no one determined what the percentage of</p> <p>23 aggravated assaults were between 10 a.m. and two p.m.</p> <p>24 A. Correct.</p> <p>25 Q. Or between two p.m. and six p.m.</p>	<p>1 A. That is correct.</p> <p>2 Q. Or between six p.m. and 10 p.m.</p> <p>3 A. Also correct.</p> <p>4 Q. So we have no idea, at least from this</p> <p>5 presentation on this page, which four hour block during</p> <p>6 the 24 hour period had the highest percentage of</p> <p>7 aggravated assaults, do we?</p> <p>8 A. No, sir.</p> <p>9 Q. Or for that matter we don't know from this data</p> <p>10 which four hour block had the lowest percentage of</p> <p>11 aggravated assaults.</p> <p>12 A. Correct.</p> <p>13 Q. So then we go to the next page, and we see that</p> <p>14 the data that was collected and presented on that page</p> <p>15 related to the three most violent beats within the</p> <p>16 Northwest Division, correct?</p> <p>17 A. Yes, sir.</p> <p>18 Q. And those were beats 521, 534, and 522, correct?</p> <p>19 A. Yes, sir.</p> <p>20 Q. How many beats are there in the Northwest</p> <p>21 Division, if you know, approximately?</p> <p>22 A. There would be 30 and 40. 36 to 40.</p> <p>23 Q. And approximately how many are there in the</p> <p>24 entire city, if you know?</p> <p>25 A. That number times seven.</p>
Page 23	Page 24
<p>1 Q. Okay. So somewhere in the neighborhood of 250</p> <p>2 to 280, something like that?</p> <p>3 A. Yes, sir.</p> <p>4 Q. Okay. And how is a beat determined, the</p> <p>5 geographic boundaries of a beat?</p> <p>6 A. Traditionally it was determined by crime. The</p> <p>7 borders of the boundary dealt with -- were placed because</p> <p>8 of the amount or the crime rate within a certain</p> <p>9 geographic area. So those areas that had the greater</p> <p>10 amount of crime were smaller. Less crime would be</p> <p>11 bigger.</p> <p>12 Q. I see. Okay.</p> <p>13 A. I can't --</p> <p>14 Q. And do those -- I'm sorry. I didn't mean to</p> <p>15 interrupt.</p> <p>16 A. I was going to say that was the traditional way</p> <p>17 of doing it. If they have a new model or new way of</p> <p>18 designing beats and division boundaries, I'm not familiar</p> <p>19 with it.</p> <p>20 Q. Well, do they change over time, then?</p> <p>21 A. Yes, they seem to. But again I'm talking</p> <p>22 outside of my knowledge. This is command staff level,</p> <p>23 talks and discussions.</p> <p>24 Q. All right. So then this page also indicates</p> <p>25 that those three beats have 39 percent of the licensed</p>	<p>1 sexually oriented businesses in the city, correct?</p> <p>2 A. Yes, sir.</p> <p>3 Q. And beat 521, for example, has three sexually</p> <p>4 oriented businesses according to this document, correct?</p> <p>5 A. Yes, sir.</p> <p>6 Q. All right. I want to show you what's been</p> <p>7 marked as Plaintiff's Exhibit 3.</p> <p>8 A. Okay.</p> <p>9 Q. And you're familiar with that document, as well?</p> <p>10 A. Yes, sir.</p> <p>11 Q. And is that a document that you helped compile?</p> <p>12 A. Yes, sir.</p> <p>13 Q. Okay. And I notice that the first page of it</p> <p>14 is -- it's a January 14, 2022, memorandum from Chief</p> <p>15 Eddie Garcia to the mayor and members of city council</p> <p>16 concerning sexually oriented business data information,</p> <p>17 correct?</p> <p>18 A. Yes, sir.</p> <p>19 Q. Okay. And on the second page of that document</p> <p>20 is a list of all of the licensed sexually oriented</p> <p>21 businesses as of that date, correct?</p> <p>22 A. Yes, sir.</p> <p>23 Q. Okay. Now, there are 28 of them that are</p> <p>24 licensed that are -- that were open for business,</p> <p>25 correct?</p>

6 (Pages 21 to 24)

Page 25	Page 26
<p>1 A. Yes, sir.</p> <p>2 Q. And then there were another seven that are</p> <p>3 closed, but had licenses, correct?</p> <p>4 A. Yes, sir.</p> <p>5 MS. JORDAN: Objection, form of the question.</p> <p>6 Assumes facts not in evidence.</p> <p>7 Q. (BY MR. MURRAY) Well, the document says they're</p> <p>8 not operating, doesn't it?</p> <p>9 A. Correct.</p> <p>10 Q. What does it mean?</p> <p>11 A. So it either means that the club or business has</p> <p>12 an SOB, but does not function as an sexually oriented</p> <p>13 business or the business has bought a land or plot of</p> <p>14 land near it, licensed the land as an sexually oriented</p> <p>15 business to prevent other businesses from moving close to</p> <p>16 that area.</p> <p>17 Q. Okay. The last column indicates "operating as,"</p> <p>18 correct? Do you see that, to the far right?</p> <p>19 A. I see.</p> <p>20 Q. So we can -- according to the information that</p> <p>21 you compiled, number 29 is closed down, correct?</p> <p>22 A. Yes, sir.</p> <p>23 Q. Number 30 is closed down, correct?</p> <p>24 A. Yes, sir.</p> <p>25 Q. Number 31 is not operating, correct?</p>	<p>1 A. Correct.</p> <p>2 Q. Number 32 is holding license but not operating,</p> <p>3 correct?</p> <p>4 A. Correct.</p> <p>5 Q. Number 33 is an active license, but it's a</p> <p>6 vacant strip mall, correct?</p> <p>7 A. Yes, sir.</p> <p>8 Q. And number 34 is an active license unknown at</p> <p>9 present. It's an empty lot, correct?</p> <p>10 A. Correct.</p> <p>11 Q. Okay. Then number 35 says it's not operating,</p> <p>12 correct?</p> <p>13 A. Correct.</p> <p>14 Q. Okay. So these are seven businesses that held</p> <p>15 sexually oriented business licenses that are not</p> <p>16 operating, correct?</p> <p>17 A. Correct.</p> <p>18 Q. Now, if you look at the column that says Beat on</p> <p>19 this page. Do you see that? It's the second -- last</p> <p>20 column to the right.</p> <p>21 A. I see.</p> <p>22 Q. Okay. So that tells us where -- in which beat</p> <p>23 these various sexually oriented businesses are located,</p> <p>24 correct?</p> <p>25 A. Yes, sir.</p>
Page 27	Page 28
<p>1 Q. Okay. And there are no adult book stores in</p> <p>2 Beat 521, correct?</p> <p>3 A. Correct.</p> <p>4 Q. No adult arcades or theaters in Beat 521,</p> <p>5 correct?</p> <p>6 A. No, that's correct.</p> <p>7 Q. Okay. Topless cabarets, it looks like there are</p> <p>8 two. The Men's Club of Dallas and Baby Dolls Saloon</p> <p>9 West, correct?</p> <p>10 A. Correct.</p> <p>11 Q. And then full nude cabarets, there are none</p> <p>12 correct?</p> <p>13 A. Correct.</p> <p>14 Q. In the not operating column there was one that</p> <p>15 was in Beat 521, but it's no longer operating, correct?</p> <p>16 A. Correct.</p> <p>17 Q. Okay. So as of this date there were actually</p> <p>18 only two sexually oriented businesses that were operating</p> <p>19 in Beat 521.</p> <p>20 A. Yes, sir. According to this, yes, sir.</p> <p>21 Q. Okay. Now, going back to the Plaintiff's</p> <p>22 Exhibit 2 -- and we'll hold on to that list which is</p> <p>23 Plaintiff's Exhibit 3 -- there were supposed to be 10</p> <p>24 sexually oriented businesses operating in the 534 beat,</p> <p>25 correct?</p>	<p>1 A. Correct.</p> <p>2 Q. Okay. So let's take a look and see how that</p> <p>3 corresponds to the list on Plaintiff's Exhibit 3, page</p> <p>4 COD-040. So on 534 it looks like of the nine -- there</p> <p>5 are nine adult book store arcades, correct?</p> <p>6 A. Yes, sir.</p> <p>7 Q. Totally. One of them is in Beat 534, correct?</p> <p>8 A. Yes, sir.</p> <p>9 Q. Then as far as topless cabarets there are a</p> <p>10 total of 10 of those listed, correct?</p> <p>11 A. Yes, sir.</p> <p>12 Q. And of those 10 there are three of them in</p> <p>13 Beat 534; is that correct?</p> <p>14 MS. JORDAN: Objection, mischaracterizes the</p> <p>15 evidence.</p> <p>16 Q. (BY MR. MURRAY) We're now talking about Beat</p> <p>17 534, correct, Lieutenant?</p> <p>18 A. Yes.</p> <p>19 Q. Okay. And this chart that you compiled lists in</p> <p>20 what beat each of the sexually oriented business entities</p> <p>21 is located, correct?</p> <p>22 A. Yes, sir. To be clear, though, this list was</p> <p>23 sent to me, as is, by license type by the vice unit.</p> <p>24 Now, I've analyzed it and put this together, but I just</p> <p>25 want to be clear where the source comes from. But you're</p>

7 (Pages 25 to 28)

214-324-3733

Production@victorycourtreporting.com

Page 29	Page 30
<p>1 absolutely correct, yes.</p> <p>2 Q. Well, do you have any reason to dispute the</p> <p>3 accuracy?</p> <p>4 A. No, not at all. I just want to be clear where</p> <p>5 it came from.</p> <p>6 Q. But you've got to let me finish my question.</p> <p>7 A. I'm sorry.</p> <p>8 Q. You have no reason to dispute the accuracy of</p> <p>9 this list, correct?</p> <p>10 A. No, sir.</p> <p>11 Q. Meaning?</p> <p>12 A. I do not have any reason to dispute the accuracy</p> <p>13 of the list.</p> <p>14 Q. Okay. So of the topless cabarets that are</p> <p>15 listed here, the 10 on this list, how many of them are</p> <p>16 located in Beat 534?</p> <p>17 A. Three.</p> <p>18 Q. Okay. And then of the full nude cabarets, there</p> <p>19 are how many of those? There are nine of those, correct?</p> <p>20 A. Yes, sir.</p> <p>21 Q. Okay. And how many of those are in Beat 534?</p> <p>22 A. If my eyes are seeing right, four.</p> <p>23 Q. Okay. So of the 28 businesses that are in</p> <p>24 operation, the total in 534?</p> <p>25 A. Should be nine.</p>	<p>1 Q. Looks like eight. We have one in the adult book</p> <p>2 store category, correct?</p> <p>3 A. Yes, sir.</p> <p>4 Q. We had three, I think, in the next category</p> <p>5 which was topless cabaret. So we're now to four,</p> <p>6 correct?</p> <p>7 A. Yes, sir. Maybe you're correct. You had it</p> <p>8 right, eight.</p> <p>9 Q. So there are eight. Now there is one other one</p> <p>10 that would come up which would be the ninth one, but</p> <p>11 that's in the not operating category?</p> <p>12 A. That's correct.</p> <p>13 Q. Okay. And then let's take a look at Beat 522 is</p> <p>14 the other beat that is described on Plaintiff's</p> <p>15 Exhibit 2?</p> <p>16 A. Yes, sir.</p> <p>17 Q. Okay. And of the nine adult book store type</p> <p>18 businesses, I don't see -- none are in Beat 522, correct?</p> <p>19 A. Correct.</p> <p>20 Q. In the topless cabaret category, it looks like</p> <p>21 none are in the beat.</p> <p>22 A. That's correct.</p> <p>23 Q. Okay. In the full nude cabaret category it</p> <p>24 looks like there's one in the 522?</p> <p>25 A. Yes, sir.</p>
Page 31	Page 32
<p>1 Q. Okay. And there was another one that's not</p> <p>2 operating that was in 522, correct?</p> <p>3 A. Correct.</p> <p>4 Q. Okay. But there's only one that's actually in</p> <p>5 operation?</p> <p>6 A. Yes, sir.</p> <p>7 Q. Okay. Now, in 521, of the two -- there's the</p> <p>8 Men's Club and Baby Dolls were the two in 521, correct?</p> <p>9 A. Yes, sir.</p> <p>10 Q. Okay. Do you know whether those two clubs</p> <p>11 regularly stay open after two a.m.?</p> <p>12 A. No, sir, I don't know specific clubs and</p> <p>13 business hours.</p> <p>14 Q. Okay. So you don't know what the actual hours</p> <p>15 of operation are of the Men's Club or Baby Dolls Saloon</p> <p>16 West?</p> <p>17 A. No, sir.</p> <p>18 Q. And you certainly don't know whether -- if they</p> <p>19 are open past two a.m., whether that's true seven days a</p> <p>20 week as opposed to a smaller number of days open?</p> <p>21 A. That's correct. I don't know that.</p> <p>22 Q. And when we turn to Beat 534, you can't -- you</p> <p>23 don't know which of the eight businesses that are in that</p> <p>24 beat, if any of them, are open past two a.m.</p> <p>25 A. That's correct.</p>	<p>1 Q. You don't know whether any of them are open</p> <p>2 after four a.m.</p> <p>3 A. That's correct. I do not.</p> <p>4 Q. So we don't know -- okay. But one of the things</p> <p>5 that we do know -- given me one minute. Make sure I'm</p> <p>6 not duplicating too much of what I've already asked.</p> <p>7 Do we know in this data how many of these</p> <p>8 aggravated assaults occurred at adult book stores?</p> <p>9 A. No, sir.</p> <p>10 Q. Do we know whether any of them did?</p> <p>11 A. From this page? No, sir.</p> <p>12 Q. Going back to Plaintiff's Exhibit 2, the page</p> <p>13 018, at the bottom it says there's a total of 38 licensed</p> <p>14 sexually oriented businesses in the city. Do you see</p> <p>15 that?</p> <p>16 A. Yes, sir.</p> <p>17 Q. But when we go to Plaintiff's Exhibit 3 and the</p> <p>18 list that was compiled of those businesses, we know that</p> <p>19 there are a total of 28 sexually oriented businesses that</p> <p>20 are open, correct?</p> <p>21 A. Yes, sir.</p> <p>22 Q. And then there were an additional seven that at</p> <p>23 one point or maybe still had licenses, but were not</p> <p>24 operating, correct?</p> <p>25 A. Yes, sir.</p>

8 (Pages 29 to 32)

214-324-3733

Production@victorycourtreporting.com

Page 33	Page 34
<p>1 Q. So when you say -- when this document says that 2 "collectively these beats have 39 percent of the licensed 3 sexually oriented businesses in the city," that was based 4 upon there being a total of 38, correct? 5 A. Yes, sir. 6 Q. And, in fact, we know that when you add up the 7 ones that are in those three beats, I think we decided 8 there were... 9 MR. ALBRIGHT: 11 active. 10 Q. (BY MR. MURRAY) I think we decided or we can 11 decide there are 11 total in those three beats based on 12 the list in Plaintiff's Exhibit 3, correct? 13 A. Yes, sir. 14 Q. But that still actually works out to be 39 15 percent of the 28, interestingly enough. 16 A. I was going to say it's got to go pretty close. 17 Q. So the figure is still correct. 18 So that means that 61 percent of the sexually 19 oriented businesses are located outside those three 20 beats, correct? 21 A. Yes, sir. 22 Q. Okay. And do you know why there are that many 23 sexually oriented businesses in those three beats? 24 A. I do not know. 25 Q. Do you know whether it might have anything to do</p>	<p>1 with the zoning code and where those businesses are 2 permitted? 3 A. No, sir. 4 Q. Okay. So let's now go back to Plaintiff's 5 Exhibit 2 and go to the next page which is COD-019, and 6 it's also page 13 of the presentation. Do you see that? 7 A. Yes, sir. 8 Q. Okay. And it says these are -- it says, "Crime 9 Activity Arrests," correct? 10 A. Yes, sir. 11 Q. And it says, "The following nine slides are data 12 related to sexually oriented business locations." Do you 13 see that? 14 A. Yes, sir. 15 Q. And what does related mean in this context? 16 A. Our data that were pulled, whether it was crime 17 data or call data or arrest data that were pulled from 18 SOB locations or within a 500 foot bumper around the 19 sexually oriented business. 20 Q. A 500 foot radius? 21 A. Yes, sir. 22 Q. So when this refers to data related to sexually 23 oriented business locations, we're talking about arrests 24 that occurred within a 500 foot radius of a sexually 25 oriented business?</p>
Page 35	Page 36
<p>1 A. Yes, sir. 2 Q. And is that pleased from the property line or 3 from the building? 4 A. From the building, I assume. 5 Q. Why do you assume that? 6 A. Because I don't know. The actual address, it 7 was 500 feet from where the actual address is. Our 8 addresses go by the building itself. So it wouldn't be 9 the property line around the building. It would be from 10 the building itself. 11 Q. Are you certain of that? 12 A. Yes. 13 Q. So it's your belief that the 500 foot radius is 14 measured from the footprint of the building? 15 A. That's my understanding, yes, sir. 16 Q. So then it says that you reviewed aggravated 17 assaults, robberies, prostitution, drug related arrests 18 over the three year period of 2019 to 2021; is that 19 correct? 20 A. Yes, sir. 21 Q. And you talked about 58 percent of guns and 22 drugs were from 10 p.m. to two a.m., correct? 23 A. Specifically dugs and guns arrests comprise 58 24 percent of all arrests between 10 p.m. and two a.m. 25 Q. All types of arrests where?</p>	<p>1 A. At the sexually oriented business locations or 2 within the 500 foot buffer. 3 Q. And then 63 percent -- well, let's back up for 4 a minute? 5 A. Okay. 6 Q. It says, "Guns and drugs comprise 58 percent of 7 all arrests 10 p.m. to 2 a.m.," correct? 8 A. Yes, sir. 9 Q. And "63 percent of all arrests two a.m. to six 10 a.m.," correct? 11 A. Yes, sir. 12 Q. Okay. So arrests that occurred within a 500 13 foot radius of a sexually oriented business between two 14 a.m. and six a.m., 63 percent of those arrests involved 15 something related to guns and drugs? 16 A. Yes, sir. 17 Q. Do you know how many of those arrests actually 18 led to any convictions? 19 A. No, sir, I do not. 20 Q. Do you know whether any of them actually led to 21 convictions? 22 A. No, sir, I do not. 23 Q. Okay. If you go to the next page -- I'm sorry. 24 Back up. 25 A. Yes.</p>

Page 37	Page 38
<p>1 Q. I should have asked you this question. In the 2 year 2021 it says on the last line that there were more 3 total arrests two a.m. to six a.m., 94 versus 83. 4 A. Yes, sir. 5 Q. Did you do an analysis as to whether that 6 difference is statistically significant? 7 A. That one I did not, no, sir. 8 Q. So you don't know whether that's a statistically 9 significant difference or not? 10 A. No, sir, I do not for that year. No, sir. 11 Q. Okay. All right. Let's turn to the next page. 12 And this is entitled Crime Activity Overview 2019 to 13 2021. And here we're talking about UCR Part 1 crimes, 14 correct? 15 A. Yes, sir. 16 Q. Aggravated assault, rape, robbery, and murder, 17 correct? 18 A. Yes, sir. 19 Q. Okay. There's a statistic that says that 20 between two a.m. and six a.m. comprise nearly -- a little 21 over 67 percent of all reported violent crime. Do you 22 see that? 23 A. Yes, sir. 24 Q. And are we talking about all reported violent 25 crime in the entire city or in the northwest or in a</p>	<p>1 particular beat? What are we talking about? 2 A. So this would be the same data set, the SOB or 3 the 500 foot radius buffer date. This is where all of 4 this comes from. 5 This particular one is for all three years. So 6 for all reported violent crimes across all three years 7 from two a.m. to six a.m. comprise just over 67 percent 8 of that. 9 Q. Okay. I'm still a little bit confused. 10 A. Sure. 11 Q. Are you saying that the -- that 67 percent of 12 all reported -- when you say all reported violent crime, 13 are you talking about all violent crime that was reported 14 in the entire city? 15 A. No, sir. This is only the data -- the crime 16 data from the SOB locations. I have no data on the 17 entire city. 18 Q. So you're saying that of all the crime -- all 19 the violent crime that was reported over a three year 20 period within a 500 foot radius of these 28 -- well, 21 let's back up. 22 How many businesses are we talking about? The 23 28 that were open on this list, Plaintiff's Exhibit 3, or 24 are we talking about a different number. 25 A. When I comprise this data, I just used all SOB.</p>
Page 39	Page 40
<p>1 So it would be all the data. 2 Q. The 35? 3 A. All of them. If it came, it's an sexually 4 oriented business in my data which all of those would be, 5 even the last seven. 6 Q. Okay. So we're talking about a 500 foot radius 7 from 35 locations? 8 A. Yes, sir. 9 Q. Whether they were open or closed? 10 A. Yes, sir. 11 Q. Okay. And we're at saying that of all the crime 12 that was reported within that 500 foot radius surrounding 13 35 locations, two thirds of it was reported between the 14 hours of two a.m. and six a.m. 15 A. Yes, sir. 16 Q. And we're saying that in 2021 that number was 76 17 percent, correct? 18 A. Yes, sir. 19 Q. Okay. And then you're saying that across all 20 years, violent crime decreased 29 percent, correct? 21 A. Yes, sir, between 10 p.m. and two a.m. 22 Q. But increased 80 percent during two a.m. to six 23 a.m. 24 A. Yes, sir. 25 Q. Okay. So again we're talking only about crimes</p>	<p>1 reported within 500 feet radius of 35 locations? 2 A. Yes, sir. 3 Q. Now, we know that there are other businesses 4 besides sexually oriented businesses that are open 5 between the hours of 10 p.m. and six a.m. 6 A. Yes, sir. 7 Q. We know gas stations, for example? 8 A. Yes, sir. 9 Q. Convenience stores? 10 A. Yes, sir. 11 Q. Some drug stores? 12 A. I would assume so, yes, sir. 13 Q. Some nonadult nightclubs can be open until four 14 a.m. 15 A. Yes, sir. 16 Q. Some grocery stores? 17 A. Certainly. 18 Q. Certainly fast food restaurants are open during 19 those hours? 20 A. Yes, sir. 21 Q. Other restaurants like, for example, 22 International House of Pancakes, that type of a 23 restaurant? 24 A. Yes, sir. 25 Q. Okay. Motels, hotels?</p>

10 (Pages 37 to 40)

214-324-3733

Production@victorycourtreporting.com



Page 41	Page 42
<p>1 A. Yes, sir.</p> <p>2 Q. Okay. Other retail outlets apart from adult</p> <p>3 book stores?</p> <p>4 A. Yes, sir.</p> <p>5 Q. Now, you did not determine or make any attempt</p> <p>6 to study what the percentage -- how many violent crimes</p> <p>7 were reported within a 500 foot radius of any of those</p> <p>8 businesses, did you?</p> <p>9 A. No, sir.</p> <p>10 Q. And many of those businesses can be within the</p> <p>11 same 500 foot radius of the locations of the sexually</p> <p>12 oriented businesses, correct?</p> <p>13 A. Yes, sir.</p> <p>14 Q. Or for that matter there might be some overlap.</p> <p>15 Let's take one of those businesses, a fast food</p> <p>16 restaurant, just as an example that is 800 feet away from</p> <p>17 the location of a sexually oriented business, okay? You</p> <p>18 with me on that?</p> <p>19 A. Yes, sir.</p> <p>20 Q. So if a violent crime occurred 400 feet from the</p> <p>21 sexually oriented business, it also occurred 400 feet</p> <p>22 from the fast food restaurant?</p> <p>23 A. Yes, sir.</p> <p>24 Q. But you never compared how many crimes were</p> <p>25 committed or reported within 500 feet of the fast food</p>	<p>1 restaurant. You only did it with respect to the sexually</p> <p>2 oriented business; isn't that correct?</p> <p>3 A. That's correct.</p> <p>4 Q. So we know you never did a multivariate</p> <p>5 regression analysis; isn't that true?</p> <p>6 A. I did no regressions by variate or multivariate</p> <p>7 No, sir.</p> <p>8 Q. We also know you never developed any control</p> <p>9 sites. Do you know what a control site would be in a</p> <p>10 study like this?</p> <p>11 A. Yes, sir, and we did.</p> <p>12 Q. I'm sorry?</p> <p>13 A. We did.</p> <p>14 Q. Okay. I think the one control that you focused</p> <p>15 on was something called an entertainment district?</p> <p>16 A. Yes, sir.</p> <p>17 Q. Apart from an entertainment district -- and</p> <p>18 we'll talk about that later on -- did you investigate any</p> <p>19 other control sites?</p> <p>20 A. We did. We looked at or we're going to review</p> <p>21 the TABC licensed areas. But that was back and forth</p> <p>22 between UT San Antonio and myself and Chief Garcia. The</p> <p>23 problem is the proper control sites, there's some</p> <p>24 literature out there that relates a McDonald's or a</p> <p>25 7-Eleven to a sexually oriented business in reference to</p>
Page 43	Page 44
<p>1 the time they're open or the type of people that go.</p> <p>2 So the proper way to come up with a control site</p> <p>3 is you have to find one preferably in another part of the</p> <p>4 city with approximately the same economic, socioeconomic</p> <p>5 development with approximately the same population with</p> <p>6 business that's open during the same hours, same crime</p> <p>7 rate, as best you can.</p> <p>8 Q. Right.</p> <p>9 A. We never developed that any further.</p> <p>10 Q. And that's exactly what a control site would be.</p> <p>11 You want to make sure that it had a similar population, a</p> <p>12 similar demographics.</p> <p>13 A. Yes, sir.</p> <p>14 Q. Similar hours of operation, similar economic</p> <p>15 neighborhoods.</p> <p>16 A. It has to be similar -- sorry.</p> <p>17 Q. Correct?</p> <p>18 A. Yes, that's correct.</p> <p>19 Q. And then if you did that and you picked a</p> <p>20 control site, you could theoretically pick a control site</p> <p>21 for each one of the let's call them 28 sexually oriented</p> <p>22 businesses that are open, correct? You could do that?</p> <p>23 A. Yes, sir.</p> <p>24 Q. Okay. In fact, studying like that, not</p> <p>25 necessarily on this particular subject, but there are</p>	<p>1 published studies that have done similar type analysis?</p> <p>2 A. Yes, sir.</p> <p>3 Q. Okay. And then if you did that and you picked a</p> <p>4 control site that had those characteristics and you</p> <p>5 compared it with one of the sexually oriented businesses,</p> <p>6 you could actually determine whether it would be -- you</p> <p>7 could conclude that whether the control site or the</p> <p>8 sexually oriented business site was more criminogenic;</p> <p>9 isn't that true?</p> <p>10 A. With the proper methodology, you could. You</p> <p>11 could conclude that.</p> <p>12 Q. But you didn't do that in this?</p> <p>13 A. I did not.</p> <p>14 Q. Okay. So you have no way of knowing as you sit</p> <p>15 here today whether, in fact -- whatever all these</p> <p>16 statistics show, whether, in fact, crime within 500 feet</p> <p>17 of sexually oriented businesses is less, more, or the</p> <p>18 same as crime within 500 foot radius of proper control</p> <p>19 sites; is that right?</p> <p>20 A. That's true.</p> <p>21 MS. JORDAN: Objection to the form of the</p> <p>22 question. Assumes facts not in evidence.</p> <p>23 Q. (BY MR. MURRAY) Go ahead and answer.</p> <p>24 A. That's correct.</p> <p>25 MS. JORDAN: And it's overbroad.</p>

11 (Pages 41 to 44)

214-324-3733

Production@victorycourtreporting.com



<p style="text-align: right;">Page 45</p> <p>1 MR. JORDAN: That sounds like a First Amendment</p> <p>2 argument.</p> <p>3 Q. Okay. So let's get back to, now that I've</p> <p>4 digressed. We were talking about page 20, I believe.</p> <p>5 Okay. So I think we've covered that. Let's go</p> <p>6 to the next page. And that's entitled Crime Activity</p> <p>7 Overview 2019 to 21, correct?</p> <p>8 A. Yes, sir.</p> <p>9 Q. And now we're talking about property crimes</p> <p>10 included in UCR Part 1, correct?</p> <p>11 A. Yes, sir.</p> <p>12 Q. Okay. And I assume you picked UCR as opposed to</p> <p>13 NIBRS because it was easier to do the analysis or what?</p> <p>14 A. It's easier to understand.</p> <p>15 Q. Okay.</p> <p>16 A. So this is an audience guided report. So using</p> <p>17 UCR, everybody understands violent crime versus property</p> <p>18 crime.</p> <p>19 Q. Okay. And again we're talking about property</p> <p>20 crimes that are reported within a 500 foot radius of the</p> <p>21 35 locations that either have sexually oriented</p> <p>22 businesses operating or have licenses, but are not</p> <p>23 operating, correct?</p> <p>24 A. Correct.</p> <p>25 Q. And when you did that analysis, you discovered</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 46</p> <p>1 that actually more property crime occurred between 10</p> <p>2 p.m. and two a.m. than occurred between two a.m. and six</p> <p>3 a.m., correct?</p> <p>4 A. Yes, sir.</p> <p>5 Q. So 59 percent of the reported property crimes</p> <p>6 within those 500 foot radiuses occurred during the 10</p> <p>7 p.m. to two a.m., but 41 percent from two a.m. to</p> <p>8 six a.m.</p> <p>9 A. That's correct.</p> <p>10 Q. Okay. But across all years property crime</p> <p>11 increased during both times, correct?</p> <p>12 A. Yes, sir.</p> <p>13 Q. Although it increased by a greater percentage in</p> <p>14 the 10 p.m. to two a.m. time frame than it did in the two</p> <p>15 a.m. to six a.m. time frame; is that correct?</p> <p>16 A. That's correct.</p> <p>17 Q. And again we're not talking about how much</p> <p>18 property crime was reported in the entire city.</p> <p>19 A. That's correct.</p> <p>20 Q. We're not talking about how much property crime</p> <p>21 was reported within a 500 foot radius of convenience</p> <p>22 stores that were open 24/7, correct?</p> <p>23 A. Correct.</p> <p>24 Q. Or any of the other businesses that were open</p> <p>25 24/7?</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">Page 47</p> <p>1 A. That is correct.</p> <p>2 Q. Maybe I'm missing something, but when you look</p> <p>3 at the middle bullet point. So if 59 percent of the</p> <p>4 property crime occurring between 10 p.m. and 2 a.m. and</p> <p>5 41 percent occurred between two a.m. and 6 a.m., 41 and</p> <p>6 59 add up to 100 percent.</p> <p>7 A. Yes, sir.</p> <p>8 Q. But you're not saying that no property crimes</p> <p>9 occurred at any other time of the day?</p> <p>10 A. No, sir. So though they come out like that, the</p> <p>11 10 p.m. to the two a.m., like the other sheet, so of all</p> <p>12 the reported property crime, the 10 p.m. to two a.m. the</p> <p>13 59 percent occurred at the SOB locations. Property crime</p> <p>14 made up that 59 percent.</p> <p>15 Q. So -- but you were only measuring the 500 foot</p> <p>16 radius, I thought.</p> <p>17 A. Yes, sir.</p> <p>18 Q. Okay. So 59 percent of the property crimes --</p> <p>19 what is the 59 --</p> <p>20 A. No, I see what you're saying. I'm trying to say</p> <p>21 the same thing, but it's coming back. No, you're right.</p> <p>22 100 percent of the crime in that eight hour period,</p> <p>23 what's here versus the other page, I looked at it simply</p> <p>24 across the time to show the change in the two different</p> <p>25 time frame for property crimes to highlight the point</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 48</p> <p>1 that property crimes were different than the violent</p> <p>2 crimes.</p> <p>3 So 100 percent of the crime in that eight hour</p> <p>4 period, 59 percent of it happened two p.m. to 10 a.m. and</p> <p>5 2 a.m. to 6 a.m. That's exactly the math you said there.</p> <p>6 Q. Okay. But it doesn't tell us how much property</p> <p>7 crime occurred between six a.m. and 10 p.m.</p> <p>8 A. No, it does not.</p> <p>9 Q. Or whether it was more or less than occurred</p> <p>10 between 10 p.m. and six a.m., correct?</p> <p>11 A. Correct.</p> <p>12 Q. And the same is true -- was true of the violent</p> <p>13 crimes on the preceding page?</p> <p>14 A. Yes, that's correct.</p> <p>15 Q. So we don't know from these two pages, at least,</p> <p>16 how violent crime during the hours of six a.m. to ten</p> <p>17 p.m. -- or actually I guess on the one on page 20, we</p> <p>18 don't know how violent crimes between two a.m. and six</p> <p>19 a.m. compared with violent crimes between six a.m. and 10</p> <p>20 p.m. or six a.m. and two a.m.</p> <p>21 A. Correct.</p> <p>22 Q. And you didn't do that comparison?</p> <p>23 A. Not here, no, sir.</p> <p>24 Q. And you didn't analyze with respect to those</p> <p>25 incidents what connection, if any, they had to the</p>

12 (Pages 45 to 48)

214-324-3733

Production@victorycourtreporting.com

Page 49	Page 50
<p>1 specific sexually oriented business locations, correct?</p> <p>2 A. I'm sorry. If I could get clarification. What</p> <p>3 you just asked me is I did look at if these crimes came</p> <p>4 from a -- which specific location it came from?</p> <p>5 Q. We know that you're measuring a 500 foot radius</p> <p>6 from a location.</p> <p>7 A. Right.</p> <p>8 Q. Okay. For example, there could be an incident</p> <p>9 within 500 feet of a particular location that is</p> <p>10 connected to a fast food restaurant in terms of what</p> <p>11 actually happened, correct?</p> <p>12 A. I understand. Yes, sir. This is correct.</p> <p>13 Q. So you're not able to ascertain what percentage</p> <p>14 or how many of these incidents could actually be</p> <p>15 attributed to the operation of a particular sexually</p> <p>16 oriented business.</p> <p>17 A. You're correct.</p> <p>18 Q. Okay. So let now take a look at page 22. By</p> <p>19 the way, I should have let you know. If at any time you</p> <p>20 need a break, you just let us know, and we'll take one.</p> <p>21 And, of course, our court reporter, whenever you need a</p> <p>22 break, please let us know.</p> <p>23 A. Thank you.</p> <p>24 Q. So when we go to page 22 -- and I guess I'm</p> <p>25 talking about COD-022, and it's also page 16 of the</p>	<p>1 presentation. We have these bar graphs, correct?</p> <p>2 A. Yes, sir.</p> <p>3 Q. This is Comparison Crimes Reported is the title</p> <p>4 of it.</p> <p>5 A. Yes, sir.</p> <p>6 Q. And then there are violent property crimes, one</p> <p>7 bar shows between 10 p.m. and two a.m. and the red bar</p> <p>8 shows two a.m. and six a.m., correct?</p> <p>9 A. Correct.</p> <p>10 Q. And are we talking about crimes reported in the</p> <p>11 entire city?</p> <p>12 A. No.</p> <p>13 Q. We're still talking only about crimes reported</p> <p>14 within 500 feet of these 35 locations of --</p> <p>15 A. Yes, sir.</p> <p>16 Q. -- sexually oriented businesses?</p> <p>17 A. Yes, sir.</p> <p>18 Q. So if I understand correctly, in 2019 there were</p> <p>19 a total of 107 crimes -- well, let's -- strike that.</p> <p>20 In 2019 there were 124 crime incidents reported</p> <p>21 within 500 feet of these 35 locations. There were 124,</p> <p>22 correct?</p> <p>23 MS. JORDAN: Objection. Mischaracterizes the</p> <p>24 testimony and the exhibit.</p> <p>25 A. 124 violent property crimes 10 a.m. to two p.m.</p>
Page 51	Page 52
<p>1 for 2019.</p> <p>2 Q. (BY MR. MURRAY) And I want to make sure I</p> <p>3 haven't misstated it. Tell me exactly what that means.</p> <p>4 There were 124 reported incidents of either violent or</p> <p>5 property crime in the 500 foot radius of the 35</p> <p>6 locations?</p> <p>7 A. Yes, sir.</p> <p>8 Q. Okay. And between two a.m. and six a.m.,</p> <p>9 however, in 2019 there were fewer violent and property</p> <p>10 crimes reported within 500 foot radius of those 35</p> <p>11 locations, correct?</p> <p>12 A. Yes, sir.</p> <p>13 Q. Okay. And that number was 107, correct?</p> <p>14 A. Yes, sir.</p> <p>15 Q. And if my math is correct, that means that --</p> <p>16 and you can check it if you want. But that would mean</p> <p>17 there was one crime reported on average every 3.4 days</p> <p>18 between the hours of two a.m. and six a.m.</p> <p>19 A. I'll trust your math on that, yes, sir.</p> <p>20 Q. And that means we're talking about one crime</p> <p>21 reported every 3.4 days involving 35 -- a total of 35</p> <p>22 locations.</p> <p>23 A. Theoretically, that's correct.</p> <p>24 Q. Well, the math says that at least, correct?</p> <p>25 A. Well, the math comes out to that time, but the</p>	<p>1 math as you described it doesn't indicate groups of time.</p> <p>2 There might have been six day period where nothing</p> <p>3 happened, and a two day period where 25 crimes were</p> <p>4 reported.</p> <p>5 Q. Exactly. No, I understand. Of course.</p> <p>6 A. As far as the math goes on average across the</p> <p>7 times, yes, sir.</p> <p>8 Q. So if we have 35 locations, and on average one</p> <p>9 crime is reported every 3.4 days over the course of three</p> <p>10 years, you would be talking about a very small number</p> <p>11 combined at the 35 locations, correct?</p> <p>12 MS. JORDAN: Objection, mischaracterizes.</p> <p>13 MR. MURRAY: Let me restate that.</p> <p>14 Q. (BY MR. MURRAY) If on average you have one</p> <p>15 crime reported every 3.4 days, correct?</p> <p>16 A. Yes, sir.</p> <p>17 Q. Okay. That means that a crime was reported</p> <p>18 within 500 feet of one of the 35 locations.</p> <p>19 A. Yes, sir.</p> <p>20 Q. Which would mean that theoretically just from</p> <p>21 the mathematical standpoint that during that 3.4 day</p> <p>22 period there were no crimes reported within 500 feet of</p> <p>23 34 of those locations.</p> <p>24 A. Yes, sir.</p> <p>25 Q. Now, if you then go to 2020, what we see is</p>

13 (Pages 49 to 52)

214-324-3733

Production@victorycourtreporting.com

Page 53

1 there were 161 reported violent or property crimes during  
2 the 10 p.m. to two a.m.  
3 A. Yes, sir.  
4 Q. And then a smaller number of reported incidents  
5 of violent or property crime occurred in 2020 between the  
6 two a.m. to six a.m. hours, correct?  
7 A. Yes, sir.  
8 Q. It went from 161 down to 132.  
9 A. Yes, sir.  
10 Q. And then in 2021 there were 165 reported during  
11 the 10 p.m. to two a.m., and it went down a few to 160  
12 between two a.m. and six a.m.  
13 A. Yes, sir.  
14 Q. And going to 2020 when you add up -- when you  
15 add the 161 and the 132, if my math is correct -- and I  
16 don't know that it is. Let me do that again.  
17 Well, let's focus just on the 132 reported crime  
18 incidents that occurred between two a.m. and six a.m. In  
19 2020. Again, the math would say that that means that  
20 there was one incident on average reported every 2.76  
21 days.  
22 A. Between two a.m. and six a.m., yes, sir.  
23 Q. Okay. So every 2.76 days, there was either one  
24 violent or property crime reported within 500 feet of one  
25 of the 35 locations, mathematically.

Page 55

1 mathematically speaking.  
2 MS. JORDAN: Objection. Mischaracterizes the  
3 exhibit and the testimony. The crimes are not just any  
4 crimes. They are violent crimes and property crimes.  
5 MR. JORDAN: I'm sorry. You're right.  
6 Q. (BY MR. MURRAY) We're talking about this chart  
7 which is violent and property crimes, right?  
8 A. Yes, sir.  
9 Q. So let's continue to be precise with each  
10 question. So that means that in 34 of the 35 locations,  
11 there were no violent or property crimes reported during  
12 that 2.28 days?  
13 A. Theoretically, yes, sir.  
14 Q. Okay. And the only variable is whether there  
15 might have been -- on one day there might have been more  
16 criminal offenses reported of a violent and property  
17 crime that on another day, correct?  
18 A. That's correct.  
19 Q. And then the math would stretch out even further  
20 so that if you had several reported on one day, there  
21 might be a period of a week where nothing was reported,  
22 correct?  
23 MS. JORDAN: Objection. I withdraw that.  
24 A. Correct.  
25 Q. (BY MR. MURRAY) Okay. And finally in each of

Page 54

1 A. Yes, sir.  
2 Q. Which means that in that interval, there would  
3 have been zero crimes reported within 500 feet of the  
4 other 34 locations.  
5 A. Yes, sir.  
6 Q. And we can do the math. You can combine the --  
7 you could do the same type of mathematical analysis for  
8 the eight hour period, 10 p.m. to six a.m.  
9 A. Correct.  
10 Q. And come up with those same calculations. And  
11 we could do that with 2021 as well. 160 reported  
12 incidents within the 500 foot radius of the 35 locations  
13 between two a.m. and six a.m., correct?  
14 A. Yes, sir.  
15 Q. And my math said that would be one reported  
16 incident every 2.28 days.  
17 A. Okay. Yes, sir.  
18 Q. Now, we can always recheck the math. Certainly  
19 it's possible that my calculator was wrong. But assuming  
20 that the math says that, that would mean that every 2.28  
21 days within 500 feet of one of these 35 locations there  
22 was a reported crime?  
23 A. Yes, sir.  
24 Q. And during that same period of time there were  
25 no reported crimes at the other 34 locations,

Page 56

1 the three years the reported incidents of violent and  
2 property crime went down between the hours of two a.m.  
3 and six a.m. compared with the hours of 10 p.m. to two  
4 a.m., correct?  
5 A. They were lower during the two a.m. and six a.m.  
6 period for all three years, yes, sir.  
7 Q. Let's now go to page 17, COD-023, which is also  
8 page 17 of the presentation.  
9 Now this is a chart that says "2019 and 2021,  
10 All Crimes by Time Period," correct?  
11 A. Yes, sir.  
12 Q. So was this a study of just those two years or  
13 the three years between 2019 and 2021?  
14 A. These data were put to or this graph was created  
15 by U.T. San Antonio researchers from the data that I gave  
16 them. So what they decided is to remove 2020 because of  
17 the Covid effect. What we've been seeing in academics  
18 and research is that Covid has an odd effect depending on  
19 the type of crime. So they wanted to remove that as a  
20 variable and just compare 2019 to 2021.  
21 Q. So we're talking about --  
22 A. Two years, yes, sir.  
23 Q. This is a study of two years, not the three  
24 years we were talking about before.  
25 A. That is correct.

Page 57	Page 58
<p>1 Q. Okay. So then it says that there's a comparison 2 between sexually oriented businesses and entertainment 3 districts. Do you see that? 4 A. Yes, sir. 5 Q. Okay. Now, first of all, are we still talking 6 about a 500 foot radius? 7 A. We are, yes, sir. 8 Q. So all of these statistics depend upon a 500 9 foot radius surrounding various locations? 10 A. Let me correct myself. Only for the SOB 11 locations. For the entertainment districts, there were 12 large areas it doesn't make sense because it's not a 13 business per se. It's a district. They just took the 14 area within that district. 15 So the SOBs are still -- that data is still the 16 500 foot radius as we have been discussing, but the 17 entertainment districts are just the districts 18 themselves. 19 Q. What is an entertainment district within the 20 meaning of this chart? 21 A. Whatever is defined by the city, and we have it 22 delineated the way we poll crime. So we have Deep Ellum, 23 Lower Greenville, and all those are designated by 24 streets. So that would be within those street boundaries 25 if it's designated as a particular entertainment</p>	<p>1 district. That is what the entertainment district 2 entailed. 3 Q. Okay. But how do you become an entertainment 4 district? 5 A. I don't know. 6 Q. A what kinds of businesses are in an 7 entertainment district within the meaning of this chart? 8 A. It's every -- every business. It's residential. 9 It could be bars, nightclubs, grocery stores. All of 10 that falls within these entertainment districts because 11 within these entertainment districts are also houses, so 12 apartment complexes. And it's all types of businesses 13 and residential areas. 14 Q. Okay. So you weren't comparing sexually 15 oriented businesses like adult book stores and adult 16 cabarets to nonadult book stores and nonadult night 17 clubs. That is not what this is about. 18 A. No, sir. 19 Q. Okay. This simply compares the same 500 foot 20 radius surrounding the 35 -- is this the 35 locations as 21 well? 22 A. Yes, sir. 23 Q. Okay. All we're doing is comparing that 500 24 foot radius to some geographical boundaries that you call 25 entertainment districts.</p>
Page 59	Page 60
<p>1 A. That's correct. 2 Q. Okay. 3 MS. JORDAN: Can we go off the record for a 4 second? 5 MR. MURRAY: Yes. 6 (Off the record) 7 MS. JORDAN: So this is the entertainment 8 districts. This is a copy of the map of the 9 entertainment districts that he just referenced. If you 10 want to go over that and use that in your questioning, 11 you can. 12 MR. MURRAY: Okay. 13 Q. (BY MR. MURRAY) Okay. So comparison between 14 SOB and entertainment districts, you've got the -- I 15 guess the 2019 chart and the 2021 chart; is that correct, 16 even though you don't put the year there? 17 A. No. So -- 18 Q. I'm sorry. Never mind. Strike that. 19 The bar charts are for the two years total. 20 A. Correct. 21 Q. Okay. So you take all the crimes -- and this is 22 not limited to just property crimes and violent crimes? 23 Is it all crimes or what crimes are we talking about? 24 A. I believe they used all crimes. Any reported 25 crime.</p>	<p>1 Q. And where did they get -- where do you get that 2 information of all crimes? 3 A. From me. From my data. 4 Q. And how do you retrieve that data? For example, 5 how do you get a number for all the crimes reported in 6 2019? What do you go do? Put something in a computer? 7 A. My crime analyst. Yes, sir. It's the way they 8 pull the report. So when I had the violent and property 9 crime, I went in, and I would have had all crimes from 10 the smallest to the highest. And then I went in, and, 11 using the UCR definitions, picked out those crimes that 12 were -- those offenses related to that. If it was a 13 homicide, a murder, I would have picked out anything that 14 had murder, negligent homicide. If was a burglary, I 15 would have picked out burglary of a residence, burglary 16 of a building. 17 That's how I got mine, but all of it's from the 18 same data. They had this data. They took all crimes. 19 Q. And the data universe we're talking about is all 20 within a computer? 21 A. Yes, sir. 22 Q. So all the crime statistics that we're talking 23 about are input into a computer? 24 A. Yes, sir. 25 Q. I mean, in addition there's obviously paper</p>

15 (Pages 57 to 60)

<p style="text-align: right;">Page 61</p> <p>1 copies of reports and things like that. But</p> <p>2 statistically speaking, information gets put into a</p> <p>3 computer from which you can search for specific</p> <p>4 information, correct?</p> <p>5 A. Yes, sir.</p> <p>6 Q. And that's what you did. You searched that</p> <p>7 database for much of the information that we're talking</p> <p>8 about, correct?</p> <p>9 A. Yes, sir.</p> <p>10 Q. Okay. All right. So what we have, then, is</p> <p>11 that between 10 p.m. and two a.m. there was greater</p> <p>12 crimes reported in the entertainment districts than in</p> <p>13 the areas surrounding the 35 locations with sexually</p> <p>14 oriented business licenses, correct?</p> <p>15 A. That's correct.</p> <p>16 Q. 52 percent in the 500 foot radius of sexually</p> <p>17 oriented business locations whereas 65 percent of the</p> <p>18 reported offenses were in the entertainment districts.</p> <p>19 A. That's correct.</p> <p>20 Q. And then from the two a.m. to six a.m. hour, 48</p> <p>21 percent were within the 500 foot radius of the 35</p> <p>22 locations, correct?</p> <p>23 A. Yes, sir.</p> <p>24 Q. And 35 percent were within the entertainment</p> <p>25 districts, correct?</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 62</p> <p>1 A. Correct.</p> <p>2 Q. Okay. And of the 48 percent we don't know how</p> <p>3 many -- what percentage of those were also within 500</p> <p>4 feet of a gas station, correct?</p> <p>5 A. That's correct.</p> <p>6 Q. We don't know what percentage of those were</p> <p>7 within 500 feet of a convenience store?</p> <p>8 A. That's correct.</p> <p>9 Q. Or of a nonadult night club?</p> <p>10 A. That's correct.</p> <p>11 Q. Or of a fast food restaurant?</p> <p>12 A. Correct.</p> <p>13 Q. Because no one ever does that analysis, correct?</p> <p>14 A. That's correct.</p> <p>15 MR. MURRAY: How about taking make a five or ten</p> <p>16 minute break.</p> <p>17 MS. JORDAN: Sure.</p> <p>18 (A break was held.)</p> <p>19 Q. (BY MR. MURRAY) So we're on page 23, I think,</p> <p>20 aren't we?</p> <p>21 A. Yes, sir. That's where we stopped.</p> <p>22 Q. Okay. So I want to make sure that -- and I</p> <p>23 probably already asked this, but I want to make sure I do</p> <p>24 understand this chart.</p> <p>25 So the percentages that are shown on this page</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">Page 63</p> <p>1 COD-023 between 10 p.m. and two a.m., the 52 percent that</p> <p>2 is in the sexually oriented business bar chart, tell me</p> <p>3 again. That's 52 percent of what?</p> <p>4 A. The 52 and the 48 are the two that go together</p> <p>5 here. So you see in that eight hour period, 10 p.m. to</p> <p>6 six a.m., 52 percent of the crimes at SOBs occurred at</p> <p>7 10 p.m. to two a.m. and then 48 per cent occurred two</p> <p>8 a.m. to six a.m.</p> <p>9 Q. But 52 percent of what crimes?</p> <p>10 A. All crimes.</p> <p>11 Q. All crimes for the 24 hour period or just during</p> <p>12 the report?</p> <p>13 A. During the eight hour period. So all crimes</p> <p>14 occurred during -- trying to remember how UTSA put it</p> <p>15 together. But all crimes -- they took all crimes</p> <p>16 reported in that eight hour period and, of course, divide</p> <p>17 it up into four -- in the two time frames we're looking</p> <p>18 at. Of all crimes reported within an eight hour period,</p> <p>19 52 per cent of all crimes at SOBs occurred 10 p.m. to two</p> <p>20 a.m.. Likewise, 10 p.m. to two a.m. of all crimes</p> <p>21 reported in entertainment districts between that time</p> <p>22 frame occurred -- or 65 percent occurred at 10 p.m. to</p> <p>23 two a.m. versus 35 between two a.m. and six a.m.</p> <p>24 Q. So it's got nothing to do with the hours with</p> <p>25 whatever happened between six a.m. and ten p.m.</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 64</p> <p>1 A. Correct.</p> <p>2 Q. We don't know what those figures would be.</p> <p>3 A. Correct.</p> <p>4 Q. All we're saying is that of all the reported</p> <p>5 incidents within a 500 foot radius of the 35 locations</p> <p>6 that had a sexually oriented business license, 52 percent</p> <p>7 occurred within the 10 p.m. to two a.m. period and the</p> <p>8 remaining 48 percent of all the crimes reported in that</p> <p>9 eight hour period occurred within a period of two a.m. to</p> <p>10 six a.m. Is that what we're saying?</p> <p>11 A. Yes, sir.</p> <p>12 Q. Okay. And the same for what you're calling the</p> <p>13 entertainment districts. All the times that reported</p> <p>14 collectively in those districts between -- during that</p> <p>15 eight hour period, 65 percent of that universe of crimes</p> <p>16 occurred 10 p.m. to two a.m. and 35 percent occurred two</p> <p>17 a.m. to six a.m.</p> <p>18 A. Correct.</p> <p>19 Q. And then that would be the same analysis on next</p> <p>20 page, COD-204, correct?</p> <p>21 A. Yes, sir. And the difference here, of course,</p> <p>22 is they pulled out just violent offenses, violent crimes.</p> <p>23 Q. Right. So, for example, in that chart the</p> <p>24 entertainment districts, violent crime -- there was a</p> <p>25 higher percentage of violent crime during the two a.m. to</p>

16 (Pages 61 to 64)

214-324-3733

Production@victorycourtreporting.com



Page 65	Page 66
<p>1 six a.m. versus the 10 p.m. to two a.m.</p> <p>2 A. Can you repeat that, please?</p> <p>3 Q. 55 percent of all violent crime that was</p> <p>4 reported within that eight hour period in the</p> <p>5 entertainment districts occurred between two a.m. and six</p> <p>6 a.m.</p> <p>7 A. Yes, sir.</p> <p>8 Q. And the 45 percent of that universe occurred</p> <p>9 between 10 p.m. and two a.m.</p> <p>10 A. Yes, sir.</p> <p>11 Q. Okay. Let's go to the next page, then. 2,082</p> <p>12 custodial arrests at sexually oriented business locations</p> <p>13 2019 to 2021. That's what that first line says?</p> <p>14 A. Yes, sir.</p> <p>15 Q. And we're still talking about arrests that</p> <p>16 occurred within a 500 foot radius of those 35 locations?</p> <p>17 A. Yes, sir.</p> <p>18 Q. Okay. And then you give the -- and that would</p> <p>19 include traffic stops that resulted in arrests?</p> <p>20 A. Yes, sir.</p> <p>21 Q. Outstanding warrants?</p> <p>22 A. Yes, sir.</p> <p>23 Q. Misdemeanor offenses?</p> <p>24 A. Yes, sir.</p> <p>25 Q. Okay. And it turns out that there were more of</p>	<p>1 those between the 10 p.m. and two a.m. than between the</p> <p>2 two a.m. and six a.m.</p> <p>3 A. Correct.</p> <p>4 Q. So arrests went down during the two a.m. to six</p> <p>5 a.m. hours that city council now says the businesses have</p> <p>6 to be closed, correct?</p> <p>7 A. There were fewer arrests during that time frame,</p> <p>8 yes, sir.</p> <p>9 Q. Okay. And then if you look at the next page, we</p> <p>10 have the bar graphs expressing those arrests in that</p> <p>11 form, correct?</p> <p>12 A. Yes, sir.</p> <p>13 Q. And we see that in 2019 again they went down in</p> <p>14 the two a.m. to six a.m. period, correct?</p> <p>15 A. Yes, sir.</p> <p>16 Q. They went up slightly in 2020, correct?</p> <p>17 A. Yes, sir.</p> <p>18 Q. Did you determine whether that difference was</p> <p>19 statistically significant --</p> <p>20 A. I did not --</p> <p>21 Q. Let me finish the question.</p> <p>22 A. Sorry.</p> <p>23 Q. Did you ascertain whether that difference was</p> <p>24 statistically significant?</p> <p>25 A. No, sir.</p>
Page 67	Page 68
<p>1 Q. Okay. Then in 2021, again this time it went</p> <p>2 down from 306 in the ten to two a.m. period down to 288</p> <p>3 in the two a.m. to six a.m., correct?</p> <p>4 A. Yes, sir.</p> <p>5 Q. Okay. And if you add up all the arrests that</p> <p>6 occurred in the two a.m. to six a.m. period within a 500</p> <p>7 foot radius of the sexually oriented business locations,</p> <p>8 my math said that added up to 772 arrests over that three</p> <p>9 year period. Will you accept that?</p> <p>10 A. I'll accept that.</p> <p>11 Q. Okay. And my math said three years equals about</p> <p>12 1,095 days. That means that there was one arrest every</p> <p>13 1.41 days according to the math?</p> <p>14 A. According to the math.</p> <p>15 Q. So every day and almost a half, one arrest would</p> <p>16 have occurred within 500 feet of the 28 or 35, whichever</p> <p>17 number you're talking about, sexually oriented</p> <p>18 businesses?</p> <p>19 A. Yes, sir.</p> <p>20 Q. Okay. Let's go to page 21 or COD-027. Now we</p> <p>21 do the comparison between the 500 foot radius surrounding</p> <p>22 the locations of licensed sexually oriented businesses</p> <p>23 compared to the entertainment district's arrests,</p> <p>24 correct?</p> <p>25 A. Correct.</p>	<p>1 Q. And there is virtually no difference between</p> <p>2 sexually oriented businesses and the entertainment</p> <p>3 districts, wouldn't you agree?</p> <p>4 A. I would agree with that.</p> <p>5 Q. That's true both in the 10 p.m. to two a.m. time</p> <p>6 frame and the two a.m. and six a.m. time frame.</p> <p>7 A. That's correct.</p> <p>8 Q. So there's nothing in that chart that would</p> <p>9 support the proposition that the sexually oriented</p> <p>10 businesses should be closed during those two a.m. to six</p> <p>11 a.m. hours on this one bar graph?</p> <p>12 A. Actually, I don't agree with that.</p> <p>13 Q. Okay. Let's go to the next page.</p> <p>14 A. Okay.</p> <p>15 Q. Now, we go to calls for service. And these are</p> <p>16 calls for service during the three year period of 2019 to</p> <p>17 2021, correct?</p> <p>18 A. Yes, sir.</p> <p>19 Q. And you were talking about 11,999 were generated</p> <p>20 at SOB locations, correct?</p> <p>21 A. Yes, sir.</p> <p>22 Q. But you really mean within a 500 foot radius,</p> <p>23 correct?</p> <p>24 A. That's correct.</p> <p>25 Q. Between 10 and two a.m. there were 2171 calls</p>

17 (Pages 65 to 68)

Page 69	Page 70
<p>1 for service within those 500 foot radius areas?</p> <p>2 A. Yes, sir.</p> <p>3 Q. And two a.m. to six a.m., 2396, correct?</p> <p>4 A. Yes, sir.</p> <p>5 Q. You didn't do an analysis to determine whether</p> <p>6 that analysis was statistically significant, did you?</p> <p>7 A. I did later.</p> <p>8 Q. When did you do it?</p> <p>9 A. Last night.</p> <p>10 Q. Okay. And what did you conclude?</p> <p>11 A. That it is statistically significant, the</p> <p>12 difference between.</p> <p>13 Q. Okay. And what statistically significant model</p> <p>14 were you using?</p> <p>15 A. So what I used was a Linz, et al, study from</p> <p>16 2006. I believe it was when they were looking at San</p> <p>17 Diego -- establishments in San Diego, but they used --</p> <p>18 it's just a basic nonparametric test. I used that same</p> <p>19 Mann-Whitney test on the time frames two a.m. to six a.m.</p> <p>20 versus all times and found it's statistically</p> <p>21 significant.</p> <p>22 Q. Was it to within a 95 percent certainty?</p> <p>23 A. Yes. As a matter of fact, it came out to .0000,</p> <p>24 so a 99.9 percent.</p> <p>25 Q. And this is -- you're saying that the 2396 minus</p>	<p>1 2171 over -- you measured over a three year period?</p> <p>2 A. Yes, I looked at it.</p> <p>3 Q. Okay. Make sure we know what we're talking</p> <p>4 about here. So 2396.</p> <p>5 So we're talking about a difference of 225 over</p> <p>6 the course of three years; is that correct?</p> <p>7 A. Yes, sir.</p> <p>8 Q. And 225 over the course of three years would</p> <p>9 be...</p> <p>10 Assuming my math is correct on this. Let me do</p> <p>11 it one more time.</p> <p>12 If there are 1095 days in three years, it would</p> <p>13 appear 225 additional calls for service would mean one</p> <p>14 additional call for service every 4.86 days. Does that</p> <p>15 sound right mathematically?</p> <p>16 MS. JORDAN: I'm going to object. I'm not sure</p> <p>17 I'm following what math you're using and what -- to make</p> <p>18 that calculation. So I think that the question is</p> <p>19 confusing.</p> <p>20 Q. (BY MR. MURRAY) Did you understand the</p> <p>21 question, Lieutenant?</p> <p>22 A. I assume that you took the difference between</p> <p>23 the two time frames and divided it by how many days there</p> <p>24 are in three years.</p> <p>25 Q. Yes.</p>
Page 71	Page 72
<p>1 A. If that's --</p> <p>2 Q. I mean --</p> <p>3 A. I mean, I don't have any reason to doubt you're</p> <p>4 wrong.</p> <p>5 Q. Okay. But, I mean, if it's true you take 2396</p> <p>6 and you subtract 2171 and you get a 225, that would be</p> <p>7 the number of additional calls for service over the three</p> <p>8 year period.</p> <p>9 A. So -- I'm sorry. Did I interrupt?</p> <p>10 Q. So there were 2171 calls for service between 10</p> <p>11 p.m. and two a.m., correct?</p> <p>12 A. Correct.</p> <p>13 Q. There were 2396 between two a.m. and six a.m.,</p> <p>14 correct?</p> <p>15 A. Correct.</p> <p>16 Q. So what I'm saying is in the second time period</p> <p>17 there were 225 more than in the 10 p.m. to two a.m. Am I</p> <p>18 wrong about that?</p> <p>19 A. No, no, no, you're correct. What I was going to</p> <p>20 say is I followed along with Linz's line of thought. So</p> <p>21 instead of looking at the difference of the four hour</p> <p>22 time period before then, what he did in that study was he</p> <p>23 took the two a.m. to six a.m. time frame and compared it</p> <p>24 to the other 18 hours.</p> <p>25 Q. Okay.</p>	<p>1 A. 18? 20 hours. Sorry.</p> <p>2 Q. Yes.</p> <p>3 A. I didn't think that math was right. So you're</p> <p>4 looking at the difference between the 11,000 -- well,</p> <p>5 12,000 and 2300. And that Mann-Whitney test, I wouldn't</p> <p>6 have thought about it had I not read his study. I tried</p> <p>7 it, and it came out statistically significant where his</p> <p>8 did not on his data set.</p> <p>9 Q. You're talking about Dr. Daniel Linz?</p> <p>10 A. Yes.</p> <p>11 Q. And you read his published article?</p> <p>12 A. A few of them, yes.</p> <p>13 Q. Which ones have you read?</p> <p>14 A. So I read San Diego one. And I'm sorry, I don't</p> <p>15 remember the years exactly. But he did San Diego. He</p> <p>16 did Charlotte. And I believe he did a comparison of</p> <p>17 three from Milford, Hartford, and Richmond areas. Those</p> <p>18 three. I perused maybe a couple others. I looked at the</p> <p>19 methodology he used, and that was I saw that I thought I</p> <p>20 could repeat fairly simply with the data I had.</p> <p>21 Q. Okay. And these were all in peer reviewed</p> <p>22 journals?</p> <p>23 A. Yes, yes. Yeah, none of them were just reports.</p> <p>24 They were all peer reviewed.</p> <p>25 Q. Did you read the study that was done by -- not</p>

18 (Pages 69 to 72)

214-324-3733

Production@victorycourtreporting.com



Page 73	Page 74
<p>1 by Dr. Linz, but by some academics at UT San Antonio 2 several years ago?</p> <p>3 A. No. I would have recognized that pretty quick 4 if I had seen that affiliation. I don't believe so.</p> <p>5 Q. Okay. So here's what I'm trying to find out, to 6 determine. So my question was designed to elicit whether 7 the difference between the 2171 and the 2396 over the 8 course of the three year period, the difference in those 9 two numbers was statistically significant?</p> <p>10 A. I didn't test that.</p> <p>11 Q. Okay. And just so I understand correctly, also 12 the 2396 that occurred between two a.m. and six a.m., 13 that would be just slightly less than 20 percent of the 14 total number of calls?</p> <p>15 A. That number looks roughly correct, eyeballing 16 it.</p> <p>17 Q. So 80 percent of the calls for service occurred 18 outside of that four hour period?</p> <p>19 A. Yes, sir.</p> <p>20 Q. And then if you look at the next page, 29, 21 COD-029, which also bears the number 23 on the 22 presentation itself, you express the calls for service in 23 bar graphs, correct?</p> <p>24 A. Yes, sir.</p> <p>25 Q. And we see that between 2019 and 2021 the calls</p>	<p>1 for service between two a.m. and six a.m. went down, 2 correct?</p> <p>3 A. I'm sorry?</p> <p>4 Q. So there are 845 in 2019, correct?</p> <p>5 A. Yes, sir. Yes, sir. I'm sorry.</p> <p>6 Q. It went down to 839 in 2020, correct?</p> <p>7 A. Yes.</p> <p>8 Q. And it went down even further in 2021 to 712 9 calls for service during the two a.m. to six a.m. period, 10 correct?</p> <p>11 A. Yes, sir.</p> <p>12 Q. Then if you go to the next page, you've got this 13 comparison between the sexually oriented business 14 locations, the 500 foot radius, and the entertainment 15 districts again, correct?</p> <p>16 A. Yes, sir.</p> <p>17 Q. And these bar graphs express the same kinds of 18 percentages that we saw in the earlier bar graph when 19 that comparison was done?</p> <p>20 A. Yes, sir.</p> <p>21 Q. It's the same analysis, in other words.</p> <p>22 A. Yes, sir.</p> <p>23 Q. So, for example, between 10 p.m. and two a.m. 24 during those two years, the calls at least within the 500 25 foot radius of sexually oriented businesses went from 48</p>
Page 75	Page 76
<p>1 percent from 10 p.m. to two p.m. to 52 percent two a.m. 2 to six a.m., correct?</p> <p>3 A. Yes, sir.</p> <p>4 Q. Okay. Did you do an analysis to see whether 5 that analysis was statistically significant?</p> <p>6 A. No, sir.</p> <p>7 Q. Okay. Then on the next page you're talking 8 about calls for service directed to the fire department, 9 correct?</p> <p>10 A. Yes, sir.</p> <p>11 Q. Again, we're talking about a 500 foot radius 12 with respect to the locations where sexually oriented 13 business's are?</p> <p>14 A. So the fire department data I'm not positive 15 about here. They sent me a PDF form that had some basic 16 information on it. So I don't -- I can't say that it's 17 from 500 foot radius. From looking at that data they 18 sent me, I believe it was at the locations themselves. 19 But this was not -- we didn't generate the fire 20 department data. We don't have access to that so it came 21 from the fire department.</p> <p>22 Q. Okay. So the fire department reported 1317 23 calls for service during that three year period somewhere 24 in relationship to the sexually oriented businesses?</p> <p>25 A. Yes, sir.</p>	<p>1 Q. Okay. And 675 of them occurred between 10 p.m. 2 and six a.m. is what this chart shows, correct?</p> <p>3 A. Correct.</p> <p>4 Q. By the way, going back to calls for service. 5 The location of a call for service depends upon where the 6 person was when he or she made the call, right?</p> <p>7 A. Not necessarily. So if I -- I could call the 8 police from here and say that I need to meet the police 9 at 1400 South Lamar. That call for service would come 10 out there even though I called from here.</p> <p>11 If there was a call that comes in where they 12 hang up and it sounded like somebody they have to cross 13 reference, they might look at the GPS information if they 14 can get it through a cell phone.</p> <p>15 So that's not necessarily true of 911 calls. It 16 could be -- it just depends on what the caller says or 17 needs or where at.</p> <p>18 Q. So, for example, if a -- if somebody wants to 19 report an incident that happened that the person regards 20 as dangerous to that person. Let's say the person had an 21 encounter with a citizen, and it was an assault, and he 22 got away. And the person, the victim, drove away and 23 went into a parking lot that was well lit, thinking that 24 was a safe place to stop and call the police, that call 25 for service might reflect the address of that business's</p>

Page 77	Page 78
<p>1 parking lot even though the incident didn't occur there.</p> <p>2 A. Correct.</p> <p>3 Q. Okay. Okay. Let's move to Plaintiff's</p> <p>4 Exhibit 3 next.</p> <p>5 So you've got that. So let's take a look at</p> <p>6 Plaintiff's Exhibit 3.</p> <p>7 Okay. We've already talked about the fact that</p> <p>8 is a memorandum from the chief to the mayor and the city</p> <p>9 council, correct?</p> <p>10 A. Correct.</p> <p>11 Q. And we've already talked about the fact that</p> <p>12 there was a list of licensed sexually oriented businesses</p> <p>13 including seven that are no longer open, correct?</p> <p>14 A. Correct.</p> <p>15 Q. And then we have a set of bar graphs on the next</p> <p>16 page, correct?</p> <p>17 A. Yes, sir.</p> <p>18 Q. And again we're -- just to reiterate, that all</p> <p>19 of the data that we're now going to go over is based upon</p> <p>20 data from a 500 foot radius surrounding the building</p> <p>21 footprint of locations where licensed sexually oriented</p> <p>22 businesses either exist or are no longer operating,</p> <p>23 correct?</p> <p>24 A. Yes, sir.</p> <p>25 Q. And you know from your own studies that a 500</p>	<p>1 foot radius is an area of 785,398 square feet, isn't it?</p> <p>2 A. I trust you. I've never done that math.</p> <p>3 Q. But it's a pretty large area, isn't it? A 500</p> <p>4 foot radius?</p> <p>5 A. Yes, sir.</p> <p>6 Q. Okay. We've already talked about the other</p> <p>7 businesses that are open during late night hours that we</p> <p>8 didn't -- you didn't take into account. I'm not going to</p> <p>9 go over that again.</p> <p>10 Going to bump that.</p> <p>11 Okay. So let's talk about this bar chart on</p> <p>12 page COD-041.</p> <p>13 A. Okay.</p> <p>14 Q. And it's a chart of violent crime offenses for</p> <p>15 the three year period 2019 through 2021, correct?</p> <p>16 A. Correct.</p> <p>17 Q. And you have at the bottom there -- and we'll</p> <p>18 talk about the bars themselves. But you say at the</p> <p>19 bottom, "From 2019 to 2021 book stores accounted for five</p> <p>20 percent of violent crime offenses from 10 p.m. to two</p> <p>21 p.m. and five percent of offenses from two a.m. to six</p> <p>22 a.m." Do you see that?</p> <p>23 A. Yes.</p> <p>24 Q. Okay. Are those your words?</p> <p>25 A. Yes, sir.</p>
Page 79	Page 80
<p>1 Q. Okay. So when you say accounted for, am I to</p> <p>2 understand correctly that what you really mean is that</p> <p>3 there were reported crime incidents that occurred -- a</p> <p>4 certain number of crime incidents occurred within a 500</p> <p>5 foot radius of an adult book store, for example?</p> <p>6 A. Yes.</p> <p>7 Q. That's what you mean by accounted for?</p> <p>8 A. Absolutely.</p> <p>9 Q. You're not saying that they did something wrong</p> <p>10 themselves?</p> <p>11 A. No, sir.</p> <p>12 Q. Or that the crime was actually related to the</p> <p>13 existence of the store?</p> <p>14 A. Yes, I don't know that. I just know that it was</p> <p>15 the 500 foot radius.</p> <p>16 Q. Okay. So for adult book stores, there was no</p> <p>17 difference between the two time frames on this chart,</p> <p>18 correct?</p> <p>19 A. Correct.</p> <p>20 Q. And, in fact, within a 500 foot radius of --</p> <p>21 what did we decide there were? Nine adult book stores or</p> <p>22 10?</p> <p>23 There are nine adult book stores, correct?</p> <p>24 A. Yes, sir.</p> <p>25 Q. Over the three year period there were only 11</p>	<p>1 reported violent crimes within 500 feet of all nine of</p> <p>2 those bookstores?</p> <p>3 A. Yes, sir.</p> <p>4 Q. So we're talking about, if you round it up to</p> <p>5 12, you're talking about four reported incidents a year,</p> <p>6 correct?</p> <p>7 A. Sounds about right, yes, sir.</p> <p>8 Q. And we're talking about all nine of them, not</p> <p>9 just four incidents at one particular establishment.</p> <p>10 This accounts for all nine of the adult book stores, the</p> <p>11 500 foot radius over that three year period, correct?</p> <p>12 A. Correct. I do not know if one book store</p> <p>13 accounted for it or they were equally spread out across</p> <p>14 all of them.</p> <p>15 Q. Okay. But the statement that I made is correct?</p> <p>16 A. The statement that you made is correct.</p> <p>17 Q. Then we go to nude cabarets, and there you</p> <p>18 have -- they accounted for nine percent of violent crime</p> <p>19 offenses. Let me make sure I understand what you're</p> <p>20 saying. What is that nine percent supposed to mean?</p> <p>21 A. So taking all of the violent offenses at those</p> <p>22 locations from 10 p.m. to two a.m., they account for nine</p> <p>23 percent of that. Then again for 10 p.m. to two a.m.,</p> <p>24 taking all those time frames into account, accounted for</p> <p>25 33 percent of the violent crime offenses.</p>

20 (Pages 77 to 80)

214-324-3733

Production@victorycourtreporting.com

<p style="text-align: right;">Page 81</p> <p>1 Q. So again we're talking about a universe of 2 reported incidents of crime, violent crime, that occurred 3 during an eight hour period between 10 p.m. and six a.m. 4 over a three year period within 500 foot radius of these 5 locations? 6 A. That is correct. 7 Q. We're not talking about the entire violent 8 crimes reported for the entire city during that time 9 period? 10 A. No, sir. 11 Q. Nor for any other districts? 12 A. No, sir. 13 Q. And we're not talking about how many crime 14 incidents were reported during the other 16 hours of the 15 day during those three years, correct? 16 A. Not on this slide, no, sir. 17 Q. And we're saying that in 2019 through 2021 there 18 were a total of 66 reports of violent crime within 500 19 feet of the nine -- I think we came up with nine new 20 cabarets, correct? 21 A. Yes, sir. 22 Q. And if you do the math over a three year period, 23 that would mean that there was a report of a violent 24 crime every 16 and a half days during that eight hour 25 period.</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 82</p> <p>1 MS. JORDAN: Objection to the form of the 2 question. It assumes facts not in evidence. 3 Q. (BY MR. MURRAY) Correct? 4 A. Yes, sir. 5 Q. And that's every 16 and a half days at one of 6 the nine nude cabarets, correct? 7 MS. JORDAN: Objection. Form of the question. 8 Assumes facts not in evidence. 9 A. Yes, sir. 10 Q. Then we talk about the topless clubs. There we 11 have 15 percent during the 10 to two a.m. and 22 percent 12 two a.m. to six a.m., correct? 13 A. Yes, sir. 14 Q. And again we're talking about a total of 45 over 15 a three year period, correct? 16 A. Yes, sir. 17 Q. That might mean mathematically one incident 18 every 24 days at one of the 10 clubs? 19 MS. JORDAN: Objection. Form of question. 20 Mischaracterizes the evidence. 21 A. Yes, sir. 22 Q. (BY MR. MURRAY) Again, we can check the math 23 later on. And if it needs to be corrected, I'm sure 24 you'll correct me. I don't claim to be foolproof on 25 that, believe me. But I think that's what it shows.</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">Page 83</p> <p>1 Okay. And the other thing that's interesting is 2 the clubs that were closed actually had an increase from 3 seven to 13 in the number of violent offenses that were 4 reported within 500 feet of those closed locations, 5 correct? 6 MS. JORDAN: Objection. Mischaracterizes the 7 evidence. 8 A. No, sir. In fact, I don't know if they were a 9 business that was operating that had a license, but not 10 functioning as an SOB. That could explain it. I don't 11 know where they come from. The vacant lot versus an open 12 business just has an SOB and not using it. But they're 13 listed as nonoperational, so either way they were not 14 functioning -- they were one of the clubs not functioning 15 as an SOB, but had an SOB license. 16 Q. Right. But going back to the list on the 17 preceding page, there was a description that showed that 18 the first two were closed down. The third was not 19 operating. The fourth was not operating. The fifth was 20 a vacant strip mall. The six was an empty lot, and the 21 seventh was not operating. That's what your list showed, 22 correct? 23 A. Correct. 24 Q. So the only information we have in these 25 documents is that these nonoperational locations had an</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 84</p> <p>1 increase in crime from seven to 13 in reported incidents 2 during the two a.m. to six a.m. period, right? 3 A. That's correct. 4 Q. Let's then go to the next one, violent crime 5 arrests. And we see this is violent crime arrests for 6 2019 through 2021, right? 7 A. Yes, sir. 8 Q. And we see that in the case of the nine adult 9 book stores, again, the violent crime arrests within 500 10 foot radius of them went down from five to two, correct, 11 in that period of time? 12 A. Yes, sir. 13 Q. And the numbers were very small to begin with. 14 Five over the course of three years within a 500 foot 15 radius is a pretty small number, wouldn't you agree? 16 A. Yes, sir. 17 Q. And then we have the full nude cabarets. The 10 18 of them had only 11 arrests within 500 feet of their 19 locations for that entire three year period, correct? 20 A. Correct. 21 Q. And then the topless clubs had seven within a 22 500 foot radius of them, violent crime arrests within 500 23 feet of their location, correct? 24 A. Correct. 25 Q. A little over two a year, correct?</p>

Page 85

1 A. Yes, sir.  
2 Q. And they may have had nothing to do with the  
3 operation of the sexually oriented business, correct?  
4 A. May not have, that's correct.  
5 Q. And then the nonoperational ones just went from  
6 one to one, correct?  
7 A. Yes, sir.  
8 Q. Okay. So then -- and, again, I just want to  
9 make sure that the record is clear. The violent crime  
10 arrests on that bar graph, what we're analyzing is the  
11 fact that during the eight hour period between 10 p.m.  
12 and six a.m. of the -- of all of the reported incidents  
13 of violent crime that occurred within 500 feet of these  
14 respective locations, that being the universe, a certain  
15 percentage of those occurred between 10 and two, and the  
16 rest occurred between two and six, correct?  
17 A. Correct.  
18 Q. Tells us nothing about what happened the other  
19 18 hours of the day, correct?  
20 A. Correct.  
21 Q. And does nothing to compare it with other  
22 businesses, correct?  
23 A. Correct.  
24 Q. Or other areas of the city?  
25 A. Correct.

Page 87

1 A. That's correct.  
2 Q. And we're not accounting for the fact that there  
3 are other businesses within the same 500 foot radius  
4 other than sexually oriented businesses, correct?  
5 A. Correct.  
6 Q. And we're not comparing it to any other areas of  
7 the city.  
8 A. Correct.  
9 Q. Okay. So, for example, the adult book stores,  
10 there were 56 calls within -- of this kind within 500  
11 feet of the 10 -- I'm sorry, the nine adult book stores,  
12 correct?  
13 A. Correct.  
14 Q. And for the full nude clubs, there were 60 calls  
15 within two a.m. to six a.m. period, correct?  
16 A. Correct.  
17 Q. 20 a year for three years, right?  
18 MS. JORDAN: Objection. Mischaracterizes the  
19 evidence.  
20 A. Yes, sir.  
21 Q. Which would be a little over two times a year  
22 for each of the nine clubs, the 500 foot radius  
23 surrounding the 9 clubs on average.  
24 A. Yes, sir.  
25 Q. Okay. And then the topless clubs, again they

Page 86

1 Q. Okay. Then we go to priority one calls which is  
2 on the next page, COD-043. And I think -- why don't you  
3 just give us the definition of a priority one call so  
4 that the record is clear?  
5 A. Dispatch has a way of prioritizing calls they  
6 send off. So priorities ones, twos, threes, and fours,  
7 ones being the greatest called. They are the typically  
8 code three calls. For instance, a shooting, a cutting, a  
9 kidnapping in progress, a burglary in progress, stealing  
10 a car. UUMV will be called in progress.  
11 So some sort of felony or violent crime offense  
12 that's in progress, that would generate a priority one  
13 response.  
14 Q. Okay.  
15 A. Lights and sirens.  
16 Q. Okay. And again, the same parameters exist.  
17 Number one, we're talking about a 500 foot radius  
18 surrounding the footprint of the buildings on which  
19 sexually oriented businesses are licensed.  
20 A. Correct.  
21 Q. Okay. And we're talking about the universe of  
22 priority calls between 10 p.m. and six a.m.  
23 A. Correct.  
24 Q. We're not accounting for priority one calls the  
25 remaining 18 hours of the day?

Page 88

1 had 84 within the 500 foot radius for the three year  
2 period, correct?  
3 A. Yes, sir.  
4 Q. And that's for 10 clubs, according to our chart,  
5 correct?  
6 A. Correct.  
7 Q. And that would be less than again on average  
8 three calls a year of this kind on average for each of  
9 the 10 clubs.  
10 A. Correct.  
11 Q. Now, on these -- on these various bar charts  
12 starting with what we've just gone over.  
13 A. Yes, sir.  
14 Q. Pages 41, 42, and 43, did you make any effort to  
15 calculate how many of the numbers associated with these  
16 bar charts these events occurred when the sexually  
17 oriented business whose 500 foot radius was at issue was  
18 actually open for business between the hours of two a.m.  
19 and six a.m.?  
20 A. No, sir.  
21 Q. And you don't know how many of these events  
22 occurred when the business in question was actually  
23 closed between the hours of two a.m. and six a.m.  
24 A. No, sir.  
25 Q. For all you know, a significant percentage of

Page 89	Page 90
<p>1 these events could have occurred while the businesses 2 were closed, correct? 3 A. Correct. 4 Q. Okay. So then let's go to page 44. And now we 5 talk about all offenses for the three year period during 6 the eight hour period of 10 p.m. to six a.m., correct? 7 A. Yes, sir. 8 Q. Okay. And the same analysis with the same 9 parameters that we discussed in the preceding pages is 10 what this set of bar graphs displays, correct? 11 A. Yes, sir. 12 Q. Okay. So, for example, when you look at the 13 adult book stores again, there's virtually no change 14 between the two time periods. 51 incidents within the 15 500 foot radius between 10 and two and 52 between ten and 16 two, correct? 17 A. That's correct. 18 Q. And then again for the all nude clubs you're 19 talking about 188 reported incidents during that four 20 hour period over the course of three years for the 10 21 topless clubs, correct? I'm sorry. Nude clubs. 22 A. Correct. 23 Q. And then you're talking about the -- for the 24 topless clubs it's 121 during that three year period, 25 correct?</p>	<p>1 A. Correct. 2 Q. And that's actually down considerably from the 3 230 that corresponds to the 10 p.m. to two a.m. period, 4 correct? 5 A. Yes, sir, it is fewer. 6 Q. And the nonoperational clubs actually went from 7 34 to 38 while they were closed, correct? 8 A. Well, while they were nonoperational, yes, sir. 9 Q. Okay. Then when we go to the next graph, we're 10 talking about all arrests for the three year period. And 11 again the same parameters. We're talking about the 12 universe of incidents that occurred between that -- 13 during that eight hour period within a 500 foot radius of 14 the locations of the either 28 or I guess 35 potential 15 sexually oriented business locations, correct? 16 A. Yes, sir. 17 Q. And again we see with adult book stores it went 18 down during the two a.m. to six a.m. hours, correct? 19 A. Yes, sir. 20 Q. From 175 to 155. 21 A. Yes, sir. 22 Q. The charts seem to show consistently that 23 there's no -- no significant difference -- negative 24 difference between the 10 p.m. and two a.m. hours and two 25 a.m. and six a.m. for the adult book stores, wouldn't you</p>
Page 91	Page 92
<p>1 agree with that? 2 A. For the book stores? Yes, sir. 3 Q. Okay. So then we go to the full nude cabarets. 4 The difference there between 10 p.m. and the two a.m. 5 charts, 274 to 305, correct? 6 A. Correct. 7 Q. Okay. Did you ascertain whether that difference 8 was statistically significant? 9 A. No, I did not. 10 Q. Then we see that the topless clubs, the arrests 11 went down from 334 all the way by about 80 or so to 260, 12 correct, during the two a.m. to six a.m. time frame, 13 correct? 14 A. Correct. 15 Q. And then the nonoperational entities went from 16 48 up to 52, correct? 17 A. Correct. 18 Q. And then on the all calls, these are calls for 19 service, correct? 20 A. Yes, sir. 21 Q. And again we're same parameters. We're talking 22 about the studying the eight hour period, correct? 23 A. Yes, sir. 24 Q. We're studying the entire universe of reported 25 calls for service that occurred within the 500 foot</p>	<p>1 radius of these locations during that eight hour period. 2 A. Yes, sir. 3 Q. And then we're determining what percentage of 4 those or how many of those occurred between 10 and two 5 and how many between two and six, correct? 6 A. That is correct. 7 Q. Okay. That's what this chart shows as well for 8 calls for service, correct? 9 A. Yes, sir. 10 Q. All right. And the book stores, there's very 11 slight difference of 513 to 530, correct? 12 A. Yes, sir. 13 Q. At the full nude, it goes from 532 to 585, 14 correct? 15 A. Yes, sir. 16 Q. At the topless it goes from 936 to 1135; is that 17 correct? 18 A. Correct. 19 Q. You didn't determine whether any of those 20 differences were statistically significant? 21 A. I did not. 22 Q. Then the nonoperational clubs finally went down 23 a little bit from 183 to 145, correct? 24 A. Correct. 25 Q. Okay. Now, the next chart shows the breakdown</p>

23 (Pages 89 to 92)

214-324-3733

Production@victorycourtreporting.com

Page 93	Page 94
<p>1 in crime victims, correct, for the three year period?</p> <p>2 A. Correct.</p> <p>3 Q. Is that for the whole city?</p> <p>4 A. No. Again, the SOB data just as we've been</p> <p>5 discussing.</p> <p>6 Q. Okay. And what about the next -- so the next</p> <p>7 chart would be the same. You're only talking about the</p> <p>8 breakdown of victims within the 500 foot radius of the 35</p> <p>9 locations?</p> <p>10 A. Yes, sir. Those two charts are identical. Just</p> <p>11 one's a pie chart, one is a fire chart.</p> <p>12 Q. Then the next one is all arrestees, all the</p> <p>13 citizens that were arrested, correct?</p> <p>14 A. Correct.</p> <p>15 Q. Again, are we talking only about the 500 foot</p> <p>16 radius?</p> <p>17 A. Yes, sir.</p> <p>18 Q. Not the entire city?</p> <p>19 A. No, sir.</p> <p>20 Q. Okay. And I see that -- so 48 percent of the</p> <p>21 persons who were arrested were black; is that correct?</p> <p>22 A. Yes, sir.</p> <p>23 Q. Is that correct. 29 percent were Hispanic or</p> <p>24 Latino?</p> <p>25 A. Yes, sir.</p>	<p>1 Q. So 77 percent of all the arrestees were either</p> <p>2 black or Hispanic or Latino?</p> <p>3 A. Yes, sir.</p> <p>4 Q. How does that correspond with the rest of the</p> <p>5 city, do you know?</p> <p>6 A. No, I don't know since I only compared with the</p> <p>7 crime victims at SOB related locations. I didn't look at</p> <p>8 across the city.</p> <p>9 Q. And then the last chart shows, for example, that</p> <p>10 during that three year period showed 1,001 of the</p> <p>11 arrestees were black citizens; is that right?</p> <p>12 A. Yes, sir.</p> <p>13 Q. And 594 were Hispanic or Latino; is that</p> <p>14 correct?</p> <p>15 A. Correct.</p> <p>16 Q. And only 467 were white citizens?</p> <p>17 A. Correct.</p> <p>18 Q. Okay. Now, this is where it gets a little bit</p> <p>19 tedious. You'll have to bear with me. I'm going to go</p> <p>20 as quickly as I can.</p> <p>21 I want to show you what's been marked as</p> <p>22 Plaintiff's Exhibit 4. I've got a copy for you.</p> <p>23 Can I have that back for a moment?</p> <p>24 A. Yes.</p> <p>25 Q. Did I give you the original? I think I gave</p>
Page 95	Page 96
<p>1 Ana the original?</p> <p>2 MS. JORDAN: Do you want these back?</p> <p>3 MR. MURRAY: Why don't you just give it to the</p> <p>4 lieutenant, and I'll give you a different copy.</p> <p>5 Q. (BY MR. MURRAY) Okay. So my only question</p> <p>6 about this Plaintiff's Exhibit 4, these documents -- I</p> <p>7 will tell you they were attached to the City's</p> <p>8 response -- an early response that they filed that</p> <p>9 included Plaintiff's Exhibits 2 and 3. But part of</p> <p>10 Exhibit 2 included these pages.</p> <p>11 Should they have been there? Do these have</p> <p>12 anything to do with the case as far as you know?</p> <p>13 MS. JORDAN: I can tell you that was a mistake.</p> <p>14 They attached the entire agenda with this particular, and</p> <p>15 that should not have been attached.</p> <p>16 MR. MURRAY: So we can ignore --</p> <p>17 MS. JORDAN: There are some documents within</p> <p>18 there that are relevant, but they shouldn't have attached</p> <p>19 the whole agenda.</p> <p>20 Q. (BY MR. MURRAY) Okay. Why don't you take a</p> <p>21 look at these documents, lieutenant, and tell me if there</p> <p>22 are any -- which, if any, of them you think are relevant</p> <p>23 to the question of whether -- of the passage of this</p> <p>24 ordinance closing the businesses between the hours of two</p> <p>25 and six.</p>	<p>1 MS. JORDAN: I'm sorry. It doesn't look like</p> <p>2 anything is wrong there.</p> <p>3 MR. MURRAY: Okay. Let's move on. I didn't</p> <p>4 think so, but it was there so I thought I better ask.</p> <p>5 Q. (BY MR. MURRAY) So now I want to show you what</p> <p>6 has been marked as Plaintiff's Exhibit 5 and ask you if</p> <p>7 you can identify that document.</p> <p>8 A. This would have come out of your office. It</p> <p>9 looks like the attachments of everything that went</p> <p>10 into -- that I had sent as part of the response to</p> <p>11 your -- to this action, but I didn't send it.</p> <p>12 Q. Okay. It appears to me this is a description of</p> <p>13 documents that were produced to us that represent the</p> <p>14 underlying data that went into the presentation that you</p> <p>15 and I have just been talking about.</p> <p>16 A. Yes, sir.</p> <p>17 Q. Okay. So you're familiar with the underlying</p> <p>18 documents?</p> <p>19 A. Yes.</p> <p>20 Q. Okay. Now, one of the -- the first thing,</p> <p>21 though, that was attached was -- I'm going to show you</p> <p>22 Plaintiff's Exhibit 6.</p> <p>23 And can you tell me what, if any, relevance you</p> <p>24 think this has -- Plaintiff's Exhibit 6 has to the slide</p> <p>25 show and the presentation that you and I have just been</p>



Page 97	Page 98
<p>1 talking about.</p> <p>2 A. Yes, sir. So as you'll see on page -- I guess</p> <p>3 it's the second page -- third page, I'm sorry. Under the</p> <p>4 General Order 70401, Assignment of Elements, those are a</p> <p>5 list of calls that on the left side would be considered</p> <p>6 priority one if they're in progress.</p> <p>7 If you pull over to, then, 70403, next page,</p> <p>8 emergency calls, you'll see what I'm talking about as far</p> <p>9 as in progress and all the calls.</p> <p>10 So every call listed on there if it comes out</p> <p>11 from dispatch or is dispatched, it comes out as a</p> <p>12 priority one, code three response call.</p> <p>13 Q. Okay. So this just helps explain to us how to</p> <p>14 understand priority one.</p> <p>15 A. Right.</p> <p>16 Q. Thank you. Then let me show you what's been</p> <p>17 marked as Plaintiff's Exhibit 7. I'll ask you to help us</p> <p>18 understand how that fits into the presentation that you</p> <p>19 participated in with city council.</p> <p>20 A. So this is the dispatch SOP, what we -- how they</p> <p>21 function in this particular part of the SOP related to</p> <p>22 calls. You'll see there, particularly just on the last</p> <p>23 page, that 502.1, Number 2, Priority calls are dispatched</p> <p>24 in a higher priority than priority two, and so forth.</p> <p>25 So that means that if a priority one calls comes</p>	<p>1 in and there's priority twos and threes and fours that</p> <p>2 were about to be dispatched, that priority one is</p> <p>3 dispatched ahead of them even though it's been sitting</p> <p>4 there the least amount of time.</p> <p>5 So together with the general orders from the</p> <p>6 Dallas Police Department and, of course, the SOP from</p> <p>7 dispatch kind of describes the priority call system as we</p> <p>8 talked about that.</p> <p>9 Q. Thank you. Okay. Then let me show you next</p> <p>10 what has been marked as Plaintiff's Exhibit 8.</p> <p>11 And if you look back at Plaintiff's Exhibit 5,</p> <p>12 Plaintiff's Exhibit 8 corresponds with what looks like</p> <p>13 item 3 on Plaintiff's Exhibit 5, which is the data</p> <p>14 beginning with COD page 10.</p> <p>15 Hold on to that letter.</p> <p>16 A. What did you say? Plaintiff's Exhibit 3?</p> <p>17 Q. If you go to Plaintiff's Exhibit 5, which is the</p> <p>18 email.</p> <p>19 A. Okay. Got it.</p> <p>20 Q. So you'll see that there are 10 items listed in</p> <p>21 that.</p> <p>22 A. Yes, sir.</p> <p>23 Q. Okay. Item number three says, Entertainment raw</p> <p>24 data redacted to COD 10 through 5287. Do you see that?</p> <p>25 A. Yes, sir.</p>
Page 99	Page 100
<p>1 Q. And Plaintiff's Exhibit 8 you will see is page</p> <p>2 10 COD. Do you see the page number on the -- Lieutenant,</p> <p>3 right here.</p> <p>4 A. Okay. Yes, sir.</p> <p>5 Q. So this is the first page of that group of</p> <p>6 documents, correct?</p> <p>7 A. Yes, sir.</p> <p>8 Q. Okay.</p> <p>9 MS. JORDAN: Just to let him know. We added the</p> <p>10 Bates Numbers. Those are not your Bates numbers. We put</p> <p>11 it in a PDF style.</p> <p>12 THE WITNESS: Okay.</p> <p>13 MS. JORDAN: And added a format and added the</p> <p>14 Bates Numbers so we could keep track of it.</p> <p>15 THE WITNESS: Okay.</p> <p>16 Q. (BY MR. MURRAY) So I just want to have an</p> <p>17 opportunity to understand some of these documents that</p> <p>18 were provided. I'm not going to go through -- what have</p> <p>19 we got 7,500 pages?</p> <p>20 MR. ALBRIGHT: And 66.</p> <p>21 Q. (BY MR. MURRAY) But I do want to understand at</p> <p>22 least the general parameters of these documents.</p> <p>23 So looking at Plaintiff's Exhibit 8, can you</p> <p>24 tell me what it is?</p> <p>25 A. Yes. What it does is indicate that the location</p>	<p>1 is the entertainment district locations are what follow</p> <p>2 as far as calls.</p> <p>3 Q. So these are calls --</p> <p>4 A. Let me see. Daily arrests and offenses,</p> <p>5 charges.</p> <p>6 So this is -- appears to be it's called missed</p> <p>7 calls that are attached here.</p> <p>8 Q. Yes, because it consists of -- the total thing</p> <p>9 consists of 5,277 pages.</p> <p>10 But this is the underlying data that you used,</p> <p>11 correct?</p> <p>12 A. Yes, sir.</p> <p>13 Q. And you would have spit this information out at</p> <p>14 some point, correct?</p> <p>15 A. Yes, sir.</p> <p>16 Q. Okay. And so is this data involving calls for</p> <p>17 service? What exactly does this set of data consist of?</p> <p>18 A. This data is calls for service.</p> <p>19 Q. Okay. And it's calls for service. Now, the</p> <p>20 first page lists these locations. What does that mean?</p> <p>21 Lower Greenville, Uptown --</p> <p>22 A. That's the name -- I'm sorry. I didn't mean to</p> <p>23 interrupt.</p> <p>24 Q. Go ahead.</p> <p>25 A. That's the name of the five entertainment</p>

25 (Pages 97 to 100)

214-324-3733

Production@victorycourtreporting.com



Page 101	Page 102
<p>1 districts that we pulled that you have in your maps. So</p> <p>2 Lower Greenville, Uptown, Deep Ellum, Bishop Arts, and</p> <p>3 Trinity Grove are the names of five independent districts</p> <p>4 thought the city.</p> <p>5 Q. I see. Then go to the next page, page Bates</p> <p>6 stamped number 11. And there's a whole list of columns.</p> <p>7 Do you see that?</p> <p>8 A. Yes, sir.</p> <p>9 Q. Okay. So the first column is A, and it says</p> <p>10 area. So it's the area. I think I understand that.</p> <p>11 Master Incident Number. What would that be? A</p> <p>12 call for service number.</p> <p>13 A. Whenever -- it could be, yes. So any time</p> <p>14 there's a police incident generated, whether the police</p> <p>15 do a traffic stop or if they are flagged down by a</p> <p>16 citizen. Anytime they get on a radio and say, I'm out on</p> <p>17 something, or they're dispatched on a call that generates</p> <p>18 an incident number. So that incident number shows you</p> <p>19 that the police were officially at or sent to a location.</p> <p>20 Q. And then the response date is just that, the</p> <p>21 date they responded, correct?</p> <p>22 A. Yes, sir.</p> <p>23 Q. The response time, that seems -- what does that</p> <p>24 mean? Because there's a lot of zeros.</p> <p>25 A. No, I just don't think that come across in this</p>	<p>1 form. So the cells, when you click in them, you get this</p> <p>2 full-time 100 hour clock. I'm not sure why it came out</p> <p>3 that way.</p> <p>4 Q. Okay. So that doesn't really matter to our</p> <p>5 analysis, is that what you're saying, at this juncture?</p> <p>6 A. No, sir.</p> <p>7 Q. But then it says time group, 10 p.m. to two a.m.</p> <p>8 So we pretty much know what that means, correct?</p> <p>9 A. Yes, sir. You're using the time stamp and the</p> <p>10 response date that has the full date and time. I pulled</p> <p>11 out the individual time groups.</p> <p>12 Q. Okay. And then the watch and the number one is</p> <p>13 in this column. What is the watch?</p> <p>14 A. Watches refer to time shifts or shifts that an</p> <p>15 officer works. So one would be the late night shift,</p> <p>16 generally 11 to 12 to seven to eight. They have two</p> <p>17 shift, 11 to seven, 12 to eight. This is fair watch.</p> <p>18 Second is day time. And three is third watch which is</p> <p>19 evening, three to elevenish.</p> <p>20 Q. Then G is the problem, and that's just a short</p> <p>21 description of what the call for service was about?</p> <p>22 A. Right.</p> <p>23 Q. The priority number is what you and I talked</p> <p>24 about a moment ago?</p> <p>25 A. Yes, sir.</p>
Page 103	Page 104
<p>1 Q. This one happened to be a priority three, the</p> <p>2 first one on the list, correct?</p> <p>3 A. Yes, sir.</p> <p>4 Q. And then the priority description is just more</p> <p>5 information about the call?</p> <p>6 A. Well, that's how they're listed. Ones are</p> <p>7 emergencies, two urgent, four noncritical. It's telling</p> <p>8 you what the -- it's a useless call, but it tells you</p> <p>9 what it means by one, two, three, or four.</p> <p>10 Q. Okay. Then the location name. Why are some of</p> <p>11 those blank? Just because it doesn't have a name --</p> <p>12 A. Right, it may have had no location or the person</p> <p>13 was driving when they called so they didn't know where</p> <p>14 they were at at the time.</p> <p>15 Q. And then what's -- and then the address is the</p> <p>16 address for the call for service?</p> <p>17 A. Wherever it came out or they found the person or</p> <p>18 that the dispatcher 911 operator finally decided that's</p> <p>19 the address where they're calling from or to.</p> <p>20 Q. And then RA, what does that mean?</p> <p>21 A. That's a Reporting Area. City's divided up into</p> <p>22 smaller segments than beats called Reporting Areas.</p> <p>23 Q. And then the division is just which division of</p> <p>24 the police department?</p> <p>25 A. Central. Yes, that's one of the seven</p>	<p>1 divisions.</p> <p>2 Q. And the sector is what?</p> <p>3 A. The sector, in each division there's four to</p> <p>4 five sectors, and then each sector has five to seven</p> <p>5 beats. So it's just the smaller division sector beat.</p> <p>6 That's how that column looks.</p> <p>7 Q. Okay. So that goes on, as you can see, for</p> <p>8 5,000 -- over 5,000 pages.</p> <p>9 So that would be the calls for service for the</p> <p>10 entertainment districts, correct?</p> <p>11 A. Yes, sir.</p> <p>12 Q. But I thought that you said when you did the</p> <p>13 comparison, you were comparing incidents, not calls for</p> <p>14 service between the 500 foot radius of the surrounding</p> <p>15 sexually oriented businesses and the entertainment</p> <p>16 districts.</p> <p>17 A. I didn't compare incidents. I compared offenses</p> <p>18 or arrests or calls. So while each one of those will</p> <p>19 generate an incident number, there's always going to be</p> <p>20 an incident number attached. I didn't look at incident</p> <p>21 numbers across those.</p> <p>22 Q. Okay. Let's go back to Plaintiff's Exhibit 2</p> <p>23 and go to page 24.</p> <p>24 A. Okay.</p> <p>25 Q. And that was the comparison for violent crimes</p>

26 (Pages 101 to 104)

214-324-3733

Production@victorycourtreporting.com

Page 105

1 by time period between the entertainment districts and  
2 the 500 foot radius of sexually oriented businesses?  
3 A. Right.  
4 Q. So those aren't calls for service. Those are  
5 actual --  
6 A. Violent offenses reports, yes.  
7 Q. Okay. So the 5,000 pages that are represented  
8 by item number three are not going to give us the  
9 information that goes on that chart, is it?  
10 A. No.  
11 Q. Because those were -- okay. So what was the  
12 relevance of the calls for service -- the 5,000 pages of  
13 the calls for service for the entertainment districts?  
14 A. To compare calls of service. And there's time  
15 frames in the entertainment districts compared to the SOB  
16 locations.  
17 Q. I see. Okay. That was page 30. I got you,  
18 okay, of Exhibit 2, correct?  
19 A. Well, 30 for the entertainment district.  
20 Q. Okay. So that's what those 5,000 plus pages  
21 represented.  
22 So then number four would be -- it's called SOB  
23 Crime, 500, raw data redacted. And it's a smaller number  
24 of pages. So let's take a look at it Exhibit No. 9.  
25 MS. JORDAN: You gave me 9.

Page 107

1 data I asked for, they included pivot tables. However,  
2 they have these filtered somehow that doesn't come  
3 across.  
4 I didn't look at the pivot tables. I simply  
5 took the raw data and dumped it into a statistical  
6 package. So this was just part of the entire  
7 spreadsheet.  
8 Q. But I notice that on this first page the  
9 severance is to the NIBRS system rather than the UCR?  
10 A. That's correct.  
11 Q. But I thought you said you used UCR because it  
12 was easier to use for the analysis that you did?  
13 A. I did. I used the UCR codes or the definitions  
14 for violent crimes or property crimes. I did.  
15 Q. Okay. Well, is this -- if you go to the third  
16 page -- let me ask it this way. Why is this referring to  
17 NIBRS on the first page?  
18 A. Because that's our system, our NIBRS system. So  
19 when he pulls it, he would go in and look for NIBRS  
20 offenses. So with NIBRS offenses, again as I explained  
21 the differences, is you could have -- we'll go back again  
22 to the number. One incident, same victim, but the victim  
23 could have two or three offenses or crimes that happened  
24 to that one person. The old UCR system didn't  
25 necessarily pick up those numbers. This NIBRS system

Page 106

1 MR. MURRAY: I gave you 9. Okay.  
2 Q. Okay. So Plaintiff's Exhibit 9 begins with  
3 Bates stamped number 005288. Do you see that?  
4 A. Yes, sir.  
5 Q. Okay. And that happens to be the first page of  
6 the category four on Plaintiff's Exhibit 5 which is  
7 called SOB Crime, 500, raw data.  
8 So can you tell me what information Plaintiff's  
9 Exhibit 9 is supposed to contain?  
10 A. It's just the offenses -- yes, offenses.  
11 Crimes, offenses. So this would have been used for the  
12 comparison in the offenses.  
13 Q. What comparison?  
14 A. The entertainment districts. I'm sorry. I  
15 thought you handed me the another entertainment district.  
16 No, this is the list of offenses that I had for  
17 the way I pulled out violent crimes, property crimes, so  
18 forth. So this would have been what the data field  
19 originally looked like when I got it.  
20 Q. Could you go to the first page of Plaintiff's  
21 Exhibit 9?  
22 A. Um-hum.  
23 Q. So tell me what this chart is on the first page.  
24 A. What this is is a poorly printed out version of  
25 the pivot table. So when the crime analyst sent me the

Page 108

1 does.  
2 Now, the reports are kind of the same. B&B is a  
3 B&B. A robbery is a robbery. It's just you might have  
4 multiple offenses on one number when that occurs.  
5 Q. Right. But I thought you said for that reason  
6 you asked the testimony to spit out the UCR data rather  
7 than the NIBRS data.  
8 A. No, sir. I just the UCR definitions for  
9 aggravated assault, robbery, and so forth.  
10 Q. And plugged them into the NIBRS system or use  
11 the NIBRS system --  
12 A. I used the definitions to pull these offenses  
13 out.  
14 Q. But since NIBRS could take a single incident and  
15 turn it into five offenses, when you were giving us the  
16 numbers on, for example, aggravated assaults, was that  
17 the UCR, one incident means one event, or was that the  
18 NIBRS where one incident could mean five crimes?  
19 A. So when I pulled the data originally -- and I  
20 believe this is what I have here is what I use -- I  
21 pulled out duplicates. So you just highlight the field  
22 for it, say, Numbers remove duplicates. And you'll get  
23 the highest offense that occurred.  
24 For instance, if I commit an offense against  
25 you, and I have three or four crimes. So I've robbed

Page 109

1 you, and then did two other things. Maybe damaged your  
2 car, stole your car.  
3 Q. Please don't that that.  
4 A. So you're the victim, and all three of those  
5 crimes would show up. When I run that, I would have  
6 three crimes at that one location.  
7 So to kind of limit that as best I could, I just  
8 removed duplicates. So if an incident number showed up  
9 more than once, I removed it.  
10 Q. Okay. So in the end you used the UCR version by  
11 that method?  
12 A. Yes, sir.  
13 Q. Okay. So the first two pages of this  
14 Plaintiff's Exhibit 9 is really not something that you  
15 used, is that what you're telling me?  
16 A. The first two -- right. No, I didn't use any of  
17 those pivot tables.  
18 Q. Okay. What about the third page? What is that?  
19 I think you're too far in.  
20 What is that page?  
21 A. It looks an extension of their pivot tables.  
22 Q. Okay. So we can ignore that for our purposes  
23 and focus -- start with the next page?  
24 A. Yes, sir.  
25 Q. Because that's the data that you used beginning

Page 111

1 A. It's just a way of IDing -- I don't know.  
2 Q. Okay. The watch you talked about. What about  
3 signal?  
4 A. Signal is the call that it originally come out  
5 are that the officer generated themselves. For instance,  
6 you have a five eight called routine investigations.  
7 Those are almost always police officers getting flagged  
8 down by citizens on the street versus a 32, a suspicious  
9 person. 55 is traffic stop. Again, these officers, if  
10 they're flagged down by an individual that says, I was  
11 robbed, that 58 would become a Signal 20, robbery.  
12 Q. So are these the incidents that occurred within  
13 the 500 foot radius of the locations of the sexually  
14 oriented businesses?  
15 A. Yes, sir.  
16 Q. Okay. That you used for the bar charts that we  
17 talked about?  
18 A. Yes, sir.  
19 Q. And then you've got the officer incident under H  
20 or offense incident, just describes what it was?  
21 A. Yes, sir.  
22 Q. The premises is the next place.  
23 A. Yes, sir.  
24 Q. Things like parking, business, nightclub,  
25 highway, that kind of thing?

Page 110

1 on page 5291?  
2 A. Yes, sir.  
3 Q. Okay. And are these -- so these are incidents,  
4 not calls for service, correct?  
5 A. Correct.  
6 Q. Okay. Okay. So let's go through the  
7 categories. I'm not going to ask you to go through the  
8 content, but it begins under A with "Objected." What  
9 does that mean?  
10 A. No idea. It's some way they object ID.  
11 Q. Next is incident number. It's the same as what  
12 you told me before?  
13 A. No. This is knowing the difference the system  
14 doesn't often pull up. These are actually case numbers.  
15 So you have an incident generated when the police show up  
16 or do something. Then you have a case number if they  
17 have an actual offense that they report through our NIBRS  
18 system.  
19 Again, the case number, you could have two or  
20 three case numbers for one victim under one incident.  
21 That's what I would look for.  
22 Q. Okay. Data source is RNS NIBRS?  
23 A. Yes, sir.  
24 Q. Okay. Then we have the year. What's the  
25 service number ID mean?

Page 112

1 A. Yes, sir.  
2 Q. Now, the object attack, what does that mean?  
3 A. I don't know. When I've used it in doing  
4 reports, it is a drop down screen. It's not required.  
5 But if you -- if it was, say, a BMV, the object of the  
6 attract would have been the vehicle. It's really a  
7 useless piece of information.  
8 Q. And then there's an address?  
9 A. Yes, sir.  
10 Q. And an apartment number?  
11 A. Yes, sir.  
12 Q. Why is there an apartment number there when this  
13 occurred at a hotel?  
14 A. So there's two separate ways that could occur.  
15 First, if this hotel, motel, or area was within a 500  
16 foot radius of a club; secondly, it could be the  
17 individual called police at that location in that  
18 apartment, says, I was robbed, but I was robbed at  
19 another -- at an SOB location or vice versa. Even if  
20 they called from the SOB location, says, I was attacked  
21 at that, it may come up in the data.  
22 Q. Just for an example, this one is a robbery of  
23 an individual, according to H, correct?  
24 A. Yes, sir.  
25 Q. And the premise, it says that a hotel/motel,

Page 113	Page 114
<p>1 correct?</p> <p>2 A. Yes, sir.</p> <p>3 Q. So wouldn't that suggest that the -- that the</p> <p>4 individual was robbed at his hotel?</p> <p>5 A. No. That's where they reported it.</p> <p>6 Q. That's where who reported it?</p> <p>7 A. The person who reported the robbery.</p> <p>8 Q. Well, then, what is the address? 1625 Regal</p> <p>9 Row. Is that the address of the hotel?</p> <p>10 A. Yes, sir.</p> <p>11 Q. Well, the hotel wouldn't have an apartment</p> <p>12 number, and that's the next category.</p> <p>13 A. It would, but it has a room number.</p> <p>14 Q. Okay. So that's the room number, not an</p> <p>15 apartment number when you're talking about a hotel?</p> <p>16 A. Correct. That could be either/or.</p> <p>17 Q. This is an item that gets picked up and is</p> <p>18 assigned as within 500 foot radius of one of the adult</p> <p>19 establishments, right?</p> <p>20 A. Yes. The addresses are picked up through their</p> <p>21 GIS system. They use XY coordinates for that and then</p> <p>22 whatever address comes out. I'm not an expert anywhere</p> <p>23 near what I need to be for GIS to explain it. They use</p> <p>24 XY coordinates. They take the bar location and 500 feet,</p> <p>25 and whatever's in that XY coordinate that comes up as far</p>	<p>1 as calls, offenses, and arrests. That's what would come</p> <p>2 out.</p> <p>3 Q. But there's nothing in this page or this</p> <p>4 document for that offense that would give any indication</p> <p>5 that the existence of a sexually oriented business had</p> <p>6 something to do with that crime, is there?</p> <p>7 A. Right. You can't tell if it absolutely is</p> <p>8 because of the establishment or not.</p> <p>9 Q. Okay. If you go to the next page, again much of</p> <p>10 this is self-explanatory. There is a CFS, call for</p> <p>11 service number under column A1. So even though this was</p> <p>12 generated by NIBRS, it might have originated as a call</p> <p>13 for service, correct?</p> <p>14 A. Absolutely.</p> <p>15 Q. And then if you go to the next page, there's</p> <p>16 information about the victim, correct?</p> <p>17 A. Correct.</p> <p>18 Q. Okay. So the bottom line is that the data that</p> <p>19 is represented by beginning on Bates stamp 5288 and going</p> <p>20 all the way through 5602 would have been the crime</p> <p>21 incidents that you pulled that were within 500 foot</p> <p>22 radius of the locations of licensed sexually oriented</p> <p>23 businesses.</p> <p>24 A. Yes, sir.</p> <p>25 Q. Okay. Let's take a look at the next Exhibit No.</p>
Page 115	Page 116
<p>1 10. Plaintiffs Exhibit 10.</p> <p>2 So Plaintiff's Exhibit 10 you will see begins</p> <p>3 with Bates stamp number 5603, correct?</p> <p>4 A. Yes, sir.</p> <p>5 Q. And that corresponds on Plaintiff's Exhibit 5 to</p> <p>6 category 5, arrest charge 500, law data redacted to COD</p> <p>7 5603 through 5964.</p> <p>8 A. Yes, sir.</p> <p>9 Q. And those would be the 1682 arrests that you</p> <p>10 previously explained you collected because they occurred</p> <p>11 within 500 feet of the sexually oriented business</p> <p>12 locations?</p> <p>13 A. Yes, sir.</p> <p>14 Q. What is page one -- what does the first page of</p> <p>15 Plaintiff's Exhibit 10 tell us?</p> <p>16 A. Again, so these are partially partial pieces of</p> <p>17 the pivot table, the first three pages. So 5603 to 5605</p> <p>18 are things I didn't look at or consider because it was</p> <p>19 just a break down that I was going to do.</p> <p>20 Q. But it does break down the 2082 arrests, right?</p> <p>21 A. If that's the way they had the filtered. When</p> <p>22 they sent it to me, they had filters that stuck that I</p> <p>23 didn't change or bother with. I didn't look at the</p> <p>24 numbers and know if it came out the same or not on these</p> <p>25 pivot tables.</p>	<p>1 Q. For example, on this first page it shows 148 of</p> <p>2 the 2,082 involved social services referral. Do you see</p> <p>3 that on line five?</p> <p>4 A. Yes, sir.</p> <p>5 Q. What would that be?</p> <p>6 A. Somebody that has a mental problem that needs to</p> <p>7 go to the hospital.</p> <p>8 Q. Okay. And what would that have to do with a</p> <p>9 sexually oriented business?</p> <p>10 A. That depends on what the A Pal was for. So we</p> <p>11 have a pretty broad policy on A Pals. Somebody who's</p> <p>12 overdosed doing drugs, and they call it as an A Pal.</p> <p>13 Officers will take them there instead of detox for</p> <p>14 whatever reason. I'm not entirely sure, but that's the</p> <p>15 way it went. Whatever call was out there, that was the</p> <p>16 decision the officers made based on what they found when</p> <p>17 they got there.</p> <p>18 Q. For example, there's Assault Family Offense with</p> <p>19 Contact, 10 of those. I thought you said you excluded</p> <p>20 those in your analysis of aggravated assaults.</p> <p>21 A. I didn't use the pivot tables. I have no idea</p> <p>22 what he did or how he fielded them.</p> <p>23 Q. Right, but he came up with the same number that</p> <p>24 you did, which was 2,082.</p> <p>25 A. Yes, on that page he may have. Honestly, sir, I</p>

29 (Pages 113 to 116)

214-324-3733

Production@victorycourtreporting.com

Page 117	Page 118
<p>1 didn't even consider it.</p> <p>2 Q. Well, then, how do you know that your 2,082</p> <p>3 doesn't include the aggravated assaults involving family</p> <p>4 members?</p> <p>5 A. I don't. I just said aggravated assaults. I</p> <p>6 would have pulled all aggravated assaults.</p> <p>7 Q. Then maybe I misunderstood you. It could be my</p> <p>8 mistake. For some reason I thought you said when you</p> <p>9 analyzed the aggravated assaults, you excluded domestic</p> <p>10 type family assaults.</p> <p>11 A. No, sir.</p> <p>12 Q. You included those?</p> <p>13 A. I included all aggravated assaults, yes, sir.</p> <p>14 Q. My mistake. I take that back.</p> <p>15 You also would have included warrants. People</p> <p>16 arrested because they had an outstanding warrant?</p> <p>17 A. So if I looked at violent arrests I would have</p> <p>18 pulled out warrants for violent offenses only.</p> <p>19 Q. Sure.</p> <p>20 A. So, yes. If I looked at all the rest, that</p> <p>21 includes every warrant and every kind of arrest.</p> <p>22 Q. I got that, but within the 2,082 there seems to</p> <p>23 be a fairly sizeable number of what are called warrants,</p> <p>24 which I assume means that somebody got stopped, they</p> <p>25 checked and realized that there was a warrant on</p>	<p>1 somebody.</p> <p>2 A. No. So warrant arrests can take place at the</p> <p>3 scene and time of the arrest. I'll describe that as it's</p> <p>4 probably easiest can homicides. Almost every homicide</p> <p>5 arrest when you see it on a document like this, it shows</p> <p>6 a warrant for murder. That's because when they go and</p> <p>7 talk to the investigator, the investigators will actually</p> <p>8 walk a warrant on somebody when they put them in jail.</p> <p>9 So they just put them in for the warrant, although that</p> <p>10 offense happened that night. Robberies occur like that</p> <p>11 sometimes. If the investigator have to talk to several</p> <p>12 people, talk to the witness, talk to complainants, they</p> <p>13 find the suspect. They have him detained. They walk a</p> <p>14 warrant on him and put him in jail for the warrant for</p> <p>15 the robbery or the offense that just occurred.</p> <p>16 So it's kind of a misnomer or</p> <p>17 mischaracterization of a warrant that you would normally</p> <p>18 stop somebody and say, you know, You've been wanted for</p> <p>19 this arrest in Frisco for two years, so we're going to</p> <p>20 put you in jail. It's all kinds of warrants.</p> <p>21 Q. Take a look at page 5605.</p> <p>22 A. Yes, sir.</p> <p>23 Q. Category number 137 says there were 65 arrests</p> <p>24 for warrant, Dallas PD, alias capias?</p> <p>25 A. Yes.</p>
Page 119	Page 120
<p>1 Q. That would not have been -- that would have been</p> <p>2 somebody got arrested for something that had occurred</p> <p>3 prior to that?</p> <p>4 A. Yes, that's exactly what you originally</p> <p>5 described. Getting stopped on a stop and finding out you</p> <p>6 have traffic tickets that are warrants.</p> <p>7 Q. So right there 65 of the arrests that occurred</p> <p>8 within 500 feet of a sexually oriented business were for</p> <p>9 something that happened not that night?</p> <p>10 A. Not that night other than the traffic stop or</p> <p>11 reason for it in itself.</p> <p>12 Q. Then there's another. If you go down to line</p> <p>13 number 153, Warrant Hold. Not a DPD warrant. That means</p> <p>14 somebody was picked up because there was a warrant from</p> <p>15 another jurisdiction, right?</p> <p>16 A. Correct.</p> <p>17 Q. That's 242 of the arrests that occurred within</p> <p>18 500 feet of the sexually oriented businesses, correct?</p> <p>19 A. Correct.</p> <p>20 Q. So that would have not had anything to do with</p> <p>21 something that happened that night other than a traffic</p> <p>22 stop.</p> <p>23 MS. JORDAN: Objection. Mischaracterizes</p> <p>24 testimony and the evidence.</p> <p>25 Q. (BY MR. MURRAY) Correct?</p>	<p>1 A. Well, yes, sir, other than attracting people,</p> <p>2 242.</p> <p>3 Q. And 154, a warrant hold outside agency. That's</p> <p>4 another 168, correct?</p> <p>5 A. Correct.</p> <p>6 Q. And then 155, another 81 of these were a warrant</p> <p>7 for Dallas PD to use for an alias, correct?</p> <p>8 A. Correct.</p> <p>9 Q. Okay. So then if you go to the next page. And</p> <p>10 this is the spreadsheet that gives the information about</p> <p>11 each one of these 2,082 arrests, correct?</p> <p>12 A. Yes, sir.</p> <p>13 Q. I'm not going to go through all of this. Most</p> <p>14 of it, it looks like it's pretty easy to understand.</p> <p>15 Go to page 42, for example, 5642 if you can find</p> <p>16 that.</p> <p>17 A. (Witness complies.)</p> <p>18 Q. So this one under category -- under the list of</p> <p>19 AX, that gives a description of the crime category that</p> <p>20 is involved in that particular arrest?</p> <p>21 A. Yes, sir.</p> <p>22 Q. Okay. All right. So those are the 2,082</p> <p>23 arrests that we talked about that ended up in the bar</p> <p>24 chart of Plaintiff's Exhibit 2, correct?</p> <p>25 A. Yes, sir.</p>

30 (Pages 117 to 120)

214-324-3733

Production@victorycourtreporting.com



Page 121

1 Q. Now let's go to Plaintiff's Exhibit 11. There  
2 you go, sir.  
3 Okay. So Plaintiff's Exhibit 11, unfortunately  
4 I see the page numbers at the bottom aren't the greatest.  
5 But this should correspond with category six, SOB calls  
6 500 pages, 5965 to 7413. Do you see that?  
7 A. Yes, sir.  
8 Q. And can you identify what Plaintiff's Exhibit 11  
9 is then?  
10 A. Calls for service.  
11 Q. Okay. And so this is the document, and then it  
12 goes on for a number of pages -- I've only given you the  
13 first few -- that served as the basis for analyzing the  
14 calls for service that occurred during the three year  
15 period within 500 feet radius of the sexually oriented  
16 businesses that you were studying, correct?  
17 A. Correct.  
18 Q. And then there's information that -- about the  
19 various adult businesses, for example?  
20 A. Yes, sir.  
21 Q. Although this actually goes back to 2018.  
22 A. I removed that in my analysis.  
23 Q. Okay. And so that's the information that -- and  
24 all of that is the underlying information -- all of these  
25 documents, I should say that we brought over that are

Page 123

1 A. Yes, I believe if it has a club -- this was all  
2 pulled as part of their -- they pulled SOB dance hall  
3 data for us.  
4 Q. So this includes data from nonadult nightclubs  
5 that are dance halls?  
6 A. This data set does, yes.  
7 Q. Well, did your chart which had calls for service  
8 for the fire department include dance halls?  
9 A. The first chart we went over, that didn't  
10 include this data set at all. That included what they  
11 sent me on a separate form.  
12 Q. And that did not include dance halls?  
13 A. No.  
14 Q. Meaning correct, it did not?  
15 A. It did not. Sorry.  
16 Q. Okay. So you didn't really use this one,  
17 Plaintiff's Exhibit 12.  
18 A. No, I don't believe I did yet.  
19 Q. Did you use any information that Major Devon  
20 Palk provided to you for your analysis?  
21 A. No. So the only information that we've gone  
22 over that came from them were what they considered a  
23 license type, all nude topless, that the chief went over.  
24 Okay. So I had to know that from them. But other than  
25 that, what Devon Palk -- the reason I'm involved with

Page 122

1 represented Plaintiff's Exhibit 5, represent the data  
2 that you relied upon to help prepare for the presentation  
3 that was presented, correct?  
4 A. Yes, sir.  
5 Q. And then let's go to Plaintiff's Exhibit 12.  
6 And Plaintiff's Exhibit 12 begins with Bates stamp number  
7 007414, and that corresponds with category number seven,  
8 which is SOB DFR. That must be the fire department?  
9 A. Dallas Fire and Rescue, yes, sir.  
10 Q. Okay. So this is the information that the fire  
11 department provided to you?  
12 A. Yes, sir.  
13 Q. And you weren't able to -- as I recall, you're  
14 not able to say whether these calls were for service were  
15 actually all at the sexually oriented business's  
16 locations or just within the 500 foot radius, correct?  
17 A. That is correct. So the first set of data that  
18 were the part of the first set of slides was the PDF form  
19 I was telling you about. I got this, I believe, by the  
20 last presentation to the full city council is when I got  
21 this spreadsheet.  
22 Right. So I just don't recall if they did this  
23 with the 500 foot radius or not. I don't recall, but I  
24 don't believe so.  
25 Q. So are you telling us --

Page 124

1 this in the first place is because he came to me and  
2 asked me to get involved in looking at the numbers and  
3 see if there was anything that I saw or any information  
4 that I could provide them. That's where all this came  
5 out at.  
6 No, he didn't specifically give me anything.  
7 Once they told me what they wanted to do, look at crime  
8 around SOBs and those locations and seeing if there was  
9 any difference towards times of day. I just went from  
10 there.  
11 Q. Who decided to use the 500 foot radius?  
12 A. I don't recall. That was above me. That was  
13 either a chief level, one of the chiefs, or Major Palk.  
14 I don't recall.  
15 Q. So I don't think I quite understood. What did  
16 Major Palk provide? The list, I think you said?  
17 A. Yeah, this sheet here. So when they were  
18 interested -- I believe this was in response to a  
19 question from the city council. They registered in the  
20 license types.  
21 Q. Yes.  
22 A. I didn't know which was full nude, which was  
23 topless. I wouldn't know unless I went all the time.  
24 I'm past that.  
25 Q. I see. Do you know -- do you have any

Page 125	Page 126
1 understanding as to why a 500 foot radius as opposed to	1 (Proceedings concluded at 2:01 p.m.)
2 some other measurement was selected?	2
3 A. Not unless whoever it came from came from the	3
4 research. As you look back through research, that's a	4
5 pretty common radius in studies, either a thousand foot	5
6 or 500 feet. I know me and Major Palk had discussion on	6
7 the appropriateness of those two. Where that decision	7
8 came from, I don't know.	8
9 So the discussion would have been we wanted	9
10 enough to capture what we would consider related, but not	10
11 so far that it captures a wide net over entire areas that	11
12 would have very little to do with it. And, of course,	12
13 then, looking back through the research in retrospect,	13
14 those two happen to be the numbers that come out a good	14
15 bit.	15
16 MR. MURRAY: Okay. Give me a couple minutes	16
17 with my colleagues, and we should be winding down here.	17
18 (A break was held.)	18
19 MR. MURRAY: Thank you very much. I appreciate	19
20 all your time. That's all I have for now.	20
21 (Off the record)	21
22 MR. MURRAY: The question was at the end of	22
23 every deposition whether the witness wants to read or	23
24 waive the right to read the deposition. The Lieutenant	24
25 is saying that he would prefer to read.	25
Page 127	Page 128
1 CHANGES AND SIGNATURE	1
2 WITNESS NAME: STEPHEN ARTHUR BISHOPP	2 I, STEPHEN ARTHUR BISHOPP, have read the
3 DATE: FEBRUARY 23, 2022	3 forwarding deposition and hereby affix my signature that
4 PAGE LINE CHANGE REASON	4 same is true and correct, except as noted above.
5	5
6	6
7	7
8	8
9	9
10	10
11	11
12	12
13	13
14	14
15	15
16	16
17	17
18	18
19	19
20	20
21	21
22	22
23	23
24	24
25	25

32 (Pages 125 to 128)

214-324-3733

Production@victorycourtreporting.com



Page 129

1           IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
2           FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS  
3           DALLAS CIVISION  
4   ASSOCIATION OF CLUB )  
5   EXECUTIVES OF DALLAS, )  
6   ET AL, )  
7           Plaintiff, )  
8           ) )  
9   VS ) CIVIL ACTION NO. 3:22-CV-00177-M  
10           ) )  
11   CITY OF DALLAS, )  
12           ) )  
13           Defendant. )  
14  
15           REPORTER'S CERTIFICATION  
16           DEPOSITION OF STEPHEN ARTHUR BISHOPP  
17           FEBRUARY 23, 2022  
18  
19       I, Janet E. Wright, Certified Shorthand Reporter in  
20       and for the State of Texas, hereby certify to the  
21       following:  
22       That the witness, STEPHEN ARTHUR BISHOPP, was duly  
23       sworn by the officer and that the transcript of the oral  
24       deposition is a true record of the testimony given by  
25       the witness;  
26       That the deposition transcript was submitted on  
27       \_\_\_\_\_ to the witness or to the attorney for  
28       the witness for examination, signature and return to me  
29       by \_\_\_\_\_;  
30       That the amount of time used by each party at the

Page 131

1           FURTHER CERTIFICATION UNDER RULE 203 TRCP  
2       The original deposition was/was not returned to the  
3       deposition officer on \_\_\_\_\_;  
4       If returned, the attached Changes and Signature  
5       page contains any changes and the reasons therefor;  
6       If returned, the original deposition was delivered  
7       to \_\_\_\_\_, Custodial Attorney;  
8       That \$\_\_\_\_\_ is the deposition officer's  
9       charges to the Plaintiff for preparing the original  
10       deposition transcript and any copies of exhibits;  
11       That the deposition was delivered in accordance  
12       with Rule 203.3, and that a copy of this certificate was  
13       served on all parties shown herein on and filed with the  
14       Clerk.  
15       Certified to by me this \_\_\_\_ day of \_\_\_\_\_, 20\_\_.  
16  
17           /s/ Janet E. Wright  
18  
19           Janet E. Wright, Texas CSR 1532  
20           Expiration Date: 7/31/22  
21           VICTORY COURT REPORTING, INC.  
22           Firm Registration No. CFR-11074  
23           6510 Abrams Road  
24           Suite 215  
25           Dallas, Texas 75231  
26           (214) 324-3733 \* Telephone  
27           (214) 432-5415 \* Facsimile  
28           1-(888) 848-8845 \* Toll Free

Page 130

1       deposition is as follows:  
2       MR. MURRAY - 03 HOUR:55 MINUTE(S)  
3       That pursuant to information given to the  
4       deposition officer at the time said testimony was taken,  
5       the following includes counsel for all parties of  
6       record:  
7       MS. ANN MARIE JORDAN, Attorney for Plaintiff  
8       MR. J. MICHAEL MURRAY, Attorney for Defendant  
9       MR. ROGER ALBRIGHT, Attorney for Defendant  
10       I further certify that I am neither counsel for,  
11       related to, nor employed by any of the parties or  
12       attorneys in the action in which this proceeding was  
13       taken, and further that I am not financially or otherwise  
14       interested in the outcome of the action.  
15       Further certification requirements pursuant to Rule  
16       203 of TRCP will be certified to after they have  
17       occurred.  
18       Certified to by me this \_\_\_\_ day of \_\_\_\_\_, \_\_\_\_.  
19  
20           /s/ Janet E. Wright  
21  
22           Janet E. Wright, Texas CSR 1532  
23           Expiration Date: 7/31/22  
24           VICTORY COURT REPORTING, INC.  
25           Firm Registration No. CFR-11074  
26           6510 Abrams Road  
27           Suite 215  
28           Dallas, Texas 75231  
29           (214) 324-3733 \* Telephone  
30           (214) 432-5415 \* Facsimile  
31           1-(888) 848-8845 \* Toll Free

<b>A</b>	82:11,12,12	<b>actual</b> 19:25	117:6,9,13	<b>Antonio</b> 42:22
<b>a.m</b> 1:19 12:17	84:2,2 85:12	31:14 35:6,7	<b>ago</b> 73:2 102:24	56:15 73:1
12:17 15:2,7	86:22 87:15,15	105:5 110:17	<b>agree</b> 68:3,4,12	<b>Anytime</b> 101:16
19:13,18,18	88:18,19,23,23	<b>add</b> 33:6 47:6	84:15 91:1	<b>apart</b> 41:2 42:17
20:17,19 21:7	89:6 90:3,18	53:14,15 67:5	<b>agreement</b> 5:15	<b>apartment</b>
21:7,20,20,23	90:18,24,25,25	<b>added</b> 67:8 99:9	5:18,23	58:12 112:10
31:11,19,24	91:4,12,12	99:13,13	<b>ahead</b> 44:23	112:12,18
32:2 35:22,24	102:7	<b>addition</b> 60:25	98:3 100:24	113:11,15
36:7,9,10,14	<b>A1</b> 114:11	<b>additional</b> 32:22	<b>al</b> 1:4 69:15	<b>Apparently</b>
36:14 37:3,3	<b>able</b> 12:3 49:13	70:13,14 71:7	129:4	21:17
37:20,20 38:7	122:13,14	<b>address</b> 5:6	<b>ALBRIGHT</b>	<b>appear</b> 70:13
38:7 39:14,14	<b>above-styled</b>	11:10 35:6,7	2:12 33:9	<b>Appearances</b>
39:21,22,23	1:18	76:25 103:15	99:20 130:8	3:3
40:5,14 46:2,2	<b>Abrams</b> 130:22	103:16,19	<b>Alcohol</b> 10:1	<b>appeared</b>
46:3,7,7,8,14	131:20	112:8 113:8,9	<b>Alex</b> 8:21	128:12
46:15,15 47:4	<b>absolutely</b> 5:17	113:22	<b>alias</b> 118:24	<b>appears</b> 11:5
47:5,5,11,12	20:7 29:1 79:8	<b>addresses</b> 35:8	120:7	96:12 100:6
48:4,5,5,7,10	114:7,14	113:20	<b>allows</b> 14:25	<b>appreciate</b>
48:16,18,19,19	<b>academics</b> 56:17	<b>administrative</b>	<b>Amendment</b>	125:19
48:20,20 50:7	73:1	6:12,14	45:1	<b>appropriateness</b>
50:8,8,25 51:8	<b>accept</b> 67:9,10	<b>adopted</b> 12:15	<b>amount</b> 23:8,10	125:7
51:8,18,18	<b>access</b> 75:20	<b>adult</b> 13:9,9,10	98:4 129:25	<b>approximately</b>
53:2,6,6,11,12	<b>account</b> 78:8	13:10,17 14:1	<b>Ana</b> 95:1	22:21,23 43:4
53:12,18,18,22	80:22,24	27:1,4 28:5	<b>ANA'</b> 2:4	43:5
53:22 54:8,13	<b>accounted</b> 19:13	30:1,17 32:8	<b>analysis</b> 6:24,24	<b>arcade</b> 13:9
54:13 56:2,3,4	19:19 78:19	41:2 58:15,15	7:1 10:7,15	<b>arcades</b> 27:4
56:5,5 61:11	79:1,7 80:13	79:5,16,21,23	37:5 42:5 44:1	28:5
61:20,20 63:1	80:18,24	80:10 84:8	45:13,25 54:7	<b>area</b> 14:11 23:9
63:6,7,8,8,20	<b>accounting</b>	87:9,11 89:13	62:13 64:19	25:16 57:14
63:20,23,23,23	86:24 87:2	90:17,25	69:5,6 74:21	78:1,3 101:10
63:25 64:7,9	<b>accounts</b> 80:10	113:18 121:19	75:4,5 89:8	101:10 103:21
64:10,16,17,17	<b>accuracy</b> 29:3,8	<b>advanced</b> 10:13	102:5 107:12	112:15
64:25 65:1,1,5	29:12	<b>affiliation</b> 73:4	116:20 121:22	<b>areas</b> 11:11
65:6,9 66:1,2,2	<b>accurately</b> 11:22	<b>affix</b> 128:2	123:20	20:10 23:9
66:4,5,14,14	<b>ACE</b> 4:12	<b>agency</b> 120:3	<b>analyst</b> 60:7	42:21 57:12
67:2,3,3,6,6	<b>acknowledged</b>	<b>agenda</b> 95:14,19	106:25	58:13 61:13
68:5,6,6,10,11	128:16	<b>aggravated</b> 17:2	<b>analyze</b> 48:24	69:1 72:17
68:25 69:3,3	<b>action</b> 1:5 96:11	17:6 18:22,23	<b>analyzed</b> 28:24	85:24 87:6
69:19,19 71:11	129:5 130:11	19:2,6,9,21	117:9	103:22 125:11
71:13,13,17,23	130:13	20:6,9,17,22	<b>analyzing</b> 85:10	<b>argument</b> 45:2
71:23 73:12,12	<b>Activation</b> 4:9	21:5,19,23	121:13	<b>arrest</b> 34:17
74:1,1,9,9,23	<b>active</b> 26:5,8	22:7,11 32:8	<b>ANN</b> 2:4 130:7	67:12,15 115:6
75:1,2 76:2	33:9	35:16 37:16	<b>answer</b> 5:22,24	117:21 118:3,5
78:21,22 80:22	<b>Activity</b> 34:9	108:9,16	44:23	118:19 120:20
80:23 81:3	37:12 45:6	116:20 117:3,5	<b>answers</b> 5:19	<b>arrested</b> 93:13

93:21 117:16 119:2 <b>arrestees</b> 93:12 94:1,11 <b>arrests</b> 4:17 9:5 34:9,23 35:17 35:23,24,25 36:7,9,12,14 36:17 37:3 65:12,15,19 66:4,7,10 67:5 67:8,23 84:5,5 84:9,18,22 85:10 90:10 91:10 100:4 104:18 114:1 115:9,20 117:17 118:2 118:23 119:7 119:17 120:11 120:23 <b>arson</b> 17:13 <b>Arthur</b> 1:11,16 3:4 5:2,8 127:2 128:2,6 129:11 129:17 <b>article</b> 72:11 <b>Arts</b> 101:2 <b>ascertain</b> 49:13 66:23 91:7 <b>asked</b> 32:6 37:1 49:3 62:23 107:1 108:6 124:2 <b>assault</b> 18:22,23 18:25 19:6,22 20:6 37:16 76:21 108:9 116:18 <b>assaulting</b> 19:5 <b>assaults</b> 17:2,7 19:3,9 20:10 20:17,22 21:5 21:19,23 22:7 22:11 32:8	35:17 108:16 116:20 117:3,5 117:6,9,10,13 <b>assigned</b> 113:18 <b>Assignment</b> 97:4 <b>Associate</b> 6:17 7:18 <b>associated</b> 88:15 <b>ASSOCIATI...</b> 1:3 129:3 <b>assume</b> 15:23 35:4,5 40:12 45:12 70:22 117:24 <b>assumes</b> 21:2 25:6 44:22 82:2,8 <b>assuming</b> 54:19 70:10 <b>Atrium</b> 2:13 <b>attached</b> 1:25 95:7,14,15,18 96:21 100:7 104:20 131:4 <b>attachments</b> 96:9 <b>attack</b> 112:2 <b>attacked</b> 112:20 <b>attempt</b> 41:5 <b>attention</b> 5:16 <b>attorney</b> 129:22 130:7,7,8 131:7 <b>Attorney's</b> 1:22 2:4 <b>attorneys</b> 130:11 <b>attract</b> 112:6 <b>attracting</b> 120:1 <b>attributed</b> 49:15 <b>audience</b> 14:4 45:16 <b>average</b> 51:17 52:6,8,14	53:20 87:23 88:7,8 <b>AX</b> 120:19 <hr/> <b>B</b> <b>B&amp;B</b> 108:2,3 <b>Baby</b> 27:8 31:8 31:15 <b>Bachelor</b> 9:4 <b>back</b> 6:15,19 27:21 32:12 34:4 36:3,24 38:21 42:21 45:3 47:21 76:4 83:16 94:23 95:2 98:11 104:22 107:21 117:14 121:21 125:4 125:13 <b>bar</b> 50:1,7,7 59:19 63:2 66:10 68:11 73:23 74:17,18 77:15 78:11 85:10 88:11,16 89:10 111:16 113:24 120:23 <b>bars</b> 58:9 78:18 <b>based</b> 7:14 33:3 33:11 77:19 116:16 <b>basic</b> 69:18 75:15 <b>basis</b> 121:13 <b>Bates</b> 99:10,10 99:14 101:5 106:3 114:19 115:3 122:6 <b>bear</b> 94:19 <b>bears</b> 73:21 <b>beat</b> 23:4,5 24:3 26:18,22 27:2 27:4,15,19,24 28:7,13,16,20	29:16,21 30:13 30:14,18,21 31:22,24 38:1 104:5 <b>beats</b> 22:15,18 22:20 23:18,25 33:2,7,11,20 33:23 103:22 104:5 <b>beginning</b> 15:15 15:18 98:14 109:25 114:19 <b>begins</b> 15:9 106:2 110:8 115:2 122:6 <b>behalf</b> 11:17,22 <b>belief</b> 35:13 <b>believe</b> 45:4 59:24 69:16 72:16 73:4 75:18 82:25 108:20 122:19 122:24 123:1 123:18 124:18 <b>Berkman</b> 2:9 <b>best</b> 5:13,21,23 43:7 109:7 <b>better</b> 96:4 <b>beyond</b> 9:16 <b>bigger</b> 23:11 <b>Bill</b> 14:14 <b>Bishop</b> 101:2 <b>Bishopp</b> 1:11,16 3:4 5:2,8 127:2 128:2,6 129:11 129:17 <b>bit</b> 38:9 92:23 94:18 125:15 <b>black</b> 93:21 94:2 94:11 <b>blank</b> 103:11 <b>block</b> 22:5,10 <b>BMV</b> 112:5 <b>bodily</b> 19:1 <b>book</b> 13:9,17	27:1 28:5 30:1 30:17 32:8 41:3 58:15,16 78:19 79:5,16 79:21,23 80:10 80:12 84:9 87:9,11 89:13 90:17,25 91:2 92:10 <b>bookstores</b> 80:2 <b>borders</b> 23:7 <b>bother</b> 115:23 <b>bottom</b> 11:7 32:13 78:17,19 114:18 121:4 <b>bought</b> 25:13 <b>Boulevard</b> 2:14 <b>boundaries</b> 19:10 21:6 23:5,18 57:24 58:24 <b>boundary</b> 23:7 <b>break</b> 49:20,22 62:16,18 115:19,20 125:18 <b>breakdown</b> 92:25 93:8 <b>briefing</b> 4:4 12:11 <b>briefly</b> 6:5 <b>bring</b> 5:16 <b>broad</b> 116:11 <b>brought</b> 6:22 18:14 121:25 <b>buffer</b> 36:2 38:3 <b>building</b> 35:3,4 35:8,9,10,14 60:16 77:20 <b>buildings</b> 86:18 <b>bullet</b> 47:3 <b>bump</b> 78:10 <b>bumper</b> 34:18 <b>burglary</b> 60:14 60:15,15 86:9
---	---	---	--	--

<b>business</b> 5:6 14:2 24:16,24 25:11,13,13,15 26:15 28:20 31:13 34:12,19 34:23,25 36:1 36:13 39:4 41:17,21 42:2 42:25 43:6 44:8 49:1,16 57:13 58:8 61:14,17 63:2 64:6 65:12 67:7 74:13 83:9,12 85:3 88:17,18,22 90:15 111:24 114:5 115:11 116:9 119:8 <b>business's</b> 75:13 76:25 122:15 <b>businesses</b> 12:14 12:16 13:7 14:17,22,25 24:1,4,21 25:15 26:14,23 27:18,24 29:23 30:18 31:23 32:14,18,19 33:3,19,23 34:1 38:22 40:3,4 41:8,10 41:12,15 43:22 44:5,17 45:22 46:24 50:16 57:2 58:6,12 58:15 66:5 67:18,22 68:2 68:10 74:25 75:24 77:12,22 78:7 85:22 86:19 87:3,4 89:1 95:24 104:15 105:2 111:14 114:23	119:18 121:16 121:19 <hr/> <b>C</b> <b>C</b> 2:1 5:1 <b>cabaret</b> 13:10 14:1 30:5,20 30:23 <b>cabarets</b> 27:7,11 28:9 29:14,18 58:16 80:17 81:20 82:6 84:17 91:3 <b>calculate</b> 88:15 <b>calculating</b> 10:20 <b>calculation</b> 21:18 70:18 <b>calculations</b> 54:10 <b>calculator</b> 54:19 <b>call</b> 13:3 34:17 43:21 58:24 70:14 76:5,6,7 76:9,11,24,24 86:3 97:10,12 98:7 101:12,17 102:21 103:5,8 103:16 111:4 114:10,12 116:12,15 <b>called</b> 42:15 76:10 86:7,10 100:6 103:13 103:22 105:22 106:7 111:6 112:17,20 117:23 <b>caller</b> 76:16 <b>calling</b> 64:12 103:19 <b>calls</b> 68:15,16,25 70:13 71:7,10 73:14,17,22,25 74:9,24 75:8	75:23 76:4,15 86:1,5,8,22,24 87:10,14 88:8 91:18,18,25 92:8 97:5,8,9 97:22,23,25 100:2,3,7,16 100:18,19 104:9,13,18 105:4,12,13,14 110:4 114:1 121:5,10,14 122:14 123:7 <b>capias</b> 118:24 <b>capture</b> 125:10 <b>captures</b> 125:11 <b>car</b> 86:10 109:2 109:2 <b>card</b> 128:15 <b>career</b> 6:5 7:2 <b>Caruth</b> 6:18 7:5 7:7,9,10,12 8:2 <b>case</b> 14:1 84:8 95:12 110:14 110:16,19,20 <b>categories</b> 110:7 <b>category</b> 17:17 18:4 30:2,4,11 30:20,23 106:6 113:12 115:6 118:23 120:18 120:19 121:5 122:7 <b>cause</b> 1:18 <b>cell</b> 76:14 <b>cells</b> 102:1 <b>cent</b> 63:7,19 <b>Central</b> 103:25 <b>certain</b> 23:8 35:11 79:4 85:14 <b>certainly</b> 16:5 31:18 40:17,18 54:18 <b>certainty</b> 69:22	<b>certificate</b> 3:6 131:12 <b>certification</b> 129:11 130:14 131:1 <b>certified</b> 129:14 130:15,17 131:15 <b>certify</b> 129:15 130:9 <b>CFR-11074</b> 130:21 131:19 <b>CFS</b> 114:10 <b>change</b> 12:13 23:20 47:24 89:13 115:23 127:4 <b>changed</b> 17:17 <b>changes</b> 3:5 127:1 131:4,5 <b>chapter</b> 13:13 13:18,23 <b>characteristics</b> 44:4 <b>charge</b> 16:6 115:6 <b>charges</b> 19:25 100:5 131:9 <b>Charlotte</b> 72:16 <b>chart</b> 28:19 55:6 56:9 57:20 58:7 59:15,15 62:24 63:2 64:23 68:8 76:2 78:11,14 79:17 88:4 92:7,25 93:7 93:11,11 94:9 105:9 106:23 120:24 123:7,9 <b>charts</b> 59:19 88:11,16 90:22 91:5 93:10 111:16 <b>check</b> 51:16	82:22 <b>checked</b> 117:25 <b>chief</b> 8:21,22 15:13 24:14 42:22 77:8 123:23 124:13 <b>chiefs</b> 124:13 <b>chunk</b> 12:22 <b>cities</b> 14:21 <b>citizen</b> 76:21 101:16 <b>citizens</b> 93:13 94:11,16 111:8 <b>city</b> 1:6,21 2:4 4:4 11:11,12 11:17,22 12:11 12:15 13:13 14:25 20:10 22:24 24:1,15 32:14 33:3 37:25 38:14,17 43:4 46:18 50:11 57:21 66:5 77:8 81:8 85:24 87:7 93:3,18 94:5,8 97:19 101:4 122:20 124:19 129:6 <b>City's</b> 95:7 103:21 <b>civil</b> 1:5,23 9:1 129:5 <b>civilian</b> 8:25 <b>CIVISION</b> 1:2 129:2 <b>claim</b> 82:24 <b>clarification</b> 49:2 <b>class</b> 10:14 <b>clear</b> 5:14 28:22 28:25 29:4 85:9 86:4 <b>Clerk</b> 131:14 <b>Cleveland</b> 2:10
---	--	--	---	---

<b>click</b> 102:1	<b>collected</b> 22:14	58:14,23 87:6	<b>consist</b> 100:17	22:12,16,18
<b>clock</b> 102:2	115:10	104:13	<b>consistently</b>	24:1,4,17,21
<b>close</b> 12:17	<b>collection</b> 8:18	<b>comparison</b>	90:22	24:25 25:3,9
25:15 33:16	<b>collectively</b> 33:2	48:22 50:3	<b>consists</b> 100:8,9	25:18,21,23,25
<b>closed</b> 25:3,21	64:14	57:1 59:13	<b>constitutional</b>	26:1,3,4,6,9,10
25:23 39:9	<b>Collins</b> 2:14	67:21 72:16	14:7	26:12,13,16,17
66:6 68:10	<b>column</b> 25:17	74:13,19	<b>Consumption</b>	26:24 27:2,3,5
83:2,4,18	26:18,20 27:14	104:13,25	10:1	27:6,9,10,12
88:23 89:2	101:9 102:13	106:12,13	<b>Contact</b> 116:19	27:13,15,16,25
90:7	104:6 114:11	<b>compile</b> 24:11	<b>contain</b> 106:9	28:1,5,7,10,13
<b>closing</b> 95:24	<b>columns</b> 101:6	<b>compiled</b> 25:21	<b>contains</b> 131:5	28:17,21 29:1
<b>club</b> 1:3 15:10	<b>combine</b> 54:6	28:19 32:18	<b>content</b> 13:18	29:9,19 30:2,6
16:15 25:11	<b>combined</b> 10:14	<b>complainants</b>	110:8	30:7,12,18,19
27:8 31:8,15	52:11	118:12	<b>contents</b> 13:4	30:22 31:2,3,8
62:9 112:16	<b>come</b> 30:10 43:2	<b>complexes</b> 58:12	<b>context</b> 34:15	31:21,25 32:3
123:1 129:3	47:10 54:10	<b>complies</b> 120:17	<b>continue</b> 55:9	32:20,24 33:4
<b>clubs</b> 31:10,12	76:9 83:11	<b>comprise</b> 35:23	<b>control</b> 42:8,9	33:12,17,20
58:17 82:10,18	96:8 101:25	36:6 37:20	42:14,19,23	34:9 35:19,22
83:2,14 84:21	107:2 111:4	38:7,25	43:2,10,20,20	36:7,10 37:14
87:14,22,23,25	112:21 114:1	<b>computer</b> 60:6	44:4,7,18	37:17 39:17,20
88:4,9 89:18	125:14	60:20,23 61:3	<b>convenience</b>	41:12 42:2,3
89:21,21,24	<b>comes</b> 28:25	<b>concentrations</b>	40:9 46:21	43:17,18,22
90:6 91:10	38:4 51:25	11:10	62:7	44:24 45:7,10
92:22	76:11 97:10,11	<b>concept</b> 10:16	<b>convictions</b>	45:23,24 46:3
<b>COD</b> 4:12,15	97:25 113:22	10:19	19:25 36:18,21	46:9,11,15,16
98:14,24 99:2	113:25	<b>concerning</b>	<b>coordinate</b>	46:19,22,23
115:6	<b>coming</b> 47:21	24:16	113:25	47:1 48:10,11
<b>COD-017</b> 15:16	<b>command</b> 23:22	<b>conclude</b> 44:7	<b>coordinates</b>	48:14,21 49:1
<b>COD-019</b> 34:5	<b>COMMISSION</b>	44:11 69:10	113:21,24	49:11,12,17
<b>COD-022</b> 49:25	128:24	<b>concluded</b> 126:1	<b>copies</b> 10:24	50:1,8,9,22
<b>COD-023</b> 56:7	<b>commit</b> 108:24	<b>confused</b> 38:9	61:1 131:10	51:11,13,15,23
63:1	<b>commits</b> 18:25	<b>confusing</b> 70:19	<b>copy</b> 9:2 59:8	51:24 52:11,15
<b>COD-027</b> 67:20	<b>committed</b> 20:5	<b>connected</b> 49:10	94:22 95:4	53:6,15 54:9
<b>COD-029</b> 73:21	41:25	<b>connection</b>	131:12	54:13 55:17,18
<b>COD-040</b> 28:4	<b>common</b> 125:5	48:25	<b>correct</b> 8:16	55:22,24 56:4
<b>COD-041</b> 78:12	<b>compare</b> 56:20	<b>consider</b> 115:18	11:14,15 12:18	56:10,25 57:10
<b>COD-043</b> 86:2	85:21 104:17	117:1 125:10	13:7,10,14	59:1,15,20
<b>COD-204</b> 64:20	105:14	<b>considerably</b>	14:4,14,18,22	61:4,8,14,15
<b>code</b> 34:1 86:8	<b>compared</b> 41:24	90:2	15:3,7,10,18	61:19,22,25
97:12	44:5 48:19	<b>consideration</b>	15:20 16:21	62:1,4,5,8,10
<b>codes</b> 107:13	56:3 67:23	128:18	17:4 19:10,14	62:12,13,14
<b>collaboration</b>	71:23 94:6	<b>considerations</b>	19:16,19,22,23	64:1,3,18,20
7:7	104:17 105:15	14:8	20:7,10,19,23	66:3,6,11,14
<b>colleagues</b>	<b>compares</b> 58:19	<b>considered</b> 97:5	21:8,15,20,21	66:16 67:3,24
125:17	<b>comparing</b>	123:22	21:24 22:1,3	67:25 68:7,17



68:20,23,24 69:3 70:6,10 71:11,12,14,15 71:19 73:15,23 74:2,4,6,10,15 75:2,9 76:2,3 77:2,9,10,13 77:14,16,23 78:15,16 79:18 79:19,23 80:6 80:11,12,15,16 81:6,15,20 82:3,6,12,15 82:24 83:5,22 83:23 84:3,10 84:19,20,23,24 84:25 85:3,4,6 85:16,17,19,20 85:22,23,25 86:20,23 87:1 87:4,5,8,12,13 87:15,16 88:2 88:5,6,10 89:2 89:3,6,10,16 89:17,21,22,25 90:1,4,7,15,18 91:5,6,12,13 91:14,16,17,19 91:22 92:5,6,8 92:11,14,17,18 92:23,24 93:1 93:2,13,14,21 93:23 94:14,15 94:17 99:6 100:11,14 101:21 102:8 103:2 104:10 105:18 107:10 110:4,5 112:23 113:1,16 114:13,16,17 115:3 119:16 119:18,19,25 120:4,5,7,8,11 120:24 121:16	121:17 122:3 122:16,17 123:14 128:3 <b>corrected</b> 82:23 <b>corrections</b> 18:17 <b>correctly</b> 12:20 18:13 20:8 50:18 73:11 79:2 <b>correspond</b> 17:9 94:4 121:5 <b>corresponds</b> 28:3 90:3 98:12 115:5 122:7 <b>council</b> 4:4 11:11,13 12:11 12:16 24:15 66:5 77:9 97:19 122:20 124:19 <b>counsel</b> 130:5,9 <b>Count</b> 4:18 <b>counts</b> 17:20 <b>COUNTY</b> 128:9 <b>couple</b> 11:6 72:18 125:16 <b>course</b> 7:18 49:21 52:5,9 63:16 64:21 70:6,8 73:8 84:14 89:20 98:6 125:12 <b>court</b> 1:1 3:6 49:21 129:1 130:21 131:19 <b>covered</b> 45:5 <b>covers</b> 18:4 <b>covert</b> 6:11 <b>Covid</b> 56:17,18 <b>create</b> 18:18 <b>created</b> 56:14 <b>crime</b> 6:23 7:1 9:25 11:10	17:7 18:2 23:6 23:8,10,10 34:8,16 37:12 37:21,25 38:12 38:13,15,18,19 39:11,20 41:20 43:6 44:16,18 45:6,17,18 46:1,10,18,20 47:4,12,13,22 48:3,7,16 50:20 51:5,17 51:20 52:9,15 52:17 53:5,17 53:24 54:22 55:17 56:2,19 57:22 59:25 60:7,9,22 64:24,25 65:3 78:14,20 79:3 79:4,12 80:18 80:25 81:2,2 81:13,18,24 84:1,4,5,9,22 85:9,13 86:11 93:1 94:7 105:23 106:7 106:25 114:6 114:20 120:19 124:7 <b>crimes</b> 18:2,4,9 37:13 38:6 39:25 41:6,24 45:9,20 46:5 47:8,18,25 48:1,2,13,18 48:19 49:3 50:3,6,10,13 50:19,25 51:10 52:3,22 53:1 54:3,25 55:3,4 55:4,4,7,11 56:10 59:21,22 59:22,23,23,24 60:2,5,9,11,18	61:12 63:6,9 63:10,11,13,15 63:15,18,19,20 64:8,15,22 80:1 81:8 104:25 106:11 106:17,17 107:14,14,23 108:18,25 109:5,6 <b>criminal</b> 17:18 55:16 <b>criminogenic</b> 44:8 <b>criminology</b> 9:12,19 <b>cross</b> 76:12 <b>CSR</b> 1:20 130:20 131:18 <b>current</b> 6:22 8:9 <b>currently</b> 6:1 8:11,24 14:17 <b>custodial</b> 65:12 131:7 <b>cutting</b> 86:8 <b>CV</b> 9:2 <hr/> <b>D</b> <hr/> <b>D</b> 3:1 5:1 <b>Daily</b> 100:4 <b>Dallas</b> 1:2,3,6,21 1:22 2:4,5 4:20 5:9 6:2,6 7:3,8 7:9,9,16,24,25 8:4,6,13 9:10 9:16 11:18 13:13 18:11 27:8 98:6 118:24 120:7 122:9 129:2,3 129:6 130:23 131:21 <b>damaged</b> 109:1 <b>dance</b> 123:2,5,8 123:12	<b>dancers</b> 14:3,3 <b>dancing</b> 14:3 <b>dangerous</b> 76:20 <b>Daniel</b> 72:9 <b>data</b> 4:7,20 6:24 8:18 10:20,20 11:9,11,12 15:21 16:3,6,7 16:23 17:12,20 18:6,18,19,24 19:8 22:9,14 24:16 32:7 34:11,16,17,17 34:17,22 38:2 38:15,16,16,25 39:1,4 56:14 56:15 57:15 60:3,4,18,18 60:19 72:8,20 75:14,17,20 77:19,20 93:4 96:14 98:13,24 100:10,16,17 100:18 105:23 106:7,18 107:1 107:5 108:6,7 108:19 109:25 110:22 112:21 114:18 115:6 122:1,17 123:3 123:4,6,10 <b>database</b> 61:7 <b>date</b> 24:21 27:17 38:3 101:20,21 102:10,10 127:3 130:20 131:18 <b>day</b> 47:9 52:2,3 52:21 55:15,17 55:20 67:15 81:15 85:19 86:25 102:18 124:9 128:11 128:20 130:17 131:15
--	---	---	---	---



<b>days</b> 12:18 18:7 31:19,20 51:17 51:21 52:9,15 53:21,23 54:16 54:21 55:12 67:12,13 70:12 70:14,23 81:24 82:5,18	75:8,14,20,21 75:22 98:6 103:24 122:8 122:11 123:8 <b>depend</b> 57:8 <b>depending</b> 56:18 <b>depends</b> 13:18 14:2 76:5,16 116:10 <b>deployment</b> 6:10,16 <b>deposition</b> 1:10 1:16 4:3 125:23,24 128:2 129:11 129:19,21 130:1,4 131:2 131:3,6,8,10 131:11	41:5 44:6 66:18 69:5 73:6 92:19 <b>determined</b> 21:22 23:4,6 <b>determining</b> 92:3 <b>detox</b> 116:13 <b>DeVan</b> 2:9 <b>developed</b> 42:8 43:9 <b>developing</b> 7:14 <b>development</b> 7:15 43:5 <b>Devon</b> 123:19 123:25 <b>DFR</b> 122:8 <b>Diego</b> 69:17,17 72:14,15 <b>difference</b> 10:20 37:6,9 64:21 66:18,23 68:1 69:12 70:5,22 71:21 72:4 73:7,8 79:17 90:23,24 91:4 91:7 92:11 110:13 124:9 <b>differences</b> 92:20 107:21 <b>different</b> 17:25 38:24 47:24 48:1 95:4 <b>digressed</b> 45:4 <b>direct</b> 13:21 <b>directed</b> 75:8 <b>Director</b> 6:17 7:18 <b>discovered</b> 45:25 <b>discussed</b> 89:9 <b>discussing</b> 57:16 93:5 <b>discussion</b> 15:10 125:6,9	<b>discussions</b> 23:23 <b>dispatch</b> 86:5 97:11,20 98:7 <b>dispatched</b> 97:11,23 98:2 98:3 101:17 <b>dispatcher</b> 103:18 <b>displays</b> 89:10 <b>dispute</b> 29:2,8 29:12 <b>dissertation</b> 9:21 <b>district</b> 1:1,1 17:3 20:12 42:15,17 57:13 57:14,19 58:1 58:1,4,7 100:1 105:19 106:15 129:1,1 <b>district's</b> 67:23 <b>districts</b> 57:3,11 57:17,17 58:10 58:11,25 59:8 59:9,14 61:12 61:18,25 63:21 64:13,14,24 65:5 68:3 74:15 81:11 101:1,3 104:10 104:16 105:1 105:13,15 106:14 <b>divide</b> 63:16 <b>divided</b> 70:23 103:21 <b>division</b> 6:13,21 15:24 20:12,14 20:15 21:6 22:16,21 23:18 103:23,23 104:3,5 <b>Division's</b> 19:10 <b>divisions</b> 104:1	<b>Divorce</b> 10:2 <b>doctorate</b> 10:12 <b>document</b> 11:3 12:8,10,21 13:2,3 15:16 16:1,18,24 21:5 24:4,9,11 24:19 25:7 33:1 96:7 114:4 118:5 121:11 128:15 <b>documents</b> 83:25 95:6,17 95:21 96:13,18 99:6,17,22 121:25 <b>doing</b> 23:17 58:23 112:3 116:12 <b>dollars</b> 7:11 <b>Dolls</b> 27:8 31:8 31:15 <b>domestic</b> 19:2 117:9 <b>doubt</b> 71:3 <b>DPD</b> 4:13,14 119:13 <b>DPS</b> 8:17 18:18 <b>Dr</b> 72:9 73:1 <b>driving</b> 103:13 <b>drop</b> 112:4 <b>drove</b> 76:22 <b>drug</b> 35:17 40:11 <b>drugs</b> 35:22 36:6,15 116:12 <b>dugs</b> 35:23 <b>duly</b> 1:17 5:3 129:17 <b>dumped</b> 107:5 <b>duplicate</b> 12:4 <b>duplicates</b> 108:21,22 109:8 <b>duplicating</b> 32:6
---	---	---	--	---

<b>duties</b> 8:9,10	<b>email</b> 98:18	15:5 80:9	30:15 32:12,17	<b>F</b>
<b>E</b>	<b>emergencies</b>	114:8	33:12 34:5	<b>Facsimile</b>
<b>E</b> 1:20 2:1,1 3:1	103:7	<b>establishments</b>	38:23 50:24	130:24 131:22
5:1,1 129:14	<b>emergency</b> 97:8	69:17 113:19	55:3 77:4,6	<b>fact</b> 16:14 33:6
130:19,20	<b>eminent</b> 19:1	<b>et</b> 1:4 69:15	94:22 95:6,10	43:24 44:15,16
131:17,18	<b>employed</b> 7:23	129:4	96:6,22,24	69:23 77:7,11
<b>earlier</b> 74:18	8:1 130:10	<b>evaluate</b> 11:10	97:17 98:10,11	79:20 83:8
<b>early</b> 95:8	<b>empty</b> 26:9	<b>evening</b> 102:19	98:12,13,16,17	85:11 87:2
<b>easier</b> 45:13,14	83:20	<b>event</b> 108:17	99:1,23 104:22	<b>facts</b> 21:2 25:6
107:12	<b>encounter</b> 76:21	<b>events</b> 88:16,21	105:18,24	44:22 82:2,8
<b>easiest</b> 118:4	<b>ended</b> 19:24	89:1	106:2,6,9,21	<b>faculty</b> 8:3,4,4
<b>easy</b> 120:14	120:23	<b>eventually</b> 8:17	109:14 114:25	<b>fair</b> 102:17
<b>economic</b> 43:4	<b>entailed</b> 58:2	<b>everybody</b> 8:3	115:1,2,5,15	<b>fairly</b> 72:20
43:14	<b>entertainment</b>	17:22 45:17	120:24 121:1,3	117:23
<b>Eddie</b> 24:15	14:2 42:15,17	<b>evidence</b> 7:14	121:8 122:1,5	<b>falls</b> 58:10
<b>education</b> 10:4	57:2,11,17,19	21:2 25:6	122:6 123:17	<b>familiar</b> 10:16
<b>effect</b> 14:18	57:25 58:1,3,7	28:15 44:22	<b>exhibits</b> 4:1 95:9	10:19 12:8
56:17,18	58:10,11,25	82:2,8,20 83:7	131:10	13:23 15:25
<b>Effects</b> 9:25	59:7,9,14	87:19 119:24	<b>exist</b> 77:22	16:3,6,14
<b>effort</b> 88:14	61:12,18,24	<b>exactly</b> 43:10	86:16	23:18 24:9
<b>eight</b> 17:13,24	63:21 64:13,24	48:5 51:3 52:5	<b>existence</b> 79:13	96:17
17:25 20:15,18	65:5 67:23	72:15 100:17	114:5	<b>family</b> 19:5,5,7
30:1,8,9 31:23	68:2 74:14	119:4	<b>expert</b> 14:10	116:18 117:3
47:22 48:3	98:23 100:1,25	<b>examination</b> 3:4	113:22	117:10
54:8 63:5,13	104:10,15	5:4 9:24	<b>Expiration</b>	<b>far</b> 18:6 25:18
63:16,18 64:9	105:1,13,15,19	129:23	130:20 131:18	28:9 52:6
64:15 65:4	106:14,15	<b>example</b> 13:16	<b>EXPIRES</b>	95:12 97:8
81:3,24 85:11	<b>entire</b> 22:24	14:24 24:3	128:24	100:2 109:19
89:6 90:13	37:25 38:14,17	40:7,21 41:16	<b>explain</b> 83:10	113:25 125:11
91:22 92:1	46:18 50:11	49:8 60:4	97:13 113:23	<b>fast</b> 40:18 41:15
102:16,17	81:7,8 84:19	64:23 74:23	<b>explained</b>	41:22,25 49:10
111:6	91:24 93:18	76:18 79:5	107:20 115:10	62:11
<b>either</b> 14:3	95:14 107:6	87:9 89:12	<b>explicit</b> 13:18	<b>FBI</b> 6:25 8:17
25:11 45:21	125:11	94:9 108:16	<b>Exposure</b> 9:25	18:8,19
51:4 53:23	<b>entirely</b> 116:14	112:22 116:1	<b>express</b> 73:22	<b>fear</b> 19:1
77:22 83:13	<b>entities</b> 13:12	116:18 120:15	74:17	<b>February</b> 1:12
90:14 94:1	28:20 91:15	121:19	<b>expressed</b>	1:19 127:3
124:13 125:5	<b>entitled</b> 9:24	<b>excluded</b> 116:19	128:18	129:12
<b>either/or</b> 113:16	37:12 45:6	117:9	<b>expressing</b>	<b>feet</b> 35:7 40:1
<b>Elements</b> 97:4	<b>equally</b> 80:13	<b>executed</b> 128:17	66:10	41:16,20,21,25
<b>elevenish</b> 102:19	<b>equals</b> 67:11	<b>EXECUTIVES</b>	<b>extension</b>	44:16 49:9
<b>elicit</b> 73:6	<b>equivalent</b> 9:1	1:3 129:3	109:21	50:14,21 52:18
<b>Ellum</b> 57:22	<b>erotic</b> 14:3	<b>exhibit</b> 11:1	<b>eyeballing</b> 73:15	52:22 53:24
101:2	<b>essentially</b> 6:25	12:7 24:7	<b>eyes</b> 29:22	54:3,21 62:4,7
	<b>establishment</b>	27:22,23 28:3		67:16 78:1

80:1 81:19	115:14,17	79:20 80:11	<b>frame</b> 21:11	86:12 104:19
83:4 84:18,23	116:1 121:13	81:4 84:10,14	46:14,15 47:25	<b>generated</b> 68:19
85:13 87:11	122:17,18	84:22 86:17	63:22 66:7	101:14 110:15
113:24 115:11	123:9 124:1	87:3,22 88:1	68:6,6 71:23	111:5 114:12
119:8,18	<b>fits</b> 97:18	88:17 89:15	91:12	<b>generates</b>
121:15 125:6	<b>five</b> 6:19 8:25	90:13 91:25	<b>frames</b> 63:17	101:17
<b>felony</b> 86:11	62:15 78:19,21	93:8,15 104:14	69:19 70:23	<b>geographic</b> 23:5
<b>fewer</b> 51:9 66:7	84:10,14	105:2 111:13	79:17 80:24	23:9
90:5	100:25 101:3	112:16 113:18	105:15	<b>geographical</b>
<b>field</b> 6:12 106:18	104:4,4 108:15	114:21 122:16	<b>Free</b> 130:24	58:24
108:21	108:18 111:6	122:23 124:11	131:22	<b>gestures</b> 5:19
<b>fielded</b> 116:22	116:3	125:1,5	<b>Fridays</b> 15:2,6	<b>getting</b> 111:7
<b>fifth</b> 83:19	<b>flagged</b> 101:15	<b>footprint</b> 35:14	<b>Frisco</b> 118:19	119:5
<b>figure</b> 33:17	111:7,10	77:21 86:18	<b>full</b> 5:6 8:7	<b>GIS</b> 113:21,23
<b>figures</b> 64:2	<b>focus</b> 53:17	<b>Force</b> 15:10	27:11 29:18	<b>give</b> 65:18 86:3
<b>filed</b> 95:8 131:13	109:23	16:15	30:23 84:17	94:25 95:3,4
<b>filtered</b> 107:2	<b>focused</b> 42:14	<b>foregoing</b>	87:14 91:3	105:8 114:4
115:21	<b>folks</b> 7:10	128:16	92:13 102:10	124:6 125:16
<b>filters</b> 115:22	<b>follow</b> 100:1	<b>form</b> 25:5 44:21	122:20 124:22	<b>given</b> 9:2 32:5
<b>finally</b> 5:21	<b>followed</b> 6:9	66:11 75:15	<b>full-time</b> 6:1	121:12 128:19
55:25 92:22	71:20	82:1,7,19	7:19 102:2	129:19 130:3
103:18	<b>following</b> 34:11	102:1 122:18	<b>fully</b> 11:21	<b>gives</b> 120:10,19
<b>financially</b>	70:17 129:16	123:11	<b>function</b> 6:14	<b>giving</b> 108:15
130:12	130:5	<b>format</b> 99:13	25:12 97:21	<b>go</b> 9:3 13:2
<b>find</b> 43:3 73:5	<b>follows</b> 5:3	<b>Fort</b> 14:24	<b>functioning</b>	18:12 22:13
118:13 120:15	130:1	<b>forth</b> 12:24	83:10,14,14	32:17 33:16
<b>finding</b> 119:5	<b>food</b> 15:5 40:18	42:21 97:24	<b>funded</b> 7:12	34:4,5 35:8
<b>finish</b> 5:22,24	41:15,22,25	106:18 108:9	<b>further</b> 43:9	36:23 43:1
29:6 66:21	49:10 62:11	<b>forwarding</b>	55:19 74:8	44:23 45:5
<b>fire</b> 4:20 75:8,14	<b>foolproof</b> 82:24	128:2	130:9,12,14	49:24 52:25
75:19,21,22	<b>foot</b> 34:18,20,24	<b>found</b> 69:20	131:1	56:7 59:3,10
93:11 122:8,9	35:13 36:2,13	103:17 116:16		60:6 63:4
122:10 123:8	38:3,20 39:6	<b>Foundation</b> 7:9	<b>G</b>	65:11 67:20
<b>Firm</b> 130:21	39:12 41:7,11	<b>four</b> 6:9,21 11:6	<b>G</b> 5:1 102:20	68:13,15 74:12
131:19	44:18 45:20	15:7 21:19	<b>Garcia</b> 8:22	77:19 78:9
<b>first</b> 5:3 6:7	46:6,21 47:15	22:5,10 29:22	24:15 42:22	80:17 84:4
18:14 24:13	49:5 51:5,10	30:5 32:2	<b>gas</b> 40:7 62:4	86:1 89:4 90:9
45:1 57:5	54:12 57:6,9	40:13 63:17	<b>gathering</b> 11:9	91:3 94:19
65:13 83:18	57:16 58:19,24	71:21 73:18	11:12 18:6	98:17 99:18
96:20 99:5	61:16,21 64:5	80:5,9 89:19	<b>general</b> 4:13	100:24 101:5
100:20 101:9	65:16 67:7,21	103:7,9 104:3	9:24 18:22	104:22,23
103:2 106:5,20	68:22 69:1	105:22 106:6	97:4 98:5	106:20 107:15
106:23 107:8	74:14,25 75:11	108:25	99:22	107:19,21
107:17 109:13	75:17 77:20	<b>fours</b> 86:6 98:1	<b>generally</b> 102:16	110:6,7 114:9
109:16 112:15	78:1,4 79:5,15	<b>fourth</b> 83:19	<b>generate</b> 75:19	114:15 116:7

118:6 119:12 120:9,13,15 121:1,2 122:5 <b>goes</b> 52:6 92:13 92:16 104:7 105:9 121:12 121:21 <b>going</b> 5:12,13 7:11 11:25 12:3,6,7 21:1 23:16 27:21 32:12 33:16 42:20 53:14 70:16 71:19 76:4 77:19 78:8,10 83:16 94:19 96:21 99:18 104:19 105:8 110:7 114:19 115:19 118:19 120:13 <b>good</b> 125:14 <b>Gordon</b> 2:9 <b>GPS</b> 76:13 <b>graduate</b> 8:5,6 <b>graduated</b> 6:15 <b>grant</b> 7:10,11,12 <b>graph</b> 56:14 68:11 74:18 85:10 90:9 <b>graphs</b> 12:24 50:1 66:10 73:23 74:17 77:15 89:10 <b>greater</b> 23:9 46:13 61:11 <b>greatest</b> 86:7 121:4 <b>Greenville</b> 57:23 100:21 101:2 <b>grocery</b> 40:16 58:9 <b>group</b> 99:5 102:7 <b>groups</b> 52:1	102:11 <b>Grove</b> 101:3 <b>guess</b> 13:3 15:1 16:20 20:13 48:17 49:24 59:15 90:14 97:2 <b>guided</b> 45:16 <b>guns</b> 35:21,23 36:6,15 <hr/> <b>H</b> <b>H</b> 111:19 112:23 <b>half</b> 67:15 81:24 82:5 <b>hall</b> 123:2 <b>halls</b> 123:5,8,12 <b>hand</b> 128:19 <b>handed</b> 106:15 <b>hang</b> 76:12 <b>happen</b> 125:14 <b>happened</b> 48:4 49:11 52:3 63:25 76:19 85:18 103:1 107:23 118:10 119:9,21 <b>happens</b> 106:5 <b>Hartford</b> 72:17 <b>held</b> 26:14 62:18 125:18 <b>help</b> 97:17 122:2 <b>helped</b> 24:11 <b>helps</b> 97:13 <b>hereto</b> 1:25 <b>higher</b> 64:25 97:24 <b>highest</b> 22:6 60:10 108:23 <b>highlight</b> 47:25 108:21 <b>highway</b> 111:25 <b>Hispanic</b> 93:23 94:2,13 <b>hold</b> 8:11 27:22	98:15 119:13 120:3 <b>holding</b> 26:2 <b>homicide</b> 60:13 60:14 118:4 <b>homicides</b> 118:4 <b>Honestly</b> 116:25 <b>hospital</b> 116:7 <b>hotel</b> 112:13,15 113:4,9,11,15 <b>hotel/motel</b> 112:25 <b>hotels</b> 40:25 <b>hour</b> 20:18 21:20 22:5,6 22:10 47:22 48:3 54:8 61:20 63:5,11 63:13,16,18 64:9,15 65:4 71:21 73:18 81:3,24 85:11 89:6,20 90:13 91:22 92:1 102:2 <b>HOURLY</b> 130:2 <b>hours</b> 12:17 14:21 20:16,23 21:7 31:13,14 39:14 40:5,19 43:6,14 48:16 51:18 53:6 56:2,3 63:24 66:5 68:11 71:24 72:1 78:7 81:14 85:19 86:25 88:18,23 90:18 90:24 95:24 <b>House</b> 40:22 <b>housed</b> 7:16 <b>houses</b> 58:11 <hr/> <b>I</b> <b>ID</b> 110:10,25	<b>idea</b> 22:4 110:10 116:21 <b>Ideation</b> 10:1 <b>identical</b> 93:10 <b>identify</b> 96:7 121:8 <b>identity</b> 128:14 <b>IDing</b> 111:1 <b>ignore</b> 95:16 109:22 <b>II</b> 2:13 <b>importantly</b> 11:6 <b>incidences</b> 17:25 <b>incident</b> 4:18 17:20,21 18:3 49:8 53:20 54:16 76:19 77:1 82:17 101:11,14,18 101:18 104:19 104:20,20 107:22 108:14 108:17,18 109:8 110:11 110:15,20 111:19,20 <b>incidents</b> 48:25 49:14 50:20 51:4 53:4,18 54:12 56:1 64:5 79:3,4 80:5,9 81:2,14 84:1 85:12 89:14,19 90:12 104:13,17 110:3 111:12 114:21 <b>include</b> 17:13 19:2 65:19 117:3 123:8,10 123:12 <b>included</b> 10:11 45:10 95:9,10 107:1 117:12	117:13,15 123:10 <b>includes</b> 13:9 17:17 117:21 123:4 130:5 <b>including</b> 11:13 77:13 <b>increase</b> 83:2 84:1 <b>increased</b> 39:22 46:11,13 <b>independent</b> 101:3 <b>indicate</b> 52:1 99:25 <b>indicates</b> 19:8 19:12 23:24 25:17 <b>indication</b> 114:4 <b>individual</b> 102:11 111:10 112:17,23 113:4 <b>Info</b> 4:7 <b>information</b> 12:23,23 15:18 18:20 24:16 25:20 60:2 61:2,4,7 75:16 76:13 83:24 100:13 103:5 105:9 106:8 112:7 114:16 120:10 121:18 121:23,24 122:10 123:19 123:21 124:3 130:3 <b>injury</b> 19:1 <b>input</b> 60:23 <b>inquiries</b> 11:13 <b>instance</b> 1:17 86:8 108:24 111:5 <b>institute</b> 6:18
---	--	--	---	--

7:5,7,13,13 8:2 <b>instrument</b> 128:16 <b>interested</b> 124:18 130:13 <b>interesting</b> 83:1 <b>interestingly</b> 33:15 <b>International</b> 40:22 <b>interrupt</b> 23:15 71:9 100:23 <b>interval</b> 54:2 <b>investigate</b> 42:18 <b>investigations</b> 111:6 <b>investigator</b> 118:7,11 <b>investigators</b> 118:7 <b>involved</b> 36:14 116:2 120:20 123:25 124:2 <b>involves</b> 8:13 <b>involving</b> 51:21 100:16 117:3 <b>issue</b> 88:17 <b>item</b> 98:13,23 105:8 113:17 <b>items</b> 13:19 98:20	50:23 52:12 55:2,5,23 59:3 59:7 62:17 70:16 82:1,7 82:19 83:6 87:18 95:2,13 95:17 96:1 99:9,13 105:25 119:23 130:7 <b>journals</b> 72:22 <b>juncture</b> 102:5 <b>jurisdiction</b> 119:15	111:1 112:3 115:24 117:2 118:18 123:24 124:22,23,25 125:6,8 <b>knowing</b> 44:14 110:13 <b>knowledge</b> 13:22 20:4 23:22 <b>knowledgeable</b> 15:17 <b>known</b> 128:13	<b>license</b> 26:2,5,8 28:23 64:6 83:9,15 123:23 124:20 <b>licensed</b> 23:25 24:20,24 25:14 32:13 33:2 42:21 67:22 77:12,21 86:19 114:22 <b>licenses</b> 25:3 26:15 32:23 45:22 61:14 <b>lieutenant</b> 5:10 5:12 6:2,20 28:17 70:21 95:4,21 99:2 125:24 <b>Lights</b> 86:15 <b>Likewise</b> 63:20 <b>limit</b> 109:7 <b>limited</b> 11:13 59:22 <b>line</b> 35:2,9 37:2 65:13 71:20 114:18 116:3 119:12 127:4 <b>Linz</b> 69:15 72:9 73:1 <b>Linz's</b> 71:20 <b>list</b> 4:16,17 24:20 27:22 28:3,22 29:9 29:13,15 32:18 33:12 38:23 77:12 83:16,21 97:5 101:6 103:2 106:16 120:18 124:16 <b>listed</b> 28:10 29:15 83:13 97:10 98:20 103:6 <b>lists</b> 28:19 100:20	<b>lit</b> 76:23 <b>literature</b> 42:24 <b>little</b> 37:20 38:9 84:25 87:21 92:23 94:18 125:12 <b>located</b> 26:23 28:21 29:16 33:19 <b>location</b> 41:17 49:4,6,9 76:5 84:23 99:25 101:19 103:10 103:12 109:6 112:17,19,20 113:24 <b>locations</b> 34:12 34:18,23 36:1 38:16 39:7,13 40:1 41:11 45:21 47:13 49:1 50:14,21 51:6,11,22 52:8,11,18,23 53:25 54:4,12 54:21,25 55:10 57:9,11 58:20 61:13,17,22 64:5 65:12,16 67:7,22 68:20 74:14 75:12,18 77:21 80:22 81:5 83:4,25 84:19 85:14 90:14,15 92:1 93:9 94:7 100:1,20 105:16 111:13 114:22 115:12 122:16 124:8 <b>longer</b> 27:15 77:13,22 <b>look</b> 26:18 28:2 30:13 47:2 49:3,18 66:9
<b>J</b>	<b>K</b>	<b>L</b>		
<b>J</b> 2:9 130:7 <b>jail</b> 118:8,14,20 <b>Janet</b> 1:20 129:14 130:19 130:20 131:17 131:18 <b>January</b> 24:14 <b>JORDAN</b> 2:4 10:25 21:1 25:5 28:14 44:21,25 45:1	<b>keep</b> 99:14 <b>kidnapping</b> 86:9 <b>kind</b> 10:9 12:1 13:1 87:10 88:8 98:7 108:2 109:7 111:25 117:21 118:16 <b>kinds</b> 58:6 74:17 118:20 <b>know</b> 12:1,2 15:22 19:24 20:25 22:9,21 22:24 31:10,12 31:14,18,21,23 32:1,4,5,7,10 32:18 33:6,22 33:24,25 35:6 36:17,20 37:8 40:3,7 42:4,8,9 48:15,18 49:5 49:19,20,22 53:16 58:5 62:2,6 64:2 70:3 77:25 79:14,14 80:12 83:8,11 88:21 88:25 94:5,6 95:12 99:9 102:8 103:13	<b>Lamar</b> 5:8 76:9 <b>land</b> 25:13,14,14 <b>large</b> 57:12 78:3 <b>late</b> 78:7 102:15 <b>Latino</b> 93:24 94:2,13 <b>law</b> 115:6 <b>leadership</b> 7:13 7:15 <b>led</b> 36:18,20 <b>left</b> 97:5 <b>let's</b> 15:1 28:2 30:13 34:4 36:3 37:11 38:21 41:15 43:21 45:3,5 50:19 53:17 55:9 56:7 65:11 67:20 68:13 76:20 77:3,5 78:11 84:4 89:4 96:3 104:22 105:24 110:6 114:25 121:1 122:5 <b>letter</b> 98:15 <b>level</b> 10:12,21 17:20 23:22 124:13 <b>levels</b> 10:11		



73:20 76:13	<b>maps</b> 101:1	103:20 108:18	<b>minute</b> 8:14	25:7 28:16
77:5 89:12	<b>March</b> 16:18	110:9,25 112:2	32:5 36:4	33:10 44:23
94:7 95:21	<b>MARIE</b> 2:4	<b>meaning</b> 29:11	62:16	51:2 52:13,14
96:1 98:11	130:7	57:20 58:7	<b>MINUTE(S)</b>	55:6,25 59:5
104:20 105:24	<b>Marilla</b> 1:22 2:5	123:14	130:2	59:12,13 62:15
107:4,19	<b>marked</b> 10:23	<b>means</b> 20:21	<b>minutes</b> 125:16	62:19 70:20
110:21 114:25	12:6 24:7	25:11 33:18	<b>mischaracteri...</b>	82:3,22 95:3,5
115:18,23	94:21 96:6	51:3,15,20	118:17	95:16,20 96:3
118:21 124:7	97:17 98:10	52:17 53:19	<b>mischaracteri...</b>	96:5 99:16,21
125:4	<b>Master</b> 4:18 9:8	54:2 55:10	28:14 50:23	106:1 119:25
<b>looked</b> 42:20	101:11	67:12 97:25	52:12 55:2	125:16,19,22
47:23 70:2	<b>material</b> 12:3	102:8 103:9	82:20 83:6	130:2,7
72:18 106:19	<b>materials</b> 11:20	108:17 117:24	87:18 119:23	
117:17,20	<b>math</b> 21:10 48:5	119:13	<b>mischief</b> 17:18	<b>N</b>
<b>looking</b> 17:1	51:15,19,24,25	<b>measured</b> 35:14	<b>Misdemeanor</b>	<b>N</b> 2:1,14 3:1 5:1
63:17 69:16	52:1,6 53:15	70:1	65:23	<b>name</b> 5:6 11:5
71:21 72:4	53:19 54:6,15	<b>measurement</b>	<b>misnomer</b>	100:22,25
75:17 99:23	54:18,20 55:19	125:2	118:16	103:10,11
124:2 125:13	67:8,11,13,14	<b>measuring</b>	<b>missed</b> 100:6	127:2 128:15
<b>looks</b> 27:7 28:4	70:10,17 72:3	47:15 49:5	<b>missing</b> 47:2	<b>names</b> 101:3
30:1,20,24	78:2 81:22	<b>media</b> 13:19	<b>misstated</b> 51:3	<b>near</b> 25:14
73:15 96:9	82:22	<b>meet</b> 76:8	<b>mistake</b> 95:13	113:23
98:12 104:6	<b>mathematical</b>	<b>members</b> 19:5,5	117:8,14	<b>nearly</b> 6:10
109:21 120:14	52:21 54:7	24:15 117:4	<b>misunderstood</b>	37:20
<b>lot</b> 12:23 26:9	<b>mathematically</b>	<b>memorandum</b>	117:7	<b>necessarily</b>
76:23 77:1	53:25 55:1	4:6,8,11 24:14	<b>model</b> 23:17	43:25 76:7,15
83:11,20	70:15 82:17	77:8	69:13	107:25
101:24	<b>matter</b> 20:5 22:9	<b>Men's</b> 27:8 31:8	<b>moment</b> 94:23	<b>need</b> 49:20,21
<b>lower</b> 56:5 57:23	41:14 69:23	31:15	102:24	76:8 113:23
100:21 101:2	102:4	<b>mental</b> 116:6	<b>Monique</b> 8:21	<b>needs</b> 76:17
<b>lowest</b> 22:10	<b>matters</b> 11:13	<b>mentioned</b> 7:5	<b>months</b> 6:21	82:23 116:6
	11:23	<b>method</b> 109:11	<b>motel</b> 112:15	<b>negative</b> 90:23
<b>M</b>	<b>mayor</b> 24:15	<b>methodology</b>	<b>Motels</b> 40:25	<b>negligent</b> 60:14
<b>machine</b> 1:21	77:8	44:10 72:19	<b>move</b> 77:3 96:3	<b>neighborhood</b>
<b>Major</b> 15:23	<b>McDonald's</b>	<b>methods</b> 10:10	<b>moved</b> 6:20	23:1
123:19 124:13	42:24	10:12,14	<b>moving</b> 25:15	<b>neighborhoods</b>
124:16 125:6	<b>mean</b> 23:14	<b>MICHAEL</b> 2:9	<b>multiple</b> 108:4	43:15
<b>Majoring</b> 9:6	25:10 34:15	130:7	<b>multivariate</b>	<b>neither</b> 130:9
<b>making</b> 18:16	51:16 52:20	<b>middle</b> 12:1	10:17 42:4,6	<b>net</b> 125:11
<b>mall</b> 26:6 83:20	54:20 60:25	47:3	<b>murder</b> 17:18	<b>never</b> 41:24 42:4
<b>Management</b>	68:22 70:13	<b>Milford</b> 72:17	37:16 60:13,14	42:8 43:9
6:25	71:2,3,5 79:2,7	<b>million</b> 7:11	118:6	59:18 78:2
<b>Mann-Whitney</b>	80:20 81:23	<b>mind</b> 59:18	<b>Murray</b> 2:9,9	<b>new</b> 23:17,17
69:19 72:5	82:17 100:20	<b>mine</b> 60:17	3:4 5:5 10:24	81:19
<b>map</b> 59:8	100:22 101:24	<b>minus</b> 69:25	11:1 21:3,4	<b>NIBRS</b> 6:25



17:9,16,23	22:16,20 37:25	84:13 88:15	112:13 115:10	12:20 13:1
18:1,1,9,11,12	<b>NOTARY</b>	99:10,10,14	118:15 119:2,7	14:13 15:25
18:12,17,17,18	128:23	104:21 107:25	119:17 121:14	16:5,9,14 17:1
18:20 45:13	<b>noted</b> 128:3	108:16,22	130:16	17:6 18:21
107:9,17,18,19	<b>notice</b> 4:3 24:13	110:14,20	<b>occurring</b> 47:4	19:8 20:2,8
107:20,25	107:8	115:24 121:4	<b>occurs</b> 108:4	21:3 23:1,4,12
108:7,10,11,14	<b>November</b> 6:23	124:2 125:14	<b>odd</b> 56:18	24:8,13,19,23
108:18 110:17	7:4 8:10		<b>offense</b> 8:15	25:17 26:11,14
110:22 114:12	<b>nude</b> 14:3 27:11	<b>O</b>	17:24 86:11	26:22 27:1,7
<b>night</b> 41:14	29:18 30:23	<b>O</b> 5:1	108:23,24	27:17,21 28:2
58:16 62:9	80:17 82:6	<b>o'clock</b> 15:2	110:17 111:20	28:19 29:14,18
69:9 78:7	84:17 87:14	<b>oath</b> 128:13	114:4 116:18	29:21,23 30:13
102:15 118:10	89:18,21 91:3	<b>object</b> 21:1	118:10,15	30:17,23 31:1
119:9,10,21	92:13 123:23	70:16 110:10	<b>offenses</b> 4:16	31:4,7,10,14
<b>nightclub</b>	124:22	112:2,5	17:14,17 55:16	32:4 33:22
111:24	<b>number</b> 4:2,19	<b>Objected</b> 110:8	60:12 61:18	34:4,8 36:5,12
<b>nightclubs</b>	22:25 25:21,23	<b>Objection</b> 25:5	64:22 65:23	36:23 37:11,19
40:13 58:9	25:25 26:2,5,8	28:14 44:21	78:14,20,21	38:9 39:6,11
123:4	26:11 31:20	50:23 52:12	80:19,21,25	39:19,25 40:25
<b>nine</b> 28:4,5	38:24 39:16	55:2,23 82:1,7	83:3 89:5	41:2,17 42:14
29:19,25 30:17	51:13 52:10	82:19 83:6	100:4 104:17	43:24 44:3,14
34:11 79:21,23	53:4 60:5	87:18 119:23	105:6 106:10	45:3,5,12,15
80:1,8,10,18	67:17 71:7	<b>obligation</b> 18:7	106:10,11,12	45:19 46:10
80:20,22 81:19	73:14,15,21	<b>obtain</b> 9:17	106:16 107:20	47:18 48:6
81:19 82:6	79:4 83:3	<b>obviously</b> 20:9	107:20,23	49:8,18 51:8
84:8 87:11,22	84:15 86:17	60:25	108:4,12,15	51:13 52:17
<b>ninth</b> 30:10	97:23 98:23	<b>occur</b> 18:3 77:1	114:1 117:18	53:23 54:17
<b>nonadult</b> 40:13	99:2 101:6,11	112:14 118:10	<b>offered</b> 13:19	55:14,25 57:1
58:16,16 62:9	101:12,18,18	<b>occurred</b> 17:3	<b>offers</b> 14:2	57:5 58:3,14
123:4	102:12,23	20:18,22 21:7	<b>office</b> 1:22 2:4	58:19,23 59:2
<b>noncritical</b>	104:19,20	32:8 34:24	8:8 96:8	59:12,13,21
103:7	105:8,22,23	36:12 41:20,21	128:19	61:10 62:2,22
<b>nonoperational</b>	106:3 107:22	46:1,2,6 47:5,9	<b>officer</b> 6:1 8:7	64:12 65:11,18
83:13,25 85:5	108:4 109:8	47:13 48:7,9	8:14 102:15	65:25 66:9
90:6,8 91:15	110:11,16,19	53:5,18 63:6,7	111:5,19	67:1,5,11,20
92:22	110:25 112:10	63:14,19,22,22	129:18 130:4	68:13,14 69:10
<b>nonparametric</b>	112:12 113:12	64:7,9,16,16	131:3	69:13 70:3
69:18	113:13,14,15	65:5,8,16 67:6	<b>officer's</b> 131:8	71:5,25 72:21
<b>normally</b> 118:17	114:11 115:3	67:16 73:12,17	<b>officers</b> 111:7,9	73:5,11 75:4,7
<b>North</b> 7:9	116:23 117:23	76:1 79:3,4	116:13,16	75:22 76:1
<b>NORTHERN</b>	118:23 119:13	81:2 85:13,15	<b>officially</b> 101:19	77:3,3,7 78:6
1:1 129:1	121:12 122:6,7	85:16 88:16,22	<b>Ohio</b> 2:10	78:11,13,24
<b>northwest</b> 15:10	<b>numbered</b> 1:18	89:1 90:12	<b>okay</b> 6:1 7:2 8:9	79:1,16 80:15
15:24 16:15	<b>numbers</b> 8:12	91:25 92:4	9:2,14,16	83:1 85:8 86:1
17:3 19:9 21:6	8:18 73:9	108:23 111:12	10:16,19 11:25	86:14,16,21

87:9,25 89:4,8	25:8,17,25	74:25 75:12,24	56:3 61:11	115:14 116:1
89:12 90:9	26:2,11,16	77:12,21 85:3	63:1,5,7,19,20	116:25 118:21
91:3,7 92:7,25	27:14,15,18,24	86:19 87:4	63:22,25 64:7	120:9,15 121:4
93:6,20 94:18	30:11 31:2	88:17 90:15	64:16 65:1,9	127:4 131:5
95:5,20 96:3	32:24 45:22,23	104:15 105:2	66:1 68:5	<b>pages</b> 48:15
96:12,17,20	77:22 83:9,19	111:14 114:5	71:11,17 74:23	88:14 89:9
97:13 98:9,19	83:19,21	114:22 115:11	75:1,1 76:1	95:10 99:19
98:23 99:4,8	<b>operation</b> 14:21	116:9 119:8,18	78:20,21 80:22	100:9 104:8
99:12,15	29:24 31:5,15	121:15 122:15	80:23 81:3	105:7,12,20,24
100:16,19	43:14 49:15	<b>original</b> 94:25	85:11 86:22	109:13 115:17
101:9 102:4,12	85:3	95:1 131:2,6,9	89:6 90:3,24	121:6,12
103:10 104:7	<b>operator</b> 103:18	<b>originally</b>	91:4 102:7	<b>Pal</b> 116:10,12
104:22,24	<b>opportunity</b>	106:19 108:19	126:1	<b>Palk</b> 123:20,25
105:7,11,17,18	99:17	111:4 119:4	<b>package</b> 107:6	124:13,16
105:20 106:1,2	<b>opposed</b> 31:20	<b>originated</b>	<b>page</b> 3:2 4:2	125:6
106:5 107:15	45:12 125:1	114:12	11:6 13:2,6	<b>Pals</b> 116:11
109:10,13,18	<b>oral</b> 1:10,16	<b>outcome</b> 130:13	14:6,7,13,16	<b>Pancakes</b> 40:22
109:22 110:3,6	129:18	<b>outlets</b> 41:2	14:20 15:9,12	<b>paper</b> 60:25
110:6,22,24	<b>Order</b> 97:4	<b>outside</b> 20:22	15:13,15,16,18	<b>paragraph</b> 11:7
111:2,16	<b>orders</b> 4:13 98:5	21:7,11 23:22	17:1,2 18:21	<b>parameters</b>
113:14 114:9	<b>ordinance</b> 11:14	33:19 73:18	18:24 22:5,13	86:16 89:9
114:18,25	12:12,15 13:13	120:3	22:14 23:24	90:11 91:21
116:8 120:9,22	14:18,24 95:24	<b>outstanding</b>	24:13,19 26:19	99:22
121:3,11,23	<b>organize</b> 11:11	65:21 117:16	28:3 32:11,12	<b>parking</b> 76:23
122:10 123:16	<b>organized</b> 12:24	<b>overbroad</b> 44:25	34:5,6 36:23	77:1 111:24
123:24 125:16	<b>oriented</b> 12:14	<b>overdosed</b>	37:11 45:4,6	<b>part</b> 9:14 10:4
<b>old</b> 17:12 107:24	12:16 13:7	116:12	47:23 48:13,17	17:7,12 18:8,8
<b>once</b> 6:15 109:9	14:17,22,25	<b>overlap</b> 41:14	49:18,24,25	37:13 43:3
124:7	24:1,4,16,20	<b>oversee</b> 8:18	56:7,8 62:19	45:10 95:9
<b>one's</b> 93:11	25:12,14 26:15	<b>overseeing</b> 8:12	62:25 64:20	96:10 97:21
<b>ones</b> 33:7 72:13	26:23 27:18,24	<b>Overview</b> 37:12	65:11 66:9	107:6 122:18
85:5 86:6,7	28:20 32:14,19	45:7	67:20 68:13	123:2
103:6	33:3,19,23		73:20 74:12	<b>partial</b> 115:16
<b>ongoing</b> 16:20	34:12,19,23,25	<b>P</b>	75:7 77:16	<b>partially</b> 115:16
<b>open</b> 7:17 15:1,1	36:1,13 39:4	<b>P</b> 2:1,1 5:1	78:12 83:17	<b>participated</b>
15:6 24:24	40:4 41:12,17	<b>p.m</b> 1:19 19:13	86:2 89:4 97:2	97:19
31:11,19,20,24	41:21 42:2,25	20:16,19 21:23	97:3,3,7,23	<b>particular</b> 20:6
32:1,20 38:23	43:21 44:5,8	21:25,25 22:2	98:14 99:1,2,5	38:1,5 43:25
39:9 40:4,13	44:17 45:21	22:2 35:22,24	100:20 101:5,5	49:9,15 57:25
40:18 43:1,6	49:1,16 50:16	36:7 39:21	104:23 105:17	80:9 95:14
43:22 46:22,24	57:2 58:15	40:5 46:2,7,14	106:5,20,23	97:21 120:20
77:13 78:7	61:14,17 63:2	47:4,11,12	107:8,16,17	<b>particularly</b>
83:11 88:18	64:6 65:12	48:4,7,10,17	109:18,20,23	97:22
<b>operated</b> 16:17	67:7,17,22	48:20 50:7,25	110:1 114:3,9	<b>parties</b> 130:5,10
<b>operating</b> 4:14	68:2,9 74:13	53:2,11 54:8	114:15 115:14	131:13

<b>party</b> 129:25	<b>period</b> 20:18	107:25	<b>plus</b> 15:5 105:20	<b>pretty</b> 33:16
<b>passage</b> 95:23	21:20 22:6	<b>picked</b> 43:19	<b>point</b> 32:23 47:3	73:3 78:3
<b>patrol</b> 6:7,9,13	35:18 38:20	44:3 45:12	47:25 100:14	84:15 102:8
6:19,21 19:9	47:22 48:4	60:11,13,15	<b>police</b> 6:2,6,18	116:11 120:14
20:14	52:2,3,22 54:8	113:17,20	7:3,5,7,8,12,16	125:5
<b>PD</b> 118:24 120:7	54:24 55:21	119:14	7:24,25 8:2,13	<b>prevent</b> 25:15
<b>PDF</b> 75:15 99:11	56:6,10 63:5	<b>pie</b> 93:11	10:1 16:6 76:8	<b>previously</b>
122:18	63:11,13,16,18	<b>piece</b> 112:7	76:8,24 98:6	115:10
<b>peer</b> 72:21,24	64:7,9,9,15	<b>pieces</b> 115:16	101:14,14,19	<b>printed</b> 106:24
<b>Pennsylvania</b>	65:4 66:14	<b>pivot</b> 106:25	103:24 110:15	<b>prior</b> 119:3
9:6	67:2,6,9 68:16	107:1,4 109:17	111:7 112:17	<b>priorities</b> 86:6
<b>people</b> 8:1 43:1	70:1 71:8,16	109:21 115:17	<b>policy</b> 116:11	<b>prioritizing</b> 86:5
117:15 118:12	71:22 73:8,18	115:25 116:21	<b>poll</b> 57:22	<b>priority</b> 86:1,3
120:1	74:9 75:23	<b>place</b> 11:9,25	<b>poorly</b> 106:24	86:12,22,24
<b>percent</b> 19:14	78:15 79:25	18:15 76:24	<b>population</b> 43:5	97:6,12,14,23
19:19 20:17,21	80:11 81:3,4,9	111:22 118:2	43:11	97:24,24,25
21:5,10 23:25	81:22,25 82:15	124:1	<b>portion</b> 13:19	98:1,2,7
33:2,15,18	84:2,11,19	<b>placed</b> 23:7	<b>pose</b> 5:16	102:23 103:1,4
35:21,24 36:3	85:11 87:15	<b>places</b> 11:6	<b>position</b> 6:22	<b>probably</b> 62:23
36:6,9,14	88:2 89:5,6,20	<b>Plaintiff</b> 1:4,17	8:10,12 11:21	118:4
37:21 38:7,11	89:24 90:3,10	2:3 4:1 129:4	<b>positions</b> 7:17	<b>problem</b> 42:23
39:17,20,22	90:13 91:22	130:7 131:9	<b>positive</b> 75:14	102:20 116:6
46:5,7 47:3,5,6	92:1 93:1	<b>Plaintiff's</b> 11:1	<b>possible</b> 54:19	<b>Procedure</b> 1:24
47:13,14,18,22	94:10 105:1	12:7 24:7	<b>potential</b> 90:14	<b>Procedures</b> 4:14
48:3,4 61:16	121:15	27:21,23 28:3	<b>PowerPoint</b>	<b>proceeding</b>
61:17,21,24	<b>periods</b> 89:14	30:14 32:12,17	12:11	130:11
62:2 63:1,3,6,9	<b>permit</b> 15:6	33:12 34:4	<b>preceding</b> 48:13	<b>Proceedings</b>
63:22 64:6,8	<b>permitted</b> 34:2	38:23 77:3,6	83:17 89:9	126:1
64:15,16 65:3	<b>perpetrator</b>	94:22 95:6,9	<b>precise</b> 55:9	<b>produced</b> 1:16
65:8 69:22,24	20:4,5	96:6,22,24	<b>precisely</b> 13:17	96:13
73:13,17 75:1	<b>person</b> 7:19	97:17 98:10,11	<b>prefer</b> 125:25	<b>progress</b> 86:9,9
75:1 78:20,21	15:17 16:11	98:12,13,16,17	<b>preferably</b> 43:3	86:10,12 97:6
80:18,20,23,25	18:3 20:3,6	99:1,23 104:22	<b>premise</b> 112:25	97:9
82:11,11 93:20	76:6,19,20,20	106:2,6,8,20	<b>premises</b> 111:22	<b>promoted</b> 6:8,20
93:23 94:1	76:22 103:12	109:14 115:2,5	<b>prepare</b> 11:20	<b>proper</b> 16:11
<b>percentage</b>	103:17 107:24	115:15 120:24	122:2	42:23 43:2
21:19,22 22:6	111:9 113:7	121:1,3,8	<b>preparing</b> 131:9	44:10,18
22:10 41:6	128:15	122:1,5,6	<b>present</b> 26:9	<b>property</b> 35:2,9
46:13 49:13	<b>personally</b>	123:17	<b>presentation</b>	45:9,17,19
62:3,6 64:25	128:12	<b>Plaintiffs</b> 115:1	11:12 22:5	46:1,5,10,18
85:15 88:25	<b>persons</b> 93:21	<b>please</b> 5:6 49:22	34:6 50:1 56:8	46:20 47:4,8
92:3	<b>perused</b> 72:18	65:2 109:3	73:22 96:14,25	47:12,13,18,25
<b>percentages</b>	<b>Ph.D</b> 9:17	<b>pleasured</b> 35:2	97:18 122:2,20	48:1,6 50:6,25
62:25 74:18	<b>phone</b> 76:14	<b>plot</b> 25:13	<b>presented</b> 22:14	51:5,9 53:1,5
<b>performed</b> 14:4	<b>pick</b> 43:20	<b>plugged</b> 108:10	122:3	53:24 55:4,7

55:11,16 56:2 59:22 60:8 106:17 107:14 <b>proposition</b> 68:9 <b>prostitution</b> 35:17 <b>proved</b> 128:13 <b>provide</b> 124:4 124:16 <b>provided</b> 99:18 122:11 123:20 <b>provisions</b> 1:24 <b>psychology</b> 9:6 <b>Public</b> 2:10 128:23 <b>published</b> 44:1 72:11 <b>pull</b> 60:8 97:7 108:12 110:14 <b>pulled</b> 34:16,17 64:22 101:1 102:10 106:17 108:19,21 114:21 117:6 117:18 123:2,2 <b>pulls</b> 107:19 <b>purposes</b> 16:17 18:23 21:17 109:22 128:17 <b>pursuant</b> 1:23 130:3,14 <b>put</b> 12:12,21,22 15:21 28:24 56:14 59:16 60:6 61:2 63:14 99:10 118:8,9,14,20 <b>puts</b> 18:25	73:6 82:2,7,19 88:22 95:5,23 124:19 125:22 <b>questioning</b> 59:10 <b>questions</b> 5:13 <b>quick</b> 73:3 <b>quickly</b> 94:20 <b>quite</b> 124:15 <hr/> <b>R</b> <b>R</b> 2:1 5:1 <b>RA</b> 103:20 <b>radio</b> 101:16 <b>radius</b> 34:20,24 35:13 36:13 38:3,20 39:6 39:12 40:1 41:7,11 44:18 45:20 46:21 47:16 49:5 51:5,10 54:12 57:6,9,16 58:20,24 61:16 61:21 64:5 65:16 67:7,21 68:22 69:1 74:14,25 75:11 75:17 77:20 78:1,4 79:5,15 79:20 80:11 81:4 84:10,15 84:22 86:17 87:3,22 88:1 88:17 89:15 90:13 92:1 93:8,16 104:14 105:2 111:13 112:16 113:18 114:22 121:15 122:16,23 124:11 125:1,5 <b>radiuses</b> 46:6 <b>rank</b> 6:2 9:1 <b>rape</b> 37:16	<b>rate</b> 23:8 43:7 <b>raw</b> 98:23 105:23 106:7 107:5 <b>reaches</b> 10:21 <b>read</b> 72:6,11,13 72:14,25 125:23,24,25 128:2 <b>reads</b> 11:9 <b>realized</b> 117:25 <b>really</b> 8:12 68:22 79:2 102:4 109:14 112:6 123:16 <b>reason</b> 17:19 18:14 29:2,8 29:12 71:3 108:5 116:14 117:8 119:11 123:25 127:4 <b>reasons</b> 131:5 <b>recall</b> 122:13,22 122:23 124:12 124:14 <b>recheck</b> 54:18 <b>recognized</b> 73:3 <b>record</b> 1:24 5:7 59:3,6 85:9 86:4 125:21 129:19 130:6 <b>recorded</b> 18:1 <b>records</b> 18:2 <b>red</b> 50:7 <b>redacted</b> 98:24 105:23 115:6 <b>refer</b> 102:14 <b>reference</b> 42:25 76:13 <b>referenced</b> 59:9 <b>referral</b> 116:2 <b>referring</b> 107:16 <b>refers</b> 34:22 <b>reflect</b> 76:25 <b>Regal</b> 113:8	<b>regard</b> 14:10 <b>regards</b> 76:19 <b>registered</b> 124:19 <b>Registration</b> 130:21 131:19 <b>regression</b> 10:13 10:17 42:5 <b>regressions</b> 42:6 <b>regularly</b> 31:11 <b>regulations</b> 14:16,22 <b>reiterate</b> 77:18 <b>related</b> 12:12 22:15 34:12,15 34:22 35:17 36:15 60:12 79:12 94:7 97:21 125:10 130:10 <b>relates</b> 42:24 <b>relationship</b> 75:24 <b>relevance</b> 96:23 105:12 <b>relevant</b> 11:10 95:18,22 <b>relied</b> 122:2 <b>remain</b> 14:25 15:1,6 <b>remaining</b> 64:8 86:25 <b>remember</b> 18:12 63:14 72:15 <b>remove</b> 56:16,19 108:22 <b>removed</b> 109:8,9 121:22 <b>renamed</b> 6:24 <b>repeat</b> 13:16 65:2 72:20 <b>report</b> 8:14,20 8:21 18:8,16 18:17 45:16 60:8 63:12	76:19 81:23 110:17 <b>reported</b> 1:21 8:17 20:3,4,18 20:22 21:6 37:21,24 38:6 38:12,12,13,19 39:12,13 40:1 41:7,25 45:20 46:5,18,21 47:12 50:3,10 50:13,20 51:4 51:10,17,21 52:4,9,15,17 52:22 53:1,4 53:10,17,20,24 54:3,11,15,22 54:25 55:11,16 55:20,21 56:1 59:24 60:5 61:12,18 63:16 63:18,21 64:4 64:8,13 65:4 75:22 79:3 80:1,5 81:2,8 81:14 83:4 84:1 85:12 89:19 91:24 113:5,6,7 <b>reporter</b> 49:21 129:14 <b>Reporter's</b> 3:6 129:11 <b>reporting</b> 18:9 18:20 103:21 103:22 130:21 131:19 <b>reports</b> 8:22,23 18:11 19:21 61:1 72:23 81:18 105:6 108:2 112:4 <b>represent</b> 96:13 122:1 <b>representative</b>
---	---	---	---	--

11:17 <b>represented</b> 105:7,21 114:19 122:1 <b>required</b> 112:4 <b>requirement</b> 18:10 <b>requirements</b> 130:14 <b>requires</b> 12:16 <b>Rescue</b> 4:20 122:9 <b>research</b> 6:18 7:14,18 10:10 10:11,12,14 56:18 125:4,4 125:13 <b>researchers</b> 56:15 <b>residence</b> 60:15 <b>residential</b> 58:8 58:13 <b>respect</b> 42:1 48:24 75:12 <b>respective</b> 85:14 <b>responded</b> 101:21 <b>response</b> 11:12 86:13 95:8,8 96:10 97:12 101:20,23 102:10 124:18 <b>rest</b> 85:16 94:4 117:20 <b>restate</b> 52:13 <b>restaurant</b> 40:23 41:16,22 42:1 49:10 62:11 <b>restaurants</b> 40:18,21 <b>resulted</b> 65:19 <b>retail</b> 13:20 41:2 <b>retrieve</b> 60:4 <b>retrospect</b>	125:13 <b>return</b> 129:23 <b>returned</b> 131:2 131:4,6 <b>review</b> 8:15 42:20 <b>reviewed</b> 8:15 8:16 11:2,20 35:16 72:21,24 <b>Richardson</b> 2:14 <b>Richmond</b> 72:17 <b>right</b> 14:6 15:14 17:15 18:21 23:24 24:6 25:18 26:20 29:22 30:8 37:11 43:8 44:19 47:21 49:7 55:5,7 61:10 64:23 70:15 72:3 76:6 80:7 83:16 84:2,6 87:17 92:10 94:11 97:15 99:3 102:22 103:12 105:3 108:5 109:16 113:19 114:7 115:20 116:23 119:7,15 120:22 122:22 125:24 <b>RNS</b> 110:22 <b>Road</b> 130:22 131:20 <b>robbed</b> 17:22,22 108:25 111:11 112:18,18 113:4 <b>robberies</b> 35:17 118:10 <b>robbery</b> 17:21 17:21,23,24 37:16 108:3,3	108:9 111:11 112:22 113:7 118:15 <b>ROGER</b> 2:12 130:8 <b>role</b> 11:16 16:5 <b>room</b> 17:22 113:13,14 <b>roughly</b> 17:10 73:15 <b>round</b> 80:4 <b>routine</b> 111:6 <b>Row</b> 113:9 <b>Rule</b> 130:14 131:1,12 <b>Rules</b> 1:23 <b>run</b> 109:5 <hr/> <b>S</b> <b>S</b> 2:1 5:1 <b>s/</b> 130:19 131:17 <b>safe</b> 76:24 <b>Saloon</b> 27:8 31:15 <b>San</b> 42:22 56:15 69:16,17 72:14 72:15 73:1 <b>Sarmienta</b> 15:23 <b>Saturdays</b> 15:2 15:6 <b>saw</b> 72:19 74:18 124:3 <b>saying</b> 38:11,18 39:11,16,19 47:8,20 64:4 64:10 69:25 71:16 79:9 80:20 81:17 102:5 125:25 <b>says</b> 19:15 25:7 26:11,18 32:13 33:1 34:8,8,11 35:16 36:6 37:2,19 51:24 54:20 56:9	57:1 65:13 66:5 76:16 98:23 101:9 102:7 111:10 112:18,20,25 118:23 <b>scene</b> 118:3 <b>school</b> 6:15,15 <b>Science</b> 9:8 <b>screen</b> 112:4 <b>se</b> 57:13 <b>seal</b> 128:19 <b>search</b> 61:3 <b>searched</b> 61:6 <b>second</b> 13:2 24:19 26:19 59:4 71:16 97:3 102:18 <b>secondly</b> 5:18 112:16 <b>sector</b> 104:2,3,4 104:5 <b>sectors</b> 104:4 <b>see</b> 14:8 15:1 22:13 23:12 25:18,19 26:19 26:21 28:2 30:18 32:14 34:6,13 37:22 47:20 52:25 57:3 63:5 66:13 73:25 75:4 78:22 84:5,8 90:17 91:10 93:20 97:2,8,22 98:20,24 99:1 99:2 100:4 101:5,7 104:7 105:17 106:3 115:2 116:2 118:5 121:4,6 124:3,25 <b>seeing</b> 29:22 56:17 124:8	<b>seen</b> 73:4 <b>segments</b> 103:22 <b>selected</b> 125:2 <b>self-explanatory</b> 114:10 <b>Senate</b> 14:14 <b>send</b> 86:6 96:11 <b>sense</b> 57:12 <b>sent</b> 28:23 75:15 75:18 96:10 101:19 106:25 115:22 123:11 <b>separate</b> 112:14 123:11 <b>sergeant</b> 6:8,13 6:19 7:25 9:1 <b>sergeants</b> 8:25 <b>series</b> 5:12 <b>serve</b> 11:17 <b>served</b> 121:13 131:13 <b>service</b> 68:15,16 69:1 70:13,14 71:7,10 73:17 73:22 74:1,9 75:8,23 76:4,5 76:9,25 91:19 91:25 92:8 100:17,18,19 101:12 102:21 103:16 104:9 104:14 105:4 105:12,13,14 110:4,25 114:11,13 121:10,14 122:14 123:7 <b>services</b> 116:2 <b>set</b> 38:2 72:8 77:15 89:10 100:17 122:17 122:18 123:6 123:10 <b>seven</b> 12:17 17:13,24,25
---	--	--	--	--



26:14 31:19	<b>shooting</b> 86:8	10:3,6,8 11:8	52:7,16,19,24	112:1,9,11,24
32:22 39:5	<b>short</b> 102:20	11:15,19,24	53:3,7,9,13,22	113:2,10
77:13 83:3	<b>shorthand</b> 1:21	12:9,19 13:5,8	54:1,5,14,17	114:24 115:4,8
84:1,21 102:16	129:14	13:11,15,25	54:23 55:8,13	115:13 116:4
102:17 103:25	<b>show</b> 10:23 12:6	14:5,9,12,15	56:6,11,22	116:25 117:11
104:4 122:7	24:6 44:16	14:19,23 15:4	57:4,7 58:18	117:13 118:22
<b>seventh</b> 83:21	47:24 90:22	15:8,11 16:4,8	58:22 60:7,21	120:1,12,21,25
<b>severance</b> 107:9	94:21 96:5,21	16:16,19,22,25	60:24 61:5,9	121:2,7,20
<b>sexually</b> 12:14	96:25 97:16	17:5,8 19:4,11	61:23 62:21	122:4,9,12
12:16 13:7,18	98:9 109:5	19:15,17,20	64:11,21 65:7	<b>sirens</b> 86:15
14:17,22,25	110:15	20:1,11,14,14	65:10,14,17,20	<b>sit</b> 44:14
24:1,3,16,20	<b>showed</b> 83:17,21	20:20,24 21:14	65:22,24 66:8	<b>site</b> 42:9 43:2,10
25:12,14 26:15	94:10 109:8	21:16 22:8,17	66:12,15,17,25	43:20,20 44:4
26:23 27:18,24	<b>shown</b> 62:25	22:19 23:3	67:4,19 68:18	44:7,8
28:20 32:14,19	131:13	24:2,5,10,12	68:21 69:2,4	<b>sites</b> 42:9,19,23
33:3,18,23	<b>shows</b> 50:7,8	24:18,22 25:1	70:7 73:19,24	44:19
34:12,19,22,24	76:2 82:25	25:4,22,24	74:5,5,11,16	<b>sitting</b> 98:3
36:1,13 39:3	92:7,25 94:9	26:7,25 27:20	74:20,22 75:3	<b>six</b> 12:17 19:18
40:4 41:11,17	101:18 116:1	27:20 28:6,8	75:6,10,25	20:16,19 21:7
41:21 42:1,25	118:5	28:11,22 29:10	77:17,24 78:5	21:20,25 22:2
43:21 44:5,8	<b>side</b> 13:22 97:5	29:20 30:3,7	78:25 79:11,24	36:9,14 37:3
44:17 45:21	<b>signal</b> 111:3,4	30:16,25 31:6	80:3,7 81:10	37:20 38:7
49:1,15 50:16	111:11	31:9,12,17	81:12,16,21	39:14,22 40:5
57:2 58:14	<b>signature</b> 3:5	32:9,11,16,21	82:4,9,13,16	46:2,8,15 48:7
61:13,16 63:2	127:1 128:2	32:25 33:5,13	82:21 83:8	48:10,16,18,19
64:6 65:12	129:23 131:4	33:21 34:3,7	84:7,12,16	48:20 50:8
67:7,17,22	<b>significance</b>	34:10,14,21	85:1,7 87:20	51:8,18 52:2
68:2,9 74:13	10:21	35:1,15,20	87:24 88:3,13	53:6,12,18,22
74:25 75:12,24	<b>significant</b> 37:6	36:8,11,16,19	88:20,24 89:7	54:8,13 56:3,5
77:12,21 85:3	37:9 66:19,24	36:22 37:4,7	89:11 90:5,8	61:20 63:6,8
86:19 87:4	69:6,11,13,21	37:10,10,15,18	90:16,19,21	63:23,25 64:10
88:16 90:15	72:7 73:9 75:5	37:23 38:15	91:2,20,23	64:17 65:1,5
104:15 105:2	88:25 90:23	39:8,10,15,18	92:2,9,12,15	66:2,4,14 67:3
111:13 114:5	91:8 92:20	39:21,24 40:2	93:10,17,19,22	67:6 68:6,10
114:22 115:11	<b>similar</b> 43:11,12	40:6,8,10,12	93:25 94:3,12	69:3,19 71:13
116:9 119:8,18	43:14,14,16	40:15,20,24	96:16 97:2	71:23 73:12
121:15 122:15	44:1	41:1,4,9,13,19	98:22,25 99:4	74:1,9 75:2
<b>sheet</b> 47:11	<b>simply</b> 47:23	41:23 42:7,11	99:7 100:12,15	76:2 78:21
124:17	58:19 72:20	42:16 43:13,23	101:8,22 102:6	81:3 82:12
<b>Sheils</b> 2:13	107:4	44:2 45:8,11	102:9,25 103:3	83:20 84:2
<b>Shelters</b> 4:10	<b>single</b> 108:14	46:4,12 47:7	104:11 106:4	85:12,16 86:22
<b>shift</b> 102:15,17	<b>sir</b> 5:10,20,25	47:10,17 48:23	108:8 109:12	87:15 88:19,23
<b>shifts</b> 102:14,14	6:4,7 7:25 9:7	49:12 50:2,5	109:24 110:2	89:6 90:18,25
<b>Shippensburg</b>	9:9,11,13,15	50:15,17 51:7	110:23 111:15	91:12 92:5
9:5	9:18,20,23	51:12,14,19	111:18,21,23	95:25 121:5



<b>sizeable</b> 117:23	59:18 66:22	<b>STATES</b> 1:1	<b>street</b> 1:22 2:5	51:2 62:17,22
<b>skip</b> 14:6	71:9 72:1,14	129:1	8:14 57:24	62:23 70:3,16
<b>slide</b> 12:25	74:3,5 87:11	<b>station</b> 62:4	111:8	80:19 82:23
81:16 96:24	89:21 96:1	<b>stations</b> 40:7	<b>streets</b> 57:24	85:9 102:2
<b>slides</b> 34:11	97:3 100:22	<b>statistic</b> 10:5	<b>stretch</b> 55:19	116:14 117:19
122:18	106:14 123:15	37:19	<b>strictly</b> 18:11,20	<b>surrounding</b>
<b>slight</b> 92:11	<b>sort</b> 10:14 86:11	<b>statistical</b> 10:7	<b>strike</b> 50:19	39:12 57:9
<b>slightly</b> 66:16	<b>sound</b> 70:15	10:15,21 107:5	59:18	58:20 61:13
73:13	<b>sounded</b> 76:12	<b>statistically</b> 37:6	<b>strip</b> 26:6 83:20	67:21 77:20
<b>small</b> 52:10	<b>sounds</b> 45:1	37:8 61:2	<b>stuck</b> 115:22	86:18 87:23
84:13,15	80:7	66:19,24 69:6	<b>students</b> 8:5,6	104:14
<b>smaller</b> 23:10	<b>source</b> 28:25	69:11,13,20	<b>studies</b> 44:1	<b>survey</b> 10:11
31:20 53:4	110:22	72:7 73:9 75:5	77:25 125:5	<b>suspect</b> 118:13
103:22 104:5	<b>South</b> 5:8 76:9	91:8 92:20	<b>study</b> 41:6 42:10	<b>suspicious</b> 111:8
105:23	<b>speaking</b> 55:1	<b>statistics</b> 10:10	56:12,23 69:15	<b>sworn</b> 1:18 5:3
<b>smallest</b> 60:10	61:2	10:13,13 44:16	71:22 72:6,25	8:7,24,25
<b>SOB</b> 4:7 12:12	<b>specific</b> 31:12	57:8 60:22	<b>studying</b> 43:24	129:18
25:12 34:18	49:1,4 61:3	<b>stay</b> 31:11	91:22,24	<b>system</b> 7:1 17:12
38:2,16,25	<b>specifically</b>	<b>stealing</b> 86:9	121:16	17:16 18:12,17
47:13 57:10	13:12 35:23	<b>Stephen</b> 1:11,16	<b>style</b> 99:11	98:7 107:9,18
59:14 68:20	124:6	3:4 5:2,8 127:2	<b>subject</b> 11:22	107:18,24,25
83:10,12,15,15	<b>spit</b> 100:13	128:2,6 129:11	43:25	108:10,11
93:4 94:7	108:6	129:17	<b>submitted</b>	110:13,18
105:15,22	<b>spread</b> 80:13	<b>stole</b> 109:2	129:21	113:21
106:7 112:19	<b>spreadsheet</b>	<b>stop</b> 76:24	<b>subscribed</b>	
112:20 121:5	107:7 120:10	101:15 111:9	128:16	<b>T</b>
122:8 123:2	122:21	118:18 119:5	<b>substantial</b>	<b>TABC</b> 42:21
<b>SOBs</b> 57:15 63:6	<b>square</b> 2:10 78:1	119:10,22	13:19	<b>table</b> 13:3
63:19 124:8	<b>staff</b> 8:4 23:22	<b>stopped</b> 62:21	<b>subtract</b> 71:6	106:25 115:17
<b>social</b> 116:2	<b>stamp</b> 102:9	117:24 119:5	<b>suggest</b> 113:3	<b>tables</b> 107:1,4
<b>socioeconomic</b>	114:19 115:3	<b>stops</b> 65:19	<b>Suicide</b> 10:1	109:17,21
43:4	122:6	<b>store</b> 13:9,10,17	<b>Suite</b> 2:10	115:25 116:21
<b>somebody</b> 17:22	<b>stamped</b> 101:6	28:5 30:2,17	130:22 131:20	<b>take</b> 18:18 28:2
18:25 19:1	106:3	62:7 79:5,13	<b>summarize</b> 6:5	30:13 41:15
76:12,18 116:6	<b>Standard</b> 4:14	80:12	9:4 13:1	49:18,20 59:21
116:11 117:24	<b>standpoint</b>	<b>stores</b> 27:1 32:8	<b>summary</b> 17:12	71:5 77:5 78:8
118:1,8,18	52:21	40:9,11,16	18:18	95:20 105:24
119:2,14	<b>start</b> 109:23	41:3 46:22	<b>supervisor</b> 6:9	108:14 113:24
<b>SOP</b> 97:20,21	<b>starting</b> 88:12	58:9,15,16	8:25	114:25 116:13
98:6	<b>state</b> 1:20 5:6	78:19 79:16,21	<b>support</b> 16:7	117:14 118:2
<b>sorry</b> 8:5,24	128:8,24	79:23 80:10	68:9	118:21
21:9,11 23:14	129:15	84:9 87:9,11	<b>supposed</b> 27:23	<b>taken</b> 1:18 130:4
29:7 36:23	<b>stated</b> 1:24	89:13 90:17,25	80:20 106:9	130:12
42:12 43:16	<b>statement</b> 80:15	91:2 92:10	<b>sure</b> 8:16 32:5	<b>talk</b> 16:12 42:18
49:2 55:5	80:16	<b>Strain</b> 9:25	38:10 43:11	78:11,18 82:10

89:5 118:7,11 118:12,12 <b>talked</b> 35:21 77:7,11 78:6 98:8 102:23 111:2,17 120:23 <b>talking</b> 12:15 16:23 17:6,7 18:21 19:21 20:2,16 23:21 28:16 34:23 37:13,24 38:1 38:13,22,24 39:6,25 45:4,9 45:19 46:17,20 49:25 50:10,13 51:20 52:10 55:6 56:21,24 57:5 59:23 60:19,22 61:7 65:15 67:17 68:19 70:3,5 72:9 75:7,11 80:4,5,8 81:1,7 81:13 82:14 86:17,21 89:19 89:23 90:10,11 91:21 93:7,15 96:15 97:1,8 113:15 <b>talks</b> 14:13 23:23 <b>Task</b> 15:10 16:15 <b>teaching</b> 7:15 <b>tedious</b> 94:19 <b>Telephone</b> 130:23 131:21 <b>tell</b> 11:2 15:12 48:6 51:3 63:2 95:7,13,21 96:23 99:24 106:8,23 114:7 115:15	<b>telling</b> 103:7 109:15 122:19 122:25 <b>tells</b> 26:22 85:18 103:8 <b>Temporary</b> 4:10 <b>ten</b> 48:16 62:15 63:25 67:2 89:15 <b>terms</b> 49:10 <b>test</b> 69:18,19 72:5 73:10 <b>testified</b> 5:3 <b>testify</b> 11:21 <b>testimony</b> 50:24 55:3 108:6 119:24 129:19 130:4 <b>Texas</b> 1:1,21,23 1:23 2:5,14 5:9 7:9 14:14,21 129:1,15 130:20,23 131:18,21 <b>tham</b> 5:19 <b>Thank</b> 5:10 12:5 49:23 97:16 98:9 125:19 <b>theaters</b> 27:4 <b>theoretically</b> 43:20 51:23 52:20 55:13 <b>theory</b> 9:25 10:7 <b>therefor</b> 131:5 <b>thesis</b> 9:14 <b>thing</b> 9:3 47:21 83:1 96:20 100:8 111:25 <b>things</b> 32:4 61:1 109:1 111:24 115:18 <b>think</b> 30:4 33:7 33:10 42:14 45:5 62:19 70:18 72:3	81:19 82:25 86:2 94:25 95:22 96:4,24 101:10,25 109:19 124:15 124:16 <b>thinking</b> 76:23 <b>third</b> 83:18 97:3 102:18 107:15 109:18 <b>thirds</b> 39:13 <b>thought</b> 47:16 71:20 72:6,19 96:4 101:4 104:12 106:15 107:11 108:5 116:19 117:8 <b>thousand</b> 125:5 <b>three</b> 6:10 8:24 8:24 15:2 22:15 23:25 24:3 28:12 29:17 30:4 33:7,11,19,23 35:18 38:5,6 38:19 52:9 56:1,6,13,23 67:8,11 68:16 70:1,6,8,12,24 71:7 72:17,18 73:8 75:23 78:15 79:25 80:11 81:4,15 81:22 82:15 84:14,19 86:8 87:17 88:1,8 89:5,20,24 90:10 93:1 94:10 97:12 98:23 102:18 102:19 103:1,9 105:8 107:23 108:25 109:4,6 110:20 115:17 121:14	<b>threes</b> 86:6 98:1 <b>tickets</b> 119:6 <b>till</b> 15:1,2 <b>time</b> 6:14 7:17 8:7 21:11 23:20 43:1 46:14,15 47:9 47:24,25 49:19 51:25 52:1 54:24 56:10 63:17,21 66:7 67:1 68:5,6 69:19 70:11,23 71:16,22,23 79:17 80:24 81:8 84:11 89:14 91:12 98:4 101:13,23 102:7,9,10,11 102:14,18 103:14 105:1 105:14 118:3 124:23 125:20 129:25 130:4 <b>times</b> 22:25 46:11 52:7 64:13 69:20 87:21 124:9 <b>title</b> 50:3 <b>today</b> 5:13 11:16 44:15 <b>told</b> 15:15 18:14 110:12 124:7 <b>Toll</b> 130:24 131:22 <b>topless</b> 14:3 27:7 28:9 29:14 30:5,20 82:10 84:21 87:25 89:21,24 91:10 92:16 123:23 124:23 <b>total</b> 28:10 29:24 32:13,19 33:4,11 37:3	50:19 51:21 59:19 73:14 81:18 82:14 100:8 <b>Totally</b> 28:7 <b>track</b> 99:14 <b>traditional</b> 23:16 <b>Traditionally</b> 23:6 <b>traffic</b> 65:19 101:15 111:9 119:6,10,21 <b>training</b> 6:13 10:5,9,10 <b>transcript</b> 129:18,21 131:10 <b>TRCP</b> 130:15 131:1 <b>tried</b> 72:6 <b>Trinity</b> 101:3 <b>true</b> 31:19 42:5 44:9,20 48:12 48:12 68:5 71:5 76:15 128:3 129:19 <b>trust</b> 51:19 78:2 <b>trying</b> 47:20 63:14 73:5 <b>turn</b> 31:22 37:11 108:15 <b>turns</b> 65:25 <b>two</b> 10:11 11:7 12:17 19:13,18 21:7,23,25 27:8,18 31:7,8 31:10,11,19,24 35:22,24 36:9 36:13 37:3,20 38:7 39:13,14 39:21,22 46:2 46:2,7,7,14,14 47:5,11,12,24 48:4,15,18,20
---	---	--	---	---

50:7,8,25 51:8 51:18 52:3 53:2,6,11,12 53:18,22 54:13 56:2,3,5,12,22 56:23 59:19 61:11,20 63:1 63:4,7,7,17,19 63:20,23,23 64:7,9,16,16 64:25 65:1,5,9 66:1,2,4,14 67:2,3,6 68:5,6 68:10,25 69:3 69:19 70:23 71:11,13,17,23 73:9,12 74:1,9 74:23,24 75:1 75:1 78:20,21 79:17 80:22,23 82:11,12 83:18 84:2,10,25 85:15,16 87:15 87:21 88:18,23 89:14,15,16 90:3,18,24,24 91:4,12 92:4,5 93:10 95:24 97:24 102:7,16 103:7,9 107:23 109:1,13,16 110:19 112:14 118:19 125:7 125:14 <b>twos</b> 86:6 98:1 <b>type</b> 19:2 28:23 30:17 40:22 43:1 44:1 54:7 56:19 117:10 123:23 <b>types</b> 35:25 58:12 124:20 <b>typically</b> 86:7	<b>U.T</b> 56:15 <b>UCR</b> 6:23,25 8:16 17:7,12 17:21,23 18:4 18:8,19 37:13 45:10,12,17 60:11 107:9,11 107:13,24 108:6,8,17 109:10 <b>Um-hum</b> 16:10 106:22 <b>undercover</b> 6:11 6:16 <b>underlying</b> 16:7 96:14,17 100:10 121:24 <b>understand</b> 5:15 11:5,16 12:20 20:8 45:14 49:12 50:18 52:5 62:24 70:20 73:11 79:2 80:19 97:14,18 99:17 99:21 101:10 120:14 <b>understandable</b> 5:14 <b>understanding</b> 13:21 35:15 125:1 <b>understands</b> 45:17 <b>understood</b> 124:15 <b>unfortunately</b> 121:3 <b>unit</b> 6:10,11,24 6:25 8:16 28:23 <b>UNITED</b> 1:1 129:1 <b>universe</b> 60:19 64:15 65:8	81:1 85:14 86:21 90:12 91:24 <b>universities</b> 10:5 <b>University</b> 7:8 9:6 <b>unknown</b> 20:5 26:8 <b>UNT</b> 8:4,6 <b>Update</b> 4:9 <b>Uptown</b> 100:21 101:2 <b>urgent</b> 103:7 <b>use</b> 59:10 107:12 108:10,20 109:16 113:21 113:23 116:21 120:7 123:16 123:19 124:11 <b>useless</b> 103:8 112:7 <b>UT</b> 7:9 9:10,16 42:22 73:1 <b>UTSA</b> 63:14 <b>UUMV</b> 86:10 <hr/> <b>V</b> <hr/> <b>vacant</b> 26:6 83:11,20 <b>valid</b> 15:5 <b>variable</b> 55:14 56:20 <b>variate</b> 42:6 <b>various</b> 26:23 57:9 88:11 121:19 <b>vehicle</b> 112:6 <b>verbal</b> 5:19 <b>versa</b> 112:19 <b>version</b> 106:24 109:10 <b>versus</b> 37:3 45:17 47:23 63:23 65:1 69:20 83:11	111:8 <b>vice</b> 13:22 28:23 112:19 <b>victim</b> 20:3 76:22 107:22 107:22 109:4 110:20 114:16 <b>victims</b> 17:25 93:1,8 94:7 <b>VICTORY</b> 130:21 131:19 <b>video</b> 13:10 <b>violent</b> 9:25 22:15 37:21,24 38:6,12,13,19 39:20 41:6,20 45:17 48:1,12 48:16,18,19 50:6,25 51:4,9 53:1,5,24 55:4 55:7,11,16 56:1 59:22 60:8 64:22,22 64:24,25 65:3 78:14,20 80:1 80:18,21,25 81:2,7,18,23 83:3 84:4,5,9 84:22 85:9,13 86:11 104:25 105:6 106:17 107:14 117:17 117:18 <b>virtually</b> 68:1 89:13 <b>VOLUME</b> 1:13 <b>vs</b> 1:5 4:12 129:5 <hr/> <b>W</b> <hr/> <b>waive</b> 125:24 <b>walk</b> 118:8,13 <b>want</b> 10:23 24:6 28:25 29:4 43:11 51:2,16 59:10 62:22,23	85:8 94:21 95:2 96:5 99:16,21 <b>wanted</b> 56:19 118:18 124:7 125:9 <b>wants</b> 76:18 125:23 <b>warrant</b> 117:16 117:21,25 118:2,6,8,9,14 118:14,17,24 119:13,13,14 120:3,6 <b>warrants</b> 65:21 117:15,18,23 118:20 119:6 <b>was/was</b> 131:2 <b>watch</b> 102:12,13 102:17,18 111:2 <b>Watches</b> 102:14 <b>way</b> 14:21 17:19 18:1 23:16,17 43:2 44:14 49:19 57:22 60:7 76:4 83:13 86:5 91:11 102:3 106:17 107:16 110:10 111:1 114:20 115:21 116:15 <b>ways</b> 112:14 <b>we'll</b> 27:22 42:18 49:20 78:17 107:21 <b>we're</b> 12:14 16:23 17:6,7 18:16,21 19:21 20:2,16 28:16 30:5 34:23 37:13 39:6,11 39:16,25 42:20 45:9,19 46:17
---	--	--	--	--

46:20 50:13 51:20 55:6 56:21 58:23 60:19,22 61:7 62:19 63:17 64:4,10 65:15 70:3,5 75:11 77:18,19 80:4 80:8 81:1,7,13 81:17 82:14 85:10 86:17,21 86:24 87:2,6 90:9,11 91:21 91:21,24 92:3 118:19 <b>we've</b> 45:5 56:17 77:7,11 78:6 88:12 93:4 123:21 <b>Weather</b> 4:10 <b>week</b> 12:18 31:20 55:21 <b>went</b> 6:15,17,19 9:16 15:12 16:24 17:16 53:8,11 56:2 60:9,10 66:4 66:13,16 67:1 74:1,6,8,25 76:23 84:10 85:5 90:6,17 91:11,15 92:22 96:9,14 116:15 123:9,23 124:9 124:23 <b>weren't</b> 58:14 122:13 <b>West</b> 27:9 31:16 <b>whatever's</b> 113:25 <b>whichever</b> 67:16 <b>white</b> 94:16 <b>wide</b> 125:11 <b>winding</b> 125:17 <b>Winnubst</b> 2:13	<b>withdraw</b> 55:23 <b>witness</b> 1:17 11:17 99:12,15 118:12 120:17 125:23 127:2 129:17,20,22 129:23 <b>words</b> 21:15 74:21 78:24 <b>works</b> 33:14 102:15 <b>Worth</b> 14:24 <b>wouldn't</b> 35:8 68:3 72:5 84:15 90:25 113:3,11 124:23 <b>Wright</b> 1:20 129:14 130:19 130:20 131:17 131:18 <b>wrong</b> 54:19 71:4,18 79:9 96:2 <hr/> <b>X</b> <b>X</b> 3:1 <b>XY</b> 113:21,24,25 <hr/> <b>Y</b> <b>Yeah</b> 72:23 124:17 <b>year</b> 17:3 19:10 35:18 37:2,10 38:19 59:16 67:9 68:16 70:1 71:8 73:8 75:23 78:15 79:25 80:5,11 81:4,22 82:15 84:19,25 87:17 87:21 88:1,8 89:5,24 90:10 93:1 94:10 110:24 121:14	<b>years</b> 6:7,9,10 6:16,19 7:21 38:5,6 39:20 46:10 52:10 56:1,6,12,13 56:22,23,24 59:19 67:11 70:6,8,12,24 72:15 73:2 74:24 81:15 84:14 87:17 89:20 118:19 <b>yesterday</b> 12:2 15:13 <hr/> <b>Z</b> <b>zero</b> 54:3 <b>zeros</b> 101:24 <b>zoning</b> 34:1 <hr/> <b>0</b> <b>0000</b> 69:23 <b>000010</b> 4:15 <b>005288</b> 106:3 <b>007414</b> 122:7 <b>017</b> 17:1 <b>018</b> 32:13 <b>03</b> 130:2 <hr/> <b>1</b> <b>1</b> 1:13,13 4:3 11:1 17:7 18:8 37:13 45:10 <b>1-(888)</b> 130:24 131:22 <b>1-14-22</b> 4:6,8 <b>1-5-22</b> 4:5 <b>1,001</b> 94:10 <b>1,095</b> 67:12 <b>1.41</b> 67:13 <b>10</b> 4:17 6:7 19:12 20:16,18 21:20,23 22:2 27:23 28:10,12 29:15 35:22,24 36:7 39:21	40:5 46:1,6,14 47:4,11,12 48:4,7,10,19 50:7,25 53:2 53:11 54:8 56:3 61:11 63:1,5,7,19,20 63:22 64:7,16 65:1,9 66:1 68:5,25 71:10 71:17 74:23 75:1 76:1 78:20 79:22 80:22,23 81:3 82:11,18 84:17 85:11,15 86:22 87:11 88:4,9 89:6,15,20 90:3,24 91:4 92:4 98:14,20 98:24 99:2 102:7 115:1,1 115:2,15 116:19 <b>10:17</b> 1:19 <b>100</b> 47:6,22 48:3 102:2 <b>105</b> 4:16 <b>107</b> 50:19 51:13 <b>1095</b> 70:12 <b>11</b> 4:3,18 7:11 15:16 33:9,11 79:25 84:18 101:6 102:16 102:17 121:1,3 121:8 <b>11,000</b> 72:4 <b>11,999</b> 68:19 <b>110</b> 19:13 <b>1100</b> 2:13 <b>1135</b> 92:16 <b>115</b> 4:17 <b>12</b> 4:4,20 80:5 102:16,17 122:5,6 123:17	<b>12,000</b> 72:5 <b>121</b> 4:18 89:24 <b>122</b> 4:20 <b>124</b> 50:20,21,25 51:4 <b>127</b> 3:5 <b>129</b> 3:6 <b>13</b> 34:6 83:3 84:1 <b>130</b> 19:19 <b>1317</b> 75:22 <b>132</b> 53:8,15,17 <b>137</b> 118:23 <b>14</b> 24:14 <b>1400</b> 5:8 76:9 <b>145</b> 92:23 <b>148</b> 116:1 <b>15</b> 82:11 <b>1500</b> 1:22 2:5 <b>153</b> 119:13 <b>1532</b> 130:20 131:18 <b>154</b> 120:3 <b>155</b> 90:20 120:6 <b>16</b> 49:25 81:14 81:24 82:5 <b>160</b> 53:11 54:11 <b>161</b> 53:1,8,15 <b>1625</b> 113:8 <b>165</b> 53:10 <b>168</b> 120:4 <b>1682</b> 115:9 <b>17</b> 56:7,8 <b>1701</b> 2:14 <b>175</b> 90:20 <b>18</b> 71:24 72:1 85:19 86:25 <b>183</b> 92:23 <b>188</b> 89:19 <b>1989</b> 9:5 <b>1990</b> 7:4 <b>1s</b> 17:12 <hr/> <b>2</b> <b>2</b> 3:3 4:4 12:7
---	--	---	---	---

18:8 27:22 30:15 32:12 34:5 36:7 47:4 48:5 95:9,10 97:23 104:22 105:18 120:24 <b>2-11-22</b> 4:11 <b>2,082</b> 65:11 116:2,24 117:2 117:22 120:11 120:22 <b>2.28</b> 54:16,20 55:12 <b>2.76</b> 53:20,23 <b>2:01</b> 1:19 126:1 <b>20</b> 19:14 45:4 48:17 72:1 73:13 87:17 111:11 131:15 <b>2006</b> 69:16 <b>2009</b> 9:10 <b>2013</b> 6:17 7:22 <b>2018</b> 6:19 7:22 18:13 121:21 <b>2019</b> 35:18 37:12 45:7 50:18,20 51:1 51:9 56:9,13 56:20 59:15 60:6 65:13 66:13 68:16 73:25 74:4 78:15,19 81:17 84:6 <b>2020</b> 6:20,23 8:10 52:25 53:5,14,19 56:16 66:16 74:6 <b>2021</b> 16:18,24 17:4 19:10 35:18 37:2,13 39:16 53:10 54:11 56:9,13 56:20 59:15	65:13 67:1 68:17 73:25 74:8 78:15,19 81:17 84:6 <b>2022</b> 1:12,19 24:14 127:3 129:12 <b>203</b> 130:15 131:1 <b>203.3</b> 131:12 <b>2082</b> 115:20 <b>21</b> 45:7 67:20 <b>214</b> 2:6 130:23 130:24 131:21 131:22 <b>215</b> 130:22 131:20 <b>216</b> 2:11 <b>2171</b> 68:25 70:1 71:6,10 73:7 <b>22</b> 49:18,24 82:11 <b>2200</b> 2:10 <b>225</b> 70:5,8,13 71:6,17 <b>23</b> 1:12,19 62:19 73:21 127:3 129:12 <b>23.7</b> 19:19 <b>230</b> 90:3 <b>2300</b> 72:5 <b>2396</b> 69:3,25 70:4 71:5,13 73:7,12 <b>24</b> 4:6 22:6 63:11 82:18 104:23 <b>24/7</b> 46:22,25 <b>242</b> 119:17 120:2 <b>25</b> 52:3 <b>250</b> 23:1 <b>260</b> 91:11 <b>274</b> 91:5 <b>28</b> 24:23 29:23	32:19 33:15 38:20,23 43:21 67:16 90:14 <b>280</b> 23:2 <b>288</b> 67:2 <b>29</b> 25:21 39:20 73:20 93:23 <b>2a</b> 21:12  <hr/> <b>3</b> <hr/> <b>3</b> 4:6 24:7 27:23 28:3 32:17 33:12 38:23 77:4,6 95:9 98:13,16 <b>3.4</b> 51:17,21 52:9,15,21 <b>3:22-CV-0017...</b> 1:5 129:5 <b>30</b> 22:22 25:23 105:17,19 <b>305</b> 91:5 <b>306</b> 67:2 <b>31</b> 25:25 <b>315</b> 14:14 <b>32</b> 26:2 111:8 <b>324-3733</b> 130:23 131:21 <b>33</b> 26:5 80:25 <b>334</b> 91:11 <b>34</b> 26:8 52:23 54:4,25 55:10 90:7 <b>35</b> 26:11 39:2,7 39:13 40:1 45:21 50:14,21 51:5,10,21,21 52:8,11,18 53:25 54:12,21 55:10 58:20,20 61:13,21,24 63:23 64:5,16 65:16 67:16 90:14 93:8 <b>36</b> 22:22	<b>38</b> 32:13 33:4 90:7 <b>39</b> 23:25 33:2,14  <hr/> <b>4</b> <hr/> <b>4</b> 3:4 4:8 94:22 95:6 <b>4.86</b> 70:14 <b>40</b> 22:22,22 <b>400</b> 41:20,21 <b>41</b> 46:7 47:5,5 88:14 <b>41A</b> 13:13,24 <b>42</b> 88:14 120:15 <b>43</b> 88:14 <b>43.7</b> 20:17 <b>432-5415</b> 130:24 131:22 <b>44</b> 89:4 <b>44113-1949</b> 2:10 <b>45</b> 65:8 82:14 <b>459</b> 19:9 <b>467</b> 94:16 <b>48</b> 61:20 62:2 63:4,7 64:8 74:25 91:16 93:20  <hr/> <b>5</b> <hr/> <b>5</b> 4:11 96:6 98:11,13,17 106:6 115:5,6 122:1 <b>5,000</b> 104:8,8 105:7,12,20 <b>5,277</b> 100:9 <b>500</b> 34:18,20,24 35:7,13 36:2 36:12 38:3,20 39:6,12 40:1 41:7,11,25 44:16,18 45:20 46:6,21 47:15 49:5,9 50:14 50:21 51:5,10	52:18,22 53:24 54:3,12,21 57:6,8,16 58:19,23 61:16 61:21 62:3,7 64:5 65:16 67:6,16,21 68:22 69:1 74:14,24 75:11 75:17 77:20,25 78:3 79:4,15 79:20 80:1,11 81:4,18 83:4 84:9,14,18,22 84:22 85:13 86:17 87:3,10 87:22 88:1,17 89:15 90:13 91:25 93:8,15 104:14 105:2 105:23 106:7 111:13 112:15 113:18,24 114:21 115:6 115:11 119:8 119:18 121:6 121:15 122:16 122:23 124:11 125:1,6 <b>502.1</b> 97:23 <b>51</b> 89:14 <b>513</b> 92:11 <b>52</b> 61:16 63:1,3 63:4,6,9,19 64:6 75:1 89:15 91:16 <b>521</b> 22:18 24:3 27:2,4,15,19 31:7,8 <b>522</b> 22:18 30:13 30:18,24 31:2 <b>5287</b> 98:24 <b>5288</b> 114:19 <b>5291</b> 110:1 <b>530</b> 92:11
--	---	---	---	---

<b>532</b> 92:13	<b>7,500</b> 99:19	<b>97</b> 4:14		
<b>534</b> 22:18 27:24	<b>7/31/22</b> 130:20	<b>972</b> 2:15		
28:4,7,13,17	131:18	<b>98</b> 4:15		
29:16,21,24	<b>70401</b> 97:4	<b>99.9</b> 69:24		
31:22	<b>70403</b> 97:7			
<b>55</b> 2:10 65:3	<b>712</b> 74:8			
111:9	<b>7413</b> 121:6			
<b>56</b> 87:10	<b>75080</b> 2:14			
<b>56.3</b> 20:21	<b>75201</b> 1:23 2:5			
<b>5602</b> 114:20	<b>75231</b> 130:23			
<b>5603</b> 115:3,7,17	131:21			
<b>5605</b> 115:17	<b>76</b> 39:16			
118:21	<b>76.3</b> 21:5,10			
<b>5642</b> 120:15	<b>77</b> 94:1			
<b>58</b> 35:21,23 36:6	<b>772</b> 67:8			
111:11	<b>781-5245</b> 2:11			
<b>585</b> 92:13	<b>785,398</b> 78:1			
<b>59</b> 46:5 47:3,6	<b>7DN</b> 1:22			
47:13,14,18,19				
48:4	<b>8</b>			
<b>594</b> 94:13	<b>8</b> 4:15 98:10,12			
<b>5964</b> 115:7	99:1,23			
<b>5965</b> 121:6	<b>80</b> 39:22 73:17			
	91:11			
<b>6</b>	<b>800</b> 41:16			
<b>6</b> 4:13 47:5 48:5	<b>81</b> 120:6			
96:22,24	<b>83</b> 37:3			
<b>60</b> 87:14	<b>839</b> 74:6			
<b>61</b> 33:18	<b>84</b> 88:1			
<b>63</b> 36:3,9,14	<b>845</b> 74:4			
<b>644-8181</b> 2:15	<b>848-8845</b> 130:24			
<b>65</b> 61:17 63:22	131:22			
64:15 118:23	<b>9</b>			
119:7	<b>9</b> 4:16 87:23			
<b>6510</b> 130:22	105:24,25			
131:20	106:1,2,9,21			
<b>66</b> 81:18 99:20	109:14			
<b>67</b> 37:21 38:7,11	<b>9-13-21</b> 4:13			
<b>670-3519</b> 2:6	<b>911</b> 76:15			
<b>675</b> 76:1	103:18			
<b>6a</b> 21:12	<b>936</b> 92:16			
<b>7</b>	<b>94</b> 4:8 37:3			
<b>7</b> 4:14 97:17	<b>95</b> 69:22			
<b>7-Eleven</b> 42:25	<b>96</b> 4:11,13			



IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS  
DALLAS DIVISION

ASSOCIATION OF CLUB EXECUTIVES  
OF DALLAS, *et al.*,

Plaintiff,

vs.

CITY OF DALLAS,

Defendant.

§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§

CIVIL ACTION NO. 3:22-CV-00177-M

---

PLAINTIFFS' NOTICE OF DEPOSITION  
OF THE CITY OF DALLAS

---

TO: Defendant City of Dallas, by and through its counsel, Stacy Rodriguez, Ann "Ana" Marie Jordan and Kathleen Fones, Dallas City Attorney's Office, 1500 Marilla Street 7DN, Dallas, Texas 75201.

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that in accordance with and pursuant to Rule 30 of the *Federal Rules of Civil Procedure*,<sup>1</sup> Plaintiffs Association of Club Executives of Dallas, Inc., ("ACE"), Nick's Mainstage, Inc.—Dallas PT's, dba PT's Men's Club ("PT's"), Fine Dining Club, Inc., dba Silver City ("Silver City"), TMCD Corporation, dba Men's Club ("Men's Club"), 11000 Reeder, LLC, dba Bucks Wild ("Buck's Wild"), AVM-AUS, Ltd., dba New Fine Arts Shiloh ("New Fine Arts Shiloh") (collectively, "Plaintiffs") will take the oral deposition of Defendant the City of Dallas ("Defendant") beginning on February 22, 2022, and will continue from day to day until completed, with the following agreed upon schedule:

---

<sup>1</sup> The parties have also agreed to the taking of Defendant's deposition at the appointed date, time, and method.



<b>Date</b>	<b>Time (CST)</b>	<b>Corporate Representative (Name and Title)</b>
Tuesday, February 22	9:30 am	Rick Watson, Deputy Chief of Police (Patrol - West Patrol Group, including Northwest and North Central Divisions)
Tuesday, February 22	1:00 pm	Samuel Sarmiento, Police Major (Patrol - Northwest Division)
Wednesday, February 23	9:30 am	Reuben Ramirez, Assistant Police Chief, Tactical & Special Operations Bureau
Wednesday, February 23	11:30 am	Stephen Bishopp, Police Lieutenant, Data Management & Analysis Unit, Intelligence Division
Wednesday, February 23	3:30 pm	Devon Palk, Police Major, Special Investigations Division for Narcotics and Vice

The depositions will take place in person at the Dallas City Attorney's Office, 1500 Marilla Street, Room 7DN, Dallas, Texas 75201.

In accordance with F. R. Civ. P. 30(b)(6), Defendant has designated a person or persons to testify on its behalf about the topics identified in **Exhibit A** to this Notice. The deposition will be taken before a Certified Shorthand Reporter, Notary Public, or other officer duly authorized to administer oaths and may be videotaped.

Respectfully submitted,

SHEILS WINNUBST  
A Professional Corporation

By: /s/ Roger Albright  
T. Craig Sheils  
State Bar No. 18187350  
Roger Albright  
State Bar No. 00974580  
Latrice E. Andrews  
State Bar No. 24063984

1100 Atrium II  
1701 N. Collins Boulevard  
Richardson, Texas 75080  
Telephone No.: (972) 644-8181  
Telecopier No.: (972) 644-8180  
Email: [craig@sheilswinnubst.com](mailto:craig@sheilswinnubst.com)  
Email: [roger@sheilswinnubst.com](mailto:roger@sheilswinnubst.com)  
Email: [latrice@sheilswinnubst.com](mailto:latrice@sheilswinnubst.com)

AND

/s/ J. Michael Murray  
J. MICHAEL MURRAY  
(Ohio Bar No. 0019626)[jmmurray@bgmdlaw.com](mailto:jmmurray@bgmdlaw.com)  
BERKMAN, GORDON, MURRAY & DeVAN  
55 Public Square, Suite 2200  
Cleveland, Ohio 44113-1949  
(216) 781-5245  
(216) 781-8207 (Facsimile)  
Counsel for Plaintiffs

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

The undersigned hereby certifies that a true and correct copy of the above and foregoing document has been served via email (pursuant to consent for electronic service) on all counsel of record the 18th day of February, 2022.

/s/Roger Albright  
Roger Albright



---

**EXHIBIT A**  
**CORPORATE REPRESENTATIVE TOPICS**

---

**I. DEFINITIONS**

The following terms have the following meanings, unless the context requires otherwise:

1. “Defendant,” “City,” “you,” or “your” mean Defendant City of Dallas, and include its respective directors and officers, city councilmembers, Dallas Police Department (inclusive of task forces), agents, assigns, legal representatives, non-legal representatives, attorneys, employees, and also includes individuals and entities who act, have acted, purport to act, or have purported to act on its behalf.
2. “Lawsuit” means the lawsuit styled *Association of Club Executives, et al. v. City of Dallas*, Civil Action No. 3:22-CV-00177-M, currently pending in the United States District Court for the Northern District of Texas, Dallas Division.
3. “Ordinance” means City of Dallas Ordinance, passed on January 26, 2022, that is the subject of this Lawsuit.
4. All other terms not explicitly defined herein are to be construed in accordance with their plain and ordinary meanings in the English language and the context in which those terms are used.
5. The connectives “and” and “or” should be construed either conjunctively or disjunctively as necessary to bring within the scope of the topic all matters that might otherwise be construed to be outside its scope.

**II. CORPORATE REPRESENTATIVE TOPICS**

1. How police data was used to identify areas of crime concentration. (Devon Palk, Police Major, Special Investigations Division for Narcotics and Vice).
2. The gathering of police data used to evaluate and address crime concentrations in relevant areas, organized the data used in the City Council presentations, and gathering of data in response to City Council inquiries about matters, including not limited to the Ordinance. (Stephen Bishopp, Police Lieutenant, Data Management & Analysis Unit, Intelligence Division).
3. The presentations made to City Council in relation to the Ordinance amendments. (Reuben Ramirez, Assistant Police Chief, Tactical & Special Operations Bureau).

4. The NW Task Force and the events leading to its creation. (Rick Watson, Deputy Chief of Police (Patrol - West Patrol Group, including Northwest and North Central Divisions)).
5. The NW Task Force and the events leading to its creation. (Samuel Sarmiento, Police Major (Patrol - Northwest Division)).
6. To the extent not already covered by preceding topics, a representative to be deposed regarding the content, methodology and underlying documentary evidence in support of Exhibits 1 and 2 of the Response to the Motion for Temporary Restraining Order [ECF No. 10] filed by the City in the Lawsuit.





**City of Dallas**

# **Sexually Oriented Businesses (SOB): Age Change and Hours of Operations**

**City Council Briefing  
January 5, 2022**

**Eddie Garcia, Chief of Police  
Dallas Police Department  
City of Dallas**

**COD-007**

1

ALL-STATE LEGAL®

**PLAINTIFF'S  
EXHIBIT**

2



# Presentation Overview

Case 3:22-cv-00177-M Document 19-1 Filed 02/18/22 Page 10 of 121 PageID 225

- Definition Sexually Oriented Business
- Purpose of Chapter 41A
- Constitutional Considerations
- Texas Senate Bill 315
- Regulation of SOBs
- Crime Activity Overview
- Research & Study Findings
- Research References
- Recommendations
- Next Steps
- SOB-related Arrest December 23, 2021



COD-008

## Definition **Sexually Oriented Business**



- Sexually oriented business means an adult arcade, adult bookstore or adult video store, adult cabaret, adult motel, adult motion picture theater, adult theater, escort agency or nude model studio as defined in *Dallas City Ordinance Chapter 41A*.



## Purpose of Chapter 41A

Case 3:22-cv-00177-M Document 47-1 Filed 05/24/22 Page 12 of 121 PageID 227

- To promote the health, safety, morals and general welfare of the citizens of the city
- To establish reasonable and uniform regulations
- To minimize the secondary harm or damage inside or outside the SOBs
- NOT intending to restrict or deny access by adults; protected First Amendment

COD-010

# Constitutional Considerations

Case 3:22-cv-00177-M Document 47-1 Filed 05/24/22 Page 18 of 121 PageID 228



- Regulation of SOBs implicates freedom of speech protections under the U.S. and Texas Constitutions.
- Any regulation of SOBs:
  - must further a substantial governmental interest that is unrelated to the suppression of free expression; and
  - the restriction shall not be greater than is essential to the furtherance of that interest



COD-0111



## Texas Senate Bill 315

Case 3:22-cv-00177-M Document 47-1 Filed 02/18/22 Page 14 of 121 PageID 229

- Texas Senate Bill 315
- Became law September 1, 2021
- Prohibits a Sexually Oriented Business from employing or contracting with a person under the age of 21
- This change brings Chapter 41A in line with the new law



COD-012

# Regulations of SOBs



- Regulated by:
  - SOB ordinance
  - Texas Alcohol Beverage Code
  - TABC will NOT issue to an 'All Nude' Cabaret
  - TABC may issue to a 'Topless' Cabaret
  - 'All Nude' Cabarets in Dallas are BYOB (consumption of alcohol)
- These regulations do not restrict hours of operations for SOBs

COD-013



# Regulation of SOBs – Hours of Operation



Texas Cities	Hours of Operation Provisions - SOBs
El Paso	requires sexually oriented businesses to be closed between 2:00 a.m. and 6:00 a.m.
Fort Worth	requires sexually oriented businesses to be closed between 2:00 a.m. and 10:00 a.m., Sunday through Thursday, and between 3:00 a.m. and 10:00 a.m., Fridays and Saturdays. A sexually oriented business may remain open until 4:00 a.m. on Fridays and Saturdays if the business has a valid food establishment permit issued by the city.
San Antonio	requires sexually oriented businesses to be closed between 2:15 a.m. and 7:00 a.m.
Plano	requires sexually oriented businesses to be closed between 2:00 a.m. and 10:00 a.m.
Grand Prairie	requires sexually oriented businesses to be closed between 2:00 a.m. and 7:00 a.m., Monday through Saturday, and between 2:00 a.m. and 12:00 p.m. on Sunday.



COD-014

# Northwest

## Club

## Task Force 2021

Case 3:22-cv-00177-M Document 47 Filed 05/24/22 Page 115 of 399 PageID 232

- Task Force created in March 2021.
- Created due to multiple shootings, violent crimes and crime data showed it increasing after midnight
- Primarily occurred at or near the SOBs
- 8 officers starting at Midnight on Thursday, Friday and Saturday
- The arrest range from Drugs, UCW, UPF, Warrants, and various other arrests

COD-015



# Northwest Club Task Force Activity March – December 2021



Taskforce									
Activity	Felony	Misdemeanor	Citations	Calls Answered	Traffic Stops	Stolen Recovered	Weapons Seized	Drug Seized	Man Hours
Total	123	183	1,141	134	1,767	8	113	244	1,241



## Aggravated Assaults within Northwest



- For the year 2021, 549 Aggravated Assaults have occurred within the Northwest Patrol Division's boundaries
- 10p - 2a 110 Agg. Assaults or 20% occurred
- 2a - 6a 130 Agg Assaults or 23.7% occurred

COD-017



## Aggravated Assaults within Northwest



- NW three most violent beats are
  - 521 and 534 and 522
- Collectively, these beats have 39% of the licensed SOBs in the City
- 521 – 3 SOBs
- 534 – 10 SOBs
- 522 – 2 SOBs
- Total 38 licensed SOBs in the City



COD-018



# Crime Activity-Arrests

Case 3:22-cv-00177-M Document 47 Filed 02/18/22 Page 21 of 121 PageID 236



- The following 9 slides are data related to SOB locations
- Reviewed aggravated assaults, robberies, prostitution, gun and drug-related arrests over three-year period (2019-2021)
- Guns and drugs comprise 58% of all arrests 10p-2a and 63% of all arrests 2a-6a
- Gun and drug arrests have steadily increased during both time frames, the greatest increase occurring 2a-6a
- In 2021, more total arrests occurred 2a-6a, 94 vs 83



COD-019

## Crime Activity Overview 2019-2021



Violent crimes include aggravated assault, rape, robbery, and murder (UCR Part I)

- 2a-6a comprised nearly 67.16% of all reported violent crime
- In 2021, 2a-6a had 76% of all reported violent crime
- Across all years, violent crime decreased 29% during 10p-2a but increased 80% during 2a-6a



COD-020



# Crime Activity Overview 2019-2021



Property crimes include burglary, theft, and motor vehicle theft (UCR Part I)


- Unlike violent crime, more property crime occurred 10p-2a (59%) than 2a-6a (41%)
- Across all years, property crime increased during both times; 10p-2a (48%), 2a-6a (38%)





# Comparison Crimes Reported

PageID 239



COD-022


# 2019 & 2021 All Crimes by Time Period

Case 3:22-cv-00177-M Document 19-1 Filed 02/18/22 Page 25 of 121

PageID 240




Comparison between SOB & Entertainment Districts  
2019 & 2021 Offenses



COD-023



# 2019 & 2021 Violent Crimes by Time Period



COD-024

## Arrests Activity – **Certain Times**


There were 2,082 custodial arrests at SOB locations, 2019-2021.

- 1,603 (77%) occurred between 10p-6a
- 10p-2a – 831 arrests
- 2a-6a – 772 arrests
- No discernable increase/decrease






# Comparison Arrests of SOB Locations



# 2019 & 2021 Arrests by Time Period





## Calls for Service - Police

Case 3:22-cv-00177-M Document 47-1 Filed 05/18/22 Page 30 of 121 PageID 245



- Between 2019-2021, 11,999 calls for service (CFS) were generated at SOB locations.
- More than 4,500 were between 10p-6a
  - 10p-2a – there were 2,171 CFS, 165 were Priority 1 (code 3 response)
  - 2a-6a – there were 2,396 CFS, 214 were Priority 1




COD-028




# Calls for Service – Police

Case 3:22-cv-00177-M Document 19-1 Filed 02/18/22 Page 31 of 121 PageID 246



COD-029

# 2019 & 2021 Calls by Time Period



COD-030



## Calls for Service - Fire

Case 3:22-cv-00177-M Document 47 Filed 02/18/22 Page 33 of 121 PageID 248



- Between 2019-2021, 1,317 calls for service (CFS) were generated at SOB locations.
- Of those, 675 occurred between 10p-6a
  - 10p-2a – there were 270 CFS
  - 2a-6a – there were 405 CFS



COD-031



# Research Findings

Case 3:22-cv-00177-M Document 19-1 Filed 02/18/22 Page 34 of 121 PageID 249



McCord & Tewksbery (2012): Spatial analyses using buffer zones of rates of violent, property, and public order offenses in the vicinity of sexually oriented businesses in Louisville, Kentucky.

- Results show there were higher rates of all types of offenses in the immediate vicinity of the business
- Effects continue to significantly impact local community even further from the SOB
- McCleary (2008): Examined whether criminological theories can be generalized to rural areas.
- When an adult entertainment business opens on an interstate highway off-ramp to a small rural village, total crime rises by 60%
- Total crime in the village dropped by approximately 60% within 2 years of the SOB closing
- Weinstein & McCleary (2012): Law review examining SOB industry attacks on "secondary-effects" of crime.
- Adult businesses are associated with heightened incidences of crime regardless of location
- Routine Activities Theory is prevailing explanatory theory
- SOB industry studies finding no secondary crime effects methodologically or analytically flawed



COD-032



# Study & Report Findings

Case 3:22-cv-00177-M Document 47-1 Filed 02/18/22 Page 35 of 121 PageID 250



A number of Texas cities\* found recognized many factors that they considered in developing city ordinances regulating SOBs.

- Recognized that conduct occurring at SOBs "is detrimental to the public health, safety and general welfare" and should be regulated
- SOBs promote prostitution, drug use, and other criminal activity
- Positive correlation between SOB, specifically their hours of operation, people attracted, and higher crime rates
- SOBs - deleterious effect on existing businesses and the surrounding residential areas adjacent to them,
- Increased crime and downgrading of property values

\*Beaumont, Texas Planning Department entitled "Regulation of Adult Uses" & Amarillo, Texas - Planning Department: "A Report on Zoning and Other Methods of Regulating Adult Entertainment in Amarillo"

COD-033



## Research References

Filed 02/18/22 Page 36 of 121 PageID 251

McCleary, R. (2008). Rural hotspots: The case of adult businesses. *Criminal Justice Policy Review*, 19(2), 153-163.

McCord, E. S., & Tewksbury, R. (2013). Does the presence of sexually oriented businesses relate to increased levels of crime? An examination using spatial analyses. *Crime & Delinquency*, 59(7), 1108-1125.

Weinstein, A. C., & McCleary, R. (2011). The association of adult businesses with secondary effects: Legal doctrine social theory, and empirical evidence. *Cardozo Arts & Ent. LJ*, 29, 565.

COD-034



# Recommendations

Case 3:22-cv-00177-M Document 47-1 Filed 02/18/22 Page 37 of 121 PageID 252



- Change City Ordinance to minimum age of 21 to comply with new state law
- Set Hours of Operations to decrease criminal activity, improve safety and reduce the demand on City Services
- Hours of operation to end at 2am and remain closed until 6 am
- Consistent with TABC and all other entertainment districts
- Adopt the 2am closing time which is consistent with surrounding cities



COD-035

## NEXT STEPS

Case 3:22-cv-00177-M Document 19-1 Filed 02/18/22 Page 38 of 121 PageID 253



- Seek City Council direction regarding:
- The adoption of the recommended ordinance changes
- The creation of a Task Force on SOB regulations as established by the Quality of Life, Arts and Culture Committee



COD-036



# SOB-related Arrests December 23, 2021



## Recent arrests of SOB patrons

- Two arrestees just left an SOB location, "Just left a strip club."
- One arrestee was 20yrs old
- Three handguns
- One AR pistol
- Several hundred rounds (one drum magazine)
- Drugs
  - Possession of dangerous drug
  - Possession of drug paraphernalia

COD-037





**City of Dallas**

# **Sexually Oriented Businesses (SOB): Age Change and Hours of Operations**

**City Council Briefing  
January 5, 2022**

**Eddie Garcia, Chief of Police  
Dallas Police Department  
City of Dallas**

**COD-038**



## Memorandum



CITY OF DALLAS

DATE January 14, 2022

TO Honorable Mayor and Members of the City Council

SUBJECT **Sexually Oriented Businesses Data Information**

In response to the request from Council, the Dallas Police Department has provided detailed analysis of the data briefed regarding the Sexually Oriented Businesses (SOBs). For your consideration, please see the attached charts and graphs comparing the different SOBs locations (including their respective 500-foot radius).

The attachments provided consist of the following:

- A list identifying the licensed SOB business/locations
- Graphs displaying data related to violent crime, arrests for violent offenses and priority 1 (emergency calls)
- Graphs displaying data related to all offenses, arrests, and calls for service
- Charts comparing race of crime victims and arrested persons

Eddie Garcia  
Chief of Police  
[Attachments]

c: T.C. Broadnax, City Manager  
Chris Caso, City Attorney  
Mark Swann, City Auditor  
Billerae Johnson, City Secretary  
Preston Robinson, Administrative Judge  
Kimberly Bizzor Tolbert, Chief of Staff to the City Manager  
Majed A. Al-Ghafry, Assistant City Manager


Jon Fortune, Assistant City Manager  
Joey Zapata, Assistant City Manager  
Dr. Eric A. Johnson, Chief of Economic Development and Neighborhood Services  
M. Elizabeth Reich, Chief Financial Officer  
M. Elizabeth (Liz) Cedillo-Pereira, Chief of Equity, and Inclusion  
Directors and Assistant Directors



ADULT BOOKSTORE/ARCADE/THEATER		NAME OF BUSINESS	DOES BUSINESS AS (DBA)	STREET ADDRESS	TYPE OF LICENSE	BEAT	OPERATING AS
DISTRICT							
1	D6	AMAZING.NET	AMAZING VIDEO SUPERSTORE	11311 HARRY HINES BLVD #603	SOB-ARCADE	551	ADULT ARCADE, ADULT BOOKSTORE, ADULT VIDEO
2	D6	BLISS ARCADE THEATER CLUB		9109 JOHN W. CARPENTER FRWY	SOB	512	ADULT ARCADE, ADULT BOOKSTORE
3	D2	NEW FINE ARTS - MOCKINGBIRD		1720 W. MOCKINGBIRD	SOB ARCADE	514	ADULT ARCADE, ADULT BOOKSTORE, ADULT VIDEO
4	D9	NEW FINE ARTS - SHILOH RD.		12045 SHILOH RD.	SOB ARCADE	233	ADULT ARCADE, ADULT BOOKSTORE, ADULT VIDEO
5	D9	NEW FINE ARTS - WEST		1966 W. NORTHWEST HWY	SOB ARCADE	233	ADULT ARCADE, ADULT BOOKSTORE, ADULT VIDEO
6	D6	ODYSSEY		11505 ANAHEIM DR.	SOB ARCADE	552	ADULT ARCADE, ADULT BOOKSTORE, ADULT VIDEO
7	D6	PARIS ADULT BOOKSTORE		11118 HARRY HINES BLVD	SOB-ARCADE	534	ADULT ARCADE, ADULT BOOKSTORE, ADULT VIDEO
8	D6	XPOSED ADULT THEATER		910 W. MOCKINGBIRD LN	SOB (ADULT VIDEO)	512	ADULT ARCADE
9	D6	LIDO ADULT THEATER		7035 JOHN W CARPENTER	SOB	512	ADULT THEATER
TOPLESS CABARET							
DISTRICT		NAME OF BUSINESS	DOES BUSINESS AS (DBA)	STREET ADDRESS	TYPE OF LICENSE	BEAT	OPERATING AS
10	D6	BUCKS CABARET		2150 CALIFORNIA CROSSING	SOB	533	TOPLESS CABARET
11	D6	CABARET ROYAL/CHICAS LOCAS		10723 COMPOSITE	SOB	534	TOPLESS CABARET
12	D6	CHICA BONITAS		11044 HARRY HINES BLVD	SOB	534	TOPLESS CABARET
13	D6	DG'S A GENTLEMAN'S CLUB		2117 W. NORTHWEST HWY	SOB	533	TOPLESS CABARET
14	D10	PT'S MENS CLUB		10601 PLANO RD	SOB	258	TOPLESS CABARET
15	D6	SILVER CITY CABARET		7501 N. STEMMONS FRWY STE A	SOB	512	TOPLESS CABARET
16	D6	SPEARMINT RHINO GENTLEMAN'S CLUB		10965 COMPOSITE DR.	SOB	534	TOPLESS CABARET
17	D6	THE MENS CLUB OF DALLAS		2340 W. NORTHWEST HWY	SOB	521	TOPLESS CABARET
18	D6	THE LODGE BAR AND GRILL		10530 SPANGLER RD	SOB	533	TOPLESS CABARET
19	D6	BABY DOLLS SALOON WEST		10250 SHADY TRAIL	SOB	521	TOPLESS CABARET
FULL NUDE CABARET							
DISTRICT		NAME OF BUSINESS	DOES BUSINESS AS (DBA)	STREET ADDRESS	TYPE OF LICENSE	BEAT	OPERATING AS
20	D6	BLACK ORCHID/ CLUB DULCE		2151 MANANA DR	SOB	533	FULL NUDE CABARET
21	D6	BUCKS WILD		11327 REEDER RD	SOB	534	FULL NUDE CABARET
22	D7	COASTER LINE - COASTER CLUB INC.	TIGER CABARET	9125 E R L THORNTON FWY	SOB	222	FULL NUDE CABARET
23	D6	DALLAS CABARET NORTH		11569 HARRY HINES BLVD	SOB	551	FULL NUDE CABARET
24	D6	DALLAS CABARET SOUTH (ADDENDUM)		2432 -2462 WALNUT RIDGE ST	SOB	534	FULL NUDE CABARET
25	D6	LIPSTICK		10859 HARRY HINES BLVD	SOB / DH A	534	FULL NUDE CABARET
26	D6	PANDORA'S MENS CLUB		10647-10651 HARRY HINES BLVD	SOB	534	FULL NUDE CABARET
27	D6	THE CLUBHOUSE		2250 MANANA DR.	SOB	533	FULL NUDE CABARET
28	D6	XTC CABARET		8550 N. STEMMONS FWY	SOB	522	FULL NUDE CABARET
NOT OPERATING							
DISTRICT		NAME OF BUSINESS	DOES BUSINESS AS (DBA)	STREET ADDRESS	TYPE OF LICENSE	BEAT	OPERATING AS
29	D6	AZUKITA INC	PRESTIGE CABARET	9009B SOVEREIGN ROW	SOB	512	ACTIVE LICENSE/ CLOSED DOWN
30	D6	G5IVE DALLAS		10557 WIREWAY DR.	SOB	533	ACTIVE LICENSE/ CLOSED DOWN
31	D2	LA ZONA ROSA		1676 REGAL ROW	SOB	522	NOT OPERATING
32	D6	BABY DOLLS TOPLESS SALOON	COWBOY'S RED RIVER	10310 TECHNOLOGY BLVD	SOB	521	HOLDING LICENSE NOT OPERATING
33	D6	UNK AT PRESENT/STRIP MALL		2535 MANANA DR.	SOB	534	ACTIVE LICENSE/ VACANT STRIP MALL
34	D6	UNKNOWN AT PRESENT		10901 STEMMONS FRWY	SOB	533	ACTIVE LICENSE/UNK AT PRESENT EMPTY LOT
35	D6	WESTWOOD MEDIA & ENTERTAINMENT GROUP		1880 RYAN ROAD	SOB	532	NOT OPERATING



## VIOLENT CRIME OFFENSES 2019-2021



From 2019 through 2021, Bookstores accounted for 5% of violent crime offenses from 10pm to 2am and 5% of offenses from 2am to 6am.

From 2019 through 2021, Full Nude Cabarets accounted for 9% of violent crime offenses from 10pm to 2am and 33% of offenses from 2am to 6am.

From 2019 through 2021, Topless Cabarets accounted for 15% of violent crime offenses from 10pm to 2am and 22% of offenses from 2am to 6am.

From 2019 through 2021, Non-Operational SOB's accounted for 3% of violent crime offenses from 10pm to 2am and 6% of offenses from 2am to 6am.

COD-041



## VIOLENT CRIME ARREST 2019-2021



From 2019 through 2021, Bookstores accounted for 12% of Violent Crime Arrests from 10pm to 2am and 5% of Arrests from 2am to 6am.

From 2019 through 2021, Full Nude Cabarets accounted for 15% of Violent Crime Arrests from 10pm to 2am and 28% of Arrests from 2am to 6am.

From 2019 through 2021, Topless Cabarets accounted for 15% of Violent Crime Arrests from 10pm to 2am and 18% of Arrests from 2am to 6am.

From 2019 through 2021, Non-Operational SOB's accounted for 2% of Violent Crime Arrests from 10pm to 2am and 2% of Arrests from 2am to 6am.

COD-042



## PRIORITY 1 CALLS 2019-2021



From 2019 through 2021, Bookstores accounted for 11% of Priority 1 calls from 10pm to 2am and 15% of Calls from 2am to 6am.

From 2019 through 2021, Full Nude Cabarets accounted for 9% of Priority 1 calls from 10pm to 2am and 15% of Calls from 2am to 6am.


From 2019 through 2021, Topless Cabarets accounted for 18% of Priority 1 calls from 10pm to 2am and 22% of Calls from 2am to 6am.

From 2019 through 2021, Non-Operational SOB's accounted for 5% of Priority 1 calls from 10pm to 2am and 3% of Calls from 2am to 6am.

COD-043



## ALL OFFENSES 2019-2021



From 2019 through 2021, Bookstores accounted for 6% of all offenses from 10pm to 2am and 6% of offenses from 2am to 6am.

From 2019 through 2021, Full Nude Cabarets accounted for 16% of all offenses from 10pm to 2am and 22% of offenses from 2am to 6am.

From 2019 through 2021, Topless Cabarets accounted for 27% of all offenses from 10pm to 2am and 14% of offenses from 2am to 6am.

From 2019 through 2021, Non-Operational SOB's accounted for 4% of all offenses from 10pm to 2am and 5% of offenses from 2am to 6am.

COD-044



## ALL ARREST 2019-2021



From 2019 through 2021, Bookstores accounted for 11% of all arrests from 10pm to 2am and 10% of arrests from 2am to 6am.

From 2019 through 2021, Full Nude Cabarets accounted for 17% of all arrests from 10pm to 2am and 19% of arrests from 2am to 6am.

From 2019 through 2021, Topless Cabarets accounted for 20% of all arrests from 10pm to 2am and 16% of arrests from 2am to 6am.

From 2019 through 2021, Non-Operational SOB's accounted for 3% of all arrests from 10pm to 2am and 3% of arrests from 2am to 6am.

COD-045



# ALL CALLS 2019-2021



From 2019 through 2021, Bookstores accounted for 11% of all calls from 10pm to 2am and 12% of calls from 2am to 6am.

From 2019 through 2021, Full Nude Cabarets accounted for 12% of all calls from 10pm to 2am and 13% of calls from 2am to 6am.


From 2019 through 2021, Topless Cabarets accounted for 21% of all calls from 10pm to 2am and 25% of calls from 2am to 6am.

From 2019 through 2021, Non-Operational SOB's accounted for 4% of all calls from 10pm to 2am and 3% of calls from 2am to 6am.

COD-046



## ALL VICTIMS ALL CRIMES 2019-2021




From 2019 through 2021, the racial breakdown by percentage of all victims of all crimes is as follows:

Black 40%, White 30%, Hispanic or Latino 25%, Asian 2%, Middle Eastern 2%, Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander 1%, American Indian or Alaska Native 0%

COD-047



## ALL VICTIMS ALL CRIMES 2019-2021




From 2019 through 2021, the racial breakdown by count of all victims of all crimes is as follows:

Black 455, White 337, Hispanic or Latino 290, Asian 24, Middle Eastern 24, Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander 12, American Indian or Alaska Native 1

COD-048



## ALL ARRESTEES 2019-2021




From 2019 through 2021, the racial breakdown by percentage of all arrestees is as follows:

Black 48%, Hispanic or Latino 29%, White 22%, Asian 1%, Middle Eastern 0%, Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander 0%, American Indian or Alaska Native 0%

COD-049



## ALL ARRESTEES 2019-2021



From 2019 through 2021, the racial breakdown by count of all arrestees is as follows:

Black 1,001, Hispanic or Latino 594, White 467, Asian 13, Middle Eastern 4, Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander 2, American Indian or Alaska Native 1

COD-050



## Memorandum



CITY OF DALLAS

DATE January 14, 2022

TO Honorable Mayor and Members of the City Council

SUBJECT **Update on Activation of Temporary Inclement Weather Shelters by the Office of Homeless Solutions****Current and Upcoming Action:**

The Office of Homeless Solutions has been monitoring the weather forecast and based on the National Oceanic and Atmospheric forecast, has activated the opening of temporary inclement weather shelters (TWIS) in the City of Dallas for Saturday, January 15, 2022, and will continue to monitor the weather on Sunday night, January 16, 2022. An additional activation notice will be released no later than 12pm on Saturday, January 15<sup>th</sup> should Sunday evening's trigger it, in accordance with Chapter 45 of the City Code, the notice of the activation is attached.

Individuals experiencing homelessness are encouraged to seek shelter at any one of the City's existing, overnight shelter provider locations. If those shelters are at capacity, guests can seek shelter at one of two, temporary inclement weather shelters – Oak Lawn United Methodist Church (UMC) and OurCalling.

Oak Lawn UMC is located at 3014 Oak Lawn Avenue (Council District 14) and OurCalling is located at 1702 S. Cesar Chavez Blvd. (Council District 2). Intake hours at both locations are 5:00 p.m. to 9:00 p.m. Admission to Oak Lawn UMC closes at 9:00 p.m. Any guests who present at Oak Lawn UMC after shelter intake hours will be directed to OurCalling which will remain open overnight.

Onsite rapid response COVID-19 testing will be available at both locations. Individuals who test positive will be isolated and transported to a third shelter. For the safety and security of staff and guests, the location is not being disclosed at this time. Additional information will be provided once the shelters close at 8:00 a.m. on Monday, January 17, 2022. The COVID-19 quarantine shelter will remain open indefinitely, guests are encouraged to remain in isolation until the time allotted for quarantine expires.

In partnership with Dallas County, OHS has established a quarantine shelter for homeless individuals who are Covid positive, admitted by referral through the local shelter providers and hospitals. We are extremely grateful for this partnership, which also includes North Texas Behavioral Health Authority (NTBHA), Metro Dallas Homeless Alliance (MDHA), and Parkland Hospital. Due to the rapid spread of the Omicron variant and the vulnerability of the unsheltered population, access to this

"Our Product is Service"

Empathy | Ethics | Excellence | Equity





shelter is limited to OHS and partnering staff.

**Previous Action:**

The Office of Homeless Solutions activated the opening of temporary inclement weather shelters (TWIS) in the City of Dallas on Thursday, January 6, 2022, based on the National Oceanic and Atmospheric forecast, in accordance with Chapter 45 of the City Code.


The total number of shelter stays for the night of January 6, 2022 is as follows:

- Oak Lawn UMC: 43
- OurCalling: 158
- Bachman Lake Recreation Center:
  - 39 Covid-Negative
  - 2 Covid-Positive in overflow isolation

After the closure of inclement weather shelter, Covid positive guests were transferred to hotels under the management of Catholic Charities with assistance from OHS staff as needed. These guests will remain in hotel for the duration of their quarantine period.

Through a tremendous amount of preparation and planning, this well-organized operation provided shelter for our unsheltered neighbors last week and is prepared to do so again this weekend. Through a tremendous amount of preparation and planning, this well-organized operation has provided shelter for our unsheltered neighbors last week and is prepared to do so again. This work would not have been possible without support from the Office of Emergency Management, the Parks Department, Dallas Fire Rescue, Oak Lawn UMC, OurCalling, Stewpot, Metro Dallas Homeless Alliance (MDHA), Downtown Dallas Inc., Catholic Charities, Austin Street Center, Kessler Park UMC, CitySquare, and all of the area homeless services providers. Collectively, they provided medical services, meals, and transportation throughout this period.

If you have any questions, please reach out to me or Christine Crossley, Director of the Office of Homeless Solutions. Thank you for your support.

  
Kimberly Bizar Tolbert  
Chief of Staff

[Attachment]

C: T.C. Broadnax, City Manager  
Chris Caso, City Attorney  
Mark Swann, City Auditor  
Billerae Johnson, City Secretary  
Preston Robinson, Administrative Judge  
Majed A. Al-Ghafry, Assistant City Manager

Jon Fortune, Assistant City Manager  
Joey Zapata, Assistant City Manager  
Dr. Eric A. Johnson, Chief of Economic Development and Neighborhood Services  
M. Elizabeth Reich, Chief Financial Officer  
M. Elizabeth (Liz) Cedillo-Pereira, Chief of Equity and Inclusion  
Directors and Assistant Directors

"Our Product is Service"

Empathy | Ethics | Excellence | Equity

**CITY OF DALLAS OFFICE OF HOMELESS SOLUTIONS**

**ACTIVATION OF THE OPENING OF TEMPORARY INCLEMENT WEATHER SHELTERS**

In accordance with Chapter 45 of the City of Dallas Code, the Office of Homeless Solutions is authorizing the opening of temporary inclement weather shelters on the following dates, beginning at 4:00 p.m. and ending on the following day at 8:00 a.m.

Saturday, January 15<sup>th</sup>, 2022 – 4:00 p.m.

NOAA forecast low temperature: 27 degrees

*Christine Crossley*

Christine Crossley (Jan 14, 2022 09:49 CST)

Christine Crossley, Director  
City of Dallas  
Office of Homeless Solutions

Jan 14, 2022

Date

## Memorandum



CITY OF DALLAS

DATE January 14, 2022

TO Honorable Mayor and Members of the City Council

SUBJECT **Sales Tax Receipts**

The November 2021 sales tax receipts from the State Comptroller's Office are \$30.5 million, which represents a 21.8 percent increase in total collections compared to the same reporting period last year.

• November 2020 actual	\$25,010,956
• November 2021 budget	\$25,621,546
• November 2021 actual	\$30,461,440

Over the most recent 12 months, sales tax receipts have increased by 19.0 percent. We will continue to monitor our sales tax receipts and provide additional information as it becomes available.

Thank you for your support. Please contact me with any questions.

M. Elizabeth Reich  
Chief Financial Officer

[Attachment]



cc: T.C. Broadnax, City Manager  
Chris Caso, City Attorney  
Mark Swann, City Auditor  
Billerae Johnson, City Secretary  
Preston Robinson, Administrative Judge  
Kimberly Bizar Tolbert, Chief of Staff to the City Manager

Majed A. Al-Ghafry, Assistant City Manager  
Jon Fortune, Assistant City Manager  
Joey Zapata, Assistant City Manager  
Dr. Eric A. Johnson, Chief of Economic Development and Neighborhood Services  
M. Elizabeth (Liz) Cedillo-Pereira, Chief of Equity and Inclusion  
Directors and Assistant Directors



## as of November 2021

Month	Actual FY 2020-21	Budget FY 2021-22	Actual FY 2021-22	YTD Variance FY 2021- 22 Actuals versus FY 2020-21 Actuals (\$)	YTD Variance FY 2020-21 Actuals FY 2020-21 Actuals (%)
October	\$ 25,607,902	\$ 27,322,304	\$ 30,717,609	\$ 5,109,707	
November	\$ 25,010,956	\$ 25,621,546	\$ 30,461,440	\$ 5,450,484	
December	\$ 35,927,004	\$ 35,846,753			
January	\$ 24,532,918	\$ 25,773,030			
February	\$ 22,686,079	\$ 24,953,637			
March	\$ 33,669,367	\$ 33,512,514			
April	\$ 29,131,009	\$ 27,115,734			
May	\$ 28,918,168	\$ 27,239,676			
June	\$ 34,254,068	\$ 32,397,037			
July	\$ 30,967,271	\$ 27,039,992			
August	\$ 28,404,805	\$ 26,151,742			
September	\$ 35,178,095	\$ 31,309,102			
Total	\$ 354,287,642	\$ 344,283,066	\$ 61,179,049	\$ 10,560,191	



## Memorandum



CITY OF DALLAS

DATE January 14, 2022

TO Honorable Mayor and Members of the City Council

SUBJECT **City License Applications**

Attached is a list of the most recent Dance Hall, Sexual Oriented Business, Billiard Hall, and/or Amusement Center license applications received for the week of January 3, 2022 – January 7, 2022 by the Tactical and Special Operations Bureau Licensing Squad of the Dallas Police Department.

Please have your staff contact Sergeant John Page, at (214) 316-3848 and/or by email at [john.page@dallascityhall.com](mailto:john.page@dallascityhall.com) should you need further information.

Jon Fortune  
Assistant City Manager  
[Attachment]

C: T.C. Broadnax, City Manager  
Chris Caso, City Attorney  
Mark Swann, City Auditor  
Billerae Johnson, City Secretary  
Preston Robinson, Administrative Judge  
Kimberly Bizer Tolbert, Chief of Staff to the City Manager

Majed A. Al-Ghafry, Assistant City Manager  
Joey Zapata, Assistant City Manager  
Dr. Eric A. Johnson, Chief of Economic Development and Neighborhood Services  
M. Elizabeth Reich, Chief Financial Officer  
M. Elizabeth (Liz) Cedillo-Pereira, Chief of Equity, and Inclusion  
Directors and Assistant Directors

Case 3:22-cv-00177-M Document 47 Filed 05/24/22 Page 157 of 399 PageID 1282

Case 3:22-cv-00177-M Document 47 Filed 05/24/22 Page 157 of 399 PageID 1282

Case 3:22-cv-00177-M Document 47 Filed 05/24/22 Page 157 of 399 PageID 1282

Case 3:22-cv-00177-M Document 47 Filed 05/24/22 Page 157 of 399 PageID 1282



## Memorandum



CITY OF DALLAS


DATE January 14, 2022

TO Honorable Mayor and Members of the City Council

SUBJECT Taking Care of Business – January 13, 2022

### New Updates

#### City Manager's Corner – Employee of the Week



This week's Employee of the Week is Julia Kuiper Human Resources (HR) Analyst. Since 2019, Julia has been a highly engaged member of the HR team serving in multiple roles initially as a temporary staff, then promoted into her current role. With the swift transition from on-site to more employees working remotely due to the COVID pandemic, Julia has been an integral part of the Employee COVID-19 Core Team, consistently responding to inquiries, leave requests and other concerns submitted via the COVID-19 email box. Julia graciously accepted the role of overseeing the process for requesting and approving

COVID19 leaves for City employees; and, expends hours insuring that employees receive timely and accurate responses to their COVID-19 questions and requests. In addition to these duties, Julia has been instrumental in the implementation of the new Paid Parental Leave, a new benefit providing six (6) weeks of paid leave to employees who are welcoming a new family member by birth, adoption or foster care placement. Julia also escalates COVID issues to the COVID Core Team when appropriate, manages the HR file room and assists with open records requests for the Human Resources. She is the "HR resident expert" on flowchart development for process mapping. We are very grateful to Julia for all the work that she does for the City. Congratulations Julia!

#### Office of Homeless Solutions Partners Continue to Target Encampments

The DRTRR team of homeless service providers, co-led by OHS and MDHA, is currently targeting several encampments, which will result in closure through the housing of those unsheltered individuals throughout the year. The team will outreach to these sites and meet with various persons experiencing homelessness to assess their needs in preparation for site closure via housing. During this time, the OHS Street Outreach Team will continue to engage with unsheltered residents through normal street outreach, connecting people with the needed resources, such as: getting IDs, working with Community Courts on expunging eligible tickets and offenses from their records, identifying medical needs, and getting them access to the Coordinated Access System (CAS). Please see the attached schedule for homeless encampment cleaning the week of January 3<sup>rd</sup> – January 7<sup>th</sup>. Please note that these will be for debris removal and outreach only. Due to the high transmission rate of the new COVID variant, Omicron, the



DATE January 14, 2022

SUBJECT Taking Care of Business – January 13, 2022

openings of Inclement Weather Shelters, and the newly secured Covid quarantine site, all encampment cleaning requests are being resolved as time allows. We appreciate everyone's patience. OHS continues to urge people who see an encampment to report via 311 or 311's OurDallas smartphone app to ensure strategic alignment with comprehensive OHS outreach. The DRTRR Dashboard through MDHA, was presented to the Citizens Homelessness Commission and the Dallas Area Partnership Board in November of 2021 and is now live. The OHS Service Request dashboard can be utilized to track the progress of encampment resolution efforts. Please visit the [dashboard](#) and feel free to share this tool with residents. Should you have questions, please contact the Director of the Office of Homeless Solutions, Christine Crossley.

#### 2021 Code Compliance in Review

The 2021 Code Compliance in review video highlights some of our key accomplishments for the entire department. It also gives our community stakeholders insight on ordinances we are proposing and will be implementing this year. Our goal is to keep all stakeholders engaged and informed about our department. Code Compliance is increasingly raising our profile to fulfill our departments' purpose in "Safeguarding & Supporting a Strong and Healthy CommUNITY." This video can be accessed via YouTube here: <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=VLoAIPR283Y>. For additional information please contact, Carl Simpson, Director of Code Compliance.

#### Small Business Center Listening Sessions


The City of Dallas Small Business Center is providing several listening sessions through the end of this month. These sessions are designed to gain insight from small business advocate organizations, startups, and workforce organizations. The Small Business Center is proactively providing resources that offer assistance to small business successes. It will focus on gathering information to address current gaps in city-led programs, expand on the successes of city-led programs, and ideas to design and create new opportunities that can be presented by the Small Business Center. Participants can sign up through the Eventbrite link: <https://dallassbclistingession.eventbrite.com>. For questions or concerns, please contact Director of the Small Business Center, Joyce Williams.

#### Period Poverty Map Provides Hygiene Support in High-Need Neighborhoods

The Office of Data Analytics and Business Intelligence partnered with the Office of Community Care to create [The Period Poverty Program Application](#), a map application that helps women locate places to access free menstrual products. The map application serves two purposes. First, the map application identifies high-need areas so staff can make more equitable decisions about distribution sites. Staff used the application to identify distribution sites that were located in areas with household income less than 60% of the Area Median Gross Income or with a poverty rate of at least 25%. Last, this map application is built on a web-based platform making it accessible to anyone on any digital platform to search for the nearest menstrual product distribution site within a given location. For more information, please contact Dr. Brita Andercheck, Director of the Office of Data Analytics and Business Intelligence, at [data@dallascityhall.com](mailto:data@dallascityhall.com).



DATE January 14, 2022  
SUBJECT Taking Care of Business – January 13, 2022



#### Office of Community Police Oversight

The Office of Community Police Oversight (OCPO) is excited to announce that a Spanish version of the complaint form is now available on the OCPO and CPOB websites. OCPO has added a way for members of the community to commend a DPD officer for doing a great job. A THANK AN OFFICER option is now available on the OCPO and CPOB websites. OCPO has already started seeing community members use that form. When a commendation comes to OCPO, the information is forward to the Office of the Chief of Police and to the Division Command staff where the officer is assigned so that DPD leadership knows that the officer has been commended. We are excited to being both of these features to the Dallas community. For additional information, please contact OCPO Director and Police Monitor Tonya McClary.

#### Equity Indicators Symposium

The Office of Equity and Inclusion in partnership with Communities Foundation of Texas will host the 3rd Annual Equity Indicators Symposium-The City and Community Collaborating to Advance Equity Through Measures and Accountability. The virtual symposium will be centered around the Equity Indicators report and consist of roundtable discussion with Policymakers and two panel discussions. The event is scheduled to take place on this Friday, January 14, 2022, from 8:30 am – 12:00pm as part of the Dr. Martin Luther King, Jr. Celebration Week. Please see the attached flier for registration information. Should you have any questions, please contact Dr. Lindsey Wilson, Equity Officer.

#### Redistricting Update

The Redistricting Commission met Monday, January 10 at 3:30 p.m. via videoconference and at Dallas City Hall – Council Briefing Room – 6ES. They received an update on redistricting marketing, map submissions and map overlays. They also agreed to submit a op-ed to local media, encouraging the public to get involved in the redistricting process. The Commission will host their fourth of eight Redistricting Town Hall / Listening sessions this Thursday, January 13, 2021 at Lake Highlands North Recreation Center at 6:30 p.m. and will host the following town hall on Saturday, January 22 at Pleasant Oaks Recreation Center at 3:30 p.m. Individuals who wish to speak during a Redistricting Town Hall should



DATE January 14, 2022  
SUBJECT Taking Care of Business – January 13, 2022

register at [bit.ly/2021RDCTH](http://bit.ly/2021RDCTH) by 10 a.m. the day of the meeting. All speakers who signed up before the deadline will have three minutes to speak about anything related to the redistricting process. Please note these town halls are only offered in person, with the exception of the last town hall on February 10 at 6:30 p.m., which will be held virtually and in person at Dallas City Hall. For a complete list of all Redistricting Commission meetings, including town halls, visit [DallasRedistricting.com](http://DallasRedistricting.com). We encourage you to send this information to your various constituencies. The Office of Communications, Outreach and Marketing has been promoting the Redistricting Town Halls via social media, blog posts, text alerts, PSAs, and outdoor digital advertising. Should you have any questions or concerns, please contact Brett Wilkinson, Director of Government Affairs.

Department of Aviation Unveils USO Just in Time for the Holidays  
On December 16<sup>th</sup>, the Department of Aviation (DOA) proudly presented a dedicated United Services Organizations (USO) space for our traveling military members and their families. At the grand opening, USO and DOA officials gathered to cut the ceremonial ribbon and thank those involved in opening the center. The space, formerly used as a third-party administrative office, was recently transformed into a welcoming lounge offering snacks, comfortable chairs, TVs and a place to unwind for those who need it most. Once finishing touches are complete, the facility will be fully staffed and operational in the upcoming weeks. The Department hopes that this gesture will continue to demonstrate its unwavering gratitude to the entire military community as they serve and protect us. For additional information please contact Mark Duebner, Director of Aviation.

#### New Procurement Opportunities

The Office of Procurement Services (OPS) is excited to announce the following new contract opportunities. More information can be found on the City's [electronic bid portal](#):

Opportunity No.	Opportunity Name
CIZ21-PKR-2021	Lake Highlands Trail Phase II - A&B & Lake Highlands Northern Extension - Site Development TXDOT ID: CSJ-0918-47-206, by Park and Recreation
CIZ-DWU-21 443	Erosion Control at Various Locations Phase 4, Contract No. 21-443, by Dallas Water Utilities
BI22-00018395	Liquid Sodium Hypochlorite
BI22-00017906	Sodium Polyphosphate, Glassy
BV22-00018177	Meter Test Bench Portable Meter Testers

We are also pleased to share the latest Q2 Procurement Quarterly Report [Procurement Quarterly Report FY22 Q2](#) listing citywide opportunities for the current quarter (of the fiscal year) and published on the OPS [website](#).

Please be advised that once an opportunity is advertised, it is considered an open procurement until the City Council awards the contract. The Code of Ethics prohibits communication between councilmembers and vendors/ suppliers on open procurements.

DATE January 14, 2022  
SUBJECT Taking Care of Business – January 13, 2022

Should you have any questions, please contact Chhunmy Chhean, Director of Procurement Services.

### Look Ahead

City Council Briefings

January 19, 2022

- 2017 Bond Program Status Update
- On-Street Parking and Curb Lane Management Policy

### Media Inquiries

As of January 10, 2022, the City has received media requests from various news outlets regarding the following topics:

- Trash pickup
- Data open portal
- COVID Numbers on the Rise in DFR
- DFR Members Able to Return Sooner from COVID Leave
- One Dead and Three Hospitalized Following Southeast Dallas Accident
- Dallas Morning News Inquires About DFRs Use of Narcan for Overdose Calls
- Elderly Woman Rescued from North Dallas House Fire

The storylines listed above references the major media inquiries addressed by DFR during the period dating from January 4<sup>th</sup> – 10<sup>th</sup>. A more detailed account of the department's responses to those inquiries, and others, can be viewed at [this link](#). In the event you are contacted by the press, or if you have any questions or concerns, please contact Chief Artis, Dallas Fire-Rescue. The City has received other media requests from various news outlets at the following links: Communications, Outreach and Marketing or Dallas Fire Rescue.

Should you have any questions or concerns, please contact Kimberly Bizer Tolbert, Chief of Staff.



T.C. Broadnax  
City Manager

C: Chris Caso, City Attorney  
Mark Swann, City Auditor  
Billerae Johnson, City Secretary  
Preston Robinson, Administrative Judge  
Kimberly Bizer Tolbert, Chief of Staff  
Majed A. Al-Ghafry, Assistant City Manager

Jon Fortune, Assistant City Manager  
Joey Zapata, Assistant City Manager  
Dr. Eric A. Johnson, Chief of Economic Development and Neighborhood Services  
M. Elizabeth Reich, Chief Financial Officer  
M. Elizabeth (Liz) Cedillo-Pereira, Chief of Equity and Inclusion  
Directors and Assistant Directors



**J. Michael Murray**

**From:** David, Leticia <leticia.david@dallascityhall.com>  
**Sent:** Friday, February 11, 2022 7:29 PM  
**To:** roger@sheilswinnubst.com; J. Michael Murray; latrice@sheilswinnubst.com  
**Cc:** craig@sheilswinnubst.com; Rodriguez, Stacy; Jordan, Ann  
**Subject:** ACE v. COD, No. 22-177--DPD Data update (Email 1 of 2)  
**Attachments:** 01.Policy\_G0704\_CFS Redacted2(COD 1-5).pdf; 02POLI~1.PDF; 04SOBC~1.PDF; 05SOBA~1.PDF; 07SOB~1.PDF; 08STUD~1.PDF; 09STUD~1.PDF; 10STUD~1.PDF

Hello all,

Please find attached the DPD Data (Email part 1 of 2). The files have been compressed. Also, the data that was provided to us contained social security numbers, DOBs and personal ID numbers, therefore this information has been redacted from the datasets. Please see the list of documents below for your convenience. Thank you.

- 01.Policy\_G0704\_CFs Redacted 2 (COD 1-5)
- 02.Policy\_SOP502\_PriorityCalls Redacted2 (COD 6-9)
- 03.Entertainment\_rawdata Redacted2 (COD 10-5287)
- 04.SOBCrime500\_rawdata Redacted2 (COD 5288-5602)
- 05.SOBArrestCharge500\_rawdata Redacted2 (COD 5603-5964)
- 06.SOBCalls500\_rawdata Redacted2 (COD 5965-7413)
- 07.SOB\_DFRdataset Redacted2 (COD 7414-7503)
- 08.Study\_McCleary\_208CJPR Redacted2 (COD 7504-7514)
- 09.Study\_Weinstein\_2012AELJ Redacted2 (COD 7515-7548)
- 10.Study\_McCord\_2013\_CD Redacted2 (COD 7549-7566)



**Leticia David**  
*Paralegal*  
General Litigation & Collections Section  
City of Dallas  
Dallas City Attorney's Office  
1500 Marilla, Suite 7DN  
Dallas, TX 75201  
O: 214-671-8943  
F: 214-670-0622  
[leticia.david@dallascityhall.com](mailto:leticia.david@dallascityhall.com)




**Please be advised that this e-mail is subject to being disclosed pursuant to a request for public information under the Texas Public Information Act.**

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This communication, including attachments, is intended only for the use of the individual or entity to which it is addressed and may contain information that is privileged, confidential, and exempt from disclosure under applicable law. If you are not the intended recipient of this communication, an employee or agent of the intended recipient who is responsible for delivering it to the intended recipient, or you believe that you have received this communication in error, you are notified that any use, dissemination, distribution, or copying of the communication is strictly prohibited, may be unlawful, and are requested to reply to this email to notify the sender that you have received the



communication in error and promptly delete this e-mail, including attachments without reading or saving them in any manner. Receipt by anyone other than the intended recipient(s) is not a waiver of any attorney/client or other privilege.




**Dallas Police Department**

**Chief of Police  
Eddie Garcia**

**General Orders  
Revised: September 13, 2021**





**700.00 RADIO COMMUNICATIONS**

**701.00 F.C.C. PROCEDURES AND CARE OF COMMUNICATIONS EQUIPMENT**

- 701.01 Federal Communications Commission
- 701.02 Communications Equipment

**702.00 ASSIGNMENT OF CHANNELS, SIGNALS, AND CODES**

- 702.01 Channel Assignments
- 702.02 Signals
- 702.03 Codes

**703.00 RADIO AND FIELD PROCEDURES**

- 703.01 Radio Procedures
- 703.02 Field Procedures
- 703.03 Radio - Telephone Patch System (Deleted)

**704.00 CALLS FOR SERVICE**

- 704.01 Assignment of Elements
- 704.02 Call Dispatching Procedure
- 704.03 Emergency Calls
- 704.04 Non-Emergency Calls
- 704.05 Elements Missing a Radio Call

**705.00 EMERGENCY STAND-BY STATIONS**

**706.00 GOVERNMENT EMERGENCY TELECOMMUNICATIONS SERVICE (GETS) CARDS**





## Dallas Police Department General Order

### 704.00 Calls for Service

Revised 3/11/2021

#### 704.00 CALLS FOR SERVICE

##### 704.01 Assignment of Elements

- A. The calls on which either a two-officer element or two one-officer elements will be dispatched include, but are not limited to the following:

#### SIGNALS

Assist Officer	Explosion or Bombing
Auto Theft Tracking Program	Felony in Progress
AWARE-Family Violence Alarm (6X-01)	Hold-Up Alarm
Burglar Alarm	Kidnapping in Progress
Criminal Assault	Open Building
Criminal Trespass	Person in Danger
Cutting	Prisoner
Disturbance at a School (6S)	Prostitution
Disturbance (6X)	Prowler
Disturbance Armed Encounter in Vehicle	Random Gunfire
Disturbance Armed Encounter on Foot	Robbery
Disturbance Active Shooter in a Vehicle	Shooting
Disturbance Active Shooter on Foot	Suicide
Drunk	Suspicious Person
Kidnapping of a Child in Progress	

- B. Freeway Accidents - Regardless of their one-officer or two-officer status, two police vehicles will be assigned to any freeway accident for the purpose of officer safety. The first element to arrive may notify the dispatcher to disregard the second vehicle. A supervisor will be assigned to serve as a safety officer to ensure that all possible safety resources are utilized.
- C. All other calls may be dispatched to a one-officer element unless circumstances indicate a need for more than one officer.
- D. To conserve two-officer elements, the dispatcher may assign a one-officer element off its beat to handle a one-officer element call on another beat even though that beat element is available.
- E. When two one-officer elements are dispatched on a call, the first officer to arrive may notify the dispatcher to disregard the second element.
- F. Elements will be available for service unless on call or properly marked out via the Mobile Data Computer (MDC) or the dispatcher.
- G. Elements will respond to calls for service or assistance from citizens or other elements without undue delay. No element will fail to respond unless relieved by competent authority.
- H. Response to calls will be prompt and direct by the most practical known route, consistent with safety precautions and traffic laws. Elements will not delay by tending to other matters such as continuing a mark-out or making routine stops of traffic violators.
- I. Officers en route to a call who observe an offense being committed, or who are notified by a citizen of an offense in progress or having just been committed, must weigh the seriousness of that offense against the nature of the assigned call. If the officer decides to continue on the assigned call, the dispatcher will be notified to start another element on the second incident. If the officer determines the second incident requires immediate attention, the dispatcher will be notified of the officer's inability to remain on the assigned call and that another element should be assigned to answer it.
- J. Upon completion of all calls and related reports, officers will immediately *clear* via MDC or the dispatcher. They will not change location in conjunction with a call without updating the MDC or notifying the dispatcher.
- K. A supervisor will respond to calls of armed robbery, criminal assault, murder, burglar in building, fire, assist or injured officer, or any other call of a major or unusual nature without instructions from the dispatcher.
- L. Field supervisors will monitor radio traffic and coordinate with the dispatcher's office as necessary to ensure continuity to Patrol.
- M. In some situations, a citizen may request that a supervisor be called to the location of a police incident. The total circumstances of any police incident must be evaluated. The situation may be such that it is impractical for a supervisor to be summoned or that the employees wait at the scene. If a supervisor is not summoned or it is deemed impractical for one to respond, the employee will provide the requesting citizen with the name and business telephone number of the employee's immediate supervisor so that the citizen may contact the supervisor.

##### 704.02. Call Dispatching Procedure

- A. The dispatcher will give the element's call number, the nature of the call, the code (if Code 3), location, and time.
- B. The assigned officer will acknowledge receipt either by repeating the element number and "received" or by pressing the Code 5 key on the MDC keyboard.
- C. Upon arrival at the scene, as an officer safety precaution, the officer will verbally announce his or her element number and Code 6. In addition, the officer will also press the Code 6 key on the MDC keyboard. Dispatchers are required to verbally acknowledge all Code 6 transmissions.

**Dallas Police Department General Order**  
**704.00 Calls for Service**

Revised 3/11/2021

- D. After completing the call, the officer either will give the element's call number and *clear* or press the appropriate MDC key.
- E. The dispatcher will acknowledge by repeating the call number and give the clearing time and service number, if applicable.
- F. The officer will repeat the service number.

**704.03 Emergency Calls**

- A. The dispatcher will precede the broadcast with one high frequency tone signal.
- B. The following calls will be considered emergency calls:

Signal DASV	Disturbance Active Shooter in Vehicle
Signal DASF	Disturbance Active Shoot on Foot
Signal DAEF	Disturbance Armed Encounter on Foot
Signal DAEV	Disturbance Armed Encounter In Vehicle
Signal ET	Executive Threat
Signal 6S	Disturbance at a School
Signal 6X-01	AWARE-Family Violence Alarm
Signal 6XE	Major Disturbance Violence-Emergency
Signal 6XEA	Major Disturbance Violence-Emergency, Ambulance
Signal 7XF	Major Accident on Freeway
Signal 7XFCE	Major Accident on Freeway City Equipment
Signal 14	Cutting (Including 41-14)
Signal 15	Assist Officer
Signal 15A	Assist Officer Ambulance
Signal 17	Kidnapping In Progress
Signal 17C	Kidnapping of a Child In Progress
Signal 19	Shooting (Including 41-19)
Signal 22A	Animal Complaint-Ambulance
Signal 35	Terrorist Incident
Signal 41-11R	Burglary in Progress-Residence
Signal 41-11B	Burglary in Progress-Business
Signal 41-20	Robbery In Progress
Signal 41-25	Criminal Assault In Progress
Signal 42	Car Chase (Assist)
Signal 42FP	Foot Pursuit
Signal 44	Person in Danger
Signal 45	Response Team Activation
Signal 46A	CIT Response W/Ambulance

**Note:** Signal 21 - *Holdup Alarm*, Signal 21B - *Business Holdup Alarm*, and Signal 21R - *Residential Panic Alarm* Initially will be dispatched Code 1. The Communications Section will attempt to verify whether the alarm is authentic or false. If verification cannot be made, the code will be increased to a Code 3 assignment.

- C. Other situations such as disasters (explosions, plane crashes, tornadoes, flooding, etc.) or incidents such as unruly crowds or riots may be designated as emergency calls when available information justifies the classification.
- D. Emergency calls will be dispatched as Code 3 assignments unless information available to the party receiving the initial call clearly indicates that no emergency condition exists.
- E. Emergency calls will be given first priority and will be dispatched and answered without undue delay.
- F. A conscious effort will be made to hold emergency vehicular traffic to the necessary minimum, giving due regard to the need for safety and the preservation of life.

**704.04. Non-Emergency Calls**

- A. Calls not classified as emergency calls will receive immediate attention and will be answered Code 1.
- B. Change in Code Classification
  - 1. Code 1 response may be increased to Code 3 response when the responding officer's immediate knowledge of a situation justifies such change in classification.
  - 2. Code 3 response may be decreased to Code 1 response when the responding officer's immediate knowledge of a situation or when weather or road conditions justify such change in classification.
  - 3. Dispatchers or field supervisors may, based on their immediate knowledge of a situation, direct that a call be increased or decreased in code classification.
  - 4. No change in code classification will be exercised until the intent is broadcast to and receipt is acknowledged by the dispatcher.



**Dallas Police Department General Order  
704.00 Calls for Service**

Revised 3/11/2021

**704.05 Elements Missing a Radio Call**

When an element is available for a call and misses a radio call for any reason the dispatcher will:


- A. Check with other elements to see if he missed a mark-out;
- B. Send the element an MDC message;
- C. Call the element on the air once a minute for three minutes;
- D. Advise a field supervisor of the situation;
- E. Notify a Communications Section supervisor.

The Communications Section supervisor will follow the procedures outlined in the Communications Section SOP.



2020

# Dallas Police Department



STANDARD OPERATING  
PROCEDURES

COMMUNICATIONS

SERVICES

Rev. 10-2020





402.13	Crime Scene Response Section Referrals .....	158
<b>500.00</b>	<b>911 CALL CENTER OPERATIONS.....</b>	<b>159</b>
<b>501.00</b>	<b>OPERATIONAL ISSUES.....</b>	<b>159</b>
501.1	Phone Etiquette .....	159
501.2	When to Send Police .....	160
501.3	Requests for Service Outside of City Limits .....	160
501.4	Cellular Telephone Calls for Service .....	161
501.5	Caller is Following the Suspect(s) .....	161
501.6	Calls from Third Party Information .....	162
501.7	Battered Spouses or Victims of Family Violence .....	162
501.8	Requests to Disregard a Call .....	163
501.9	Upgrading or Downgrading a Call Sheet .....	164
<b>502.00</b>	<b>PRIORITY OF CALLS &amp; TIME MANAGEMENT.....</b>	<b>164</b>
502.1	Priority of Signals .....	164
502.2	Phasing of Calls .....	164
<b>503.00</b>	<b>SUPERVISOR NOTIFICATIONS.....</b>	<b>165</b>
<b>504.00</b>	<b>FOREIGN LANGUAGE CALLS.....</b>	<b>165</b>
504.1	Initial Call Determination .....	165
504.2	Spanish Speaking Caller .....	165
504.3	Other Language Caller .....	166
<b>505.00</b>	<b>TDD CALLS.....</b>	<b>166</b>
505.1	General Information .....	166
505.2	Recognizing a TDD Incoming Call .....	167
505.3	Answering a TDD Call .....	167
505.4	TDD Universal Terms .....	167
505.5	911 Hang-up Calls from a TDD Machine .....	168
505.6	Open Line Calls from a TDD Machine .....	168
505.7	Relay Texas Calls .....	168
<b>506.00</b>	<b>TEXT TO 9-1-1 CALLS.....</b>	<b>168</b>
506.1	Procedure .....	169
506.2	Additional Considerations.....	171
506.3	Transferring to Dallas Fire Rescue.....	171
506.4	Transferring to the Service Desk.....	173
506.5	Transferring to a Supervisor .....	173
506.6	Non-Response to Text Message/Unknown Troubles.....	173
506.7	Initial Text Messages Containing ONLY a GPS Location.....	173

location. In those circumstances the call will be placed on a Signal 40. Advise the complainant that responding officers will need to see the Protective Order.

#### **501.8 REQUESTS TO DISREGARD A CALL**

1. A Call Taker will never disregard a call sheet.
2. If a request to disregard is made, obtain the following information:
3. Caller's name and contact information
4. Why they are wishing to disregard the call
5. Be aware that family violence suspects may try to convince the caller to cancel a call for police. Therefore, ask the caller if they are in a safe place and disregarding the call on their own free will. Be aware if the call sounds as if the phone is on speaker.
6. The above information will be added to the Call Sheet by using the "RTC" command and phased to dispatch. It will be the Radio Room Supervisor's responsibility to determine if the call will be cancelled.
7. Notify a floor supervisor anytime a request is received to disregard a family disturbance call and the complainant is now saying no violence occurred, there was a misunderstanding, or any evidence that the complainant is being coerced.

#### **501.9 UPGRADING OR DOWNGRADING A CALL SHEET**

1. Once a call sheet has been entered into CAD, the call priority will not be downgraded unless directed to do so by a floor supervisor.
2. A call sheet may be upgraded at any time without a supervisor's approval.
3. If new information becomes available, the call taker will add comments to the call sheet and notify the dispatcher using the notify button.
4. If the call taker makes an error in selecting the proper signal and realizes it after the call has been entered into CAD, a floor supervisor will be notified if that change will downgrade the call sheet.
5. If the caller reports additional information while still on the line that increases the danger level for the caller or responding officers, the call sheet will be immediately changed and the dispatcher will be notified by using the notify button. A floor supervisor will also be notified after the change has been made.

#### **502.00 PRIORITY OF CALLS & TIME MANAGEMENT**



**502.1 PRIORITY OF SIGNALS**

1. Each signal has a call priority of 1 through 6.
2. Priority 1 calls are dispatched in higher priority than a Priority 2 and so forth. A Priority 5 call is an Expediter call. Certain calls are for informational purposes only are not dispatched, these are Priority 6 calls.
3. Call Takers should always choose the higher priority call if they are unsure of which signal to use.
4. A supervisor will be notified anytime a call taker enters a Priority 1 call.

**502.2 PHASING OF CALLS**

1. When receiving an emergency or in-progress call, it is imperative the Call Taker send the first phase as soon as the location and the nature of problem is determined.
2. Additional phases will be sent as additional information is obtained from the caller.
3. The "Emergency Notify" button will be activated with each update.
4. After the call is phased, a supervisor will be notified as soon as possible.

**503.00 SUPERVISOR NOTIFICATIONS**

Call Takers will notify a floor supervisor for the following situations:

- 1.Question on which signal to use
- 2.Multiple victims
- 3.Government locations
- 4.Any Priority 1 call
- 5.Unusual circumstances
- 6.Bomb threat or terrorism related
- 7.City employee involved
- 8.Executive Threats
- 9.When specified in Call Handling Procedures

**504.00 FOREIGN LANGUAGE CALLS**

**504.1 INITIAL CALL DETERMINATION**

1. When the Call Taker receives a call that the caller is speaking a foreign language, the call taker will ask "Do you understand English?"
2. If the caller states yes, the call taker will proceed normally.

Location:
Lower Greenville
Uptown
Deep Ellum
Bishop Arts
Trinity Groves

Dates:
01/01/2019 - 12/20/2021

Data Type:
Offenses
Arrest Charges

COD\_000010





A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N	O
area	Master Incident Number	Response Date	Response Time	timegroup	Watch	Problem	Priority Number	Priority Description	Location Name	Address	RA	Division	Sector	Beat
1	updown	21-1724476	9/11/2021	10p-2a	1	40 - Other	3	3 - General Service	3377 apartments	3355 Backburn St	2001	CENTRAL	120	125
2	updown	21-1326375	7/17/2021	10p-2a	1	39 - Parking Violation	4	4 - Non Critical		2515-2599 MCKINNEY AVE	2098	CENTRAL	120	122
3	updown	21-1283755	7/17/2021	10p-2a	1	39 - Speeding/Racing	2	2 - Urgent		2932 Backburn St	2098	CENTRAL	120	122
4	updown	21-1326479	6/21/2021	10p-2a	1	7X - Major Accident	2	2 - Urgent		2955 Backburn St	2098	CENTRAL	120	124
5	updown	21-0976148	5/28/2021	10p-2a	1	6M - Loud Music Disturbance	4	4 - Non Critical		3140 N Hall St	2006	CENTRAL	120	122
6	updown	21-0740181	4/27/2021	10p-2a	1	6M - Loud Music Disturbance	2	2 - Urgent	TURKET DAM carlie on the kary trail	3023 Thomas Ave	2015	CENTRAL	120	121
7	updown	21-0634202	4/11/2021	10p-2a	1	40/01 - Other	2	2 - Urgent	MANCHESTER STATE THOMAS 3023	3117 Thomas Ave	2015	CENTRAL	120	121
8	updown	20-2166809	11/30/2020	10p-2a	1	08 - Intoxicated Person	3	3 - General Service	MANCHESTER STATE THOMAS 3117	1906 McKinney Ave	2098	CENTRAL	120	122
9	updown	20-1380021	8/1/2020	10p-2a	1	6M - Loud Music Disturbance	4	4 - Non Critical	CUTIE PIES PIZZA	2916 McKinney Ave	2098	CENTRAL	120	122
10	updown	20-0976031	5/31/2020	10p-2a	1	41/31 - Crim Mis/Prog/Res/Field	2	2 - Urgent		3600 McKinney Ave	2003	CENTRAL	120	121
11	updown	20-0916238	5/22/2020	10p-2a	1	39 - Speeding/Racing	2	2 - Urgent	PARKING GARAGE	2355 Thomas Ave	2020	CENTRAL	120	121
12	updown	20-0617341	4/5/2020	10p-2a	1	6M - Loud Music Disturbance	4	4 - Non Critical	THE JORDAN	Vine St / Howell St	2038	CENTRAL	120	122
13	updown	20-0533566	3/22/2020	10p-2a	1	07 - Minor Accident	3	3 - General Service	chipotle	2705 McKinney Ave	2003	CENTRAL	120	122
14	updown	20-0216923	2/3/2020	10p-2a	1	31 - Criminal Mischief	2	2 - Urgent	master state town houses	2300 Ellis St	2003	CENTRAL	120	124
15	updown	20-0203380	2/1/2020	10p-2a	1	6M - Loud Music Disturbance	4	4 - Non Critical	BAYTON UPTOWN MEDICAL CENTER	2727 Lenthorn Ave E	2007	CENTRAL	120	124
16	updown	19-2442253	12/29/2019	10p-2a	1	7X - Major Accident	2	2 - Urgent	black bear pub	2621 McKinney Ave	2038	CENTRAL	120	122
17	updown	19-2195050	11/17/2019	10p-2a	1	6M - Loud Music Disturbance	4	4 - Non Critical	EXIT 18 NB HASKELL AVE/BLACKBURN/ITZHIGH AVE IEX	2350 N Central Expy Nb	2016	CENTRAL	150	154
18	updown	19-2065142	5/26/2019	10p-2a	1	41/40 - Other - In Progress	1	1 - Emergency	TURITE CREEK TERRACE CONDOMINIUMS	3215 Carlin St	2006	CENTRAL	120	124
19	updown	19-0945450	2/17/2019	10p-2a	1	6X - Major Dist (Violence)	2	2 - Urgent	FLUTTER LOFTS	2801 Commerce St	2078	CENTRAL	150	153
20	updown	19-0846451	2/17/2019	10p-2a	1	6X - Major Dist (Violence)	2	2 - Urgent		3221 Commerce St	2080	CENTRAL	150	153
21	updown	21-2127795	11/6/2021	10p-2a	1	6X - Major Dist (Violence)	2	2 - Urgent	Monument St / N Good Latimer Expy	Live Oak St / N Good Latimer Expy	2052	CENTRAL	150	154
22	deepellum	21-1995579	10/19/2021	10p-2a	1	6M - Loud Music Disturbance	4	4 - Non Critical	Memument St / N Good Latimer Expy	2650 Elm St	2052	CENTRAL	150	153
23	deepellum	21-1985951	10/17/2021	10p-2a	1	40/01 - Other	2	2 - Urgent	live oak st / N Good Latimer Expy	306 S Walton St	2079	CENTRAL	150	153
24	deepellum	21-0667362	4/16/2021	10p-2a	1	07 - Minor Accident	3	3 - General Service	sweet bar	N Malcolin X Blvd / Main St	2099	CENTRAL	150	153
25	deepellum	21-0661180	4/15/2021	10p-2a	1	6X - Major Dist (Violence)	2	2 - Urgent	M M BAR	306 S Walton St	2079	CENTRAL	150	153
26	deepellum	21-0091392	1/16/2021	10p-2a	1	128 - Residential Alarm	3	3 - General Service		Elm St / N Walton St	2067	CENTRAL	150	153
27	deepellum	20-0659952	4/12/2020	10p-2a	1	40/01 - Other	2	2 - Urgent	DL CAMPBELL USED CARS TRUCKS	4008 Elm St	2054	CENTRAL	110	116
28	deepellum	20-0567230	2/9/2020	10p-2a	1	128 - Business Alarm	2	2 - Urgent	Twisted Root Beer Co	3309 Elm St	2068	CENTRAL	150	153
29	deepellum	19-2405626	12/29/2019	10p-2a	1	6X - Major Dist (Violence)	2	2 - Urgent	THE GREEN ROOM	2615 Commerce St	2078	CENTRAL	150	153
30	deepellum	19-2168140	11/17/2019	10p-2a	1	6X - Major Dist (Violence)	2	2 - Urgent	ALBERTSONS   (mockinbird & abrams)	2715 Elm St	2066	CENTRAL	150	153
31	deepellum	19-1703551	9/10/2019	10p-2a	1	40 - Other	3	3 - General Service	Blackburn St / Cole Ave	2646 Elm St	2066	CENTRAL	150	153
32	deepellum	19-1473045	8/18/2019	10p-2a	1	6X - Major Dist (Violence)	2	2 - Urgent	Merker Squared	McKinney Ave / Oak Grove Ave	2033	CENTRAL	120	124
33	deepellum	19-1001052	6/3/2019	10p-2a	1	6X - Major Dist (Violence)	2	2 - Urgent	POST UPTOWN VILLAGE 11 (ALEN ST)	2950 McKinney Ave	2010	CENTRAL	120	121
34	deepellum	21-1384092	7/25/2021	10p-2a	1	40 - Other	3	3 - General Service	POST MERIDIAN	2482 Allen St	2010	CENTRAL	120	121
35	updown	21-0806063	5/6/2021	10p-2a	1	07 - Minor Accident	2	2 - Urgent	Jordan apt.	2355 Thomas Ave	2020	CENTRAL	120	121
36	updown	20-2197578	12/5/2020	10p-2a	1	6M - Loud Music Disturbance	4	4 - Non Critical	GABLES MIRABELLA	2600 Cole Ave	2041	CENTRAL	120	121
37	updown	20-2105262	11/20/2020	10p-2a	1	6M - Loud Music Disturbance	4	4 - Non Critical	1800 MCKINNEY TOWER	3310 Cole Ave	2006	CENTRAL	120	124
38	updown	20-1974865	8/19/2020	10p-2a	1	40 - Other	2	2 - Urgent		3211 N Hall St	2024	CENTRAL	120	124
39	updown	20-1496474	6/13/2020	10p-2a	1	39 - Speeding/Racing	2	2 - Urgent	lurle creek terrace	3205 STATE ST	2010	CENTRAL	120	121
40	updown	20-1058887	12/4/2019	10p-2a	1	32 - Suspicious Person	2	2 - Urgent	CHASE BANK   (mckinney & lenthorn)	3610 McKinney Ave	2003	CENTRAL	120	124
41	updown	19-2279036	10/18/2019	10p-2a	1	118/01 - Burg of Bus	2	2 - Urgent		N Good Latimer Expy / Live Oak St	2052	CENTRAL	150	154
42	updown	19-1968546	9/25/2019	10p-2a	1	09 - Theft	4	4 - Non Critical	CANE ROSSO	2612 Commerce St	2078	CENTRAL	150	153
43	updown	19-1807603	9/21/2019	10p-2a	1	46 - CIT	2	2 - Urgent	7-ELEVEN	Elm St / N Crowdis St	2052	CENTRAL	150	153
44	updown	19-1806050	7/30/2019	10p-2a	1	32 - Suspicious Person	2	2 - Urgent	TREES	Elm St / N Good Latimer Expy	2022	CENTRAL	150	153
45	updown	19-1410000	3/4/2019	10p-2a	1	128 - Business Alarm	3	3 - General Service	OHMER BELL	2600-6899 Main St	2078	CENTRAL	150	153
46	updown	19-0377544	9/23/2021	10p-2a	1	41/40 - Other - In Progress	1	1 - Emergency	DEEP ELLUM HOSTEL	Main St / N Crowdis St	2078	CENTRAL	150	153
48	deepellum	21-1809648	8/9/2021	10p-2a	1	40/01 - Other	2	2 - Urgent		2801 Elm St	2066	CENTRAL	150	153
49	deepellum	21-1491120	7/5/2021	10p-2a	1	07 - Minor Accident	3	3 - General Service	ONE UPTOWN	2715 Elm St	2006	CENTRAL	150	153
50	deepellum	21-1384074	7/11/2021	10p-2a	1	6X - Major Dist (Violence)	2	2 - Urgent	HOUSE HERODES	N Crowdis St / Main St	2006	CENTRAL	150	153
51	deepellum	21-1074510	6/4/2021	10p-2a	1	6X - Major Dist (Violence)	2	2 - Urgent	ROUTH STREET FLATS	2615 McKinney Ave	2008	CENTRAL	120	122
52	deepellum	21-0726524	4/25/2021	10p-2a	1	6X - Major Dist (Violence)	2	2 - Urgent	VILLAS AT KARY TRAIL 1	3003 Routh St	2037	CENTRAL	120	122
53	deepellum	21-0495383	3/21/2021	10p-2a	1	6X - Major Dist (Violence)	2	2 - Urgent	MONTAGNE CLUB/THE KENNEDY ROOM	3200 Maple Ave	2037	CENTRAL	120	122
54	deepellum	20-2073152	11/15/2020	10p-2a	1	09 - Theft	4	4 - Non Critical	STATE THOMAS RAYFIELD	2512 Maple Ave	2038	CENTRAL	120	122
55	deepellum	20-0059790	1/10/2020	10p-2a	1	15 - Assist Officer	1	1 - Emergency	old warshaw /kennedy room	2510 Allen St	2010	CENTRAL	120	121
56	deepellum	19-1494364	8/11/2019	10p-2a	1	40 - Other	3	3 - General Service	CARLISLE ON THE VINE	2920 Carlisle St	2038	CENTRAL	120	122
57	deepellum	19-0884905	5/18/2019	10p-2a	1	31/01 - Crim Mis/Prog/Non Felo	2	2 - Urgent	the standard pour bar	2900 McKinney Ave	2038	CENTRAL	120	122
58	deepellum	21-1839249	9/27/2021	10p-2a	1	6X - Major Dist (Violence)	3	3 - General Service	THE STONELEIGH	Maple Ave / Wolf St	2036	CENTRAL	120	122
59	updown	21-1391382	5/23/2021	10p-2a	1	6X - Major Dist (Violence)	2	2 - Urgent	MONTEREY APT	3899 McKinney Ave	2041	CENTRAL	120	121
60	updown	21-0855048	4/14/2021	10p-2a	1	09V - UDMV	4	4 - Non Critical	the gables at uptown	3227 McKinney Ave	2006	CENTRAL	120	124
61	updown	21-0626856	4/10/2021	10p-2a	1	6M - Loud Music Disturbance	4	4 - Non Critical	POST COLE'S CORNER	3227 McKinney Ave	2010	CENTRAL	120	124
62	updown	21-0555808	4/1/2021	10p-2a	1	40/01 - Other	2	2 - Urgent	TOWNHOMES	3205 State St	2003	CENTRAL	120	124
63	updown	21-0481721	3/19/2021	10p-2a	1	6M - Loud Music Disturbance	2	2 - Urgent	VELVET TACO	McKinney Ave / Lenthorn Ave	2003	CENTRAL	120	124
64	updown	21-0377900	3/2/2021	10p-2a	1	6M - Loud Music Disturbance	2	2 - Urgent	MANNNY'S TEX MEX RESTAURANT	3521 Oak Grove Ave	2003	CENTRAL	120	124
65	updown	21-0188672	2/1/2021	10p-2a	1	6M - Loud Music Disturbance	2	2 - Urgent	WALMART MKT   (central & hill)	2305 N Central Serv 5B	2015	CENTRAL	120	121
66	updown	20-2300665	12/21/2020	10p-2a	1	6M - Loud Music Disturbance	4	4 - Non Critical						
67	updown	20-1793110	10/3/2020	10p-2a	1	37 - Street Blockage	4	4 - Non Critical						
68	updown	20-1793110	6/16/2020	10p-2a	1	6M - Loud Music Disturbance	4	4 - Non Critical						
69	updown	20-1007743	6/5/2020	10p-2a	1	06 - Minor Disturbance	4	4 - Non Critical						
70	updown	20-0210531	2/2/2020	10p-2a	1	6M - Loud Music Disturbance	4	4 - Non Critical						
71	updown	20-0210531	7/14/2019	10p-2a	1	20 - Robbery	2	2 - Urgent						
72	updown	19-1380212	4/28/2019	10p-2a	1	6X - Major Dist (Violence)	2	2 - Urgent						
73	updown	19-0611667	4/8/2019	10p-2a	1	06 - Minor Disturbance	4	4 - Non Critical						
74	updown	19-0590720	4/5/2019	10p-2a	1	6X - Major Dist (Violence)	2	2 - Urgent						
75	updown													
76	updown													
77	updown													

COD\_000011



A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N	O
78	deepellum	21-1978468	10/16/2021	002	10p-2a	1	1	4	4	620 Exposition Ave	2081	CENTRAL	150	153
79	deepellum	21-1970946	10/15/2021	002	10p-2a	1	1	4	4	2640 Elm St	2086	CENTRAL	150	153
80	deepellum	21-1533519	8/15/2021	002	10p-2a	1	1	2	2	3300 MAIN ST	2080	CENTRAL	150	153
81	deepellum	21-0687507	4/19/2021	002	10p-2a	1	1	2	2	2807 Elm St	2086	CENTRAL	150	153
82	deepellum	20-2355379	12/30/2020	002	10p-2a	1	1	1	1	2700 Commerce St	2078	CENTRAL	150	153
83	deepellum	20-1825731	10/8/2020	002	10p-2a	1	1	3	3	Elm St / N Good Latimer Expy	9202	CENTRAL	150	153
84	deepellum	20-1380027	8/1/2020	002	10p-2a	1	1	3	3	2916 Taylor St	2079	CENTRAL	150	153
85	deepellum	20-0551563	3/25/2020	002	10p-2a	1	1	2	2	3435 Commerce St	2080	CENTRAL	150	153
86	deepellum	20-0216943	2/3/2020	002	10p-2a	1	1	2	2	505 N Good Latimer Expy	2066	CENTRAL	150	154
87	deepellum	19-1452344	8/5/2019	002	10p-2a	1	1	2	2	2810 Elm St	2078	CENTRAL	150	153
88	deepellum	19-1219005	7/13/2019	002	10p-2a	1	1	2	2	2615 Commerce St	2078	CENTRAL	150	153
89	deepellum	19-1044437	6/9/2019	002	10p-2a	1	1	2	2	2700 CLOVER ST	2078	CENTRAL	150	153
90	deepellum	19-0604933	4/7/2019	002	10p-2a	1	1	2	2	2810 Elm St	2066	CENTRAL	150	153
91	updown	21-2100918	11/21/2021	003	10p-2a	1	1	4	4	McKinney Ave / Allen St	2038	CENTRAL	150	153
92	updown	21-1781964	9/19/2021	003	10p-2a	1	1	4	4	3700 McKinney Ave	2038	CENTRAL	150	153
93	updown	21-1560309	8/19/2021	003	10p-2a	1	1	2	2	2660-2698 HOWLAND ST	2038	CENTRAL	150	153
94	updown	21-1476551	8/7/2021	003	10p-2a	1	1	4	4	2350 N Central Expy NB	2016	CENTRAL	150	154
95	updown	21-1462128	8/5/2021	003	10p-2a	1	1	4	4	3324 McKinney Ave	2006	CENTRAL	150	154
96	updown	21-1376568	7/24/2021	003	10p-2a	1	1	1	1	3010 State St	2010	CENTRAL	150	154
97	updown	21-0854909	5/14/2021	003	10p-2a	1	1	4	4	Route St / Letiche St	2014	CENTRAL	150	154
98	updown	21-0766217	5/1/2021	003	10p-2a	1	1	4	4	2500 McKinney Ave	2014	CENTRAL	150	154
99	updown	21-0674056	4/17/2021	003	10p-2a	1	1	2	2	3028 N Hall St	2006	CENTRAL	150	154
100	updown	21-0599712	4/6/2021	003	10p-2a	1	1	2	2	2723 McKinney Ave	2014	CENTRAL	150	154
101	updown	21-0500992	3/22/2021	003	10p-2a	1	1	4	4	400 Crescent Ct	2038	CENTRAL	150	154
102	updown	21-0495386	3/21/2021	003	10p-2a	1	1	4	4	3530 Travis St	2003	CENTRAL	150	154
103	updown	21-0495386	3/21/2021	003	10p-2a	1	1	4	4	3601 McKinney Ave	2003	CENTRAL	150	154
104	updown	20-1720802	9/12/2020	003	10p-2a	1	1	3	3	2420 Hugo St	2010	CENTRAL	150	154
105	updown	20-1700448	9/9/2020	003	10p-2a	1	1	3	3	2508 Maple Ave	2038	CENTRAL	150	154
106	updown	20-1498484	8/19/2020	003	10p-2a	1	1	2	2	2350 N Central Expy NB	2016	CENTRAL	150	154
107	updown	20-1386553	8/21/2020	003	10p-2a	1	1	2	2	3110 Thomas Ave	2015	CENTRAL	150	154
108	updown	20-1111446	6/21/2020	003	10p-2a	1	1	4	4	2028 N Hall St	2006	CENTRAL	150	154
109	updown	20-0495952	3/16/2020	003	10p-2a	1	1	3	3	2900 South St	2024	CENTRAL	150	154
110	updown	20-0374361	2/17/2020	003	10p-2a	1	1	1	1	2112 Bolt St	2038	CENTRAL	150	154
111	updown	20-0124875	12/01/2020	003	10p-2a	1	1	4	4	3747 Cole Ave	2021	CENTRAL	150	154
112	updown	19-2161348	11/16/2019	003	10p-2a	1	1	2	2	2227 Lemon Ave E	2007	CENTRAL	150	154
113	updown	19-1879717	10/5/2019	003	10p-2a	1	1	4	4	State St / Clark St	2010	CENTRAL	150	154
114	updown	19-1871655	10/4/2019	003	10p-2a	1	1	3	3	3700 Cole Ave	2003	CENTRAL	150	154
115	updown	19-1605957	8/27/2019	003	10p-2a	1	1	4	4	2121 McKinney Ave	2040	CENTRAL	150	154
116	updown	19-1191267	6/29/2019	003	10p-2a	1	1	3	3	3521 Oak Grove Ave	9202	CENTRAL	150	153
117	updown	19-0712355	4/23/2019	003	10p-2a	1	1	4	4	2525 Elm St	2066	CENTRAL	150	153
118	updown	19-0497611	3/12/2019	003	10p-2a	1	1	3	3	2638 Elm St	2066	CENTRAL	150	153
119	updown	19-0260936	2/13/2019	003	10p-2a	1	1	2	2	2624 Elm St	2066	CENTRAL	150	153
120	updown	19-0161567	1/28/2019	003	10p-2a	1	1	4	4	2624 Commerce St	2078	CENTRAL	150	153
121	deepellum	21-2229709	11/21/2021	003	10p-2a	1	1	2	2	4118 Commerce St	2082	CENTRAL	150	153
122	deepellum	21-1533542	8/15/2021	003	10p-2a	1	1	3	3	Commerce St / Pryor St	2078	CENTRAL	150	153
123	deepellum	21-0733547	4/26/2021	003	10p-2a	1	1	4	4	N Good Latimer Expy / Main St	9203	CENTRAL	150	153
124	deepellum	21-0687525	4/19/2021	003	10p-2a	1	1	3	3	1845 Woodall Rodgers Serv WB	2041	CENTRAL	150	153
125	deepellum	20-2191080	12/4/2020	003	10p-2a	1	1	2	2	500 Cresent Ct	2038	CENTRAL	150	153
126	deepellum	20-0557340	3/26/2020	003	10p-2a	1	1	2	2	2607-2699 NOLLY ST	2038	CENTRAL	150	153
127	deepellum	20-0072843	1/12/2020	003	10p-2a	1	1	4	4	3418 McKinney Ave	2006	CENTRAL	150	153
128	deepellum	19-2306772	12/8/2020	003	10p-2a	1	1	3	3	McKinney Ave / Lemmon Ave	2006	CENTRAL	150	153
129	deepellum	19-1362120	7/23/2019	003	10p-2a	1	1	4	4	3200 McKinney Ave	2006	CENTRAL	150	153
130	deepellum	19-1176466	6/27/2019	003	10p-2a	1	1	2	2	2650 Cedar Springs Rd	2038	CENTRAL	150	153
131	deepellum	19-0242493	2/10/2019	003	10p-2a	1	1	4	4	N HALL ST / CARLISTE ST	2006	CENTRAL	150	153
132	updown	21-2215288	11/19/2021	004	10p-2a	1	1	2	2	2293 N Hall St	2015	CENTRAL	150	153
133	updown	21-2208506	11/18/2021	004	10p-2a	1	1	3	3	2508 Maple Ave	2038	CENTRAL	150	153
134	updown	21-1276167	7/19/2021	004	10p-2a	1	1	2	2	3304 Cole Ave	2038	CENTRAL	150	153
135	updown	21-0666246	4/17/2021	004	10p-2a	1	1	4	4	N Pearl St / McKinney Ave	2038	CENTRAL	150	153
136	updown	20-1939899	10/25/2020	004	10p-2a	1	1	1	1	3000 ALLEN ST	2038	CENTRAL	150	153
137	updown	20-1932464	10/24/2020	004	10p-2a	1	1	2	2	2614 Bolt St	2038	CENTRAL	150	153
138	updown	20-1760033	9/28/2020	004	10p-2a	1	1	4	4	3800-3899 NOBLE AVE	2038	CENTRAL	150	153
139	updown	20-1596792	9/3/2020	004	10p-2a	1	1	2	2	2418 Allen St	2038	CENTRAL	150	153
140	updown	20-1578400	8/31/2020	004	10p-2a	1	1	2	2	3311 Blackburn St	2038	CENTRAL	150	153
141	updown	20-1216218	7/6/2020	004	10p-2a	1	1	4	4	McKinney Ave / South St	2038	CENTRAL	150	153
142	updown	20-1182403	7/2/2020	004	10p-2a	1	1	3	3	2950 Cynchase West Blvd	2038	CENTRAL	150	153
143	updown	20-0976063	5/31/2020	004	10p-2a	1	1	2	2	2206 Bolt St	2021	CENTRAL	150	153
144	updown	20-0124880	1/20/2020	004	10p-2a	1	1	2	2	2717 Howell St	2021	CENTRAL	150	153
145	updown	19-1887184	10/6/2019	004	10p-2a	1	1	3	3	Ash Ln / 1st Ave	2022	CENTRAL	150	153
146	updown	19-1438212	8/3/2019	004	10p-2a	1	1	2	2					
147	updown	19-1430861	8/2/2019	004	10p-2a	1	1	4	4					
148	updown	19-1368861	7/24/2019	004	10p-2a	1	1	2	2					
149	updown	19-1037017	6/8/2019	004	10p-2a	1	1	4	4					
150	updown	19-0732424	4/26/2019	004	10p-2a	1	1	2	2					
151	updown	19-0436377	3/13/2019	004	10p-2a	1	1	2	2					
152	updown	19-0185075	2/1/2019	004	10p>									

COD\_000012



	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N	O
155	deepellum	21-0072868	1/13/2021	0:04	10p-2a	1	6X - Major Dist (Violence)	2	2 - Urgent	SEVEN ELEVEN	3435 Commerce St	2080	CENTRAL	150	153
156	deepellum	20-1872653	10/15/2020	0:04	10p-2a	1	40 - Other	3	3 - General Service	AMARROSE LOFTS	2501 Indiana St	2067	CENTRAL	150	153
157	deepellum	20-1571962	8/30/2020	0:04	10p-2a	1	7X - Major Accident	2	2 - Urgent	2525 Elm St	9202	CENTRAL	150	153	
158	deepellum	20-0302481	2/16/2020	0:04	10p-2a	1	6X - Major Dist (Violence)	2	2 - Urgent	2616 Commerce St	2078	CENTRAL	150	153	
159	deepellum	20-0210565	2/2/2020	0:04	10p-2a	1	07 - Minor Accident	3	3 - General Service	2616 Commerce St	2078	CENTRAL	150	153	
160	deepellum	20-0163464	1/26/2020	0:04	10p-2a	1	6X - Major Dist (Violence)	2	2 - Urgent	400 N HALL ST	2068	CENTRAL	150	153	
161	deepellum	20-0000140	1/1/2020	0:04	10p-2a	1	40/01 - Other	2	2 - Urgent	Main St / N Crowdis St	2078	CENTRAL	150	153	
162	deepellum	19-2448188	12/30/2019	0:04	10p-2a	1	32 - Suspicious Person	2	2 - Urgent	2901 Indiana St	2067	CENTRAL	150	153	
163	deepellum	19-1592151	8/25/2019	0:04	10p-2a	1	07 - Minor Accident	3	3 - General Service	SHERMAN WILLIAMS	2900 Canton St	2052	CENTRAL	150	153
164	deepellum	19-1271777	7/10/2019	0:04	10p-2a	1	09 - Theft	4	4 - Non Critical	BRANDHEAD BREWING	2624 Elm St	2078	CENTRAL	150	153
165	deepellum	19-1205474	7/1/2019	0:04	10p-2a	1	6X - Major Dist (Violence)	2	2 - Urgent	deep sushi	2624 Elm St	2066	CENTRAL	150	153
166	deepellum	19-0365223	3/2/2019	0:04	10p-2a	1	40/01 - Other	2	2 - Urgent	Swiss Ave / N Hawkins St	3311 Elm St	2052	CENTRAL	150	153
167	deepellum	19-0265771	2/14/2019	0:04	10p-2a	1	29 - Open Building	3	3 - General Service	GABLES MIRABELLA	2600 Cole Ave	2068	CENTRAL	150	153
168	updown	21-2175507	11/13/2021	0:05	10p-2a	1	40/01 - Other	2	2 - Urgent	Post Vineyard	3015 Cole Ave	2038	CENTRAL	120	122
169	updown	21-2168306	11/12/2021	0:05	10p-2a	1	32 - Suspicious Person	2	2 - Urgent	BEVDENE	2305 Worthington St	2021	CENTRAL	120	121
170	updown	21-2020579	10/22/2021	0:05	10p-2a	1	07 - Minor Accident	3	3 - General Service	CRESCENT OFFICE TOWERS 2	200 Crescent Ct	2038	CENTRAL	120	122
171	updown	21-1266420	9/12/2021	0:05	10p-2a	1	7X - Major Accident	2	2 - Urgent	EXIT 285 SB ROSS AVE	2001 N CENTRAL EXPY SB	2024	CENTRAL	150	154
172	updown	21-0732318	5/2/2021	0:05	10p-2a	1	6X - Major Dist (Violence)	2	2 - Urgent	HARRIS HOUSE OF HEROES	3403 McKinney Ave	2024	CENTRAL	150	154
173	updown	21-0500697	3/22/2021	0:05	10p-2a	1	40/01 - Other	2	2 - Urgent	NODDING DOONEY	Allen St / Thomas Ave	2021	CENTRAL	120	124
174	updown	21-0267109	2/14/2021	0:05	10p-2a	1	6M - Loud Music Disturbance	4	4 - Non Critical	CRAB SHACK	Candle St / Vine St	2021	CENTRAL	120	121
175	updown	20-2355378	12/30/2020	0:05	10p-2a	1	6M - Loud Music Disturbance	4	4 - Non Critical	QUADRANGLE TOWER	2707 McKinney Ave	2038	CENTRAL	120	122
176	updown	20-2066195	11/14/2020	0:05	10p-2a	1	38 - Meet Complainant	4	4 - Non Critical	PUBLIC STORAGE PROPERTY	2320 N Central Expy NB	2016	CENTRAL	150	154
177	updown	20-1974907	10/31/2020	0:05	10p-2a	1	6X - Major Dist (Violence)	2	2 - Urgent	Routh St / Cedar Springs Rd	3403 McKinney Ave	2006	CENTRAL	120	124
178	updown	20-1806489	10/5/2020	0:05	10p-2a	1	6X - Major Dist (Violence)	2	2 - Urgent	HAROLD HOUSE	3324 McKinney Ave	2006	CENTRAL	120	124
179	updown	20-12746119	9/26/2020	0:05	10p-2a	1	12B - Business Alarm	3	3 - General Service		2644 Colby St	2021	CENTRAL	120	121
180	updown	20-1144003	6/23/2020	0:05	10p-2a	1	12B - Business Alarm	2	2 - Urgent	WORKINGTON ST / Connor Ct	2614 Holt St	2014	CENTRAL	120	121
181	updown	20-0495959	3/16/2020	0:05	10p-2a	1	6M - Loud Music Disturbance	4	4 - Non Critical	BOWEN HOUSE	2514 Bolt St	2038	CENTRAL	120	122
182	updown	20-0124889	1/20/2020	0:05	10p-2a	1	6M - Loud Music Disturbance	4	4 - Non Critical	CLUTCH BAR	2530 Cedar Springs Rd	2038	CENTRAL	120	122
183	updown	19-2393444	12/13/2019	0:05	10p-2a	1	40/01 - Other	2	2 - Urgent	THE ARCHER APTS	2975 Blackburn St	2003	CENTRAL	120	124
184	updown	19-2216169	11/24/2019	0:05	10p-2a	1	40/01 - Other	2	2 - Urgent	DEPL BRAZIL	3839 McKinney Ave	4514	CENTRAL	120	125
185	updown	19-1313205	7/16/2019	0:05	10p-2a	1	37 - Street Blockage	4	4 - Non Critical		Lemmon Ave / Cole Ave	2003	CENTRAL	120	124
186	updown	19-1271770	7/16/2019	0:05	10p-2a	1	40 - Other	3	3 - General Service		Cole Ave / Blackburn St	2003	CENTRAL	120	124
187	updown	19-119075	6/23/2019	0:05	10p-2a	1	12B - Business Alarm	2	2 - Urgent		N Central Expy NB / N Hall St	2024	CENTRAL	150	154
188	updown	19-1001045	6/3/2019	0:05	10p-2a	1	40/01 - Other	2	2 - Urgent	POP CULTURE	770 Gentrypal St	2067	CENTRAL	150	153
189	updown	19-0994236	6/2/2019	0:05	10p-2a	1	12B - Business Alarm	3	3 - General Service	THE GABRIELLA	2901 Elm St	2067	CENTRAL	150	153
190	updown	19-0406056	3/12/2019	0:05	10p-2a	1	32 - Suspicious Person	2	2 - Urgent	WIDOWS	2642 Main St	2078	CENTRAL	150	153
191	updown	19-0464660	3/12/2019	0:05	10p-2a	1	7X - Major Accident	2	2 - Urgent	FUZZYS TACO SHOP	2706 Elm St	2066	CENTRAL	150	153
192	deepellum	21-2215298	11/19/2021	0:05	10p-2a	1	41/40 - Other - In Progress	1	1 - Emergency	S.R. EQUIPMENT	3309 Elm St	2080	CENTRAL	150	153
193	deepellum	21-0416624	3/9/2021	0:05	10p-2a	1	40/01 - Other	2	2 - Urgent	THE DOUBLE WIDE BAR	Exposition Ave / Main St	2080	CENTRAL	150	153
194	deepellum	21-0200680	2/3/2021	0:05	10p-2a	1	6X - Major Dist (Violence)	2	2 - Urgent	MAA MCKINNEY AVE	2800 Cole Ave	2038	CENTRAL	120	122
195	deepellum	20-1518491	8/12/2020	0:05	10p-2a	1	40/01 - Other	2	2 - Urgent	TURKEY DAM	Cedar Springs Rd / N Pearl St	2040	CENTRAL	120	122
196	deepellum	20-1197057	7/14/2020	0:05	10p-2a	1	12B - Business Alarm	2	2 - Urgent	2523 McKinney Ave	Fairmount St / Mahon St	2038	CENTRAL	120	122
197	deepellum	20-0976075	5/31/2020	0:05	10p-2a	1	6X - Loud Music Disturbance	4	4 - Non Critical	3418 McKinney Ave	2523 McKinney Ave	2014	CENTRAL	120	121
198	deepellum	20-0640834	4/2/2020	0:05	10p-2a	1	6X - Loud Music Disturbance	4	4 - Non Critical	WALGREENS [Inchmery & Lemmon]	3418 McKinney Ave	2006	CENTRAL	120	124
199	deepellum	19-2266012	1/27/2019	0:05	10p-2a	1	13 - Provider	2	2 - Urgent	WALGREENS [Inchmery & Lemmon]	2900 McKinney Ave	2006	CENTRAL	120	124
200	deepellum	19-2123246	11/10/2019	0:05	10p-2a	1	6X - Major Dist (Violence)	2	2 - Urgent	THEORY	3912 McKinney Ave	2038	CENTRAL	120	122
201	deepellum	19-1166823	6/20/2019	0:05	10p-2a	1	40/01 - Other	2	2 - Urgent	3418 MCKINNEY AVE	3418 MCKINNEY AVE	2006	CENTRAL	120	122
202	deepellum	19-0611278	4/8/2019	0:05	10p-2a	1	6M - Loud Music Disturbance	4	4 - Non Critical	AMU AT BRYAN PLACE 1	2701 Main St	2025	CENTRAL	150	154
203	updown	21-2260653	11/22/2021	0:06	10p-2a	1	6X - Major Dist (Violence)	2	2 - Urgent	910 Texas St	2025	CENTRAL	150	154	
204	updown	21-1774155	9/18/2021	0:06	10p-2a	1	7X - Major Accident	2	2 - Urgent	MAA MCKINNEY AVE	2800 Cole Ave	2038	CENTRAL	120	122
205	updown	21-1540560	8/16/2021	0:06	10p-2a	1	40/01 - Other	2	2 - Urgent	Cedar Springs Rd / N Pearl St	2040	CENTRAL	120	122	
206	updown	21-1283799	7/11/2021	0:06	10p-2a	1	6M - Loud Music Disturbance	4	4 - Non Critical	TURKEY DAM	2523 McKinney Ave	2038	CENTRAL	120	122
207	updown	21-0897258	5/20/2021	0:06	10p-2a	1	6M - Loud Music Disturbance	4	4 - Non Critical	2523 McKinney Ave	2523 McKinney Ave	2014	CENTRAL	120	121
208	updown	21-0468747	3/17/2021	0:06	10p-2a	1	6X - Loud Music Disturbance	4	4 - Non Critical	WALGREENS [Inchmery & Lemmon]	3418 McKinney Ave	2014	CENTRAL	120	121
209	updown	21-0384231	3/4/2021	0:06	10p-2a	1	40 - Other	3	3 - General Service	WALGREENS [Inchmery & Lemmon]	3418 McKinney Ave	2006	CENTRAL	120	124
210	updown	21-0211487	2/5/2021	0:06	10p-2a	1	09V - UUVV	4	4 - Non Critical	AZURE	2900 McKinney Ave	2006	CENTRAL	120	124
211	updown	21-0120848	1/21/2021	0:06	10p-2a	1	6M - Loud Music Disturbance	4	4 - Non Critical	THEORY	3912 McKinney Ave	2038	CENTRAL	120	122
212	updown	20-2434485	12/12/2020	0:06	10p-2a	1	20 - Robbery	2	2 - Urgent	3418 MCKINNEY AVE	3418 MCKINNEY AVE	2006	CENTRAL	120	122
213	updown	20-1439075	8/10/2020	0:06	10p-										

COD\_000013



	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N	O	P	Q	R
1	Servr	(All)																
2																		
3	Count of IncidentNum	NIBRS Crime Complet	Time Group	AGG ASSAULT - TV Total	AGG ASSAULT - NPV		AGG ASSAULT - NPV Total	BMV		BMV Total	BURGLARY-BUSINESS							
4		AGG ASSAULT - PV	2a to 6a	10p to 2a	2a to 6a		10p to 2a	2a to 6a			10p to 2a	2a to 6a	BURGLARY-BUSINESS Total	BURGLARY-RESIDENCE	BURGLARY-RESIDENCE Total	FONDLING	FONDLING Total	MURDER & NONNEGLENT MANSLAUGHTER
5	USER SOB Name	10p to 2a	1	1	1	1	1	2	1	2	2	3	5			2a to 6a		10p to 2a
6	AMAZING NET																	
7	AQUITA INC-DBA CLUB LACY																	
8	BARBY DOLLS SALOON WEST				1	1	2	22	2	2	3		3					
9	BARBY DOLLS TOPLESS SALOON				4	16	20	9	8	17								
10	BILLYS ARCADE THEATER CLUB	2		1	1	2	3	3	2	5								
11	BILLYS CABARET	1		2	2	4	6	5	2	7	2	1						
12	BILLYS WILD		1	1		2	3	1	2	3								
13	CABARET ROYAL/CHICAS LOCUS																	
14	CHICA BONITAS				1		1	2	4	2	3							
15	COASTER LINE - COASTER CLUB INC.		1	5	5	5	10	5	8	9		4	7					
16	DALLAS CABARET NORTH		1				1	8	8	16				1				
17	DALLAS CABARET SOUTH			1		11	11	43	36	77								
18	DG'S A GENTLEMANS CLUB			3	2	2	5	47	1	48								
19	FOX'S CABARET																	
20	LA ZONA ROSA	1		2	7	7	9	6	5	11				3				1
21	LUDD ADULT THEATER																	
22	LUPSTICK				1	2	1	2	5	7						1		
23	NEW FINE ARTS - MOCKINGBIRD						3										1	
24	NEW FINE ARTS - WEST				1	1	2	2	1	3	3							
25	NEW FINE ARTS - SHILOH RD																	
26	PODCAST																	
27	PODCAST MACHS CLUB				3	2		1	1	1	1	1	2					
28	PODCAST MACHS CLUB																	
29	PT'S MANS CLUB				1	2	3	1	1	2	2							
30	SILVER CITY CABARET				2		2	19		19								
31	SPEARMAN RHINO GENTLEMANS CLUB					2	2	8	8	16	3	5	8					
32	THE CLUBHOUSE							1		1								
33	THE LODGE BAR AND GRILL																	
34	THE MEN'S CLUB OF DALLAS				1	1	2	5	4	9		1	1					
35	UNK AT PRESENT/STRIP MALL																	
36	UNKNOWN AT PRESENT																	
37	WESTWOOD MEDIA & ENTERTAINMENT GROUP																	
38	XPOSED ADULT THEATER				1	16	16	12	14	26								
39	XTC CABARET					79				1								
40	Grand Total	4	4	8	30		109	206	111	317	21	25	46	4	4	1	1	1

ALL-STATE LEGAL®  
PLAINTIFF'S  
EXHIBIT  
9



[illegible]

COD\_005289

1	AM	AN	AO	AP	AQ
2					
3					
4	SODOMY Total	UUWV		UUWV Total	Grand Total
5		109 to 249	249 to 549		
6		2	1	3	15
7					2
8		15	1	16	50
9		5	7	12	55
10		5	2	7	20
11		8	6	14	41
12		6	10	16	34
13					1
14		3	1	4	14
15		8	4	12	40
16		2		2	26
17		9	3	12	113
18		5	4	9	74
19		2		2	8
20		2	5	7	50
21	1	2	1	3	19
22		3		3	13
23					6
24		2	2		4
25				4	10
26		1	1	2	5
27			1	1	2
28		2	3	1	16
29		1	1	2	13
30		6	1	7	34
31		3	1	4	39
32					2
33		1	1	2	3
34		4	1	5	26
35		3	1	4	6
36			1	1	4
37		3	1	4	4
38		4	3	7	11
39		4	9	13	29
40	2	111	73	184	849



A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N	O
PROJECTID	IncidentNum	Datasource	ServYr	ServNumID	Watch	Signal	Offincident	Premise	Outattack	Address	Apt	City	State	Date1
1	79	RMS/NIBRS	2019	255221-2019-01	1	40/01 - OTHER	ROBBERY OF INDIVIDUAL	Hotel/Motel/ETC	N/A	1625 REGAL ROW		DALLAS	TX	2019-12-21 00:00:00
2	97	RMS/NIBRS	2019	040004-2019-01	1	09V - UUMV	UNAUTHORIZED USE OF MOTOR VEH - TRUCK OR BUS	Auto Dealership New/Used	N/A	11545 HARRY HINES BLVD	109	DALLAS	TX	2019-05-01 00:00:00
3	115	RMS/NIBRS	2021	082664-2021-01	2	PSE/11V - BURG MOTOR VEH	THEFT OF PROP > OR EQUAL \$2,500 - \$50K (NOT SHOPLIFT) PC31.03(e4A)	Bar/NightClub/Dancehall ETC	N/A	2444 WALNUT RIDGE ST		DALLAS	TX	2021-05-08 00:00:00
4	164	RMS/NIBRS	2019	047948-2019-01	1	55 - TRAFFIC STOP	THEFT OF PROP > OR EQUAL \$2,500 - \$50K (NOT SHOPLIFT) PC31.03(e4A)	Parking (Business)	N/A	11118 HARRY HINES BLVD		DALLAS	TX	2019-09-10 00:00:00
5	205	RMS/NIBRS	2019	237523-2019-01	1	118 - BURG OF BUS	BURGLARY OF BUILDING - FORCED ENTRY	Business Office	N/A	2674 FREEWOOD DR		DALLAS	TX	2019-11-25 00:00:00
6	264	RMS/NIBRS	2019	123872-2019-01	1	11V - BURG MOTOR VEH	THEFT OF PROP > OR EQUAL \$2,500 - \$50K (NOT SHOPLIFT) PC31.03(e4A)	Parking (Business)	N/A	11118 HARRY HINES BLVD		DALLAS	TX	2019-06-20 00:00:00
7	321	RMS/NIBRS	2019	233340-2019-01	3	58 - ROUTINE INVESTIGATION	THEFT OF PROP > OR EQUAL \$2,500 - \$50K (NOT SHOPLIFT) PC31.03(e4A)	Commercial Property Occupied/Vacant	N/A	10801 GOODNIGHT LN		DALLAS	TX	2019-02-01 00:00:00
8	324	RMS/NIBRS	2019	255163-2019-01	1	41/20 - ROBBERY - IN PROGRESS	ROBBERY OF INDIVIDUAL (AGG)	Outdoor Area Public/Private	N/A	7014 N STEMMONS Fwy		DALLAS	TX	2019-12-21 00:00:00
9	331	RMS/NIBRS	2019	139346-2019-01	1	58 - ROUTINE INVESTIGATION	ROBBERY OF INDIVIDUAL	Highway, Street, Alley ETC	N/A	2030 W NORTHWEST HWY		DALLAS	TX	2019-06-23 00:00:00
10	341	RMS/NIBRS	2019	056048-2019-01	1	11V - BURG MOTOR VEH	THEFT OF PROP > OR EQUAL \$750 - \$2,500 (NOT SHOPLIFT) PC31.03(e3)	Bar/NightClub/Dancehall ETC	N/A	2102 W NORTHWEST HWY		DALLAS	TX	2019-06-01 00:00:00
11	367	RMS/NIBRS	2019	111091-2019-01	1	PSE/09 - THEFT	THEFT OF PROP > OR EQUAL \$750 - \$2,500 (NOT SHOPLIFT) PC31.03(e3)	Business Office	N/A	11029 HARRY HINES BLVD		DALLAS	TX	2019-11-05 00:00:00
12	373	RMS/NIBRS	2019	224141-2019-01	2	41/18 - BURG BUS IN PROGRESS	BURGLARY OF BUILDING - FORCED ENTRY	Bar/NightClub/Dancehall ETC	N/A	10601 PLANO RD		DALLAS	TX	2019-02-22 00:00:00
13	396	RMS/NIBRS	2019	036030-2019-01	1	25 - CRIMINAL ASSAULT	SEX ASSAULT (RAPE)	Parking (Business)	N/A	2287 W NORTHWEST HWY	17	DALLAS	TX	2019-07-28 00:00:00
14	442	RMS/NIBRS	2019	151878-2019-01	1	32 - SUSPICIOUS PERSON	SEX ASSAULT (RAPE)	Parking (Business)	N/A	11311 HARRY HINES BLVD		DALLAS	TX	2020-02-15 00:00:00
15	467	RMS/NIBRS	2020	032043-2020-01	1	58 - ROUTINE INVESTIGATION	THEFT OF PROP > OR EQUAL \$2,500 - \$50K (NOT SHOPLIFT) PC31.03(e4A)	Highway, Street, Alley ETC	N/A	2200 LOMBARDY LN		DALLAS	TX	2020-03-06 00:00:00
16	498	RMS/NIBRS	2020	041363-2020-01	1	11V - BURG MOTOR VEH	THEFT OF PROP > OR EQUAL \$2,500 - \$50K (NOT SHOPLIFT) PC31.03(e4A)	Motor Vehicle	N/A	2117 W NORTHWEST HWY		DALLAS	TX	2020-03-06 00:00:00
17	507	RMS/NIBRS	2020	046788-2020-01	2	PSE/11V - BURG MOTOR VEH	THEFT OF PROP > OR EQUAL \$2,500 - \$50K (NOT SHOPLIFT) PC31.03(e4A)	Motor Vehicle	N/A	2444 WALNUT RIDGE ST		DALLAS	TX	2020-06-03 00:00:00
18	556	RMS/NIBRS	2020	097761-2020-01	1	PSE/11V - BURG MOTOR VEH	THEFT OF PROP > OR EQUAL \$2,500 - \$50K (NOT SHOPLIFT) PC31.03(e4A)	Bar/NightClub/Dancehall ETC	N/A	10317 SHADY TRL		DALLAS	TX	2020-06-18 00:00:00
19	568	RMS/NIBRS	2020	088408-2020-01	1	PSE/09 - THEFT	THEFT OF PROP > OR EQUAL \$2,500 - \$50K (NOT SHOPLIFT) PC31.03(e4A)	Parking (Business)	N/A	2444 WALNUT RIDGE ST		DALLAS	TX	2020-08-01 00:00:00
20	625	RMS/NIBRS	2020	134679-2020-01	1	58 - ROUTINE INVESTIGATION	THEFT OF PROP > OR EQUAL \$2,500 - \$50K (NOT SHOPLIFT) PC31.03(e4A)	Parking (Business)	N/A	10601 PLANO RD		DALLAS	TX	2020-07-19 00:00:00
21	651	RMS/NIBRS	2020	808562-2020-01	1	118 - BURG OF BUS	THEFT OF PROP > OR EQUAL \$2,500 - \$50K (NOT SHOPLIFT) PC31.03(e4A)	Parking (Business)	N/A	1058 HARRY HINES BLVD		DALLAS	TX	2020-07-10 00:00:00
22	660	RMS/NIBRS	2020	120894-2020-01	1	PSE/09 - THEFT	THEFT OF PROP > OR EQUAL \$2,500 - \$50K (NOT SHOPLIFT) PC31.03(e4A)	Parking (Business)	N/A	2444 WALNUT HILL LN		DALLAS	TX	2020-08-23 00:00:00
23	687	RMS/NIBRS	2020	149753-2020-01	1	58 - ROUTINE INVESTIGATION	THEFT OF PROP > OR EQUAL \$2,500 - \$50K (NOT SHOPLIFT) PC31.03(e4A)	Parking (Business)	N/A	2444 WALNUT RIDGE ST		DALLAS	TX	2020-08-24 00:00:00
24	691	RMS/NIBRS	2020	149597-2020-01	1	58 - ROUTINE INVESTIGATION	THEFT OF PROP > OR EQUAL \$2,500 - \$50K (NOT SHOPLIFT) PC31.03(e4A)	Bar/NightClub/Dancehall ETC	N/A	2117 W NORTHWEST HWY		DALLAS	TX	2020-09-29 00:00:00
25	692	RMS/NIBRS	2020	810388-2020-01	1	118 - BURG OF BUS	THEFT OF MATERIAL ALUM/BRNZE/COPPER - \$50K PC31.03(e4F)	Commercial Property Occupied/Vacant	N/A	10525 NEWKIRK ST		DALLAS	TX	2020-12-05 00:00:00
26	704	RMS/NIBRS	2020	161709-2020-01	1	11V - BURG MOTOR VEH	THEFT OF PROP > OR EQUAL \$2,500 - \$50K (NOT SHOPLIFT) PC31.03(e4A)	Parking (Business)	N/A	10500 WINE HWY	701	DALLAS	TX	2020-11-01 00:00:00
27	773	RMS/NIBRS	2020	217110-2020-01	1	58 - ROUTINE INVESTIGATION	THEFT OF PROP > OR EQUAL \$2,500 - \$50K (NOT SHOPLIFT) PC31.03(e4A)	Motor Vehicle	N/A	2117 W NORTHWEST HWY		DALLAS	TX	2020-11-22 00:00:00
28	784	RMS/NIBRS	2020	203358-2020-01	2	31 - CRIMINAL MISCHIEF	DEADLY CONDUCT	Bar/NightClub/Dancehall ETC	N/A	2150 CALIFORNIA CROSSING RD		DALLAS	TX	2020-09-18 00:00:00
29	786	RMS/NIBRS	2020	195886-2020-01	2	PSE/11V - BURG MOTOR VEH	ASSAULT (AGG) - SERIOUS BODILY INJURY	Commercial Property Occupied/Vacant	N/A	8550 N STEMMONS SERV NB		DALLAS	TX	2021-03-29 00:00:00
30	821	RMS/NIBRS	2020	210577-2020-02	1	40 - OTHER	ASSAULT (AGG) - SERIOUS BODILY INJURY	Parking (Business)	N/A	1676 REGAL ROW		DALLAS	TX	2021-03-29 00:00:00
31	883	RMS/NIBRS	2021	036915-2021-01	1	PSE/11V - BURG MOTOR VEH	THEFT OF PROP > OR EQUAL \$2,500 - \$50K (NOT SHOPLIFT) PC31.03(e4A)	Bar/NightClub/Dancehall ETC	N/A	10310 TECHNOLOGY BLVD W		DALLAS	TX	2021-05-18 00:00:00
32	904	RMS/NIBRS	2021	040608-2021-01	1	PSE/11V - BURG MOTOR VEH	THEFT OF PROP > OR EQUAL \$2,500 - \$50K (NOT SHOPLIFT) PC31.03(e4A)	Motor Vehicle	N/A	10920 COMPOSITE DR		DALLAS	TX	2021-04-04 00:00:00
33	916	RMS/NIBRS	2021	053284-2021-01	1	PSE/11V - BURG MOTOR VEH	THEFT OF PROP > OR EQUAL \$2,500 - \$50K (NOT SHOPLIFT) PC31.03(e4A)	Motor Vehicle	N/A	11560 HARRY HINES BLVD		DALLAS	TX	2021-04-04 00:00:00
34	918	RMS/NIBRS	2021	053641-2021-01	1	11V - BURG MOTOR VEH	THEFT OF PROP > OR EQUAL \$2,500 - \$50K (NOT SHOPLIFT) PC31.03(e4A)	Motor Vehicle	N/A	2444 WALNUT RIDGE ST		DALLAS	TX	2021-04-04 00:00:00
35	920	RMS/NIBRS	2021	046466-2021-01	1	118 - BURG OF BUS	THEFT OF PROP > OR EQUAL \$2,500 - \$50K (NOT SHOPLIFT) PC31.03(e4A)	Motor Vehicle	N/A	10310 TECHNOLOGY BLVD W		DALLAS	TX	2021-04-30 00:00:00
36	921	RMS/NIBRS	2021	046466-2021-01	1	118 - BURG OF BUS	THEFT OF PROP > OR EQUAL \$2,500 - \$50K (NOT SHOPLIFT) PC31.03(e4A)	Motor Vehicle	N/A	2030 W NORTHWEST HWY		DALLAS	TX	2021-04-30 00:00:00
37	945	RMS/NIBRS	2021	058169-2021-01	1	PSE/11V - BURG MOTOR VEH	THEFT OF PROP > OR EQUAL \$2,500 - \$50K (NOT SHOPLIFT) PC31.03(e4A)	Motor Vehicle	N/A	2444 WALNUT RIDGE ST		DALLAS	TX	2021-04-04 00:00:00
38	950	RMS/NIBRS	2021	059506-2021-01	3	58 - ROUTINE INVESTIGATION	THEFT OF PROP > OR EQUAL \$2,500 - \$50K (NOT SHOPLIFT) PC31.03(e4A)	Motor Vehicle	N/A	10310 TECHNOLOGY BLVD W		DALLAS	TX	2021-04-30 00:00:00
39	951	RMS/NIBRS	2021	074666-2021-01	1	25 - CRIMINAL ASSAULT	THEFT OF PROP > OR EQUAL \$2,500 - \$50K (NOT SHOPLIFT) PC31.03(e4A)	Motor Vehicle	N/A	2030 W NORTHWEST HWY		DALLAS	TX	2021-04-30 00:00:00
40	952	RMS/NIBRS	2019	072641-2019-01	1	58 - ROUTINE INVESTIGATION	THEFT OF PROP > OR EQUAL \$2,500 - \$50K (NOT SHOPLIFT) PC31.03(e4A)	Motor Vehicle	N/A	2444 WALNUT RIDGE ST		DALLAS	TX	2021-04-18 00:00:00
41	980	RMS/NIBRS	2021	066689-2021-01	1	PSE/11V - BURG MOTOR VEH	THEFT OF PROP > OR EQUAL \$2,500 - \$50K (NOT SHOPLIFT) PC31.03(e4A)	Motor Vehicle	N/A	2444 WALNUT RIDGE ST		DALLAS	TX	2021-04-18 00:00:00
42	1001	RMS/NIBRS	2021	804662-2021-01	3	PSE/11V - BURG MOTOR VEH	THEFT OF PROP > OR EQUAL \$2,500 - \$50K (NOT SHOPLIFT) PC31.03(e4A)	Motor Vehicle	N/A	8550 N STEMMONS Fwy	2050	DALLAS	TX	2021-06-01 00:00:00
43	1013	RMS/NIBRS	2021	096977-2021-01	1	DAEF-DIST ARMED ENCOUNTER FOOT	ASSAULT (AGG) - SERIOUS BODILY INJURY	Highway, Street, Alley ETC	N/A	2444 WALNUT RIDGE ST		DALLAS	TX	2021-06-02 00:00:00
44	1023	RMS/NIBRS	2021	086536-2021-01	1	DAEF-DIST ARMED ENCOUNTER FOOT	ASSAULT (AGG) - SERIOUS BODILY INJURY	Parking (Business)	N/A	9125 E R L THORNTON Fwy		DALLAS	TX	2021-05-18 00:00:00
45	1025	RMS/NIBRS	2021	086492-2021-01	1	58 - ROUTINE INVESTIGATION	THEFT OF PROP > OR EQUAL \$2,500 - \$50K (NOT SHOPLIFT) PC31.03(e4A)	Motor Vehicle	N/A	10333 TECHNOLOGY BLVD E		DALLAS	TX	2021-05-18 00:00:00
46	1033	RMS/NIBRS	2021	076366-2021-01	1	PSE/11V - BURG MOTOR VEH	THEFT OF PROP > OR EQUAL \$2,500 - \$50K (NOT SHOPLIFT) PC31.03(e4A)	Motor Vehicle	N/A	2117 W NORTHWEST HWY		DALLAS	TX	2021-06-06 00:00:00
47	1038	RMS/NIBRS	2021	099691-2021-01	1	PSE/11V - BURG MOTOR VEH	THEFT OF PROP > OR EQUAL \$2,500 - \$50K (NOT SHOPLIFT) PC31.03(e4A)	Motor Vehicle	N/A	8550 N STEMMONS Fwy		DALLAS	TX	2021-06-06 00:00:00
48	1039	RMS/NIBRS	2021	807477-2021-01	1	PSE/11V - BURG MOTOR VEH	THEFT OF PROP > OR EQUAL \$2,500 - \$50K (NOT SHOPLIFT) PC31.03(e4A)	Motor Vehicle	N/A	7501 N STEMMONS SERV SB		DALLAS	TX	2021-09-27 00:00:00
49	1046	RMS/NIBRS	2021	052111-2021-01	1	PSE/11V - BURG MOTOR VEH	THEFT OF PROP > OR EQUAL \$2,500 - \$50K (NOT SHOPLIFT) PC31.03(e4A)	Motor Vehicle	N/A	8650 N STEMMONS Fwy		DALLAS	TX	2021-09-27 00:00:00
50	1074	RMS/NIBRS	2021	803237-2021-01	1	118 - BURG OF BUS	THEFT OF PROP > OR EQUAL \$2,500 - \$50K (NOT SHOPLIFT) PC31.03(e4A)	Motor Vehicle	N/A	10330 TECHNOLOGY BLVD W		DALLAS	TX	2021-07-06 00:00:00
51	1100	RMS/NIBRS	2021	119389-2021-01	1	001 - OFF DUTY JOB	BURGLARY OF BUILDING - FORCED ENTRY	Restaurant/Food Service/Fabc location	N/A	10310 TECHNOLOGY BLVD E		DALLAS	TX	2021-07-06 00:00:00
52	1110	RMS/NIBRS	2021	118137-2021-01	1	001 - OFF DUTY JOB	BURGLARY OF BUILDING - FORCED ENTRY	Motor Vehicle	N/A	11055 HARRY HINES BLVD		DALLAS	TX	2021-05-14 00:00:00
53	1218	RMS/NIBRS	2021	155528-2021-01	1	25 - CRIMINAL ASSAULT	UNAUTHORIZED USE OF MOTOR VEH - AUTOMOBILE	Motor Vehicle	N/A	910 W MCKINNEBIRD LN		DALLAS	TX	2020-06-07 00:00:00
54	1248	RMS/NIBRS	2020	101413-2020-02	1	PSE/11V - BURG MOTOR VEH	UNAUTHORIZED USE OF MOTOR VEH - AUTOMOBILE	Motor Vehicle	N/A	2444 WALNUT RIDGE ST		DALLAS	TX	2021-08-26 00:00:00
55	1262	RMS/NIBRS	2021	153560-2021-01	1	58 - ROUTINE INVESTIGATION	UNAUTHORIZED USE OF MOTOR VEH - AUTOMOBILE	Motor Vehicle	N/A	10333 TECHNOLOGY BLVD W		DALLAS	TX	2019-03-31 00:00:00
56	1280	RMS/NIBRS	2019	064191-2019-02	2	58 - ROUTINE INVESTIGATION	UNAUTHORIZED USE OF MOTOR VEH - AUTOMOBILE	Motor Vehicle	N/A	2117 W NORTHWEST HWY		DALLAS	TX	2019-05-21 00:00:00
57	1287	RMS/NIBRS	2019	108724-2019-01	2	PSE/11V - BURG MOTOR VEH	UNAUTHORIZED USE OF MOTOR VEH - AUTOMOBILE	Motor Vehicle	N/A	11560 HARRY HINES BLVD	2311	DALLAS	TX	2021-08-21 00:00:00
58	1291	RMS/NIBRS	2021	150492-2021-01	1	09V - UUMV	UNAUTHORIZED USE OF MOTOR VEH - AUTOMOBILE	Motor Vehicle	N/A	2334 W NORTHWEST HWY		DALLAS	TX	2020-07-01 00:00:00
59	1293	RMS/NIBRS	2020	115050-2020-02	1	09V - UUMV	UNAUTHORIZED USE OF MOTOR VEH - AUTOMOBILE	Motor Vehicle	N/A	10310 TECHNOLOGY BLVD E	304	DALLAS	TX	2021-09-17 00:00:00
60	1318	RMS/NIBRS	2021	168918-2021-01	1	09V - UUMV	UNAUTHORIZED USE OF MOTOR VEH - AUTOMOBILE	Parking lot	N/A	9125 E R L THORNTON Fwy		DALLAS	TX	2020-11-11 00:00:00
61	1332	RMS/NIBRS	2020	220978-2020-02	1	09V - UUMV	UNAUTHORIZED USE OF MOTOR VEH - AUTOMOBILE	Parking lot	N/A	11035 ABLES LN		DALLAS	TX	2020-01-25 00:00:00
62	1359	RMS/NIBRS	2020	016631-2020-02	1	09V - UUMV	UNAUTHORIZED USE OF MOTOR VEH - AUTOMOBILE	Parking (Business)	N/A	2400 WALNUT RIDGE ST		DALLAS	TX	2021-05-23 00:00:00
63	1371	RMS/NIBRS	2019	090142-2021-01	1	58 - ROUTINE INVESTIGATION	UNAUTHORIZED USE OF MOTOR VEH - AUTOMOBILE	Parking (Business)	N/A	1985 W NORTHWEST HWY		DALLAS	TX	2019-11-23 00:00:00
64	1372	RMS/NIBRS	2019	236425-2019-01	1	58 - ROUTINE INVESTIGATION	UNAUTHORIZED USE OF MOTOR VEH - AUTOMOBILE	Convenience Store	N/A	2117 W NORTHWEST HWY		DALLAS	TX	2021-04-14 00:00:00
65	1405	RMS/NIBRS	2021	064262-2021-01	1	PSE/11V - BURG MOTOR VEH	UNAUTHORIZED USE OF MOTOR VEH - AUTOMOBILE	Bar/NightClub/Dancehall ETC	N/A	10310 TECHNOLOGY BLVD W		DALLAS	TX	2021-06-18 00:00:00
66	1416	RMS/NIBRS	2021	107689-2021-02	1	09V - UUMV	UNAUTHORIZED USE OF MOTOR VEH - AUTOMOBILE	Parking (Business)	N/A			DALLAS	TX	



P	Q	R	S	T	U	V	W	X	Y	Z	AA	AB	AC	AD	AE	AF	AG	AH	AI	AJ	AK	AL	AM	
1	Year	Month	Day	Time	Time Group	Date/Day/Year	Date2	Year2	Month2	Day2	Time2	Date/Day/Year	ReportedDate	Edate	Edate	Emonth	Eday	Etime	Edate/Day/Year	CFR Number	Call/GrpBte	CallReceived	CallCleared	CallDispatched
2	2019	December	Sat	00:00	10p to 2a	2019-12-21 00:00:00	2019-12-21 00:00:00	2019	December	Sat	00:00	2019-12-21 00:00:00	2019-12-21 14:09:00	2019-02-27 12:58:53	2019-02-27 12:58:53	December	Sat	00:00	2019-02-27 12:58:53	19-030362	2019-12-21 14:09:00	2019-12-21 19:01:47	2019-12-21 19:01:47	2019-12-21 20:02:06
3	2019	January	Tue	00:00	10p to 2a	2019-01-02 00:00:00	2019-01-02 00:00:00	2019	January	Sat	00:00	2019-01-02 00:00:00	2019-02-27 13:05:00	2019-02-27 13:05:00	2019-02-27 13:05:00	February	Wed	12:58	2019-02-27 13:05:00	19-030861	2019-02-27 13:05:00	2019-02-27 13:05:00	2019-02-27 13:05:00	2019-02-27 13:05:00
4	2019	May	Sat	00:00	10p to 2a	2019-05-04 00:00:00	2019-05-04 00:00:00	2019	May	Sat	00:00	2019-05-04 00:00:00	2019-03-10 3:11:40	2019-03-10 3:11:40	2019-03-10 3:11:40	March	Wed	01:04	2019-03-10 3:11:40	19-040881	2019-03-10 3:11:40	2019-03-10 3:11:40	2019-03-10 3:11:40	2019-03-10 3:11:40
5	2019	March	Sun	00:00	10p to 2a	2019-03-10 00:00:00	2019-03-10 00:00:00	2019	March	Sun	00:00	2019-03-10 00:00:00	2019-03-10 3:11:40	2019-03-10 3:11:40	2019-03-10 3:11:40	March	Sun	00:18	2019-03-10 3:11:40	19-041782	2019-03-10 3:11:40	2019-03-10 3:11:40	2019-03-10 3:11:40	2019-03-10 3:11:40
6	2019	November	Mon	00:00	10p to 2a	2019-11-25 00:00:00	2019-11-25 00:00:00	2019	November	Mon	00:00	2019-11-25 00:00:00	2019-06-20 6:37:00	2019-06-20 6:37:00	2019-06-20 6:37:00	June	Thu	06:24	2019-06-20 6:37:00	19-1222757	2019-06-20 6:37:00	2019-06-20 6:37:00	2019-06-20 6:37:00	2019-06-20 6:37:00
7	2019	January	Tue	00:00	10p to 2a	2019-01-02 00:00:00	2019-01-02 00:00:00	2019	January	Wed	00:00	2019-01-02 00:00:00	2019-11-19 10:22:00	2019-11-19 10:22:00	2019-11-19 10:22:00	November	Thu	10:25	2019-11-19 10:22:00	19-1238350	2019-11-19 10:22:00	2019-11-19 10:22:00	2019-11-19 10:22:00	2019-11-19 10:22:00
8	2019	September	Sat	00:00	10p to 2a	2019-09-07 00:00:00	2019-09-07 00:00:00	2019	September	Sat	00:00	2019-09-07 00:00:00	2019-12-21 11:24:24	2019-12-21 11:24:24	2019-12-21 11:24:24	December	Sat	01:18	2019-12-21 11:24:24	19-179684	2019-12-21 11:24:24	2019-12-21 11:24:24	2019-12-21 11:24:24	2019-12-21 11:24:24
9	2019	March	Thu	00:00	10p to 2a	2019-03-21 00:00:00	2019-03-21 00:00:00	2019	March	Thu	00:00	2019-03-21 00:00:00	2019-03-21 13:16:00	2019-03-21 13:16:00	2019-03-21 13:16:00	March	Thu	02:36	2019-03-21 13:16:00	19-1002785	2019-03-21 13:16:00	2019-03-21 13:16:00	2019-03-21 13:16:00	2019-03-21 13:16:00
10	2019	June	Sat	00:00	10p to 2a	2019-06-01 00:00:00	2019-06-01 00:00:00	2019	June	Sat	00:00	2019-06-01 00:00:00	2019-06-01 9:16:00	2019-06-01 9:16:00	2019-06-01 9:16:00	June	Mon	02:30	2019-06-01 9:16:00	19-2005059	2019-06-01 9:16:00	2019-06-01 9:16:00	2019-06-01 9:16:00	2019-06-01 9:16:00
11	2019	February	Tue	00:00	10p to 2a	2019-02-12 00:00:00	2019-02-12 00:00:00	2019	February	Tue	00:00	2019-02-12 00:00:00	2019-11-05 22:30:49	2019-11-05 22:30:49	2019-11-05 22:30:49	February	Tue	02:48	2019-11-05 22:30:49	19-1936340	2019-11-05 22:30:49	2019-11-05 22:30:49	2019-11-05 22:30:49	2019-11-05 22:30:49
12	2019	January	Fri	00:00	10p to 2a	2019-01-04 00:00:00	2019-01-04 00:00:00	2019	January	Fri	00:00	2019-01-04 00:00:00	2019-02-22 2:08:00	2019-02-22 2:08:00	2019-02-22 2:08:00	January	Fri	00:31	2019-02-22 2:08:00	19-1336310	2019-02-22 2:08:00	2019-02-22 2:08:00	2019-02-22 2:08:00	2019-02-22 2:08:00
13	2019	February	Fri	00:00	10p to 2a	2019-02-02 00:00:00	2019-02-02 00:00:00	2019	February	Fri	00:00	2019-02-02 00:00:00	2019-02-28 02:02:00	2019-02-28 02:02:00	2019-02-28 02:02:00	February	Mon	09:14	2019-02-28 02:02:00	20-0310069	2019-02-28 02:02:00	2019-02-28 02:02:00	2019-02-28 02:02:00	2019-02-28 02:02:00
14	2019	March	Sun	00:00	10p to 2a	2019-03-03 00:00:00	2019-03-03 00:00:00	2019	March	Sun	00:00	2019-03-03 00:00:00	2019-03-02 12:30:00	2019-03-02 12:30:00	2019-03-02 12:30:00	March	Mon	01:51	2019-03-02 12:30:00	20-0401825	2019-03-02 12:30:00	2019-03-02 12:30:00	2019-03-02 12:30:00	2019-03-02 12:30:00
15	2019	February	Sat	00:00	10p to 2a	2019-02-15 00:00:00	2019-02-15 00:00:00	2019	February	Sat	00:00	2019-02-15 00:00:00	2019-03-02 12:30:00	2019-03-02 12:30:00	2019-03-02 12:30:00	February	Mon	06:53	2019-03-02 12:30:00	20-0095784	2019-03-02 12:30:00	2019-03-02 12:30:00	2019-03-02 12:30:00	2019-03-02 12:30:00
16	2019	March	Mon	00:00	10p to 2a	2019-03-04 00:00:00	2019-03-04 00:00:00	2019	March	Mon	00:00	2019-03-04 00:00:00	2019-03-04 6:53:40	2019-03-04 6:53:40	2019-03-04 6:53:40	March	Mon	15:14	2019-03-04 6:53:40	20-0098303	2019-03-04 6:53:40	2019-03-04 6:53:40	2019-03-04 6:53:40	2019-03-04 6:53:40
17	2019	February	Sat	00:00	10p to 2a	2019-02-15 00:00:00	2019-02-15 00:00:00	2019	February	Sat	00:00	2019-02-15 00:00:00	2019-03-04 6:53:40	2019-03-04 6:53:40	2019-03-04 6:53:40	February	Mon	13:43	2019-03-04 6:53:40	20-1382974	2019-03-04 6:53:40	2019-03-04 6:53:40	2019-03-04 6:53:40	2019-03-04 6:53:40
18	2019	March	Mon	00:00	10p to 2a	2019-03-04 00:00:00	2019-03-04 00:00:00	2019	March	Mon	00:00	2019-03-04 00:00:00	2019-03-19 14:36:31	2019-03-19 14:36:31	2019-03-19 14:36:31	March	Sun	15:16	2019-03-19 14:36:31	20-1243122	2019-03-19 14:36:31	2019-03-19 14:36:31	2019-03-19 14:36:31	2019-03-19 14:36:31
19	2019	August	Sat	00:00	10p to 2a	2019-08-24 00:00:00	2019-08-24 00:00:00	2019	August	Sat	00:00	2019-08-24 00:00:00	2019-07-10 14:41:00	2019-07-10 14:41:00	2019-07-10 14:41:00	August	Mon	09:17	2019-07-10 14:41:00	20-1538415	2019-07-10 14:41:00	2019-07-10 14:41:00	2019-07-10 14:41:00	2019-07-10 14:41:00
20	2019	August	Sat	00:00	10p to 2a	2019-08-24 00:00:00	2019-08-24 00:00:00	2019	August	Sat	00:00	2019-08-24 00:00:00	2019-08-24 12:53:51	2019-08-24 12:53:51	2019-08-24 12:53:51	August	Mon	01:25	2019-08-24 12:53:51	20-1532661	2019-08-24 12:53:51	2019-08-24 12:53:51	2019-08-24 12:53:51	2019-08-24 12:53:51
21	2019	August	Sat	00:00	10p to 2a	2019-08-24 00:00:00	2019-08-24 00:00:00	2019	August	Sat	00:00	2019-08-24 00:00:00	2019-08-24 12:53:51	2019-08-24 12:53:51	2019-08-24 12:53:51	August	Mon	01:25	2019-08-24 12:53:51	20-1532661	2019-08-24 12:53:51	2019-08-24 12:53:51	2019-08-24 12:53:51	2019-08-24 12:53:51
22	2019	August	Sat	00:00	10p to 2a	2019-08-24 00:00:00	2019-08-24 00:00:00	2019	August	Sat	00:00	2019-08-24 00:00:00	2019-08-24 12:53:51	2019-08-24 12:53:51	2019-08-24 12:53:51	August	Mon	01:25	2019-08-24 12:53:51	20-1532661	2019-08-24 12:53:51	2019-08-24 12:53:51	2019-08-24 12:53:51	2019-08-24 12:53:51
23	2019	August	Sat	00:00	10p to 2a	2019-08-24 00:00:00	2019-08-24 00:00:00	2019	August	Sat	00:00	2019-08-24 00:00:00	2019-08-24 12:53:51	2019-08-24 12:53:51	2019-08-24 12:53:51	August	Mon	01:25	2019-08-24 12:53:51	20-1532661	2019-08-24 12:53:51	2019-08-24 12:53:51	2019-08-24 12:53:51	2019-08-24 12:53:51
24	2019	August	Sat	00:00	10p to 2a	2019-08-24 00:00:00	2019-08-24 00:00:00	2019	August	Sat	00:00	2019-08-24 00:00:00	2019-08-24 12:53:51	2019-08-24 12:53:51	2019-08-24 12:53:51	August	Mon	01:25	2019-08-24 12:53:51	20-1532661	2019-08-24 12:53:51	2019-08-24 12:53:51	2019-08-24 12:53:51	2019-08-24 12:53:51
25	2019	August	Sat	00:00	10p to 2a	2019-08-24 00:00:00	2019-08-24 00:00:00	2019	August	Sat	00:00	2019-08-24 00:00:00	2019-08-24 12:53:51	2019-08-24 12:53:51	2019-08-24 12:53:51	August	Mon	01:25	2019-08-24 12:53:51	20-1532661	2019-08-24 12:53:51	2019-08-24 12:53:51	2019-08-24 12:53:51	2019-08-24 12:53:51
26	2019	August	Sat	00:00	10p to 2a	2019-08-24 00:00:00	2019-08-24 00:00:00	2019	August	Sat	00:00	2019-08-24 00:00:00	2019-08-24 12:53:51	2019-08-24 12:53:51	2019-08-24 12:53:51	August	Mon	01:25	2019-08-24 12:53:51	20-1532661	2019-08-24 12:53:51	2019-08-24 12:53:51	2019-08-24 12:53:51	2019-08-24 12:53:51
27	2019	August	Sat	00:00	10p to 2a	2019-08-24 00:00:00	2019-08-24 00:00:00	2019	August	Sat	00:00	2019-08-24 00:00:00	2019-08-24 12:53:51	2019-08-24 12:53:51	2019-08-24 12:53:51	August	Mon	01:25	2019-08-24 12:53:51	20-1532661	2019-08-24 12:53:51	2019-08-24 12:53:51	2019-08-24 12:53:51	2019-08-24 12:53:51
28	2019	August	Sat	00:00	10p to 2a	2019-08-24 00:00:00	2019-08-24 00:00:00	2019	August	Sat	00:00	2019-08-24 00:00:00	2019-08-24 12:53:51	2019-08-24 12:53:51	2019-08-24 12:53:51	August	Mon	01:25	2019-08-24 12:53:51	20-1532661	2019-08-24 12:53:51	2019-08-24 12:53:51	2019-08-24 12:53:51	2019-08-24 12:53:51
29	2019	August	Sat	00:00	10p to 2a	2019-08-24 00:00:00	2019-08-24 00:00:00	2019	August	Sat	00:00	2019-08-24 00:00:00	2019-08-24 12:53:51	2019-08-24 12:53:51	2019-08-24 12:53:51	August	Mon	01:25	2019-08-24 12:53:51	20-1532661	2019-08-24 12:53:51	2019-08-24 12:53:51	2019-08-24 12:53:51	2019-08-24 12:53:51
30	2019	August	Sat	00:00	10p to 2a	2019-08-24 00:00:00	2019-08-24 00:00:00	2019	August	Sat	00:00	2019-08-24 00:00:00	2019-08-24 12:53:51	2019-08-24 12:53:51	2019-08-24 12:53:51	August	Mon	01:25	2019-08-24 12:53:51	20-1532661	2019-08-24 12:53:51	2019-08-24 12:53:51	2019-08-24 12:53:51	2019-08-24 12:53:51
31	2019	August	Sat	00:00	10p to 2a	2019-08-24 00:00:00	2019-08-24 00:00:00	2019	August	Sat	00:00	2019-08-24 00:00:00	2019-08-24 12:53:51	2019-08-24 12:53:51	2019-08-24 12:53:51	August	Mon	01:25	2019-08-24 12:53:51	20-1532661	2019-08-24 12:53:51	2019-08-24 12:53:51	2019-08-24 12:53:51	2019-08-24 12:53:51
32	2019	August	Sat	00:00	10p to 2a	2019-08-24 00:00:00	2019-08-24 00:00:00	2019	August	Sat	00:00	2019-08-24 00:00:00	2019-08-24 12:53:51	2019-08-24 12:53:51	2019-08-24 12:53:51	August	Mon	01:25	2019-08-24 12:53:51	20-1532661	2019-08-24 12:53:51	2019-08-24 12:53:51	2019-08-24 12:53:51	2019-08-24 12:53:51
33	2019	August	Sat	00:00	10p to 2a	201																		



	AN	AO	AP	AQ	AR	AS	AT	AU	AV	AW	AX	AY	AZ	BA
	Involvement	VictimType	DPD_SwarmMarshalls		CompName	CompRace	Competitncty	CompSex				ROI badge	ROIName	RO2 badge
1	Victim	Individual			LINDAS, CHELSEA, LYNN	White	Non-Hispanic or Latino	Female				11474	CHOI, PETER WON IL	11155
2	Victim	Individual			LAUDERDALE, EVERETT, LEE	Black	Non-Hispanic or Latino	Male				6862	BOYETT, PATRICK, JOHN	
3	Victim	Individual			DESOTO PD							129060	CASTRO, ROSALINDA	10663
4	Victim	Business			USA AUTO PERFORMANCE	White	Non-Hispanic or Latino	Male				9783	ROSEN, BRETT, HARRISON	9744
5	Victim	Business			GILMER, JUSTIN							11565	SANDOUAL, SARAH	
6	Victim	Individual			PENSKE TRUCK LEASING							11073	GUZMAN, MARTINEZ, ROBERTO ALEJANDRO	
7	Victim	Business			DIAZ, YOSMANY	Hispanic or Latino	Non-Hispanic or Latino	Male				6236	PERRY, JAMES, M	
8	Victim	Individual			GREENE, LEON	Black	Non-Hispanic or Latino	Male				11621	GONZALEZ, JIMMY, EDUARDO	
9	Victim	Individual			UNDERREHR-AUSTIN, MADISON	White	Non-Hispanic or Latino	Female				8090	GRAY, EUCORA, JEANETTE	
10	Victim	Individual			UBANI, NKCHI	Black	Non-Hispanic or Latino	Female				10661	JARAMILLO, CARLOS	
11	Victim	Individual			SMOKE DEPOT							94392	WILLIS, LINDA, M	
12	Victim	Business			TORRES, SOLE, ARICEL	Hispanic or Latino	Non-Hispanic or Latino	Female				8691	RIVERA, DOMINGO	
13	Victim	Individual			RENTZ, JOSEPH, DANIEL	White	Non-Hispanic or Latino	Male				11508	DAVIS, DAVID, EARL	9522
14	Victim	Individual			CLASBERRY, BRANDON, ANTHONY	Black	Non-Hispanic or Latino	Male				11152	VALENTINE, CARLTON	
15	Victim	Individual			JACKSON, JESSICA	Black	Non-Hispanic or Latino	Female				7974	BEARDEN, ROGER, ALLEN	
16	Victim	Individual			BROWN, MARCUS	Black	Non-Hispanic or Latino	Male				9430	ALMAACHAR, JOSEPH	11111
17	Victim	Individual			VILLALOBOS, JESSE, ANTHONY	Hispanic or Latino	Non-Hispanic or Latino	Male				94392	WILLIS, LINDA, M	
18	Victim	Individual			COX, TRAVIS, RICHARD	White	Non-Hispanic or Latino	Male				7217	SCOTT, BILLY, RAY	
19	Victim	Individual			DALE, TREVONTE	Black	Non-Hispanic or Latino	Male				10461	HARLAND, DERRICK	
20	Victim	Individual			BARRAZA, JOSE	Hispanic or Latino	Non-Hispanic or Latino	Male				6798	RUFF, ALBERT, W	
21	Victim	Individual			DALLAS POWER SPORT							10391	OSBORN, WAYLON	
22	Victim	Business			JOHNSON, APRIL	Black	Non-Hispanic or Latino	Female				7377	ANDERSON, COREY, JERMAINE	
23	Victim	Individual			SHOWERS, BRIAN	Black	Non-Hispanic or Latino	Male				11684	PEREZ, BONNIE	
24	Victim	Individual			FRANKLIN, ANTYAUN	Black	Non-Hispanic or Latino	Female						
25	Victim	Individual			IVA, CHONG	Asian	Non-Hispanic or Latino							
26	Victim	Individual			AVIS BUDGET GROUP									
27	Victim	Business			QUINTANILLA, PABLO	Hispanic or Latino	Non-Hispanic or Latino	Male				10381	SIMS, JOSHUA, BYRON	10354
28	Victim	Individual			WOLMES, KODI	Black	Non-Hispanic or Latino	Male				9133	ARRIAGA, ERIC	
29	Victim	Individual			MOORENA, MIKI	White	Non-Hispanic or Latino	Male				10197	HILL, MAURICIO, ANTON	
30	Victim	Individual			JANUSKA, MARIA, M	White	Non-Hispanic or Latino	Female				11190	MAYFIELD, LAUREN MICHAEL	
31	Victim	Individual			LEWIS, MACHA	Black	Non-Hispanic or Latino	Female				9133	SIMS, JOSHUA, BYRON	
32	Victim	Individual			STUBBS, SCPIO	Black	Non-Hispanic or Latino	Male				7128	TREIGLE, JR, FRANKLIN, STEVEN	
33	Victim	Individual			MUTHIAN, ZACHARY, WAMBUA	Black	Non-Hispanic or Latino	Male				94392	WILLIS, LINDA, M	
34	Victim	Individual			JOHNSON, MARCUS	Black	Non-Hispanic or Latino	Male				8581	PATTERSON, FREDRICK, TYRONE	
35	Victim	Individual			USA BOWL							11755	MOREY, MICHAEL	
36	Victim	Business			ALSDORNE, TERRY	Black	Non-Hispanic or Latino	Male				10874	JACOBSON, JUSTIN	9543
37	Victim	Individual			THOMPSON, JOSHUA, THEODORE	Black	Non-Hispanic or Latino	Male				9664	MCDERMOTT, CAMILLE, ELIZABETH	
38	Victim	Individual			OSKERSONHINDS, CARA, BELLE	White	Non-Hispanic or Latino	Female				10994	WELDON, JOSHUA, C	
39	Victim	Individual			GRADY, KARTISHA, KARSHAY	Black	Non-Hispanic or Latino	Female				11912	MERCEDES, LUIS, CAMACHO	
40	Victim	Individual			BROWN, JASMINE	Black	Non-Hispanic or Latino	Female				9932	ROMANO, EMMANUEL	9398
41	Victim	Individual			MIRAMONTEZ CISNEROS, STEPHANIE	Hispanic or Latino	Non-Hispanic or Latino	Female				129060	CASTRO, ROSALINDA	
42	Victim	Individual			POOLE, DAJUAN	Black	Non-Hispanic or Latino	Male				94392	WILLIS, LINDA, M	
43	Victim	Individual			KNIGHTON, KEITH	Black	Non-Hispanic or Latino	Male				112021	HEKE, ANDREW	9675
44	Victim	Individual			HUNT, GLENN, COREY	Black	Non-Hispanic or Latino	Male				12021	HEKE, ANDREW	9675
45	Victim	Individual			COLLINS, EDUARDO, DION	Black	Non-Hispanic or Latino	Male				10219	COGGINS, MICHAEL, CLARK	
46	Victim	Individual			FISHER, CARL	Black	Non-Hispanic or Latino	Male				125315	MORENO, DENISE	
47	Victim	Individual			WARE, ANTHONY, DELANO	Black	Non-Hispanic or Latino	Male						
48	Victim	Individual			JEANS, SIMONE	Black	Non-Hispanic or Latino	Female				129062	HANNA, MARIA	
49	Victim	Individual			HAYES, MISTY	White	Non-Hispanic or Latino	Female						
50	Victim	Business			KING BUTTE	White	Non-Hispanic or Latino	Female				9543	GONZALEZ, REGGIE	
51	Victim	Business			GRAVES, MCKENZIE	Asian	Non-Hispanic or Latino	Male				11574	CRAVEN, KEENAN	
52	Victim	Individual			SONG, BRYAN	White	Non-Hispanic or Latino	Male				9437	ONEAL, ASHLEY	
53	Victim	Individual			CHANDLER, JASON	Black	Non-Hispanic or Latino	Male				11763	BURTON, ERIC	10273
54	Registered Owner	Individual			SANQUANTE, NUTALL	Black	Non-Hispanic or Latino	Male				129320	WEBB, LITCHEL	
55	Registered Owner	Individual			WYATT, ELONTE	Black	Non-Hispanic or Latino	Male				6236	PERRY, JAMES, M	
56	Victim	Individual			SUNMONS, BRALEN	Black	Non-Hispanic or Latino	Male				8556	BURKE, PATRICK, JOHN	
57	Registered Owner	Individual			CHANEY, JOHN, SCOTT	White	Non-Hispanic or Latino	Male				10603	KNUTSON, DANICA	
58	Victim	Individual			LOPEZ, ESPINOZA, ALONORA	Hispanic or Latino	Non-Hispanic or Latino	Female				10261	DOREY, RYAN, ANTHONY	
59	Registered Owner	Individual			DURAN, FERNANDO	Hispanic or Latino	Non-Hispanic or Latino	Male				129062	HANNA, MARIA	
60	Victim	Individual			SIMS, DIONNE	Black	Non-Hispanic or Latino	Female				9712	CARDINAL, TRAVIS, JOHN	
61	Victim	Individual										9703	RODRIGUEZ, JESSE, FRANK	
62	Registered Owner	Individual										7990	SHEPARD, LARVYN, LAVEL	
63	Registered Owner	Individual										7473	EVANS, PHYLUS, RENEE	
64	Registered Owner	Individual										129320	WEBB, LITCHEL	
65	Registered Owner	Individual										10874	JACOBSON, JUSTIN	
66	Victim	Individual			WADE, MICHAEL	Black	Non-Hispanic or Latino	Male						
67	Registered Owner	Individual			MORA CERDA, ANGEL	Hispanic or Latino	Non-Hispanic or Latino	Male						



	B8	BC	BD	BE	BF	BG	BH	BI	BJ	BK	BL
	ROZName	RepOff	AsOfFadge	ReviewbadeNum	Etium	FollowUp1	FollowUp2	Status	UCR Disp	VictimInjryDesc	VictimCond
1	ROZName	RepOff	AsOfFadge	ReviewbadeNum	Etium	FollowUp1	FollowUp2	Status	UCR Disp	VictimInjryDesc	VictimCond
2	CAIX,BAHAMON,ELVIN	11474	7939	154292	A553	Investigations	Capers / Family Violence	Clear by Arrest	CBA (Over Age 17)		
3		6862	1276	105995	B512	Investigations	Special Investigations / Auto Theft	Suspended	Suspended		
4		120600	6295	120627	EX03	Investigations	Property Crime Division / NW Property Crimes	Suspended	Suspended		
5	GARCIA,JOSE,ALONSO	9783	9176	057074	ES83	Investigations	Special Investigations / Auto Theft	Clear by Exceptional Arrest	CBEA (Over Age 17)		
6	RODRIGUEZ,WILLIAM,ANTHONY	11565	6736	122184	A534	Investigations	Property Crime Division / NW Property Crimes	Suspended	Suspended		
7		11073	1161	118918	A514	Investigations	Property Crime Division / NW Property Crimes	Suspended	Suspended		
8		6236	6679	15356	B509	Investigations	Property Crime Division / NW Property Crimes	Suspended	Suspended		
9		11621	8301	111047	A542	Investigations	Capers / Robbery	Suspended	Suspended		
10		8090	5881	47635	OFFDUTY	Investigations	Capers / Robbery	Suspended	Suspended		
11		10661	7072	70495	A526	Investigations	Property Crime Division / NW Property Crimes	Suspended	Suspended		
12		94392	7887	81075	EX07	Investigations	Property Crime Division / NW Property Crimes	Suspended	Suspended		
13		11508	8632	15356	C386	Investigations	Property Crime Division / NW Property Crimes	Clear by Arrest	CBA (Over Age 17)		
14	PUEITE JR, HECTOR,MANUEL	11508	8632	15356	A622	Investigations	Capers / Sex Assaults	Suspended	Suspended		
15		11152	7887	121912	E565	Investigations	Property Crime Division / NW Property Crimes	Suspended	Suspended		
16		7974	7887	15356	B209	Investigations	Property Crime Division / NW Property Crimes	Suspended	Suspended		
17	ROBLES,SAMMY, EVAN	9430	6295	122184	E514	Investigations	Property Crime Division / NW Property Crimes	Suspended	Suspended		
18		94392	7887	120430	EX07	Investigations	Property Crime Division / NW Property Crimes	Suspended	Suspended		
19		7217	1161	81075	EX06	Investigations	Property Crime Division / NW Property Crimes	Suspended	Suspended		
20		10461	1161	70495	EX02	Investigations	Property Crime Division / NW Property Crimes	Suspended	Suspended		
21		6798	6679	3366	OFFDUTY	Investigations	Property Crime Division / NW Property Crimes	Suspended	Suspended		
22		10514	118918		B543	Investigations	Property Crime Division / NE Property Crimes	Suspended	Suspended		
23		10391	6295	81075	EX01	Investigations	Property Crime Division / NW Property Crimes	Suspended	Suspended		
24		7377	1161	118185	EX01	Investigations	Property Crime Division / NW Property Crimes	Suspended	Suspended		
25		11684	7887	15356	A509	Investigations	Property Crime Division / NW Property Crimes	Suspended	Suspended		
26		7887	7887	122184		Investigations	Property Crime Division / NW Property Crimes	Suspended	Suspended		
27		9133	7887	122184	B515	Investigations	Property Crime Division / NW Property Crimes	Suspended	Suspended		
28	BARNETT,RYAN	10381	1161	3366	L144	Investigations	Property Crime Division / NW Property Crimes	Suspended	Suspended		
29		10197	6295	47635	G113	Investigations	Property Crime Division / NW Property Crimes	Suspended	Suspended		
30		11190	6736	120430	EX10	Investigations	Property Crime Division / NW Property Crimes	Suspended	Suspended		
31		9133	7661	47635	B510	Strategic Deployment	Property Crime Division / NW Property Crimes	Suspended	Suspended		
32		7128	6641	47635	B553	Investigations	Capers / Assaults	Suspended	Suspended		
33		94392	7887	81075	EX07	Investigations	Property Crime Division / NW Property Crimes	Suspended	Suspended		
34		8581	7887	3366	EX10	Investigations	Property Crime Division / NW Property Crimes	Suspended	Suspended		
35		11755	6736	11259	EX08	Investigations	Property Crime Division / NW Property Crimes	Suspended	Suspended		
36		10874	10874	057074	F538	Investigations	Property Crime Division / NW Property Crimes	Suspended	Suspended		
37	GONZALEZ,REGGIE	9965	1161	15356	B517	Investigations	Property Crime Division / NW Property Crimes	Suspended	Suspended		
38		9664	1161	81075	EX10	Investigations	Property Crime Division / NW Property Crimes	Suspended	Suspended		
39		10594	7671	11259	D453	Investigations	Property Crime Division / NW Property Crimes	Suspended	Suspended		
40		11912	7544	81075	A651	Investigations	Property Crime Division / NW Property Crimes	Suspended	Suspended		
41	ORTIZ VIVES,JOSE,MIGUEL	9922	9922	81075	Y244	Investigations	Capers / Sex Assaults	Suspended	Suspended	BRUISES ON BOTH LEGS	
42		129060	7072	112531	EX03	Investigations	Capers / Child Exploitation	Suspended	Suspended		
43		7683	7072	120627	EX07	Investigations	Property Crime Division / NW Property Crimes	Suspended	Suspended		
44		94392	7072	15356	EX07	Investigations	Special Investigations / Financial Crimes	Closed/Cleared	Closed		
45	KIMPEL,JASON,DONALD	12021	8960	3366	E266	Investigations	Property Crime Division / NW Property Crimes	Suspended	Suspended		
46	KIMPEL,JASON,DONALD	12021	6641	106291	E266	Investigations	Capers / Assaults	Suspended	Suspended		
47		10219	10379	81075	C609	Investigations	Capers / Homicide	Clear by Arrest	CBA (Over Age 17)	GSW TO LEFT HAND	
48		125315	7072	112110	EX05	Investigations	Property Crime Division / NW Property Crimes	Suspended	Suspended		
49		6679	6679	8214	EX08	Investigations	Property Crime Division / NW Property Crimes	Suspended	Suspended		
50		129062	7887	111210	EX08	Investigations	Property Crime Division / NW Property Crimes	Suspended	Suspended		
51		7072	7072	8214	B517	Investigations	Property Crime Division / NW Property Crimes	Suspended	Suspended		
52		9543	6679	70495	C599	Investigations	Special Investigations / Auto Theft	Suspended	Suspended		
53		11574	6295	122184	G114	Investigations	Special Investigations / Auto Theft	Clear by Arrest	CBA (Over Age 17)		
54	DILLARD,JOSEPH,D	9437	8925	057074	A352	Investigations	Special Investigations / Auto Theft	Suspended	Suspended		
55		11763	8273	118185	EX10	Investigations	Property Crime Division / NW Property Crimes	Suspended	Suspended		
56		129320	6679	77397	EX10	Investigations	Property Crime Division / NW Property Crimes	Suspended	Suspended		
57		6236	1270	15356	B509	Investigations	Special Investigations / Auto Theft	Suspended	Suspended		
58		8556	1270	15356	B709	Investigations	Special Investigations / Auto Theft	Suspended	Suspended		
59		10639	1161	106845	EX01	Investigations	Property Crime Division / NW Property Crimes	Suspended	Suspended		
60		10261	9176	118185	B515	Investigations	Special Investigations / Auto Theft	Clear by Arrest	CBA (Over Age 17)		
61		129062	7671	111210	EX08	Investigations	Property Crime Division / NW Property Crimes	Suspended	Suspended		
62		9712	1168	106291	A225	Investigations	Special Investigations / Auto Theft	Suspended	Suspended		
63		9703	1168	106291	A521	Investigations	Special Investigations / Auto Theft	Suspended	Suspended		
64		7990	1270	15356	L223	Investigations	Special Investigations / Auto Theft	Suspended	Suspended		
65		7473	1168	121171	B194	Investigations	Special Investigations / Auto Theft	Suspended	Suspended		
66		129320	7072	36201	EX10	Investigations	Property Crime Division / NW Property Crimes	Suspended	Suspended		
67		10874	1168	112531	F538	Investigations	Special Investigations / Auto Theft	Suspended	Suspended		



A		B
1		
2		
3	Count of IncidentNum	
4	ChargeDesc	Total
5	APOWW /SOCIAL SERVICES REFERRAL)	148
6	ASSAULT (AGG) -AGAINST SECURITY OFF (AGG)	1
7	ASSAULT (AGG) -DEADLY WEAPON	14
8	ASSAULT (AGG) FAM VIO DEADLY WEAPON- NO SBI	4
9	ASSAULT (AGG) FAM VIO SBI	1
10	ASSAULT (AGG) -FAM VIO w/WEAPON (AGG)	1
11	ASSAULT (AGG) -SERIOUS BODILY INJURY	2
12	ASSAULT -BODILY INJURY ONLY	5
13	ASSAULT -FAM VIO, IMPED BREATH/CIRC - NO LOSS OF CONSC	5
14	ASSAULT -FAM VIO, PREV CONV - BOD INJ ONLY	2
15	ASSAULT -FAM VIOL OFFENSIVE CONTACT PC 22.01(A)(3)	10
16	ASSAULT -FAM VIOL THREEA	3
17	ASSAULT -FAMILY VIOLENCE - BODILY INJURY ONLY	14
18	ASSAULT -OF SECURITY OFFICER - BODILY INJURY ONLY	4
19	ASSAULT -OFFENSIVE CONTACT	9
20	ASSAULT -PUB SERV (PEACE OFFICER/JUDGE)	7
21	ASSAULT -VERBAL THREAT	2
22	BMV	4
23	BURGLARY OF BUILDING - FORCED ENTRY	3
24	BURGLARY OF HABITATION -NO FORCED ENTRY	1
25	CREDIT CARD OR DEBIT CARD ABUSE	1
26	CRIM MISCHIEF > OR EQUAL \$100 < \$750	5
27	CRIM MISCHIEF > OR EQUAL \$2,500 < \$30K	2
28	CRIM MISCHIEF >OR EQUAL \$750 < \$2,500	2
29	CRIMINAL TRESPASS	21
30	CRIMINAL TRESPASS HABIT/SHLTR/SUPRFUND/INSTRIT	1
31	DEADLY CONDUCT	1
32	DEADLY CONDUCT DISCHARGE FIREARM	4
33	DISCHARGE FIREARM IN CERTAIN MUNICIPALITIES	4
34	DISORDERLY CONDUCT	3
35	DISORDERLY CONDUCT DISCHARGE/DISPLAY FIREARM	1
36	DWI	45
37	DWI 1 PREV CONV	16
38	DWI 2 OR MORE PREV CONV	1
39	DWI w/CHILD UNDER 15 YOA	2
40	DWI w/OPEN CONTAINER	9
41	ESCAPE FROM CUSTODY	1
42	EVADING ARREST DETENTION	11
43	EVADING ARREST DETENTION w/PREV CONVICTION PC38.04(b)(1)	5
44	EVADING ARREST DETENTION w/VEHICLE PC38.04(b)(2)(A)	2
45	FAIL TO COMPLY SEX OFFENDERS DUTY TO REGISTER LIFE/ANNUALLY	1
46	FAIL TO ID -FUGITIVE FROM JUSTICE	3
47	FAIL TO ID -FUGITIVE INTENT GIVE FALSE INFO	18
48	FAIL TO ID -GIVING FALSE/PCTITIOUS INFO PC 38.02(c)(2)	3
49	FLEEING POLICE OFFICER	1
50	FORGERY FINANCIAL INSTRUMENT > OR EQUAL \$2500-<\$30K 1A1	1
51	FRAUD USE/POSS IDENTIFYING INFO # ITEMS < 5	1
52	FRAUD USE/POSS IDENTIFYING INFO # ITEMS 10-50	2
53	FRAUD USE/POSS IDENTIFYING INFO # ITEMS 5<40	8
54	FRAUD USE/POSS IDENTIFYING INFO # ITEMS 50 OR MORE	1
55	HARASSMENT OF PUBLIC SERVANT	4
56	IMPERSONATE PUBLIC SERVANT	1
57	INDECENT EXPOSURE	1
58	INTERFERE w/ PUBLIC DUTIES	2
59	INTERFERE w/EMERGENCY CALL	1
60	MAN DEL CONT SUB PEN GRP 1 <1G	1
61	MAN DEL CONT SUB PEN GRP 1 > OR EQUAL 1G<4G	2
62	MAN DEL CONT SUB PEN GRP 1 > OR EQUAL 200G <400G	1
63	MAN DEL CONT SUB PEN GRP 1 > OR EQUAL 4G<200G	14
64	MAN DEL CONT SUB PEN GRP 3/4 <28G	1
65	MANIFESTING FOR PROSTITUTION (BUYER)	13
66	MANIFESTING FOR PROSTITUTION (SELLER)	132
67	MANIFESTING THE PURPOSE OF ENGAGING IN PROSTITUTION	6



	A	B
68	OBSTRUCT HIGHWAY PASSAGEWAY	1
69	OBSTRUCTION OR RETALIATION	3
70	OTHER OFFENSE - FELONY	2
71	OTHER OFFENSE - MISDEMEANOR	27
72	POSS CONT SUB NOT IN PEN GRP	1
73	POSS CONT SUB PEN GRP 1 <1G	109
74	POSS CONT SUB PEN GRP 1 > OR EQUAL 1G<4G	25
75	POSS CONT SUB PEN GRP 1 > OR EQUAL 4G<20G	8
76	POSS CONT SUB PEN GRP 2 < 1G	3
77	POSS CONT SUB PEN GRP 2 > OR EQUAL 1G<4G	3
78	POSS CONT SUB PEN GRP 2 > OR EQUAL 4G<40G	1
79	POSS CONT SUB PEN GRP 3 < 28G	7
80	POSS CONT SUB PEN GRP 4 <28G	2
81	POSS MARIJUANA <2OZ	66
82	POSS MARIJUANA <2OZ *DRUG FREE ZONE*	5
83	POSS MARIJUANA >2OZ< OR EQUAL 4OZ	1
84	POSS MARIJUANA >4OZ< OR EQUAL 5LBS	1
85	POSS OF DANGEROUS DRUG	5
86	POSSESSION OF DRUG PARAPHERNALIA	28
87	PROHIBITED WEAPON KNUCKLES	1
88	PROSTITUTION (BUYER)	34
89	PROSTITUTION (SELLER)	35
90	PROSTITUTION COMPELLING UNDER AGE 18	1
91	PROSTITUTION W/1 OR 2 PREV CONVICTIONS (SELLER)	6
92	PROSTITUTION W/3 OR MORE CONVICTIONS (SELLER)	3
93	PUBLIC INTOXICATION	233
94	PUBLIC LEWDNESS	5
95	RECKLESS DAMAGE	2
96	RESIST ARREST SEARCH OR TRANSPORT	16
97	ROBBERY OF BUSINESS	2
98	ROBBERY OF INDIVIDUAL	1
99	ROBBERY OF INDIVIDUAL (AGG)	7
100	SEX ASSAULT OF CHILD - (RAPE)	1
101	SLEEPING IN A PUBLIC PLACE	1
102	TAKE WEAPON FROM AN OFFICER (ATT)	1
103	TAMPER FABRICATE PHYSICAL EVID WITH INTENT TO IMPAIR	1
104	TERRORISTIC THREAT FEAR IMMINENT 581	1
105	THEFT OF PROP (AUTO ACC) <\$100 - (NOT EMP)	1
106	THEFT OF PROP (AUTO ACC) > OR EQUAL \$750 <\$2,500 (NOT EMP) PC31.03 (e3)	1
107	THEFT OF PROP <\$100 ENH (SHOPLIFT,NOT EMP) PC31.03(f)	1
108	THEFT OF PROP <\$2,500 2+PREV CONV (NOT SHOPLIFT) PC31.03 (e4d)	2
109	THEFT OF PROP > OR EQUAL \$100 <\$750 (NOT SHOPLIFT) PC31.03(e2A)	1
110	THEFT OF PROP > OR EQUAL \$2,500 <\$30K (NOT SHOPLIFT) PC31.03(e4A)	7
111	THEFT OF PROP > OR EQUAL \$2,500 <\$30K ENH (NOT SHOPLIFT) PC31.03(f)	1
112	THEFT OF PROP > OR EQUAL \$30K <\$150K (NOT SHOPLIFT) PC31.03(e5)	5
113	THEFT OF PROP > OR EQUAL \$750 <\$2,500 (NOT SHOPLIFT) PC31.03(e3)	1
114	THEFT OF SERVICE <\$100	1
115	THEFT OF SERVICE > OR EQUAL \$2,500 <\$30K PC31.04(e4)	1
116	TRAF VIO - DRIVER LICENSE/ID FALSE	1
117	TRAF VIO -DISPLAY FICTITIOUS LICENSE PLATE	2
118	TRAF VIO -DRIV W/OUT LIC INV W/PREV CONV/SUSP W/O FIN RES	7
119	TRAF VIO -OPERATE MOTOR VEH W/O FIN RESP	3
120	TRAF VIO -RACING ON HIGHWAY	1
121	TRAF VIO -RECKLESS DRIVING	2
122	TRAFIC VIOLATION - HAZARDOUS	8
123	TRAFIC VIOLATION - NON HAZARDOUS	42
124	TRAFFICKING OF PERSONS (PROSTITUTION/FORCED LABOR) <18 FOR	1
125	UNAUTHORIZED USE OF MOTOR VEH - AUTOMOBILE	23
126	UNAUTHORIZED USE OF MOTOR VEH - TRUCK OR BUS	6
127	UNLAWFUL CARRYING WEAPON	44
128	UNLAWFUL CARRYING WEAPON ON ALCOHOL PREMISES	4
129	UNLAWFUL POSS FIREARM BY FELON	19
130	UNLAWFUL POSS METAL OR BODY ARMOR BY FELON	1
131	UNLAWFUL RESTRAINT	2
132	URINATING OR DEFECATING IN PUBLIC	1
133	VIO BOND/PROTECTIVE ORDER	1
134	WARRANT DALLAS PD (AGG ASSAULT - FV)	2



	A	B
135	WARRANT DALLAS PD (AGG ASSAULT - NFV)	5
136	WARRANT DALLAS PD (AGG ROBBERY - INDIVIDUAL)	2
137	WARRANT DALLAS PD (ALIAS/CAPIAS)	65
138	WARRANT DALLAS PD (ASSAULT - FV)	5
139	WARRANT DALLAS PD (BURGLARY - BUSINESS)	3
140	WARRANT DALLAS PD (CRIMINAL TRESPASS)	4
141	WARRANT DALLAS PD (DRUG/NARCOTICS VIOLATIONS)	3
142	WARRANT DALLAS PD (EVADING)	1
143	WARRANT DALLAS PD (KIDNAPPING)	1
144	WARRANT DALLAS PD (OTHERS)	36
145	WARRANT DALLAS PD (PROSTITUTION)	2
146	WARRANT DALLAS PD (PUBLIC INTOXICATION)	2
147	WARRANT DALLAS PD (ROBBERY - INDIVIDUAL)	1
148	WARRANT DALLAS PD (SEX OFFENSES)	1
149	WARRANT DALLAS PD (SEXUAL ASSAULT WITH OBJECT)	1
150	WARRANT DALLAS PD (THEFT - SHOPLIFT)	1
151	WARRANT DALLAS PD (THEFT OTHER)	1
152	WARRANT DALLAS PD (TRAFFIC VIOLATION - OTHER THAN ALIAS OR CAPIAS)	3
153	WARRANT HOLD (NOT A DPD WARRANT)	242
154	WARRANT HOLD (OUTSIDE AGENCY)	168
155	WARRANT-DALLAS PD (ALIAS)	81
156	WARRANT-DALLAS PD (CAPIAS)	17
157	Grand Total	2082



1	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N	O	P	Q
OBJECTID	Join_Count	TARGET_FID	DataSource	IncidentNum	Arrestiv	ArrestNumber	ArrestDate	Time	Group	ArrestDay	ArbDate	ArbDay	ArbNumId	ArbChargeCT	OffenseCode	ChargeFlag	
5	1	468	RMS/NIBRS	000661-2021	2021	21-000121	2021-01-02 1:00:00	1:00 AM	10p to 2a	Saturday	2021-01-02 3:03:03	Saturday	21-000121-01	1	FS-24110003-G13	County	
2	187	20561	RMS/NIBRS	030561-2021	2021	21-000175	2021-02-22 13:00:00	1:00 AM	10p to 2a	Monday	2021-02-22 14:45:52	Monday	21-000175-01	1	MC-99999999-U404	County	
3	258	26678	RMS/NIBRS	030561-2021	2021	21-000176	2021-02-22 13:00:00	1:00 AM	10p to 2a	Monday	2021-02-22 14:45:00	Monday	21-000176-01	1	MC-99999999-U404	County	
6	277	28567	RMS/NIBRS	050344-2021	2021	21-000837	2021-03-25 10:00:00	1:00 AM	10p to 2a	Thursday	2021-03-25 3:04:23	Thursday	21-000837-01	1	NA-99999999-NC310	No Offense	
7	384	38432	RMS/NIBRS	055772-2021	2021	21-000957	2021-04-02 1:00:00	1:00 AM	10p to 2a	Friday	2021-04-02 3:46:26	Friday	21-000957-01	1	FS-3590015-035	County	
11	389	39731	RMS/NIBRS	055772-2021	2021	21-000957	2021-04-02 1:00:00	1:00 AM	10p to 2a	Friday	2021-04-02 3:46:26	Friday	21-000957-02	1	MC-3550015-0161	County	
12	409	39731	RMS/NIBRS	055772-2021	2021	21-000957	2021-04-02 1:00:00	1:00 AM	10p to 2a	Friday	2021-04-02 3:46:26	Friday	21-000957-03	1	MC-3550015-0161	No Offense	
14	625	61800	RMS/NIBRS	089553-2021	2021	21-025894	2021-08-25 1:00:00	1:00 AM	10p to 2a	Wednesday	2021-08-25 1:51:12	Wednesday	21-025894-01	1	NA-99999999-NC139	County	
17	635	63504	RMS/NIBRS	099558-2021	2021	21-016956	2021-06-06 1:00:00	1:00 AM	10p to 2a	Sunday	2021-06-06 1:12:03	Sunday	21-016956-01	1	MA-54040010-042	County	
18	827	78079	RMS/NIBRS	143136-2021	2021	21-024136	2021-08-10 13:00:00	1:00 AM	10p to 2a	Tuesday	2021-08-10 16:33:35	Tuesday	21-024136-01	1	FS-3590015-035	County	
24	955	88854	RMS/NIBRS	164537-2021	2021	21-027784	2021-09-11 1:00:00	1:00 AM	10p to 2a	Saturday	2021-09-11 7:17:01	Saturday	21-027784-02	1	MB-35620008-01	County	
26	1071	96723	RMS/NIBRS	164537-2021	2021	21-027784	2021-09-11 1:00:00	1:00 AM	10p to 2a	Saturday	2021-09-11 7:17:01	Saturday	21-027784-03	1	MA-52030027-M20	County	
27	1072	96723	RMS/NIBRS	164537-2021	2021	21-027784	2021-09-11 1:00:00	1:00 AM	10p to 2a	Saturday	2021-09-11 7:17:01	Saturday	21-027784-03	1	MA-52030027-M20	County	
28	1129	101093	RMS/NIBRS	164537-2021	2021	21-027784	2021-09-11 1:00:00	1:00 AM	10p to 2a	Saturday	2021-09-11 7:17:01	Saturday	21-027784-03	1	MA-52030027-M20	County	
29	1263	109775	RMS/NIBRS	164537-2021	2021	21-027785	2021-09-11 1:00:00	1:00 AM	10p to 2a	Saturday	2021-09-11 7:17:30	Saturday	21-027785-05	1	MC-99999999-NC308	County	
30	1632	134108	RMS/NIBRS	164537-2021	2021	21-027785	2021-09-11 1:00:00	1:00 AM	10p to 2a	Saturday	2021-09-11 7:17:30	Saturday	21-027785-02	1	MA-35620009-02	County	
35	1633	134109	RMS/NIBRS	164537-2021	2021	21-027785	2021-09-11 1:00:00	1:00 AM	10p to 2a	Saturday	2021-09-11 7:17:30	Saturday	21-027785-04	1	MC-3550015-0161	County	
36	2038	168674	RMS/NIBRS	164537-2021	2021	21-027785	2021-09-11 1:00:00	1:00 AM	10p to 2a	Saturday	2021-09-11 7:17:30	Saturday	21-027785-04	1	MC-99999999-NC308	County	
41	3704	37104	RMS/NIBRS	052401-2021	2021	21-000882	2021-03-28 1:00:00	1:06 AM	10p to 2a	Sunday	2021-03-28 2:17:27	Sunday	21-011502-03	1	MA-52030027-M20	No Offense	
44	390	38839	RMS/NIBRS	066610-2021	2021	21-011502	2021-04-18 1:00:00	1:06 AM	10p to 2a	Sunday	2021-04-18 4:49:01	Sunday	21-011502-01	1	MA-3590015-035	County	
56	403	39519	RMS/NIBRS	066610-2021	2021	21-011502	2021-04-18 1:00:00	1:06 AM	10p to 2a	Sunday	2021-04-18 4:49:01	Sunday	21-011502-01	1	MA-3590015-035	County	
58	492	49021	RMS/NIBRS	066610-2021	2021	21-011502	2021-04-18 1:00:00	1:06 AM	10p to 2a	Sunday	2021-04-18 4:49:01	Sunday	21-011502-02	1	MB-35620008-01	County	
59	1332	113568	RMS/NIBRS	196212-2021	2021	21-027256	2021-10-29 1:00:00	1:06 AM	10p to 2a	Friday	2021-10-29 4:20:33	Friday	21-011502-04	1	NA-99999999-NC197	No Offense	
61	1332	113568	RMS/NIBRS	196212-2021	2021	21-027256	2021-10-29 1:00:00	1:06 AM	10p to 2a	Friday	2021-10-29 4:20:33	Friday	21-011502-04	1	NA-99999999-NC197	No Offense	
62	1889	157820	RMS/NIBRS	066610-2021	2021	21-011502	2021-04-18 1:00:00	1:07 AM	10p to 2a	Sunday	2021-11-05 3:39:22	Friday	21-033466-01	1	FS-3590015-035	County	
66	1320	113051	RMS/NIBRS	200702-2021	2021	21-033466	2021-11-05 1:07:00	1:07 AM	10p to 2a	Friday	2021-11-05 3:39:22	Friday	21-033466-02	3	NA-99999999-NC197	No Offense	
67	1580	129382	RMS/NIBRS	200702-2021	2021	21-033466	2021-11-05 1:07:00	1:07 AM	10p to 2a	Friday	2021-11-05 3:39:22	Friday	21-033466-02	3	MB-35620008-01	County	
69	1552	127670	RMS/NIBRS	183254-2021	2021	21-030786	2021-10-09 1:00:00	1:09 AM	10p to 2a	Saturday	2021-10-09 5:03:26	Saturday	21-030786-02	1	FS-48040003-U454	County	
70	1628	133510	RMS/NIBRS	183254-2021	2021	21-030786	2021-10-09 1:00:00	1:09 AM	10p to 2a	Saturday	2021-10-09 5:03:26	Saturday	21-030786-02	1	NA-99999999-NC197	No Offense	
76	951	88472	RMS/NIBRS	163791-2021	2021	21-027656	2021-09-10 1:10:00	1:10 AM	10p to 2a	Friday	2021-09-10 3:38:48	Friday	21-027656-01	1	MA-52030027-M20	County	
80	1546	127062	RMS/NIBRS	182572-2021	2021	21-030667	2021-10-08 1:00:00	1:10 AM	10p to 2a	Friday	2021-10-08 7:01:47	Friday	21-030667-02	1	NA-99999999-NC197	No Offense	
85	1783	147453	RMS/NIBRS	182572-2021	2021	21-030667	2021-10-08 1:00:00	1:10 AM	10p to 2a	Friday	2021-10-08 7:01:47	Friday	21-030667-03	3	MB-35620008-01	County	
89	717	69231	RMS/NIBRS	098140-2021	2021	21-016693	2021-06-04 1:14:00	1:14 AM	10p to 2a	Friday	2021-06-04 3:56:10	Friday	21-016693-01	1	NA-99999999-NC197	No Offense	
93	934	87037	RMS/NIBRS	098140-2021	2021	21-016693	2021-06-04 1:14:00	1:14 AM	10p to 2a	Friday	2021-06-04 3:56:10	Friday	21-016693-02	1	MB-35620008-01	County	
94	934	87037	RMS/NIBRS	098140-2021	2021	21-016693	2021-06-04 1:14:00	1:14 AM	10p to 2a	Friday	2021-06-04 3:56:10	Friday	21-016693-02	1	NA-99999999-NC197	No Offense	
97	78	9336	RMS/NIBRS	015761-2021	2021	21-002653	2021-01-27 1:15:00	1:15 AM	10p to 2a	Wednesday	2021-01-27 3:31:44	Wednesday	21-002653-01	1	MA-26070223-NC297	County	
101	1245	108512	RMS/NIBRS	192492-2021	2021	21-032214	2021-10-23 1:15:00	1:15 AM	10p to 2a	Saturday	2021-10-23 9:30:52	Saturday	21-032214-05	1	NA-99999999-NC197	No Offense	
102	1288	111186	RMS/NIBRS	192492-2021	2021	21-032214	2021-10-23 1:15:00	1:15 AM	10p to 2a	Saturday	2021-10-23 9:30:52	Saturday	21-032214-04	1	MA-48010017-U58	County	
105	1567	128485	RMS/NIBRS	192492-2021	2021	21-032214	2021-10-23 1:15:00	1:15 AM	10p to 2a	Saturday	2021-10-23 9:30:52	Saturday	21-032214-01	1	MA-99999999-U142	County	
106	1776	146968	RMS/NIBRS	192492-2021	2021	21-032214	2021-10-23 1:15:00	1:15 AM	10p to 2a	Saturday	2021-10-23 9:30:52	Saturday	21-032214-03	1	FS-3590015-034	County	
107	1816	149784	RMS/NIBRS	192492-2021	2021	21-032214	2021-10-23 1:15:00	1:15 AM	10p to 2a	Saturday	2021-10-23 9:30:52	Saturday	21-032214-03	1	MA-48010006-U52	County	
108	1965	163660	RMS/NIBRS	192492-2021	2021	21-032214	2021-10-23 1:15:00	1:15 AM	10p to 2a	Saturday	2021-10-23 9:30:52	Saturday	21-032214-03	1	NA-99999999-NC310	No Offense	
109	549	54411	RMS/NIBRS	070868-2021	2021	21-012704	2021-04-24 13:17:00	1:17 AM	10p to 2a	Monday	2021-04-26 8:37:10	Monday	21-012704-01	1	MB-54040012-R4	County	
110	1595	130538	RMS/NIBRS	189141-2021	2021	21-031656	2021-10-18 1:19:00	1:19 AM	10p to 2a	Monday	2021-10-18 5:57:07	Monday	21-031656-01	1	MC-99999999-NC313	County	
111	60	7348	RMS/NIBRS	008488-2021	2021	21-001461	2021-01-15 1:20:00	1:20 AM	10p to 2a	Friday	2021-01-15 1:54:41	Friday	21-001461-01	1	MC-99999999-NC310	County	
113	772	74134	RMS/NIBRS	118697-2021	2021	21-020198	2021-07-05 1:20:00	1:20 AM	10p to 2a	Monday	2021-07-05 2:04:05	Monday	21-020198-01	1	MC-99999999-NC310	County	
115	1279	110051	RMS/NIBRS	177868-2021	2021	21-029889	2021-10-01 1:20:00	1:20 AM	10p to 2a	Friday	2021-10-01 2:29:28	Friday	21-029889-01	1	NA-99999999-NC313	No Offense	
116	1465	122198	RMS/NIBRS	181670-2021	2021	21-030502	2021-10-06 13:20:00	1:20 AM	10p to 2a	Wednesday	2021-10-06 19:05:26	Wednesday	21-030502-03	1	FS-24110003-G13	County	
118	1779	147266	RMS/NIBRS	181670-2021	2021	21-030502	2021-10-06 13:20:00	1:20 AM	10p to 2a	Wednesday	2021-10-06 19:05:26	Wednesday	21-030502-02	1	FS-3590015-035	County	
119	1979	164646	RMS/NIBRS	181670-2021	2021	21-030502	2021-10-06 13:20:00	1:20 AM	10p to 2a	Wednesday	2021-10-06 19:05:26	Wednesday	21-030502-02	1	FS-54040028-R12	County	
121	811	76573	RMS/NIBRS	113550-2021	2021	21-019295	2021-06-27 12:10:00	1:21 AM	10p to 2a	Sunday	2021-06-27 4:31:22	Sunday	21-019295-01	2	MC-99999999-NC184	No Offense	
122	1009	92892	RMS/NIBRS	169889-2021	2021	21-028700	2021-09-19 1:22:00	1:22 AM	10p to 2a	Sunday	2021-09-19 7:08:23	Sunday	21-028700-06	1	NA-99999999-NC197	County	
123	1040	94851	RMS/NIBRS	169889-2021	2021	21-028700	2021-09-19 1:22:00	1:22 AM	10p to 2a	Sunday	2021-09-19 7:08:23	Sunday	21-028700-03	1	MA-52030027-M20	County	
124	1198	105438	RMS/NIBRS	169889-2021	2021	21-028700	2021-09-19 1:22:00	1:22 AM	10p to 2a	Sunday	2021-09-19 7:08:23	Sunday	21-028700-02	1	MB-35620008-01	County	
125	1234	107344	RMS/NIBRS	169889-2021	2021	21-028700	2021-09-19 1:22:00	1:22 AM	10p to 2a	Sunday	2021-09-19 7:08:23	Sunday	21-028700-05	1	NA-99999999-NC197	No Offense	
126	1404	118446	RMS/NIBRS	169889-2021	2021	21-028700	2021-09-19 1:22:00	1:22 AM	10p to 2a	Sunday	2021-09-19 7:08:23	Sunday	21-028700-01	1	FS-26040014-K435	County	
127	2043	168894	RMS/NIBRS	169889-2021	2021	21-028700	2021-09-19 1:22:00	1:22 AM	10p to 2a	Sunday	2021-09-19 7:08:23	Sunday	21-028700-04	2	NA-99999999-NC197	No Offense	
128	189	20959	RMS/NIBRS	001182-2021	2021	21-000389	2021-01-04 1:23:00	1:23 AM	10p to 2a	Monday	2021-01-04 5:36:49	Monday	21-000389-01	1	MA-52030027-M20	County	
129	478	48099	RMS/NIBRS	085182-2021	2021												



	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N	O	P	Q
2054	139	1	15670	RMS/NIBRS	023298-2021	2021	21-004054	2021-02-08 0:52:00	12:52 PM	10p to 2a	Monday	2021-02-08 7:35:01	Monday	21-004054-03	1	MB-28990042-199	County
2055	140	1	15671	RMS/NIBRS	023298-2021	2021	21-004054	2021-02-08 0:52:00	12:52 PM	10p to 2a	Monday	2021-02-08 7:51:00	Monday	21-004054-01		F2-13990082-H44	County
2056	167	1	18395	RMS/NIBRS	023298-2021	2021	21-004054	2021-02-08 0:52:00	12:52 PM	10p to 2a	Monday	2021-06-11 2:35:01	Monday	21-004054-04	1	MA-48010006-U52	County
2057	799	1	75901	RMS/NIBRS	102854-2021	2021	21-017499	2021-06-11 0:54:00	12:54 PM	10p to 2a	Friday	2021-06-11 2:29:46	Friday	21-017499-01	1	MC-99999999-NC313	City
2064	1634	1	13416	RMS/NIBRS	164554-2021	2021	21-027791	2021-09-11 0:54:00	12:54 PM	10p to 2a	Saturday	2021-09-11 5:04:05	Saturday	21-027791-03	2	NA-99999999-NC197	No Offense
2066	1961	1	163436	RMS/NIBRS	164554-2021	2021	21-027791	2021-09-11 0:54:00	12:54 PM	10p to 2a	Saturday	2021-09-11 5:04:05	Saturday	21-027791-01	1	MB-35620008-O1	County
2067	2039	1	168675	RMS/NIBRS	164554-2021	2021	21-027791	2021-09-11 0:54:00	12:55 PM	10p to 2a	Saturday	2021-07-03 16:34:40	Saturday	21-027791-02	1	MA-52030027-M20	County
2070	943	1	87858	RMS/NIBRS	117688-2021	2021	21-020001	2021-07-03 12:55:00	12:55 PM	10p to 2a	Saturday	2021-07-03 16:34:40	Saturday	21-020001-01	1	NA-99999999-NC197	County
2071	1028	1	94321	RMS/NIBRS	117688-2021	2021	21-024437	2021-08-13 0:58:00	12:58 PM	10p to 2a	Friday	2021-08-13 6:56:43	Friday	21-024437-01	1	FS-13990076-H17	No Offense
2079	845	1	79008	RMS/NIBRS	144860-2021	2021	21-024437	2021-08-13 0:58:00	12:58 PM	10p to 2a	Friday	2021-08-13 6:56:43	Friday	21-024437-03	1	MA-54000010-R2	County
2080	849	1	79135	RMS/NIBRS	144860-2021	2021	21-024437	2021-08-13 0:58:00	12:58 PM	10p to 2a	Friday	2021-08-13 7:39:53	Friday	21-024437-05	1	MC-99999999-NC307	City
2081	1099	1	99342	RMS/NIBRS	144860-2021	2021	21-024437	2021-08-13 0:58:00	12:58 PM	10p to 2a	Friday	2021-08-13 6:56:43	Friday	21-024437-02	1	MC-99999999-NC308	City
2082	2019	1	167739	RMS/NIBRS	144860-2021	2021	21-024437	2021-08-13 0:58:00	12:58 PM	10p to 2a	Friday	2021-08-13 6:56:43	Friday	21-024437-04	1	NA-99999999-NC181	City
2083	2020	1	167740	RMS/NIBRS	144860-2021	2021	21-024437	2021-08-13 0:58:00	12:58 PM	10p to 2a	Friday	2021-08-13 6:56:43	Friday	21-024437-04	1	MC-99999999-NC308	City



	R	5	T	U	V	W	X	Y	Z	AA	AB	AC	AD	AE	AF	AG	AH
	Charge/Desc	Primary/Arresting	UCR/Arresting	Severity	Class	UCR	UCRWord	UCROffense	Statute		Type	UCR_Arching	HoldType	Bail/Org/amt	ReleaseType	WarrantNum	WarrantType
1	UNAUTHORIZED USE OF MOTOR VEH - AUTOMOBILE	Yes		F	FS			PC 31.07	DALLAS CITY CODE 31-27	124110003				0	Other	F2151019	WRNT_C
2	MANIFESTING THE PURPOSE OF ENGAGING IN PROSTITUTION	Yes		M	MC				DALLAS CITY CODE 31-27	999999999					Other		
3	MANIFESTING THE PURPOSE OF ENGAGING IN PROSTITUTION	Yes		M	MC				DALLAS CITY CODE 31-27	999999999					Other		
6	APOWW (SOCIAL SERVICES REFERRAL)	Yes		N	NA				Social Services Referral	999999999							
7	APOWW (SOCIAL SERVICES REFERRAL)	Yes		F	FS				HSC 481.115(c)	35990015						F2153830	WRNT_C
11	POSS CONT SUB PEN GRP 1 > OR EQUAL 1G<4G	Yes		M	MC				HSC 481.125 (A)	35500015						F2153830	WRNT_C
12	POSSESSION OF DRUG PARAPHERNALIA			M	MC				Warrant Dallas PD	999999999						F2153830	WRNT_C
14	WARRANT HOLD (NOT A DPD WARRANT)			N	NA				Warrant Dallas PD	999999999							
17	WARRANT DALLAS PD (AGG ASSAULT - NPV)	Yes		M	MA				PC 49.09(a)	54040010						M2156711	WRNT_C
18	DWI 1 PREV CONV	Yes		F	FS				HSC 481.115(c)	35990015						F2157925	WRNT_C
24	POSS CONT SUB PEN GRP 1 > OR EQUAL 1G<4G	Yes		M	MA				HSC 481.121(b)(1)	35620008						M2159820	WRNT_C
26	POSS MARIJUANA <2OZ			M	MA				HSC 481.121(b)(1)	35990133						M2159821	WRNT_C
27	POSS OF DANGEROUS DRUG			M	MA				PC 46.02(b)	52030027						M2159822	WRNT_C
28	UNLAWFUL CARRYING WEAPON	Yes		M	MA				PC 46.02(b)	52030027						M2159822	WRNT_C
29	UNLAWFUL CARRYING WEAPON	Yes		M	MA				TC	999999999						M2159823	WRNT_C
30	TRAFFIC VIOLATION - NON HAZARDOUS			M	MC				HSC 481.121(b)(2)	35620009						M2159823	WRNT_C
33	POSS MARIJUANA >2OZ<OR EQUAL 4OZ			M	MC				HSC 481.125 (A)	35500015						M2159823	WRNT_C
36	POSSESSION OF DRUG PARAPHERNALIA			M	MC				HSC 481.125 (A)	35500015						M2159823	WRNT_C
41	TRAFFIC VIOLATION - NON HAZARDOUS			M	MC				TC	999999999						M2159824	WRNT_C
44	WARRANT HOLD (NOT A DPD WARRANT)	Yes		N	NA				Warrant Dallas PD	999999999			DSO			M2155090	WRNT_C
56	UNLAWFUL CARRYING WEAPON	Yes		M	MA				PC 46.02(b)	52030027						M2155090	WRNT_C
58	POSS CONT SUB PEN GRP 3 < 28G			M	MA				HSC 481.117(b)	35990023						M2155091	WRNT_C
59	POSS MARIJUANA <2OZ			M	MA				HSC 481.121(b)(1)	35620008						F2160288	WRNT_C
61	POSS CONT SUB PEN GRP 1 > OR EQUAL 1G<4G	Yes		F	FS				HSC 481.115(c)	35990015			DSO			M2155089	WRNT_C
62	WARRANT HOLD (NOT A DPD WARRANT)			F	FS				Warrant Dallas PD	999999999						F2160455	WRNT_C
66	POSS CONT SUB PEN GRP 1 > OR EQUAL 1G<4G	Yes		F	FS				HSC 481.115(c)	35990015						F2160455	WRNT_C
67	WARRANT HOLD (NOT A DPD WARRANT)			N	NA				Warrant Dallas PD	999999999						F2160455	WRNT_C
69	POSS MARIJUANA <2OZ	Yes		M	MA				HSC 481.121(b)(1)	35620008						M2160650	WRNT_C
70	TAMPER FABRICATE PHYSICAL EVID WITH INTENT TO IMPAIR			F	FS				PC 37.09(c)	48040003						F2159743	WRNT_C
76	WARRANT HOLD (NOT A DPD WARRANT)	Yes		N	NA				Warrant Dallas PD	999999999						M2160643	WRNT_C
80	UNLAWFUL CARRYING WEAPON	Yes		M	MA				PC 46.02(b)	52030027			Other	\$920		M2160643	WRNT_C
85	WARRANT HOLD (NOT A DPD WARRANT)			N	NA				Warrant Dallas PD	999999999						M2160642	WRNT_C
89	POSS MARIJUANA <2OZ			M	MA				HSC 481.121(b)(1)	35620008						M2156615	WRNT_C
93	WARRANT HOLD (NOT A DPD WARRANT)			N	NA				Warrant Dallas PD	999999999						M2156615	WRNT_C
94	TRAF VIO - DRIVER LICENSE/D FALSE	Yes		M	MA				TC 521.451	26070223						M2156615	WRNT_C
97	OTHER OFFENSE - MISDEMEANOR	Yes		M	MA				New or Missing Misd Codes	999999999			DSO		Released to Appear	M2161079	WRNT_C
101	WARRANT HOLD (NOT A DPD WARRANT)			N	NA				Warrant Dallas PD	999999999						F2160124	WRNT_C
102	EVADING ARREST DETENTION			M	MA				PC 38.04(b)	48010017						M2161080	WRNT_C
105	OTHER OFFENSE - MISDEMEANOR			M	MA				New or Missing Misd Codes	999999999						M2161080	WRNT_C
106	POSS CONT SUB PEN GRP 1 <1G	Yes		F	FS				HSC 481.115(b)	35990014						F2160124	WRNT_C
107	RESIST ARREST SEARCH OR TRANSPORT			M	MA				PC 38.03(a)	48010006							
108	APOWW (SOCIAL SERVICES REFERRAL)	Yes		N	NA				Social Services Referral	999999999							
109	APOWW (SOCIAL SERVICES REFERRAL)	Yes		N	NA				Social Services Referral	999999999							
110	DWI W/OPEN CONTAINER	Yes		M	MA				PC 49.04(c)	54040012							
111	DWI W/OPEN CONTAINER	Yes		M	MA				PC 49.02	999999999					Other	M2160936	WRNT_C
113	PUBLIC INTOXICATION	Yes		M	MC				PC 49.02	999999999					Other		
115	APOWW (SOCIAL SERVICES REFERRAL)	Yes		N	NA				Social Services Referral	999999999							
116	UNLAWFUL POSS FIREARM BY FELON	Yes		F	FS				PC 46.04(e)	52120009						F2159643	WRNT_C
118	UNAUTHORIZED USE OF MOTOR VEH - AUTOMOBILE	Yes		F	FS				PC 31.07	24110003						F2159644	WRNT_C
119	POSS CONT SUB PEN GRP 1 > OR EQUAL 1G<4G	Yes		F	FS				HSC 481.115(c)	35990015						F2159643	WRNT_C
121	DWI W/CHILD UNDER 15 YOA	Yes		F	FS				PC 49.04(b)	54040028						F2157233	WRNT_C
122	WARRANT DALLAS PD (ALIUS/CAPAS)			N	NA				Warrant Dallas PD	999999999				\$675		M2160078	WRNT_C
123	UNLAWFUL CARRYING WEAPON			M	MA				PC 46.02(b)	52030027						M2160078	WRNT_C
124	POSS MARIJUANA <2OZ			M	MA				HSC 481.121(b)(1)	35620008				\$54.5		F2159143	WRNT_C
125	WARRANT HOLD (NOT A DPD WARRANT)			N	NA				Warrant Dallas PD	999999999				\$738		M2160078	WRNT_C
126	FRAUD USE/POSS IDENTIFYING INFO # ITEMS 5<10	Yes		F	FS				PC 32.51(c)(2)	26040043			Other			M2160078	WRNT_C
127	WARRANT HOLD (NOT A DPD WARRANT)	Yes		N	NA				Warrant Dallas PD	999999999						M2160077	WRNT_C
128	WARRANT HOLD (NOT A DPD WARRANT)	Yes		N	NA				Warrant Dallas PD	999999999						M2156005	WRNT_C
129	UNLAWFUL CARRYING WEAPON	Yes		M	MA				PC 46.04(e)	52030027						M2156002	WRNT_C
130	UNLAWFUL POSS FIREARM BY FELON	Yes		F	FS				PC 46.02(b)	52120009						M2156005	WRNT_C
131	UNLAWFUL CARRYING WEAPON	Yes		M	MA				PC 46.02(b)	52030027						M2156005	WRNT_C
132	POSS MARIJUANA <2OZ			M	MA				HSC 481.121(b)(1)	35620008							



	AI	AJ	AK	AL	AM	AN	AO	AP	AQ	AR	AS	AT
1	WarrantIssuedAgency	WarrantIssuedDate	ChangeDate	StartOffReviewDate	Jailgrd	OCFR_RPT_Written	OCFR_Approved By Date	OCFR_Received By Date	Apprehended Date	Final Disp	Final Disp Date	AltPremise
2	DALLAS PD	2021-01-02 3:40:45	2021-01-02 3:09:22	2021-01-02 3:02:51	9850	2021-01-02 3:03:03	2021-01-02 3:03:03	2021-01-02 3:04:00	2021-01-02 3:04:00	Accepted	2021-01-02 0:00:00	
3			2021-02-22 15:03:39	2021-02-22 14:42:38		2021-02-22 14:42:52	2021-02-22 14:42:52			Accepted	2021-02-22 0:00:00	Highway, Street, Alley ETC
6			2021-02-22 15:05:44	2021-02-22 14:42:38		2021-02-22 14:43:00	2021-02-22 14:43:00			Accepted	2021-02-22 0:00:00	Highway, Street, Alley ETC
7			2021-03-25 3:42:13	2021-03-25 3:03:52	8561	2021-03-25 3:04:23	2021-03-25 3:04:23			Accepted	2021-03-25 0:00:00	Bar/NightClub/Dancehall ETC
11	DPD	2021-04-02 4:43:45	2021-04-02 4:23:53	2021-04-02 3:45:42	9042	2021-04-02 3:46:26	2021-04-02 3:46:26	2021-04-02 4:03:00	2021-04-02 4:03:00	Accepted	2021-04-02 0:00:00	Highway, Street, Alley ETC
12	DPD	2021-04-02 4:43:45	2021-04-02 4:23:53	2021-04-02 3:45:42	9042	2021-04-02 3:46:26	2021-04-02 3:46:26	2021-04-02 4:03:00	2021-04-02 4:03:00	Accepted	2021-04-02 0:00:00	Highway, Street, Alley ETC
14	DPD	2021-04-02 4:43:45	2021-04-02 4:23:53	2021-04-02 3:45:42	9042	2021-04-02 3:46:26	2021-04-02 3:46:26	2021-04-02 4:03:00	2021-04-02 4:03:00	Accepted	2021-04-02 0:00:00	Highway, Street, Alley ETC
17			2021-08-25 2:07:01	2021-06-03 14:02:16	9042	2021-08-25 1:51:12	2021-08-25 2:01:00			Accepted	2021-08-25 0:00:00	Apartment Complex/Building
18	DALLAS PD	2021-06-06 7:06:50	2021-06-06 5:42:07	2021-06-06 5:11:56	7718	2021-06-06 5:12:03	2021-06-06 5:12:03	2021-08-10 16:47:00	2021-08-10 13:00:00	Accepted	2021-08-10 0:00:00	
24	DALLAS PD	2021-08-10 17:01:36	2021-08-10 16:47:31	2021-08-10 16:33:35	9550	2021-08-10 16:33:35	2021-08-10 16:33:35	2021-09-11 7:23:00	2021-09-11 7:23:00	Accepted	2021-09-11 0:00:00	Highway, Street, Alley ETC
26	DALLAS PD	2021-09-11 8:02:34	2021-09-11 7:24:28	2021-09-11 7:16:40	8552	2021-09-11 7:17:01	2021-09-11 7:17:01	2021-09-11 7:23:00	2021-09-11 7:23:00	Accepted	2021-09-11 0:00:00	Highway, Street, Alley ETC
27	DALLAS PD	2021-09-11 8:05:07	2021-09-11 7:24:28	2021-09-11 7:16:40	8552	2021-09-11 7:17:01	2021-09-11 7:17:01	2021-09-11 7:23:00	2021-09-11 7:23:00	Accepted	2021-09-11 0:00:00	Highway, Street, Alley ETC
28	DALLAS PD	2021-09-11 8:20:21	2021-09-11 7:32:10	2021-09-11 7:16:40	8552	2021-09-11 7:17:01	2021-09-11 7:17:01	2021-09-11 7:23:00	2021-09-11 7:23:00	Accepted	2021-09-11 0:00:00	Highway, Street, Alley ETC
29	DALLAS PD	2021-09-11 8:09:44	2021-09-11 7:24:28	2021-09-11 7:16:40	8552	2021-09-11 7:17:01	2021-09-11 7:17:01	2021-09-11 7:23:00	2021-09-11 7:23:00	Accepted	2021-09-11 0:00:00	Highway, Street, Alley ETC
30	DALLAS PD	2021-09-11 8:20:21	2021-09-11 7:32:10	2021-09-11 7:16:40	8552	2021-09-11 7:17:01	2021-09-11 7:17:01	2021-09-11 7:23:00	2021-09-11 7:23:00	Accepted	2021-09-11 0:00:00	Highway, Street, Alley ETC
35	DALLAS PD	2021-09-11 8:20:21	2021-09-11 7:32:10	2021-09-11 7:16:40	8552	2021-09-11 7:17:30	2021-09-11 7:17:30	2021-09-11 7:31:00	2021-09-11 7:31:00	Accepted	2021-09-11 0:00:00	Highway, Street, Alley ETC
36	DALLAS PD	2021-09-11 8:20:21	2021-09-11 7:32:10	2021-09-11 7:16:40	8552	2021-09-11 7:17:30	2021-09-11 7:17:30	2021-09-11 7:31:00	2021-09-11 7:31:00	Accepted	2021-09-11 0:00:00	Highway, Street, Alley ETC
41	DALLAS PD	2021-09-11 8:21:39	2021-09-11 7:32:10	2021-09-11 7:16:40	8552	2021-09-11 7:17:30	2021-09-11 7:17:30	2021-09-11 7:31:00	2021-09-11 7:31:00	Accepted	2021-09-11 0:00:00	Highway, Street, Alley ETC
44			2021-03-28 2:48:25	2021-03-28 2:17:27		2021-03-28 2:17:27	2021-03-28 2:17:27	2021-04-18 5:05:00	2021-04-18 5:05:00	Accepted	2021-04-18 0:00:00	Bar/NightClub/Dancehall ETC
56	DALLAS PD	2021-04-18 6:57:24	2021-04-21 13:35:51	2021-04-18 4:48:34	9320	2021-04-18 4:49:01	2021-04-18 4:49:01	2021-04-18 5:05:00	2021-04-18 5:05:00	Accepted	2021-04-18 0:00:00	Highway, Street, Alley ETC
58	DALLAS PD	2021-04-18 6:57:24	2021-04-18 5:06:34	2021-04-18 4:48:34	9320	2021-04-18 4:49:01	2021-04-18 4:49:01	2021-04-18 5:05:00	2021-04-18 5:05:00	Accepted	2021-04-18 0:00:00	Highway, Street, Alley ETC
59	DALLAS PD	2021-04-18 6:59:18	2021-04-18 5:06:34	2021-04-18 4:48:34	9320	2021-04-18 4:49:01	2021-04-18 4:49:01	2021-04-18 5:05:00	2021-04-18 5:05:00	Accepted	2021-04-18 0:00:00	Highway, Street, Alley ETC
61	DPD	2021-10-29 4:38:27	2021-10-29 4:30:30	2021-10-29 4:20:33	9850	2021-10-29 4:20:33	2021-10-29 4:20:33	2021-10-29 4:32:00	2021-10-29 4:32:00	Accepted	2021-10-29 0:00:00	Highway, Street, Alley ETC
62	DALLAS PD	2021-04-21 13:35:51	2021-04-21 13:35:51	2021-04-18 4:48:34	9320	2021-04-18 4:49:01	2021-04-18 4:49:01	2021-11-05 5:59:00	2021-11-05 5:59:00	Accepted	2021-11-05 0:00:00	Highway, Street, Alley ETC
66	DALLAS PD	2021-11-05 6:50:16	2021-11-05 6:03:01	2021-11-05 5:39:16	7718	2021-11-05 5:39:22	2021-11-05 5:39:22	2021-10-09 8:16:00	2021-10-09 8:16:00	Declined by Magistrate	2021-10-09 0:00:00	Highway, Street, Alley ETC
67	DALLAS PD	2021-11-05 6:50:16	2021-11-05 6:03:01	2021-11-05 5:39:16	7718	2021-11-05 5:39:22	2021-11-05 5:39:22	2021-10-09 8:16:00	2021-10-09 8:16:00	Accepted	2021-10-09 0:00:00	Highway, Street, Alley ETC
69	DALLAS PD	2021-10-18 10:57:08	2021-10-18 10:57:08	2021-10-09 5:02:47	7718	2021-10-09 5:03:26	2021-10-09 5:03:26	2021-09-10 8:46:00	2021-09-10 8:46:00	Accepted	2021-09-10 0:00:00	Highway, Street, Alley ETC
70	DALLAS PD	2021-10-09 8:50:00	2021-10-08 7:47:24	2021-09-10 3:50:55	9850	2021-09-10 3:50:55	2021-09-10 3:50:55	2021-10-08 7:11:00	2021-10-08 7:11:00	Accepted	2021-10-08 0:00:00	Highway, Street, Alley ETC
76			2021-10-08 7:47:24	2021-10-08 7:12:11		2021-10-08 7:01:47	2021-10-08 7:01:47	2021-10-08 7:11:00	2021-10-08 7:11:00	Accepted	2021-10-08 0:00:00	Highway, Street, Alley ETC
80	DALLAS PD	2021-10-08 7:47:24	2021-10-08 7:12:11	2021-10-08 7:01:00	8552	2021-10-08 7:01:47	2021-10-08 7:01:47	2021-10-08 7:11:00	2021-10-08 7:11:00	Accepted	2021-10-08 0:00:00	Highway, Street, Alley ETC
85	DALLAS PD	2021-10-08 7:47:24	2021-10-08 7:12:11	2021-10-08 7:01:00	8552	2021-10-08 7:01:47	2021-10-08 7:01:47	2021-10-08 7:11:00	2021-10-08 7:11:00	Accepted	2021-10-08 0:00:00	Highway, Street, Alley ETC
89	DALLAS PD	2021-10-08 7:47:24	2021-10-08 7:12:11	2021-10-08 7:01:00	8552	2021-10-08 7:01:47	2021-10-08 7:01:47	2021-10-08 7:11:00	2021-10-08 7:11:00	Accepted	2021-10-08 0:00:00	Highway, Street, Alley ETC
93	DALLAS PD	2021-06-04 4:58:41	2021-06-04 4:19:28	2021-06-04 3:55:32		2021-06-04 3:56:10	2021-06-04 3:56:10			Accepted	2021-06-04 0:00:00	Highway, Street, Alley ETC
94	DALLAS PD	2021-06-04 4:58:41	2021-06-04 4:19:28	2021-06-04 3:55:32		2021-06-04 3:56:10	2021-06-04 3:56:10			Accepted	2021-06-04 0:00:00	Highway, Street, Alley ETC
97			2021-01-27 3:44:23	2021-01-27 3:31:18	M198	2021-01-27 3:31:44	2021-01-27 3:31:44	2021-01-27 3:44:00	2021-01-27 3:44:00	Accepted	2021-01-27 0:00:00	Highway, Street, Alley ETC
101	DALLAS PD	2021-10-23 9:59:20	2021-10-23 9:50:48	2021-10-23 9:30:42	8552	2021-10-23 9:30:52	2021-10-23 9:30:52	2021-10-23 9:45:00	2021-10-23 9:45:00	Accepted	2021-10-23 0:00:00	Highway, Street, Alley ETC
102	DALLAS PD	2021-10-23 10:00:52	2021-10-23 9:46:08	2021-10-23 9:30:42	8552	2021-10-23 9:30:52	2021-10-23 9:30:52	2021-10-23 9:45:00	2021-10-23 9:45:00	Accepted	2021-10-23 0:00:00	Highway, Street, Alley ETC
105	DALLAS PD	2021-10-23 10:00:52	2021-10-23 9:46:08	2021-10-23 9:30:42	8552	2021-10-23 9:30:52	2021-10-23 9:30:52	2021-10-23 9:45:00	2021-10-23 9:45:00	Accepted	2021-10-23 0:00:00	Highway, Street, Alley ETC
106	DALLAS PD	2021-10-23 10:00:52	2021-10-23 9:46:08	2021-10-23 9:30:42	8552	2021-10-23 9:30:52	2021-10-23 9:30:52	2021-10-23 9:45:00	2021-10-23 9:45:00	Accepted	2021-10-23 0:00:00	Highway, Street, Alley ETC
107	DALLAS PD	2021-10-23 10:02:19	2021-07-11 17:59:26	2021-07-11 7:32:24	9082	2021-07-11 7:32:33	2021-07-11 7:32:33	2021-07-11 18:00:00	2021-07-11 18:00:00	Accepted	2021-07-11 0:00:00	Highway, Street, Alley ETC
108			2021-04-27 23:18:28	2021-04-26 8:36:16	8561	2021-04-26 8:37:10	2021-04-26 8:37:10	2021-04-27 0:00:00	2021-04-27 0:00:00	Accepted	2021-04-27 0:00:00	Highway, Street, Alley ETC
109				2021-10-18 5:57:07		2021-10-18 5:57:07	2021-10-18 5:57:07	2021-10-18 6:04:00	2021-10-18 6:04:00	Accepted	2021-10-18 0:00:00	Highway, Street, Alley ETC
110	DALLAS PD	2021-10-18 6:24:08	2021-10-18 6:05:21	2021-01-15 1:54:41	M194	2021-01-15 1:54:41	2021-01-15 1:54:41	2021-01-15 2:17:00	2021-01-15 2:17:00	Accepted	2021-01-15 0:00:00	Bar/NightClub/Dancehall ETC
111			2021-01-15 2:17:40	2021-01-15 2:04:05		2021-01-15 2:04:05	2021-01-15 2:04:05			Accepted	2021-01-15 0:00:00	
113			2021-07-05 2:09:55	2021-07-05 2:03:59	M198	2021-07-05 2:04:05	2021-07-05 2:04:05	2021-07-05 2:09:00	2021-07-05 2:09:00	Accepted	2021-07-05 0:00:00	Highway, Street, Alley ETC
115			2021-10-01 13:41:53	2021-10-01 13:35:21		2021-10-01 13:35:21	2021-10-01 13:35:21	2021-10-01 13:40:00	2021-10-01 13:40:00	Accepted	2021-10-01 0:00:00	Highway, Street, Alley ETC
116	DALLAS PD	2021-10-06 19:53:57	2021-10-06 19:35:21	2021-10-06 19:04:41	9146	2021-10-06 19:05:26	2021-10-06 19:05:26	2021-10-06 19:34:00	2021-10-06 19:34:00	Accepted	2021-10-06 0:00:00	Highway, Street, Alley ETC
118	DALLAS PD	2021-10-06 19:53:57	2021-10-06 19:35:21	2021-10-06 19:04:41	9146	2021-10-06 19:05:26	2021-10-06 19:05:26	2021-10-06 19:34:00	2021-10-06 19:34:00	Accepted	2021-10-06 0:00:00	Highway, Street, Alley ETC
119	DALLAS PD	2021-10-06 19:53:57	2021-10-06 19:35:21	2021-10-06 19:04:41	9146	2021-10-06 19:05:26	2021-10-06 19:05:26	2021-10-06 19:34:00	2021-10-06 19:34:00	Accepted	2021-10-06 0:00:00	Highway, Street, Alley ETC
121	DALLAS PD	2021-06-27 8:43:19	2021-06-27 5:39:43	2021-06-27 4:31:22		2021-06-27 4:31:22	2021-06-27 4:31:22	2021-06-27 5:39:00	2021-06-27 5:39:00	Accepted	2021-06-27 0:00:00	Highway, Street, Alley ETC
122	DALLAS PD	2021-09-19 9:09:40	2021-09-19 7:17:07	2021-09-19 7:08:08	7718	2021-09-19 7:08:23	2021-09-19 7:08:23	2021-09-19 7:23:00	2021-09-19 7:23:00	Accepted	2021-09-19 0:00:00	Highway, Street, Alley ETC
123	DALLAS PD	2021-09-19 9:09:40	2021-09-19 7:17:07	2021-09-19 7:08:08	7718	2021-09-19 7:08:23	2021-09-19 7:08:23	2021-09-19 7:23:00	2021-09-19 7:23:00	Accepted	2021-09-19 0:00:00	Highway, Street, Alley ETC
124	DALLAS PD	2021-09-19 9:01:50	2021-09-19 8:13:35	2021-09-19 7:08:08	7718	2021-09-19 7:08:23	2021-09-19 7:08:23	2021-09-19 7:23:00	2021-09-19 7:23:00	Accepted	2021-09-19 0:00:00	Highway, Street, Alley ETC
125	DALLAS PD	2021-09-19 9:09:40	2021-09-19 7:17:07	2021-09-19 7:08:08	7718	2021-09-19 7:08:23	2021-09-19 7:08:23	2021-09-19 7:23:00	2021-09-19 7:23:00	Accepted	2021-09-19 0:00:00	Highway, Street, Alley ETC
126	DALLAS PD	2021-09-19 9:09:40	2021-09-19 7:17:07	2021-09-19 7:08:08	7718	2021-09-19 7:08:23	2021-09-19 7:08:23	2021-09-19 7:23:00	2021-09-			



AU		AV	AW	AX		AY		AZ	BA
1	Action	DisCode	NIBRS_Group	NIBRS_Crime_Category	NIBRS_Crime	NIBRS_Crime_ComStat	NIBRS_CrimeAgainst		
2	Arrested - Lew Sterrett		A	MOTOR VEHICLE THEFT	UUMV	UUMV	PERSON, PROPERTY, OR SOCIETY		
3	Arrested - CDC		B	ALL OTHER OFFENSES	ALL OTHER OFFENSES	ALL OTHER OFFENSES	PERSON, PROPERTY, OR SOCIETY		
6	Arrested - CDC		B	ALL OTHER OFFENSES	ALL OTHER OFFENSES	ALL OTHER OFFENSES	PERSON, PROPERTY, OR SOCIETY		
7	Arrested - Released to Hospital (APOWW)	H	C	APOWW (SOCIAL SERVICES REFERRAL)	APOWW (SOCIAL SERVICES REFERRAL)	APOWW (SOCIAL SERVICES REFERRAL)	PERSON, PROPERTY, OR SOCIETY		
11	Arrested - Lew Sterrett	H	A	DRUG/ NARCOTIC VIOLATIONS	DRUG/ NARCOTIC VIOLATIONS	DRUG/ NARCOTIC VIOLATIONS	SOCIETY		
12	Arrested - Lew Sterrett	H	A	DRUG/ NARCOTIC VIOLATIONS	DRUG EQUIPMENT VIOLATIONS	DRUG EQUIPMENT VIOLATIONS	SOCIETY		
14	Arrested - Lew Sterrett	H	A	DRUG/ NARCOTIC VIOLATIONS	WARRANT HOLD (NOT A DPD WARRANT)	WARRANT HOLD (NOT A DPD WARRANT)	PERSON, PROPERTY, OR SOCIETY		
17	Arrested - Lew Sterrett	H	C	WARRANT HOLD (NOT A DPD WARRANT)	WARRANT DALLAS PD (AGG ASSAULT - NPV)	WARRANT DALLAS PD (AGG ASSAULT - NPV)	PERSON		
18	Arrested - Lew Sterrett		A	DRIVING UNDER THE INFLUENCE	DUI	DUI	SOCIETY		
24	Arrested - Lew Sterrett		A	DRUG/ NARCOTIC VIOLATIONS	DRUG/ NARCOTIC VIOLATIONS	DRUG/ NARCOTIC VIOLATIONS	SOCIETY		
26	Arrested - Lew Sterrett	H	A	DRUG/ NARCOTIC VIOLATIONS	DRUG/ NARCOTIC VIOLATIONS	DRUG/ NARCOTIC VIOLATIONS	SOCIETY		
27	Arrested - Lew Sterrett	H	A	DRUG/ NARCOTIC VIOLATIONS	DRUG/ NARCOTIC VIOLATIONS	DRUG/ NARCOTIC VIOLATIONS	SOCIETY		
28	Arrested - Lew Sterrett	H	A	WEAPON LAW VIOLATIONS	WEAPON LAW VIOLATIONS	WEAPON LAW VIOLATIONS	SOCIETY		
29	Arrested - Lew Sterrett	H	A	WEAPON LAW VIOLATIONS	WEAPON LAW VIOLATIONS	WEAPON LAW VIOLATIONS	SOCIETY		
30	Arrested - Lew Sterrett	H	C	TRAFFIC VIOLATION - NON HAZARDOUS	TRAFFIC VIOLATION - NON HAZARDOUS	TRAFFIC VIOLATION - NON HAZARDOUS	PERSON, PROPERTY, OR SOCIETY		
35	Arrested - Lew Sterrett	H	A	TRAFFIC VIOLATION - NON HAZARDOUS	DRUG/ NARCOTIC VIOLATIONS	DRUG/ NARCOTIC VIOLATIONS	SOCIETY		
36	Arrested - Lew Sterrett	H	A	DRUG/ NARCOTIC VIOLATIONS	DRUG EQUIPMENT VIOLATIONS	DRUG EQUIPMENT VIOLATIONS	PERSON, PROPERTY, OR SOCIETY		
41	Arrested - Lew Sterrett	H	C	TRAFFIC VIOLATION - NON HAZARDOUS	TRAFFIC VIOLATION - NON HAZARDOUS	TRAFFIC VIOLATION - NON HAZARDOUS	PERSON, PROPERTY, OR SOCIETY		
44	Arrested - Lew Sterrett	C	C	WARRANT HOLD (NOT A DPD WARRANT)	WARRANT HOLD (NOT A DPD WARRANT)	WARRANT HOLD (NOT A DPD WARRANT)	PERSON, PROPERTY, OR SOCIETY		
56	Arrested - Lew Sterrett	C	A	WEAPON LAW VIOLATIONS	WEAPON LAW VIOLATIONS	WEAPON LAW VIOLATIONS	SOCIETY		
58	Arrested - Lew Sterrett	A	A	DRUG/ NARCOTIC VIOLATIONS	DRUG/ NARCOTIC VIOLATIONS	DRUG/ NARCOTIC VIOLATIONS	SOCIETY		
59	Arrested - Lew Sterrett	A	A	DRUG/ NARCOTIC VIOLATIONS	DRUG/ NARCOTIC VIOLATIONS	DRUG/ NARCOTIC VIOLATIONS	SOCIETY		
61	Arrested - Lew Sterrett	A	A	DRUG/ NARCOTIC VIOLATIONS	DRUG/ NARCOTIC VIOLATIONS	DRUG/ NARCOTIC VIOLATIONS	SOCIETY		
62	Arrested - Lew Sterrett	C	C	WARRANT HOLD (NOT A DPD WARRANT)	WARRANT HOLD (NOT A DPD WARRANT)	WARRANT HOLD (NOT A DPD WARRANT)	PERSON, PROPERTY, OR SOCIETY		
66	Arrested - Lew Sterrett	A	A	DRUG/ NARCOTIC VIOLATIONS	DRUG/ NARCOTIC VIOLATIONS	DRUG/ NARCOTIC VIOLATIONS	SOCIETY		
67	Arrested - Lew Sterrett	C	C	WARRANT HOLD (NOT A DPD WARRANT)	WARRANT HOLD (NOT A DPD WARRANT)	WARRANT HOLD (NOT A DPD WARRANT)	PERSON, PROPERTY, OR SOCIETY		
69	Arrested - Lew Sterrett	A	A	DRUG/ NARCOTIC VIOLATIONS	DRUG/ NARCOTIC VIOLATIONS	DRUG/ NARCOTIC VIOLATIONS	SOCIETY		
70	Arrested - Lew Sterrett	B	B	ALL OTHER OFFENSES	ALL OTHER OFFENSES	ALL OTHER OFFENSES	PERSON, PROPERTY, OR SOCIETY		
76	Arrested - Lew Sterrett	C	C	WARRANT HOLD (NOT A DPD WARRANT)	WARRANT HOLD (NOT A DPD WARRANT)	WARRANT HOLD (NOT A DPD WARRANT)	PERSON, PROPERTY, OR SOCIETY		
80	Arrested - Lew Sterrett	A	A	WEAPON LAW VIOLATIONS	WEAPON LAW VIOLATIONS	WEAPON LAW VIOLATIONS	SOCIETY		
85	Arrested - Lew Sterrett	C	A	WARRANT HOLD (NOT A DPD WARRANT)	WARRANT HOLD (NOT A DPD WARRANT)	WARRANT HOLD (NOT A DPD WARRANT)	PERSON, PROPERTY, OR SOCIETY		
89	Arrested - Lew Sterrett	A	A	DRUG/ NARCOTIC VIOLATIONS	DRUG/ NARCOTIC VIOLATIONS	DRUG/ NARCOTIC VIOLATIONS	SOCIETY		
93	Arrested - Lew Sterrett	H	C	DRUG/ NARCOTIC VIOLATIONS	WARRANT HOLD (NOT A DPD WARRANT)	WARRANT HOLD (NOT A DPD WARRANT)	PERSON, PROPERTY, OR SOCIETY		
94	Arrested - Lew Sterrett	H	A	WARRANT HOLD (NOT A DPD WARRANT)	FALSE PRETENSES/ SWINDLE/ CONFIDENCE GAME	FALSE PRETENSES/ SWINDLE/ CONFIDENCE GAME	PROPERTY		
97	Arrested - Lew Sterrett	H	B	FRAUD OFFENSES	FRAUD OFFENSES	FRAUD OFFENSES	PERSON, PROPERTY, OR SOCIETY		
101	Arrested - Lew Sterrett	C	C	ALL OTHER OFFENSES	ALL OTHER OFFENSES	ALL OTHER OFFENSES	PERSON, PROPERTY, OR SOCIETY		
102	Arrested - Lew Sterrett	C	C	WARRANT HOLD (NOT A DPD WARRANT)	WARRANT HOLD (NOT A DPD WARRANT)	WARRANT HOLD (NOT A DPD WARRANT)	PERSON, PROPERTY, OR SOCIETY		
105	Arrested - Lew Sterrett	B	B	ALL OTHER OFFENSES	ALL OTHER OFFENSES	ALL OTHER OFFENSES	PERSON, PROPERTY, OR SOCIETY		
106	Arrested - Lew Sterrett	B	B	ALL OTHER OFFENSES	ALL OTHER OFFENSES	ALL OTHER OFFENSES	PERSON, PROPERTY, OR SOCIETY		
107	Arrested - Lew Sterrett	A	A	DRUG/ NARCOTIC VIOLATIONS	DRUG/ NARCOTIC VIOLATIONS	DRUG/ NARCOTIC VIOLATIONS	SOCIETY		
108	Arrested - Lew Sterrett	B	B	ALL OTHER OFFENSES	ALL OTHER OFFENSES	ALL OTHER OFFENSES	PERSON, PROPERTY, OR SOCIETY		
109	Arrested - Released To Hospital (APOWW)	C	C	APOWW (SOCIAL SERVICES REFERRAL)	APOWW (SOCIAL SERVICES REFERRAL)	APOWW (SOCIAL SERVICES REFERRAL)	PERSON, PROPERTY, OR SOCIETY		
110	Arrested - Lew Sterrett	H	C	APOWW (SOCIAL SERVICES REFERRAL)	APOWW (SOCIAL SERVICES REFERRAL)	APOWW (SOCIAL SERVICES REFERRAL)	PERSON, PROPERTY, OR SOCIETY		
111	Arrested - Lew Sterrett	B	B	DRIVING UNDER THE INFLUENCE	DUI	DUI	SOCIETY		
113	Arrested - CDC	B	B	DRIVING UNDER THE INFLUENCE	DUI	DUI	SOCIETY		
115	Arrested - Released To Hospital (APOWW)	H	B	PUBLIC INTOXICATION	PUBLIC INTOXICATION	PUBLIC INTOXICATION	SOCIETY		
116	Arrested - Lew Sterrett	A	A	PUBLIC INTOXICATION	PUBLIC INTOXICATION	PUBLIC INTOXICATION	PERSON, PROPERTY, OR SOCIETY		
118	Arrested - Lew Sterrett	H	A	APOWW (SOCIAL SERVICES REFERRAL)	APOWW (SOCIAL SERVICES REFERRAL)	APOWW (SOCIAL SERVICES REFERRAL)	PERSON, PROPERTY, OR SOCIETY		
119	Arrested - Lew Sterrett	H	A	WEAPON LAW VIOLATIONS	WEAPON LAW VIOLATIONS	WEAPON LAW VIOLATIONS	PROPERTY		
121	Arrested - Lew Sterrett	H	A	WEAPON LAW VIOLATIONS	WEAPON LAW VIOLATIONS	WEAPON LAW VIOLATIONS	SOCIETY		
122	Arrested - Lew Sterrett	H	B	MOTOR VEHICLE THEFT	UUMV	UUMV	SOCIETY		
122	Arrested - Lew Sterrett	H	B	DRUG/ NARCOTIC VIOLATIONS	DRUG/ NARCOTIC VIOLATIONS	DRUG/ NARCOTIC VIOLATIONS	SOCIETY		
123	Arrested - Lew Sterrett	C	C	DRIVING UNDER THE INFLUENCE	WARRANT DALLAS PD (JALUS/CAPAS)	WARRANT DALLAS PD (JALUS/CAPAS)	PERSON, PROPERTY, OR SOCIETY		
124	Arrested - Lew Sterrett	H	B	WARRANT DALLAS PD (JALUS/CAPAS)	WEAPON LAW VIOLATIONS	WEAPON LAW VIOLATIONS	SOCIETY		
124	Arrested - Lew Sterrett	H	C	WEAPON LAW VIOLATIONS	DRUG/ NARCOTIC VIOLATIONS	DRUG/ NARCOTIC VIOLATIONS	PERSON, PROPERTY, OR SOCIETY		
125	Arrested - Lew Sterrett	A	A	DRUG/ NARCOTIC VIOLATIONS	DRUG/ NARCOTIC VIOLATIONS	DRUG/ NARCOTIC VIOLATIONS	PERSON, PROPERTY, OR SOCIETY		
126	Arrested - Lew Sterrett	A	A	WARRANT HOLD (NOT A DPD WARRANT)	WARRANT HOLD (NOT A DPD WARRANT)	WARRANT HOLD (NOT A DPD WARRANT)	PROPERTY		
127	Arrested - Lew Sterrett	H	C	WARRANT HOLD (NOT A DPD WARRANT)	IDENTITY THEFT	IDENTITY THEFT	PERSON, PROPERTY, OR SOCIETY		
128	Arrested - Lew Sterrett	H	C	WARRANT HOLD (NOT A DPD WARRANT)	WARRANT HOLD (NOT A DPD WARRANT)	WARRANT HOLD (NOT A DPD WARRANT)	PERSON, PROPERTY, OR SOCIETY		
129	Arrested - Lew Sterrett	C	C	WARRANT HOLD (NOT A DPD WARRANT)	WEAPON LAW VIOLATIONS	WEAPON LAW VIOLATIONS	SOCIETY		
130	Arrested - Lew Sterrett	H	A	WEAPON LAW VIOLATIONS	WEAPON LAW VIOLATIONS	WEAPON LAW VIOLATIONS	SOCIETY		
131	Arrested - Lew Sterrett	A	A	WEAPON LAW VIOLATIONS	WEAPON LAW VIOLATIONS	WEAPON LAW VIOLATIONS	SOCIETY		
132	Arrested - Lew Sterrett	A	A	DRUG/ NARCOTIC VIOLATIONS	DRUG/ NARCOTIC VIOLATIONS	DRUG/ NARCOTIC VIOLATIONS	SOCIETY		

CONFIDENTIAL



	BR	BC	BD	BE	BF	BG	BH	BI	BJ	BK	BL	BM	BN	BO	BP	BQ	BR
	NIBRS Code	NIBRS Group CrimeAgainst	NIBRS Type	ChargesSynopsis	CFS Number	Name	NickName	AliasName	BirthPlace	Height	Weight	Hair	Eye				
1	NIBRS Code	NIBRS Group CrimeAgainst	NIBRS Type	ChargesSynopsis	CFS Number	Name	NickName	AliasName	BirthPlace	Height	Weight	Hair <td>Eye</td>	Eye				
2	240	PROPERTY	Coded		21-0007769	YOUNG-RAMOS, GABRIEL, ROBERT			DALLAS, TX	5-08	175	Brown	Brown				
3	90Z	PERSON, PROPERTY, OR SOCIETY	Coded		21-0323647	PLEASANT, DEZARAE, OPAL			HOUSTON	5-00	110	Black	Brown				
6	90Z	PERSON, PROPERTY, OR SOCIETY	Coded		21-0323647	ROBIN, KARL, NICHOLE				5-04	150	Blonde	Green				
7	999	PERSON, PROPERTY, OR SOCIETY	Coded		21-0518933	FOSTER, JAYEE, MICHELLE				5-05	110	Blonde	Blue				
11	35A	SOCIETY	Coded		21-0572633	VENABLE, RONNIE, KENT			OKLAHOMA	5-11	180	White	Brown				
12	35B	SOCIETY	Not Coded		21-0572633	VENABLE, RONNIE, KENT			OKLAHOMA	5-11	180	White	Brown				
14	999	PERSON, PROPERTY, OR SOCIETY	Not Coded		21-0572633	VENABLE, RONNIE, KENT			LOUISIANA	5-11	180	White	Brown				
17	13A	PERSON	Coded		21-0915646	HINES, KETDRICK, QUENTERIUS				5-06	170	Black	Brown				
18	90D	SOCIETY	Coded		21-1017506	ALVAREZ ZAPATERO, JULIO, CESAR			JACKSON, MISSISSIPPI	5-10	165	Black	Brown				
24	35A	SOCIETY	Coded		21-1500879	THOMPSON, RODRIGUEZ, KENDALL			BULGARIA	5-10	150	Brown	Brown				
26	35A	SOCIETY	Not Coded		21-1724614	SABINSKA, TSVETLINA			BULGARIA	5-10	150	Brown	Brown				
27	35A	SOCIETY	Not Coded		21-1724614	SABINSKA, TSVETLINA			TEXAS	6-00	185	Black	Black				
28	520	SOCIETY	Coded		21-1724614	STUART, DEZZIE, TYRONE			BULGARIA	5-10	150	Brown	Brown				
29	520	SOCIETY	Coded		21-1724614	STUART, DEZZIE, TYRONE			TEXAS	6-00	185	Black	Black				
30	999	PERSON, PROPERTY, OR SOCIETY	Not Coded		21-1724614	STUART, DEZZIE, TYRONE			TEXAS	6-00	185	Black	Black				
35	35A	SOCIETY	Not Coded		21-1724614	STUART, DEZZIE, TYRONE			TEXAS	6-00	185	Black	Black				
36	35B	SOCIETY	Not Coded		21-1724614	STUART, DEZZIE, TYRONE			TEXAS	6-00	185	Black	Black				
41	999	PERSON, PROPERTY, OR SOCIETY	Not Coded		21-0539815	ST ONGE, TIMOTHY, STEPHEN			TEXAS	6-03	185	Black	Black				
44	999	PERSON, PROPERTY, OR SOCIETY	Coded		21-0680994	MITCHELL, JACQUES				5-08	350	Brown	Blue				
56	520	SOCIETY	Coded		21-0680994	MITCHELL, JACQUES				5-08	245	Black	Brown				
58	35A	SOCIETY	Not Coded		21-0680994	MITCHELL, JACQUES				5-08	245	Black	Brown				
59	35A	SOCIETY	Not Coded		21-2071579	ARISMENDEZ, BERNARDO				5-08	125	Black	Brown				
61	35A	SOCIETY	Coded		21-0680994	MITCHELL, JACQUES				5-06	175	Black	Brown				
62	999	PERSON, PROPERTY, OR SOCIETY	Not Coded		21-0680994	MITCHELL, JACQUES				5-08	245	Black	Brown				
66	35A	SOCIETY	Coded		21-2120572	BOYOSTON, MERRILYNNE, E			CITY OF DALLAS	5-5	130	Other	Blue				
67	999	PERSON, PROPERTY, OR SOCIETY	Not Coded		21-2120572	BOYOSTON, MERRILYNNE, E			CITY OF DALLAS	5-5	130	Other	Blue				
69	35A	SOCIETY	Coded		21-1926985	SANCHEZ, PAUL, LUIS				5-09	150	Black	Brown				
70	90Z	PERSON, PROPERTY, OR SOCIETY	Not Coded		21-1716982	RAMIREZ, ASTRID, GISELL				5-09	150	Black	Brown				
76	999	PERSON, PROPERTY, OR SOCIETY	Coded		21-1716982	RAMIREZ, ASTRID, GISELL				5-02	142	Black	Brown				
80	520	SOCIETY	Coded		21-1919185	SANDOVAL, RAFAEL, OMAR				6-00	265	Black	Brown				
85	999	PERSON, PROPERTY, OR SOCIETY	Not Coded		21-1919185	SANDOVAL, RAFAEL, OMAR				6-00	125	Black	Brown				
89	35A	SOCIETY	Not Coded		21-1919185	SANDOVAL, RAFAEL, OMAR				6-00	265	Black	Brown				
93	999	PERSON, PROPERTY, OR SOCIETY	Not Coded		21-1003137	RAMIREZ CONTRERAS, ANTONIO			MEXICO	5-09	150	Black	Brown				
94	26A	PROPERTY	Coded		21-1003137	RAMIREZ CONTRERAS, ANTONIO			MEXICO	6-00	178	Black	Brown				
97	90Z	PERSON, PROPERTY, OR SOCIETY	Coded		21-0157311	GOMEZ, ARTURO			DALLAS	5-8	210	Black	Brown				
101	999	PERSON, PROPERTY, OR SOCIETY	Not Coded		21-2028886	MYERS, SUDRICK, LADON				5-8	210	Black	Brown				
102	90Z	PERSON, PROPERTY, OR SOCIETY	Not Coded		21-2028886	MYERS, SUDRICK, LADON				5-8	210	Black	Brown				
105	90Z	PERSON, PROPERTY, OR SOCIETY	Not Coded		21-2028886	MYERS, SUDRICK, LADON				5-8	210	Black	Brown				
106	35A	SOCIETY	Coded		21-2028886	MYERS, SUDRICK, LADON				5-07	200	Black	Brown				
107	90Z	PERSON, PROPERTY, OR SOCIETY	Not Coded		21-2028886	MYERS, SUDRICK, LADON				5-07	200	Black	Brown				
108	999	PERSON, PROPERTY, OR SOCIETY	Coded		21-1279411	HOLBERT, KATRINA, ANNETTE				5-7	170	Black	Brown				
109	999	PERSON, PROPERTY, OR SOCIETY	Coded		21-0722100	MAVS, DUSTEN, LEE				5-7	170	Black	Brown				
110	90D	SOCIETY	Coded		21-1993269	PEDRAZA, RENEE				5-08	180	Black	Brown				
111	90Z	PERSON, PROPERTY, OR SOCIETY	Coded		21-0084631	WONG, JHON			NEW YORK	5-09	245	Black	Brown				
113	90Z	PERSON, PROPERTY, OR SOCIETY	Coded		21-1337073	NOLASFA RODRIGUEZ, DANIEL			MEXICO	5-03	160	Brown	Brown				
115	999	PERSON, PROPERTY, OR SOCIETY	Coded		21-1867945	ROBLES, CHRISTINA				5-11	170	Red	Brown				
116	520	SOCIETY	Not Coded		21-1907903	OROZOCO MARTINEZ, LUIS, JAVIER				5-11	170	Red	Brown				
118	240	PROPERTY	Coded		21-1907903	OROZOCO MARTINEZ, LUIS, JAVIER				5-11	170	Red	Brown				
119	35A	SOCIETY	Not Coded		21-1907903	OROZOCO MARTINEZ, LUIS, JAVIER				5-11	170	Red	Brown				
121	90D	SOCIETY	Coded		21-1171509	PRYOR, DEVIN, MARICE			DALLAS, TEXAS	5-11	170	Red	Brown				
122	999	PERSON, PROPERTY, OR SOCIETY	Not Coded		21-1782253	DEPAZ, MIGEL, ANGEL				5-06	178	Black	Brown				
123	520	SOCIETY	Not Coded		21-1782253	DEPAZ, MIGEL, ANGEL				5-09	195	Black	Brown				
124	35A	SOCIETY	Not Coded		21-1782253	DEPAZ, MIGEL, ANGEL				5-09	195	Black	Brown				
126	26F	PROPERTY	Coded		21-1782253	DEPAZ, MIGEL, ANGEL				5-09	195	Black	Brown				
127	999	PERSON, PROPERTY, OR SOCIETY	Not Coded		21-1782253	DEPAZ, MIGEL, ANGEL				5-09	195	Black	Brown				
128	999	PERSON, PROPERTY, OR SOCIETY	Not Coded		21-1782253	DEPAZ, MIGEL, ANGEL				5-09	195	Black	Brown				
129	520	SOCIETY	Coded		21-0021014	CRAWFORD, ALEXIS				5-8	194	Black	Brown				
130	520	SOCIETY	Coded		21-0870141	MOUDEN, PETTON			ARKANSAS	5-6	140	Black	Brown				
131	520	SOCIETY	Coded		21-0870141	JAMES, TERRANCE, RAYMON				5-6	130	Black	Brown				
132	35A	SOCIETY	Not Coded		21-0870141	MOSEBY, KEYSHAWN, LAMONT				5-7	154	Black	Brown				
132	35A	SOCIETY	Not Coded		21-0870141	MOUDEN, PETTON				5-6	140	Black	Brown				



	B5	BT	BU	BV	BW	BX	BY	BZ	CA	CB	CC	CD	CE	CF	CG	CH	CI	CJ	CK	CL
1	Race	Ethnicity	Sex			Drlic_St	Drlic_Type	HUAddress	HUapt	HUZip	HUCity	HUState	HUCounty	HUUA	HUBeat	HUDivision	AlterHAddress			
2	Hispanic or Latino	Non-Hispanic or Latino	Male			TX		2326 AGGIE DR		75051	GRAND PRAIRIE	TX	DALLAS							
3	Black	Non-Hispanic or Latino	Female			TX		12603 NORTHBOROUGH DR	2320	77069	HOUSTON	TX								
6	White	Non-Hispanic or Latino	Female			LA		103 SEATTLE ST		70506	LAFALETTE	TX								
7	White	Non-Hispanic or Latino	Female			TX		7424 SAN ISABEL CT		76137	FORT WORTH	TX								
11	White	Non-Hispanic or Latino	Male					1818 CORSICANA ST		75201	DALLAS	TX	DALLAS	2088	135	CENTRAL				
12	White	Non-Hispanic or Latino	Male					1818 CORSICANA ST		75201	DALLAS	TX	DALLAS	2088	135	CENTRAL				
14	White	Non-Hispanic or Latino	Male					1818 CORSICANA ST		75201	DALLAS	TX	DALLAS	2088	135	CENTRAL				
17	Black	Non-Hispanic or Latino	Male			LA		2841 CLYDEDALE DR	149	75160	TERRELL	TX	DALLAS	3058	537	NORTHWEST				
18	Hispanic or Latino	Non-Hispanic or Latino	Male			TX		126 HAMILTON DR		75220	HOUSTON	TX								
24	Black	Non-Hispanic or Latino	Male			MS		4206 DOUGLAS ST		39018	HOLSTON	TX								
26	White	Non-Hispanic or Latino	Female			TX		857 DUBLIN DR	C	75080	RICHARDSON	TX	DALLAS							
27	White	Non-Hispanic or Latino	Female			TX		857 DUBLIN DR	C	75080	RICHARDSON	TX	DALLAS							
28	Black	Non-Hispanic or Latino	Male			TX		1955 KRAFT ST		75212	DALLAS	TX								
29	White	Non-Hispanic or Latino	Female			TX		857 DUBLIN DR	C	75080	RICHARDSON	TX	DALLAS	4038	423	SOUTHWEST				
30	Black	Non-Hispanic or Latino	Male			TX		1955 KRAFT ST		75212	DALLAS	TX								
35	Black	Non-Hispanic or Latino	Male			TX		1955 KRAFT ST		75212	DALLAS	TX								
36	Black	Non-Hispanic or Latino	Male			TX		1955 KRAFT ST		75212	DALLAS	TX								
41	Black	Non-Hispanic or Latino	Male			TX		1955 KRAFT ST		75212	DALLAS	TX								
44	White	Non-Hispanic or Latino	Male			TX		1109 JEANETTE WAY		75006	CARROLLTON	TX	DALLAS	4380	451	SOUTHWEST				
56	Black	Non-Hispanic or Latino	Male					3801 GANNON LN		75237	DALLAS	TX	DALLAS	4380	451	SOUTHWEST				
58	Black	Non-Hispanic or Latino	Male					3801 GANNON LN		75237	DALLAS	TX	DALLAS	4380	451	SOUTHWEST				
59	Black	Non-Hispanic or Latino	Male					3801 GANNON LN		75237	DALLAS	TX	DALLAS	4380	451	SOUTHWEST				
61	Hispanic or Latino	Non-Hispanic or Latino	Male					1817 BAXLEY DR		75006	CARROLLTON	TX	DALLAS	4380	451	SOUTHWEST				
62	Black	Non-Hispanic or Latino	Female			TX		3801 GANNON LN		75237	DALLAS	TX	DALLAS	4380	451	SOUTHWEST				
66	White	Non-Hispanic or Latino	Female			TX		1818 CORSICANA ST		75201	DALLAS	TX	DALLAS	2088	135	CENTRAL				
67	White	Non-Hispanic or Latino	Female			TX		1818 CORSICANA ST		75201	DALLAS	TX	DALLAS	2088	135	CENTRAL				
69	Hispanic or Latino	Non-Hispanic or Latino	Male			TX		2507 W AMHERST AVE		75235	DALLAS	TX								
70	Hispanic or Latino	Non-Hispanic or Latino	Male			TX		2507 W AMHERST AVE		75235	DALLAS	TX								
76	Hispanic or Latino	Non-Hispanic or Latino	Female			TX		1206 S KENTUCKY ST		75069	MCKINNEY	TX								
80	Hispanic or Latino	Non-Hispanic or Latino	Male			TX		1900 JUBILEE TRL		76014	ARLINGTON	TX								
85	Hispanic or Latino	Non-Hispanic or Latino	Male			TX		1900 JUBILEE TRL		76014	ARLINGTON	TX								
89	Hispanic or Latino	Non-Hispanic or Latino	Male			TX		2515 COMMUNITY DR	2002	75220	DALLAS	TX	DALLAS	3067	522	NORTHWEST				
93	Hispanic or Latino	Non-Hispanic or Latino	Male					2515 COMMUNITY DR	2002	75220	DALLAS	TX	DALLAS	3067	522	NORTHWEST				
94	Hispanic or Latino	Non-Hispanic or Latino	Male					2515 COMMUNITY DR	2002	75220	DALLAS	TX	DALLAS	3067	522	NORTHWEST				
97	Hispanic or Latino	Non-Hispanic or Latino	Male			TX		3131 KINKAID DR		75201	DALLAS	TX	DALLAS	2088	135	CENTRAL				
101	Black	Non-Hispanic or Latino	Male					1818 CORSICANA ST		75201	DALLAS	TX	DALLAS	2088	135	CENTRAL				
102	Black	Non-Hispanic or Latino	Male					1818 CORSICANA ST		75201	DALLAS	TX	DALLAS	2088	135	CENTRAL				
105	Black	Non-Hispanic or Latino	Male					1818 CORSICANA ST		75201	DALLAS	TX	DALLAS	2088	135	CENTRAL				
106	Black	Non-Hispanic or Latino	Male					1818 CORSICANA ST		75201	DALLAS	TX	DALLAS	2088	135	CENTRAL				
107	Black	Non-Hispanic or Latino	Male					1818 CORSICANA ST		75201	DALLAS	TX	DALLAS	2088	135	CENTRAL				
108	Black	Non-Hispanic or Latino	Female					10010 FOREST LN	632	75201	DALLAS	TX	DALLAS	1042	257	NORTHEAST				
109	Black	Non-Hispanic or Latino	Male			TX		1818 CORSICANA ST		75201	DALLAS	TX	DALLAS	2088	135	CENTRAL				
110	Hispanic or Latino	Non-Hispanic or Latino	Male					2027 FARMHARDT WAY		75217	DALLAS	TX	DALLAS	1248	331	SOUTHEAST				
111	Hispanic or Latino	Non-Hispanic or Latino	Male			NY		2360 29TH ST	2B	11105	ASTORIA	TX	DALLAS	1258	227	NORTHEAST				
113	Hispanic or Latino	Non-Hispanic or Latino	Male			MX		11621 FERGUSON RD	2132	75228	DALLAS	TX	DALLAS	4113	441	SOUTHWEST				
115	Hispanic or Latino	Non-Hispanic or Latino	Female			TX		3606 MT WASHINGTON ST		75211	DALLAS	TX	DALLAS	8820	432	SOUTHWEST				
116	Hispanic or Latino	Non-Hispanic or Latino	Male					5116 BRYCE CANYON RD		75211	DALLAS	TX	DALLAS	8820	432	SOUTHWEST				
118	Hispanic or Latino	Non-Hispanic or Latino	Male					5116 BRYCE CANYON RD		75211	DALLAS	TX	DALLAS	8820	432	SOUTHWEST				
119	Hispanic or Latino	Non-Hispanic or Latino	Male					5116 BRYCE CANYON RD		75211	DALLAS	TX	DALLAS	8820	432	SOUTHWEST				
121	Black	Non-Hispanic or Latino	Male			TX		14300 STALLER BLVD		76155	FTWORTH	TX								
122	Hispanic or Latino	Non-Hispanic or Latino	Male			TX		3323 HIGH BLUFF DR		75234	DALLAS	TX								
123	Hispanic or Latino	Non-Hispanic or Latino	Male			TX		3323 HIGH BLUFF DR		75234	DALLAS	TX								
124	Hispanic or Latino	Non-Hispanic or Latino	Male			TX		3323 HIGH BLUFF DR		75234	DALLAS	TX								
125	Hispanic or Latino	Non-Hispanic or Latino	Male			TX		3323 HIGH BLUFF DR		75234	DALLAS	TX								
126	Hispanic or Latino	Non-Hispanic or Latino	Male			TX		3323 HIGH BLUFF DR		75234	DALLAS	TX								
127	Hispanic or Latino	Non-Hispanic or Latino	Male			TX		3323 HIGH BLUFF DR		75234	DALLAS	TX								
128	Black	Non-Hispanic or Latino	Female					1818 CORSICANA ST		75201	DALLAS	TX	DALLAS	2088	135	CENTRAL				
129	Black	Non-Hispanic or Latino	Male			AR		1400 OLD FORGE DR	E	72227	LITTLE ROCK	AR								
130	Black	Non-Hispanic or Latino	Male			AR		505 RIDGELEA AVE		72120	SHEWOOD	AR								
131	Black	Non-Hispanic or Latino	Male			AR		1616 W 24TH ST		72206	LITTLE ROCK	AR								
132	Black	Non-Hispanic or Latino	Male			AR		1400 OLD FORGE DR	E	72227	LITTLE ROCK	AR								



CM	CN	CO	CP	CQ	CR	CS	CT	CU	CW	Expunged
ArTato	ArTatoComments	ArOccupn	ArObschStatus	ArEmploier	DrugRelated	DrugUse	DrugType	ArClothingWorn		
		WAREHOUSE		TEMP SERVICE	No			T SHIRT, SHORTS, SWEAT PANTS, TENNIS SHOES		
					No			BLACK TOP, BLACK SHIRT, TAIL BLACK BOOTS		
					No			BLUE DRESS, BLACK JACKET, BLACK BOOTS		
					No			BLUE JEANS/WHITE SHIRT/BROWN JACKET		
					Unknown			DAKAR BLUE HOODIE AND BLUE JEANS		
					Unknown			DAKAR BLUE HOODIE AND BLUE JEANS		
					Unknown			DAKAR BLUE HOODIE AND BLUE JEANS		
					No			LSW WHITE TSHIRT AND BLACK JEANS		
					No			LONG SLEEVED TSHIRT AND JEANS		
					Unknown			BLACK SHIRT, BLUE SHORTS		
					No			BLACK SHIRT, RED PLAID PALAMA PANTS.		
					No			BLACK SHIRT, RED PLAID PALAMA PANTS.		
					No			ORANGE SHIRT, RED PLAID PALAMA PANTS.		
					Yes		Processed Marijuana	ORANGE SHIRT, RED PLAID PALAMA PANTS.		
					No			BLACK SHIRT, RED PLAID PALAMA PANTS.		
					Yes		Processed Marijuana	ORANGE SHIRT, BLACK PANTS, ORANGE NIKE SHOES.		
					Yes		Processed Marijuana	ORANGE SHIRT, BLACK PANTS, ORANGE NIKE SHOES.		
					Yes		Processed Marijuana	ORANGE SHIRT, BLACK PANTS, ORANGE NIKE SHOES.		
					Yes		Processed Marijuana	ORANGE SHIRT, BLACK PANTS, ORANGE NIKE SHOES.		
					Yes		Processed Marijuana	ORANGE SHIRT, BLACK PANTS, ORANGE NIKE SHOES.		
					No			BLUE BUTTON UP, KHAKI PANTS		
		MANAGER		XTC	No			BLK HOODIE, BLK PANTS		
					No			BLK HOODIE, BLK PANTS		
					No			BLK HOODIE, BLK PANTS		
					No			BLK HOODIE, BLK PANTS		
					No			BLU SHIRT AND BLUE JEANS		
					No			BLK HOODIE, BLK PANTS		
					No			BLACK JACKET, BLK PANTS		
					Yes		Methamphetamine	BLACK JACKET AND BLACK PANTS		
					Yes		Methamphetamine	BLACK JACKET AND BLACK PANTS		
					Yes		Cultivated Marijuana	WHITE HAT, BLACK SHIRT, BLACK PANTS		
					Yes		Cultivated Marijuana	WHITE HAT, BLACK SHIRT, BLACK PANTS		
					No			TAN DRESS		
					No			BLUE JERSEY, JEANS		
					No			BLUE JERSEY, JEANS		
					No			BLUE JERSEY, JEANS		
					No			BLACK TUBE TOP, WHITE AND BLACK SLACKS.		
					No			BLACK TUBE TOP, WHITE AND BLACK SLACKS.		
					No			WHITE HOODIE BLUE JEANS BROWN BOOTS		
					No			BLACK PANTS		
					Yes		Methamphetamine	BLACK PANTS		
					Yes		Methamphetamine	BLACK PANTS		
					Yes		Methamphetamine	BLACK PANTS		
					Yes		Methamphetamine	BLACK PANTS		
					Yes		Methamphetamine	BLACK PANTS		
					No			GREEN SHIRT, BLACK SHORTS		
					No			BLACK JACKET AND JEANS		
					No			COWBOYS JERSEY GRAY SHORTS BLUE COWBOYS HAT		
					No			PLAID SHIRT, JEANS		
					No			BLACK POLO BLUE JEANS		
					No			NAKED		
					No			RED/YELLOW JACKET, JEAN SHORTS, RED SHOES		
					No			RED/YELLOW JACKET, JEAN SHORTS, RED SHOES		
					No			RED/YELLOW JACKET, JEAN SHORTS, RED SHOES		
					No			SHORTS, SHIRT, BASEBALL HAT		
					No			BLACK T SHIRT, SHORTS		
					No			BLACK T SHIRT, SHORTS		
					No			BLACK T SHIRT, SHORTS		
					No			BLACK T SHIRT, SHORTS		
					No			BLACK T SHIRT, SHORTS		
					No			BLACK T SHIRT, SHORTS		
					No			BLACK SHIRT, JEANS		
					No			BLACK TSHIRT/BLACK JEANS		
					No			WHITE SHIRT/BLUE JEANS		
					No			WHITE SHIRT, BLUE JEANS		
					No			BLACK TSHIRT/BLACK JEANS		
					No					

225 225270



	CX	CV	CZ	DA	DB	DC	DD	DE	DF	DG	DH	DI	DJ	DK	DL	DM	DN	DO	DP
1	AtAddress	Activity	AltZp	ASite	RA	Beat	Division	Sector	District	District	TAG Name	Community	VCRP Area	GridID	Point X	Point Y	Update	User_SOB Name	User_Address
2	2332 W NORTHWEST HWY	DALLAS	75220	TX	4451	521	NORTHWEST	520	6	D6	NWHwy WaltonWalker			70825	2463156.033	699717.079	2021-01-02 3:09:23	THE MEN'S CLUB OF DALLAS	2340 W NORTHWEST HWY
3	10849 COMPOSITE DR	DALLAS	75220	TX	3040	534	NORTHWEST	530	6	D6	NWHwy WaltonWalker			77041	2460683.275	7006285.444	2021-02-22 10:37:54	UNKNOWN AT PRESENT	10901 N STEMMONS Fwy 58
6	10849 COMPOSITE DR	DALLAS	75220	TX	3040	534	NORTHWEST	530	6	D6	NWHwy WaltonWalker			77041	2460683.275	7006285.444	2021-02-22 10:42:13	UNKNOWN AT PRESENT	10901 N STEMMONS Fwy 58
7	10310 TECHNOLOGY BLVD W	DALLAS	75220	TX	3055	521	NORTHWEST	520	6	D6	NWHwy WaltonWalker			71392	2460064.188	7000416.197	2021-03-25 3:42:33	UNKNOWN AT PRESENT	10310 TECHNOLOGY BLVD W
11	1985 W NORTHWEST HWY	DALLAS	75220	TX	6060	533	NORTHWEST	530	6	D6	NWHwy WaltonWalker			73095	2456570.798	7002572.57	2021-04-02 4:23:53	NEW FINE ARTS - WEST	1985 W NORTHWEST HWY
12	1985 W NORTHWEST HWY	DALLAS	75220	TX	6060	533	NORTHWEST	530	6	D6	NWHwy WaltonWalker			73095	2456570.798	7002572.57	2021-04-02 4:23:53	NEW FINE ARTS - WEST	1985 W NORTHWEST HWY
14	1985 W NORTHWEST HWY	DALLAS	75220	TX	6060	533	NORTHWEST	530	6	D6	NWHwy WaltonWalker			73095	2456570.798	7002572.57	2021-04-02 4:23:53	NEW FINE ARTS - WEST	1985 W NORTHWEST HWY
17	10500 NEWKIRK ST	DALLAS	75220	TX	6041	534	NORTHWEST	530	6	D6	NWHwy WaltonWalker			72514	2457311.08	7001964.841	2021-06-06 5:42:07	BUCKS CABARET	2150 CALIFORNIA CROSSING RD
18	11044 HARRY HINES BLVD	DALLAS	75220	TX	3025	534	NORTHWEST	530	6	D6	NWHwy WaltonWalker			72793	2456989.555	7002060.152	2021-10-02 17:07:47	BUCKS CABARET	11044 HARRY HINES BLVD
24	2030 W NORTHWEST HWY	DALLAS	75220	TX	6041	533	NORTHWEST	530	6	D6	NWHwy WaltonWalker			78014	2460759.178	7007384.045	2021-09-11 7:24:28	SPEARMINT RHINO GENTLEMAN'S CLUB	10965 COMPOSITE DR
26	2439 WALNUT HILL LN	DALLAS	75229	TX	3025	534	NORTHWEST	530	6	D6	NWHwy WaltonWalker			78014	2460759.178	7007384.045	2021-09-11 7:24:28	SPEARMINT RHINO GENTLEMAN'S CLUB	10965 COMPOSITE DR
27	2439 WALNUT HILL LN	DALLAS	75229	TX	3025	534	NORTHWEST	530	6	D6	NWHwy WaltonWalker			78014	2460759.178	7007384.045	2021-09-11 7:24:28	SPEARMINT RHINO GENTLEMAN'S CLUB	10965 COMPOSITE DR
28	2439 WALNUT HILL LN	DALLAS	75229	TX	3025	534	NORTHWEST	530	6	D6	NWHwy WaltonWalker			78014	2460759.178	7007384.045	2021-09-11 7:24:28	SPEARMINT RHINO GENTLEMAN'S CLUB	10965 COMPOSITE DR
29	2439 WALNUT HILL LN	DALLAS	75229	TX	3025	534	NORTHWEST	530	6	D6	NWHwy WaltonWalker			78014	2460759.178	7007384.045	2021-09-11 7:24:28	SPEARMINT RHINO GENTLEMAN'S CLUB	10965 COMPOSITE DR
30	2439 WALNUT HILL LN	DALLAS	75229	TX	3025	534	NORTHWEST	530	6	D6	NWHwy WaltonWalker			78014	2460759.178	7007384.045	2021-09-11 7:24:28	SPEARMINT RHINO GENTLEMAN'S CLUB	10965 COMPOSITE DR
35	2439 WALNUT HILL LN	DALLAS	75229	TX	3025	534	NORTHWEST	530	6	D6	NWHwy WaltonWalker			78014	2460759.178	7007384.045	2021-09-11 7:24:28	SPEARMINT RHINO GENTLEMAN'S CLUB	10965 COMPOSITE DR
41	2439 WALNUT HILL LN	DALLAS	75229	TX	3025	534	NORTHWEST	530	6	D6	NWHwy WaltonWalker			78014	2460759.178	7007384.045	2021-09-11 7:24:28	SPEARMINT RHINO GENTLEMAN'S CLUB	10965 COMPOSITE DR
44	8550 N STEMMONS Fwy	DALLAS	75247	TX	3078	522	NORTHWEST	520	2	D2	John Carpenter Stemmons	STEMMONS - EMPIRECENTRAL, VC		61838	2468467.525	6988393.232	2021-09-28 2:49:25	XTC CABARET	8550 N STEMMONS Fwy NB
56	2117 W NORTHWEST HWY	DALLAS	75220	TX	6060	533	NORTHWEST	530	6	D6	NWHwy WaltonWalker			72518	2458417.32	7001830.996	2021-04-21 13:35:52	DG'S A GENTLEMAN'S CLUB	2117 W NORTHWEST HWY
58	2117 W NORTHWEST HWY	DALLAS	75220	TX	6060	533	NORTHWEST	530	6	D6	NWHwy WaltonWalker			72518	2458417.32	7001830.996	2021-04-21 13:35:52	DG'S A GENTLEMAN'S CLUB	2117 W NORTHWEST HWY
59	2117 W NORTHWEST HWY	DALLAS	75220	TX	6060	533	NORTHWEST	530	6	D6	NWHwy WaltonWalker			72518	2458417.32	7001830.996	2021-04-21 13:35:52	DG'S A GENTLEMAN'S CLUB	2117 W NORTHWEST HWY
61	2100 W NORTHWEST HWY	DALLAS	75220	TX	6040	533	NORTHWEST	530	6	D6	NWHwy WaltonWalker			72516	2457943.663	7001837.443	2021-11-05 6:03:01	DG'S A GENTLEMAN'S CLUB	2117 W NORTHWEST HWY
62	2117 W NORTHWEST HWY	DALLAS	75220	TX	6040	533	NORTHWEST	530	6	D6	NWHwy WaltonWalker			72516	2457943.663	7001837.443	2021-11-05 6:03:01	DG'S A GENTLEMAN'S CLUB	2117 W NORTHWEST HWY
66	2100 W NORTHWEST HWY	DALLAS	75220	TX	6040	533	NORTHWEST	530	6	D6	NWHwy WaltonWalker			72516	2457943.663	7001837.443	2021-11-05 6:03:01	DG'S A GENTLEMAN'S CLUB	2117 W NORTHWEST HWY
67	2100 W NORTHWEST HWY	DALLAS	75220	TX	6040	533	NORTHWEST	530	6	D6	NWHwy WaltonWalker			72516	2457943.663	7001837.443	2021-11-05 6:03:01	DG'S A GENTLEMAN'S CLUB	2117 W NORTHWEST HWY
69	10900 COMPOSITE DR	DALLAS	75220	TX	3040	534	NORTHWEST	530	6	D6	NWHwy WaltonWalker			77041	2460893.299	7006485.397	2021-10-18 10:57:08	SPEARMINT RHINO GENTLEMAN'S CLUB	10965 COMPOSITE DR
70	10900 COMPOSITE DR	DALLAS	75220	TX	3040	534	NORTHWEST	530	6	D6	NWHwy WaltonWalker			77041	2460893.299	7006485.397	2021-10-18 10:57:08	SPEARMINT RHINO GENTLEMAN'S CLUB	10965 COMPOSITE DR
76	2500 WILLOWBROOK RD	DALLAS	75220	TX	3057	521	NORTHWEST	520	6	D6	NWHwy WaltonWalker			70826	2463554.647	6998482.064	2021-09-10 3:50:55	THE MEN'S CLUB OF DALLAS	2340 W NORTHWEST HWY
80	2248 LOMABARDY LN	DALLAS	75220	TX	6060	533	NORTHWEST	530	6	D6	NWHwy WaltonWalker			72518	2458467.36	7001931.943	2021-10-08 7:13:20	DG'S A GENTLEMAN'S CLUB	2117 W NORTHWEST HWY
82	2248 LOMABARDY LN	DALLAS	75220	TX	6060	533	NORTHWEST	530	6	D6	NWHwy WaltonWalker			72518	2458467.36	7001931.943	2021-10-08 7:13:20	DG'S A GENTLEMAN'S CLUB	2117 W NORTHWEST HWY
89	2248 LOMABARDY LN	DALLAS	75220	TX	6060	533	NORTHWEST	530	6	D6	NWHwy WaltonWalker			72518	2458467.36	7001931.943	2021-10-08 7:13:20	DG'S A GENTLEMAN'S CLUB	2117 W NORTHWEST HWY
93	2150 CALIFORNIA CROSSING RD	DALLAS	75220	TX	6040	533	NORTHWEST	530	6	D6	NWHwy WaltonWalker			72236	2457100.797	7001539.695	2021-06-04 4:19:29	BUCKS CABARET	2150 CALIFORNIA CROSSING RD
94	2150 CALIFORNIA CROSSING RD	DALLAS	75220	TX	6040	533	NORTHWEST	530	6	D6	NWHwy WaltonWalker			72236	2457100.797	7001539.695	2021-06-04 4:19:29	BUCKS CABARET	2150 CALIFORNIA CROSSING RD
97	8500 N STEMMONS Fwy	DALLAS	75247	TX	3078	522	NORTHWEST	520	2	D2	John Carpenter Stemmons	STEMMONS - EMPIRECENTRAL, VC		61838	2468293.735	6988401.388	2021-01-08 10:02:07	XTC CABARET	8550 N STEMMONS Fwy NB
101	2000 W NORTHWEST HWY	DALLAS	75220	TX	6041	533	NORTHWEST	530	6	D6	NWHwy WaltonWalker			72793	2456791.504	7002263.954	2021-10-23 10:10:01	NEW FINE ARTS - WEST	1966 W NORTHWEST HWY
102	2000 W NORTHWEST HWY	DALLAS	75220	TX	6041	533	NORTHWEST	530	6	D6	NWHwy WaltonWalker			72793	2456791.504	7002263.954	2021-10-23 10:10:01	NEW FINE ARTS - WEST	1966 W NORTHWEST HWY
105	2000 W NORTHWEST HWY	DALLAS	75220	TX	6041	533	NORTHWEST	530	6	D6	NWHwy WaltonWalker			72793	2456791.504	7002263.954	2021-10-23 10:10:01	NEW FINE ARTS - WEST	1966 W NORTHWEST HWY
106	2000 W NORTHWEST HWY	DALLAS	75220	TX	6041	533	NORTHWEST	530	6	D6	NWHwy WaltonWalker			72793	2456791.504	7002263.954	2021-10-23 10:10:01	NEW FINE ARTS - WEST	1966 W NORTHWEST HWY
107	2000 W NORTHWEST HWY	DALLAS	75220	TX	6041	533	NORTHWEST	530	6	D6	NWHwy WaltonWalker			72793	2456791.504	7002263.954	2021-10-23 10:10:01	NEW FINE ARTS - WEST	1966 W NORTHWEST HWY
108	900 W MOCKINGBIRD LN	DALLAS	75247	TX	3099	512	NORTHWEST	510	6	D6	NWHwy WaltonWalker			57387	2468149.412	6982766.447	2021-02-11 17:59:26	XPOSED ADULT THEATER	910 W MOCKINGBIRD LN
109	1607 REGAL ROW	DALLAS	75247	TX	3078	522	NORTHWEST	520	2	D2	John Carpenter Stemmons			63657	2467809.301	6990989.166	2021-04-27 23:18:29	LA ZONA ROSA	1676 REGAL ROW, DALLAS
110	3861 DILLON RD	DALLAS	75228	TX	1202	221	NORTHEAST	220	7	D7	Buckner 30			53940	2525164.849	6977936.144	2021-10-18 6:05:21	COASTER LINE - COASTER CLUB INC.	9125 E R THORNTON Fwy WB
111	10310 TECHNOLOGY BLVD E	DALLAS	75220	TX	3055	521	NORTHWEST	520	6	D6	NWHwy WaltonWalker			71103	2460357.955	7000172.55	2021-01-15 11:32:21	BABy DOLLS SALOON WEST	10310 TECHNOLOGY BLVD W
113	11044 HARRY HINES BLVD	DALLAS	75229	TX	3025	534	NORTHWEST	530	6	D6	NWHwy WaltonWalker			78976	2463941.485	7008413.225	2021-07-05 11:17:33	CHICA BONITAS	11044 HARRY HINES BLVD
115	2150 CALIFORNIA CROSSING RD	DALLAS	75220	TX	6041	533	NORTHWEST	530	6	D6	NWHwy WaltonWalker			72237	2457357.682	7001525.906	2021-10-01 13:41:53	BUCKS CABARET	2150 CALIFORNIA CROSSING RD
116	2030 W NORTHWEST HWY	DALLAS	75220	TX	6041	533	NORTHWEST	530	6	D6	NWHwy WaltonWalker			72793	2456969.555	7002060.152	2021-10-06 19:35:21	BUCKS CABARET	2150 CALIFORNIA CROSSING RD
118	2030 W NORTHWEST HWY	DALLAS	75220	TX	6041	533	NORTHWEST	530	6	D6	NWHwy WaltonWalker			72793	2456969.555	7002060.152	2021-10-06 19:35:21	BUCKS CABARET	2150 CALIFORNIA CROSSING RD
119	2030 W NORTHWEST HWY	DALLAS	75220	TX	6041	533	NORTHWEST	530	6	D6	NWHwy WaltonWalker			72793	2456969.555	7002060.152	2021-10-06 19:35:21	BUCKS CABARET	2150 CALIFORNIA CROSSING RD
121	10200 SHADY TRL	DALLAS	75220	TX	3057	521	NORTHWEST	520	6	D6	NWHwy WaltonWalker			71110	2462666.844	7000149.503	2021-06-27 5:44:54	BABy DOLLS TOWERS SALOON	10200 SHADY TRL
122	8850 N STEMMONS Fwy	DALLAS	75247	TX	3078	522	NORTHWEST	520	2	D2	John Carpenter Stemmons			63433	2467819.988	6990689.596	2021-09-19 9:13:36	LA ZONA ROSA	1676 REGAL ROW, DALLAS
123	8850 N STEMMONS Fwy	DALLAS	75247	TX	3078	522	NORTHWEST	520	2	D2	John Carpenter Stemmons			63433	2467819.988	6990689.596	2021-09-19 9:13:36	LA ZONA ROSA	1676 REGAL ROW, DALLAS
124	8850 N STEMMONS Fwy	DALLAS	75247	TX	3078	522	NORTHWEST	520	2	D2	John Carpenter Stemmons			63433	2467819.988	6990689.596	2021-09-19 9:13:36	LA ZONA ROSA	1676 REGAL ROW, DALLAS
126	8850 N STEMMONS Fwy	DALLAS	75247	TX	3078	522	NORTHWEST	520	2	D2	John Carpenter Stemmons			63433	2467819.988	6990689.596	2021-09-19 9:13:36	LA ZONA ROSA	1676 REGAL ROW, DALLAS
127	8850 N STEMMONS Fwy	DALLAS	75247	TX	3078	522	NORTHWEST	520	2	D2	John Carpenter Stemmons			63433	2467819.988	6990689.596	2021-09-19 9:13:36	LA ZONA ROSA	1676 REGAL ROW, DALLAS
128	2332 W NORTHWEST HWY	DALLAS	75220	TX	4451	521	NORTHWEST	520	6	D6	NWHwy WaltonWalker			70825	2463156.033	699717.079	2021-01-04 5:50:55	THE MEN'S CLUB OF DALLAS	2340 W NORTHWEST HWY
129	8600 N STEMMONS Fwy	DALLAS	75247	TX	3078	522	NORTHWEST	520	6	D6	John Carpenter Stemmons	STEMMONS - EMPIRECENTRAL, VC		62314	2468009.514	6988822.572	2021-05-16 8:56:25	XTC CABARET	8550 N STEMMONS Fwy NB
130	8600 N STEMMONS Fwy	DALLAS	75247	TX	3078	522	NORTHWEST	520	6	D6	John Carpenter Stemmons	STEMMONS - EMPIRECENTRAL, VC		62314	2468009.514	6988822.572	2021-05-16 8:56:25	XTC CABARET	8550 N STEMMONS Fwy NB
131	8600 N STEMMONS Fwy	DALLAS	75247	TX	3078	522	NORTHWEST	520	6	D6	John Carpenter Stemmons	STEMMONS - EMPIRECENTRAL, VC		62314	2468009.514	6988822.572	2021-05-20 15:40:58	XTC CABARET	8550 N STEMMONS Fwy NB
132	8600 N STEMMONS Fwy	DALLAS	75247	TX	3078	522	NORTHWEST	520	6	D6	John Carpenter Stemmons	STEMMONS - EMPIRECENTRAL, VC		62314	2468009.514	6988822.572	2021-05-20 15:40:58	XTC CABARET	8550 N STEMMONS Fwy NB



	DQ	DR
1	USER License_Type	BUF_DIST
2	CABARET	500
3	CABARET	500
6	CABARET	500
7	CABARET	500
11	ARCADE	500
12	ARCADE	500
14	ARCADE	500
17	CABARET	500
18	CABARET	500
24	CABARET	500
26	CABARET	500
27	CABARET	500
28	CABARET	500
29	CABARET	500
30	CABARET	500
35	CABARET	500
36	CABARET	500
41	CABARET	500
44	CABARET	500
56	CABARET	500
58	CABARET	500
59	CABARET	500
61	CABARET	500
62	CABARET	500
66	CABARET	500
67	CABARET	500
69	CABARET	500
70	CABARET	500
76	CABARET	500
80	CABARET	500
85	CABARET	500
89	CABARET	500
93	CABARET	500
94	CABARET	500
97	CABARET	500
101	ARCADE	500
102	ARCADE	500
105	ARCADE	500
106	ARCADE	500
107	ARCADE	500
108	ADULT VIDEO	500
109	CABARET	500
110	CABARET	500
111	CABARET	500
113	CABARET	500
115	CABARET	500
116	CABARET	500
118	CABARET	500
119	CABARET	500
121	CABARET	500
122	CABARET	500
123	CABARET	500
124	CABARET	500
125	CABARET	500
126	CABARET	500
127	CABARET	500
128	CABARET	500
129	CABARET	500
130	CABARET	500
131	CABARET	500
132	CABARET	500



A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N	O	P	Q	R	S
OBJECTID	Join_Count	TARGET	DataSource	IncidentNum	ArrestYr	ArrestNumber	ArrestDate	Time	Group	ArrestDay	ArbDate	ArbDay	ArbChgNumID	ArbChargeCT	OffenseCode	ChargeType	ChargeDesc	PrimaryArresting
1	5	1	461 RMS/NIBRS	006681-2021	2021	21-000121	2021-01-02 13:00:00	1:00 AM	10p to 2a	Saturday	2021-01-02 3:03:03	Saturday	21-000121-01	1	FS-24110003-G13	County	UNAUTHORIZED USE OF MOTOR VEH - AUTOMOBILE	Yes
2	187	1	20550 RMS/NIBRS	030561-2021	2021	21-005175	2021-02-22 13:00:00	1:00 AM	10p to 2a	Monday	2021-02-22 14:42:52	Monday	21-005175-01	1	MC-99999999-U404	City	MANIFESTING THE PURPOSE OF ENGAGING IN PROSTITUTION	Yes
3	258	1	26678 RMS/NIBRS	030561-2021	2021	21-005176	2021-02-22 13:00:00	1:00 AM	10p to 2a	Monday	2021-02-22 14:43:00	Monday	21-005176-01	1	MC-99999999-U404	City	MANIFESTING THE PURPOSE OF ENGAGING IN PROSTITUTION	Yes
4	277	1	28567 RMS/NIBRS	050544-2021	2021	21-009837	2021-03-25 1:00:00	1:00 AM	10p to 2a	Thursday	2021-03-25 3:04:23	Thursday	21-009837-01	1	MC-99999999-NC310	No Offense	APPOW (SOCIAL SERVICES REFERRAL)	Yes
5	384	1	38432 RMS/NIBRS	055172-2021	2021	21-009567	2021-04-02 1:00:00	1:00 AM	10p to 2a	Friday	2021-04-02 3:46:28	Friday	21-009567-01	1	FS-35990015-035	County	POSS CONT SUB PEN GRP 1 > OR EQUAL 1G<4G	Yes
6	389	1	38747 RMS/NIBRS	055172-2021	2021	21-009567	2021-04-02 1:00:00	1:00 AM	10p to 2a	Friday	2021-04-02 3:46:28	Friday	21-009567-02	1	MC-35500015-0161	City	POSSESSION OF DRUG PARAPHERNALIA	Yes
7	409	1	39731 RMS/NIBRS	055172-2021	2021	21-009567	2021-04-02 1:00:00	1:00 AM	10p to 2a	Friday	2021-04-02 3:46:28	Friday	21-009567-03	1	NA-99999999-NC197	No Offense	WARRANT HOLD (NOT A DPD WARRANT)	Yes
8	409	1	61800 RMS/NIBRS	055172-2021	2021	21-025894	2021-08-25 1:00:00	1:00 AM	10p to 2a	Wednesday	2021-08-25 1:51:12	Wednesday	21-025894-01	1	NA-99999999-NC139	County	WARRANT DALLAS PD (AGG ASSAULT - NPV)	Yes
9	625	1	63054 RMS/NIBRS	099585-2021	2021	21-016996	2021-06-05 1:00:00	1:00 AM	10p to 2a	Sunday	2021-06-05 5:12:03	Sunday	21-016996-01	1	MC-35620008-01	County	DWI 1 PREV CONV	Yes
10	635	1	63054 RMS/NIBRS	099585-2021	2021	21-016996	2021-06-05 1:00:00	1:00 AM	10p to 2a	Sunday	2021-06-05 5:12:03	Sunday	21-016996-02	1	FS-35990015-035	County	POSS CONT SUB PEN GRP 1 > OR EQUAL 1G<4G	Yes
11	827	1	78073 RMS/NIBRS	103136-2021	2021	21-024136	2021-08-10 13:00:00	1:00 AM	10p to 2a	Tuesday	2021-08-11 7:17:01	Saturday	21-024136-01	1	MC-35620008-01	County	POSS MARIJUANA <20Z	Yes
12	955	1	88854 RMS/NIBRS	164537-2021	2021	21-027784	2021-09-11 1:00:00	1:00 AM	10p to 2a	Saturday	2021-09-11 7:17:01	Saturday	21-027784-01	1	MA-35990133-065	County	POSS OF DANGEROUS DRUG	Yes
13	1071	1	96722 RMS/NIBRS	164537-2021	2021	21-027784	2021-09-11 1:00:00	1:00 AM	10p to 2a	Saturday	2021-09-11 7:17:01	Saturday	21-027784-02	1	MA-35990133-065	County	UNLAWFUL CARRYING WEAPON	Yes
14	1072	1	96748 RMS/NIBRS	164537-2021	2021	21-027784	2021-09-11 1:00:00	1:00 AM	10p to 2a	Saturday	2021-09-11 7:17:01	Saturday	21-027784-03	1	MA-35990133-065	County	UNLAWFUL CARRYING WEAPON	Yes
15	1129	1	101097 RMS/NIBRS	164537-2021	2021	21-027784	2021-09-11 1:00:00	1:00 AM	10p to 2a	Saturday	2021-09-11 7:17:01	Saturday	21-027784-04	1	MA-35990133-065	County	UNLAWFUL CARRYING WEAPON	Yes
16	1263	1	109797 RMS/NIBRS	164537-2021	2021	21-027785	2021-09-11 1:00:00	1:00 AM	10p to 2a	Saturday	2021-09-11 7:17:01	Saturday	21-027785-01	1	MC-99999999-NC308	City	TRAFFIC VIOLATION - NON HAZARDOUS	Yes
17	1632	1	134108 RMS/NIBRS	164537-2021	2021	21-027785	2021-09-11 1:00:00	1:00 AM	10p to 2a	Saturday	2021-09-11 7:17:01	Saturday	21-027785-02	1	MC-35500015-0161	County	POSSESSION OF DRUG PARAPHERNALIA	Yes
18	1633	1	134108 RMS/NIBRS	164537-2021	2021	21-027785	2021-09-11 1:00:00	1:00 AM	10p to 2a	Saturday	2021-09-11 7:17:01	Saturday	21-027785-03	1	MC-35500015-0161	County	POSSESSION OF DRUG PARAPHERNALIA	Yes
19	2038	1	168674 RMS/NIBRS	164537-2021	2021	21-027785	2021-09-11 1:00:00	1:00 AM	10p to 2a	Saturday	2021-09-11 7:17:01	Saturday	21-027785-04	1	MC-99999999-NC197	No Offense	WARRANT HOLD (NOT A DPD WARRANT)	Yes
20	374	1	37104 RMS/NIBRS	052401-2021	2021	21-008982	2021-03-28 1:03:00	1:03 AM	10p to 2a	Sunday	2021-04-18 4:49:01	Sunday	21-008982-01	1	MA-52030027-M20	County	UNLAWFUL CARRYING WEAPON	Yes
21	390	1	38839 RMS/NIBRS	066610-2021	2021	21-011502	2021-04-18 1:06:00	1:06 AM	10p to 2a	Sunday	2021-04-18 4:49:01	Sunday	21-011502-01	1	MA-52030027-M20	County	POSS CONT SUB PEN GRP 3 < 28G	Yes
22	403	1	39513 RMS/NIBRS	066610-2021	2021	21-011502	2021-04-18 1:06:00	1:06 AM	10p to 2a	Sunday	2021-04-18 4:49:01	Sunday	21-011502-02	1	MA-52030027-M20	County	POSS CONT SUB PEN GRP 1 > OR EQUAL 1G<4G	Yes
23	492	1	49021 RMS/NIBRS	066610-2021	2021	21-011502	2021-04-18 1:06:00	1:06 AM	10p to 2a	Sunday	2021-04-18 4:49:01	Sunday	21-011502-03	1	FS-35990015-035	County	WARRANT HOLD (NOT A DPD WARRANT)	Yes
24	1332	1	113568 RMS/NIBRS	196212-2021	2021	21-032756	2021-10-29 1:06:00	1:06 AM	10p to 2a	Friday	2021-10-29 4:20:33	Friday	21-032756-01	1	FS-35990015-035	No Offense	WARRANT HOLD (NOT A DPD WARRANT)	Yes
25	1889	1	157820 RMS/NIBRS	066610-2021	2021	21-011502	2021-04-18 1:06:00	1:06 AM	10p to 2a	Sunday	2021-04-18 4:49:01	Sunday	21-011502-04	1	FS-35990015-035	County	POSS CONT SUB PEN GRP 1 > OR EQUAL 1G<4G	Yes
26	1320	1	113051 RMS/NIBRS	200702-2021	2021	21-033466	2021-11-05 1:07:40	1:07 AM	10p to 2a	Friday	2021-11-05 4:39:32	Friday	21-033466-01	1	FS-35990015-035	County	POSS CONT SUB PEN GRP 1 > OR EQUAL 1G<4G	Yes
27	1580	1	129382 RMS/NIBRS	200702-2021	2021	21-033466	2021-11-05 1:07:40	1:07 AM	10p to 2a	Friday	2021-11-05 4:39:32	Friday	21-033466-02	3	NA-99999999-NC197	No Offense	WARRANT HOLD (NOT A DPD WARRANT)	Yes
28	1552	1	127670 RMS/NIBRS	183254-2021	2021	21-030786	2021-10-09 1:09:00	1:09 AM	10p to 2a	Saturday	2021-10-09 5:03:26	Saturday	21-030786-01	1	MB-35620008-01	County	TAMPER FABRICATE PHYSICAL EVID WITH INTENT TO IMPAIR	Yes
29	1628	1	136160 RMS/NIBRS	183254-2021	2021	21-030786	2021-10-09 1:09:00	1:09 AM	10p to 2a	Saturday	2021-10-09 5:03:26	Saturday	21-030786-02	1	MA-52030027-M20	County	WARRANT HOLD (NOT A DPD WARRANT)	Yes
30	951	1	88472 RMS/NIBRS	163791-2021	2021	21-027656	2021-09-10 1:10:00	1:10 AM	10p to 2a	Friday	2021-09-10 3:38:48	Friday	21-027656-01	1	MA-52030027-M20	County	UNLAWFUL CARRYING WEAPON	Yes
31	1546	1	127062 RMS/NIBRS	182572-2021	2021	21-030667	2021-10-08 1:10:00	1:10 AM	10p to 2a	Friday	2021-10-08 7:01:41	Friday	21-030667-01	1	MA-52030027-M20	County	WARRANT HOLD (NOT A DPD WARRANT)	Yes
32	1783	1	147453 RMS/NIBRS	182572-2021	2021	21-030667	2021-10-08 1:10:00	1:10 AM	10p to 2a	Friday	2021-10-08 7:01:41	Friday	21-030667-02	3	NA-99999999-NC197	No Offense	WARRANT HOLD (NOT A DPD WARRANT)	Yes
33	1980	1	164696 RMS/NIBRS	182572-2021	2021	21-030667	2021-10-08 1:10:00	1:10 AM	10p to 2a	Friday	2021-10-08 7:01:41	Friday	21-030667-03	3	MA-52030027-M20	County	UNLAWFUL CARRYING WEAPON	Yes
34	717	1	69231 RMS/NIBRS	098140-2021	2021	21-016693	2021-06-04 1:14:00	1:14 AM	10p to 2a	Friday	2021-06-04 3:56:10	Friday	21-016693-01	1	MA-26070223-NC297	County	TRAFFIC VIOL - DRIVER LICENSE/DI FALSE	Yes
35	934	1	87037 RMS/NIBRS	098140-2021	2021	21-016693	2021-06-04 1:14:00	1:14 AM	10p to 2a	Friday	2021-06-04 3:56:10	Friday	21-016693-02	1	MA-26070223-NC297	County	OTHER OFFENSE - MISDEMEANOR	Yes
36	78	1	9326 RMS/NIBRS	051612-2021	2021	21-002653	2021-01-27 1:15:00	1:15 AM	10p to 2a	Wednesday	2021-01-27 3:31:44	Wednesday	21-002653-01	1	MC-99999999-NC197	No Offense	WARRANT HOLD (NOT A DPD WARRANT)	Yes
37	1245	1	108512 RMS/NIBRS	192492-2021	2021	21-032214	2021-10-23 1:15:00	1:15 AM	10p to 2a	Saturday	2021-10-23 9:30:52	Saturday	21-032214-01	1	MA-48010017-US8	County	EVADING ARREST DETENTION	Yes
38	1288	1	111186 RMS/NIBRS	192492-2021	2021	21-032214	2021-10-23 1:15:00	1:15 AM	10p to 2a	Saturday	2021-10-23 9:30:52	Saturday	21-032214-02	1	MA-48010017-US8	County	OTHER OFFENSE - MISDEMEANOR	Yes
39	1567	1	128485 RMS/NIBRS	192492-2021	2021	21-032214	2021-10-23 1:15:00	1:15 AM	10p to 2a	Saturday	2021-10-23 9:30:52	Saturday	21-032214-03	1	MA-48010017-US8	County	OTHER OFFENSE - MISDEMEANOR	Yes
40	1776	1	146968 RMS/NIBRS	192492-2021	2021	21-032214	2021-10-23 1:15:00	1:15 AM	10p to 2a	Saturday	2021-10-23 9:30:52	Saturday	21-032214-04	1	MA-48010017-US8	County	OTHER OFFENSE - MISDEMEANOR	Yes
41	1816	1	149784 RMS/NIBRS	192492-2021	2021	21-032214	2021-10-23 1:15:00	1:15 AM	10p to 2a	Saturday	2021-10-23 9:30:52	Saturday	21-032214-05	1	MA-48010017-US8	County	OTHER OFFENSE - MISDEMEANOR	Yes
42	1965	1	163660 RMS/NIBRS	122371-2021	2021	21-020780	2021-04-24 13:17:00	1:17 AM	10p to 2a	Monday	2021-04-26 8:13:10	Monday	21-020780-01	1	MA-48010017-US8	County	OTHER OFFENSE - MISDEMEANOR	Yes
43	549	1	54411 RMS/NIBRS	070868-2021	2021	21-012204	2021-10-18 1:19:00	1:19 AM	10p to 2a	Saturday	2021-10-18 5:47:01	Monday	21-012204-01	1	MA-48010017-US8	County	OTHER OFFENSE - MISDEMEANOR	Yes
44	1595	1	130338 RMS/NIBRS	189141-2021	2021	21-031656	2021-10-18 1:19:00	1:19 AM	10p to 2a	Saturday	2021-10-18 5:47:01	Monday	21-031656-01	1	MA-48010017-US8	County	OTHER OFFENSE - MISDEMEANOR	Yes
45	60	1	7348 RMS/NIBRS	008488-2021	2021	21-001461	2021-01-15 1:20:00	1:20 AM	10p to 2a	Monday	2021-01-15 5:45:41	Friday	21-001461-01	1	MA-48010017-US8	County	OTHER OFFENSE - MISDEMEANOR	Yes
46	772	1	74134 RMS/NIBRS	118697-2021	2021	21-020198	2021-07-05 1:20:00	1:20 AM	10p to 2a	Monday	2021-07-05 2:04:05	Monday	21-020198-01	1	MA-48010017-US8	County	OTHER OFFENSE - MISDEMEANOR	Yes
47	1279	1	110615 RMS/NIBRS	17788-2021	2021	21-029889	2021-10-01 1:20:00	1:20 AM	10p to 2a	Friday	2021-10-01 2:29:28	Friday	21-029889-01	1	MA-48010017-US8	County	OTHER OFFENSE - MISDEMEANOR	Yes
48	1465	1	122198 RMS/NIBRS	181670-2021	2021	21-039502	2021-10-06 13:20:00	1:20 AM	10p to 2a	Wednesday	2021-10-06 19:05:26	Wednesday	21-039502-01	1	FS-24110003-G13	County	UNAUTHORIZED USE OF MOTOR VEH - AUTOMOBILE	Yes
49	1779	1	147266 RMS/NIBRS	181670-2021	2021	21-039502	2021-10-06 13:20:00	1:20 AM	10p to 2a	Wednesday	2021-10-06 19:05:26	Wednesday	21-039502-02	1	FS-24110003-G13	County	UNAUTHORIZED USE OF MOTOR VEH - AUTOMOBILE	Yes
50	1979	1	164666 RMS/NIBRS	181670-2021	2021	21-039502	2021-10-06 13:20:00	1:20 AM	10p to 2a	Wednesday	2021-10-06 19:05:26	Wednesday	21-039502-03	1	FS-24110003-G13	County	UNAUTHORIZED USE OF MOTOR VEH - AUTOMOBILE	Yes
51	811	1	76575 RMS/NIBRS	113550-2021	2021	21-019395	2021-06-27 1:21:00	1:21 AM	10p to 2a	Sunday	2021-06-27 4:31:22	Sunday	21-019395-01	1	FS-54000028-R12	County	DWI W/CHILD UNDER 15 YOA	Yes
52	1009	1	92897 RMS/NIBRS	166889-2021	2021	21-028700	2021-09-19 1:22:00	1:22 AM	10p to 2a	Sunday	2021-09-19 7:08:23	Sunday	21-028700-01	1	NC-99999999-NC184	No Offense	WARRANT DALLAS PD (ALCS/CPHS)	Yes
53	1040	1	94851 RMS/NIBRS	166889-2021	2021	21-028700	2021-09-19 1:2											



	T	U	V	W	X	Y	Z	AA	AB	AC	AD	AE	AF	AG	AH	AI	AJ	AK	AL	AM	AN			
1	UCR Arresting Chg	Severity	Class	UCR	UCR Word	UCR Offense	Statute	CJIS	Type	UCR Archg	HoldType	BailOffgAmt	ReleaseType	WarrantNum	WarrantType	WarrantIssuedAgency	WarrantIssuedDate	ChargedDate	StaffOffReviewDate	JailSgt	ORCA	Written By	Date	
2		F	FS			PC 31.07		32410003								DALLAS PD	2021-01-02 3:40:45	2021-01-02 3:09:22	2021-01-02 3:02:51	9850			2021-01-02 3:03:03	
3		M	MC			DALLAS CITY CODE 31-27		99999999					Other					2021-02-22 15:03:39	2021-02-22 14:42:38	2021-02-22 14:42:38				2021-02-22 14:42:52
4		M	MC			DALLAS CITY CODE 31-27		99999999					Other					2021-02-22 15:05:44	2021-02-22 14:42:38	2021-02-22 14:42:38				2021-02-22 14:43:00
5		N	NA			Social Services Referral		35990015										2021-03-25 3:42:13	2021-03-25 3:03:51	8561			2021-03-25 3:04:23	
6		F	FS			HSC 481.115(c)		35990015										2021-04-02 4:23:53	2021-04-02 3:45:42	9042			2021-04-02 3:46:26	
7		M	MC			HSC 481.125 (A)		35500015										2021-04-02 4:23:53	2021-04-02 3:45:42	9042			2021-04-02 3:46:26	
8		N	NA			Warrant Dallas PD		99999999										2021-08-25 2:07:01	2021-06-03 14:02:16	9042			2021-06-03 15:11:12	
9		N	NA			Warrant Dallas PD		54040010										2021-06-06 5:11:56	2021-06-06 5:11:56	7718			2021-06-06 5:12:03	
10		M	MA			PC 49.09(a)		35990015										2021-08-10 16:32:33	2021-08-10 16:32:33	9550			2021-08-10 16:33:35	
11		F	FS			HSC 481.115(c)		35990015										2021-09-11 7:16:40	2021-09-11 7:16:40	8552			2021-09-11 7:17:01	
12		M	MB			HSC 481.121(b)(1)		35620008										2021-09-11 7:24:28	2021-09-11 7:24:28	8552			2021-09-11 7:24:30	
13		M	MA			HSC 483.041		35990133										2021-09-11 7:24:28	2021-09-11 7:24:28	8552			2021-09-11 7:24:30	
14		M	MA			PC 46.02(b)		52030027										2021-09-11 7:24:28	2021-09-11 7:24:28	8552			2021-09-11 7:24:30	
15		M	MA			PC 46.02(b)		52030027										2021-09-11 7:24:28	2021-09-11 7:24:28	8552			2021-09-11 7:24:30	
16		M	MC			TC		99999999										2021-09-11 7:24:28	2021-09-11 7:24:28	8552			2021-09-11 7:24:30	
17		M	MA			HSC 481.121(b)(2)		35620009										2021-09-11 7:24:28	2021-09-11 7:24:28	8552			2021-09-11 7:24:30	
18		M	MC			HSC 481.125 (A)		35500015										2021-09-11 7:24:28	2021-09-11 7:24:28	8552			2021-09-11 7:24:30	
19		M	MC			TC		99999999										2021-09-11 7:24:28	2021-09-11 7:24:28	8552			2021-09-11 7:24:30	
20		N	NA			Warrant Dallas PD		99999999										2021-03-28 2:49:25	2021-03-28 2:17:16	8882			2021-03-28 2:17:27	
21		M	MA			PC 46.02(b)		52030027										2021-04-18 6:57:24	2021-04-18 6:57:24	9320			2021-04-18 6:59:01	
22		M	MA			HSC 481.117(b)(1)		35990023										2021-04-18 5:06:34	2021-04-18 4:48:34	9320			2021-04-18 4:49:01	
23		M	MB			HSC 481.121(b)(1)		35620008										2021-04-18 5:06:34	2021-04-18 4:48:34	9320			2021-04-18 4:49:01	
24		F	FS			HSC 481.115(c)		35990015										2021-10-29 4:20:05	2021-10-29 4:20:05	9850			2021-10-29 4:20:31	
25		N	NA			Warrant Dallas PD		99999999										2021-04-18 4:49:01	2021-04-18 4:49:01	9320			2021-04-18 4:49:01	
26		N	NA			HSC 481.115(c)		35990015										2021-11-05 5:39:16	2021-11-05 5:39:16	7718			2021-11-05 5:39:22	
27		N	NA			Warrant Dallas PD		99999999										2021-11-05 5:39:16	2021-11-05 5:39:16	7718			2021-11-05 5:39:22	
28		M	MB			HSC 481.121(b)(1)		35620008										2021-10-09 5:02:47	2021-10-09 5:02:47	7718			2021-10-09 5:03:26	
29		F	FS			PC 37.09(c)		48640003										2021-09-10 3:38:37	2021-09-10 3:38:37	9850			2021-09-10 3:38:48	
30		N	NA			Warrant Dallas PD		99999999										2021-10-08 7:01:00	2021-10-08 7:01:00	8552			2021-10-08 7:01:47	
31		M	MA			PC 46.02(b)		52030027										2021-10-08 7:13:20	2021-10-08 7:13:20	8552			2021-10-08 7:01:47	
32		N	NA			Warrant Dallas PD		99999999										2021-10-08 7:13:20	2021-10-08 7:13:20	8552			2021-10-08 7:01:47	
33		M	MB			HSC 481.121(b)(1)		35620008										2021-10-23 9:30:42	2021-10-23 9:30:42	8552			2021-10-23 9:30:52	
34		N	NA			Warrant Dallas PD		99999999										2021-06-04 4:19:28	2021-06-04 4:19:28	8552			2021-06-04 4:19:28	
35		M	MA			TC 521.451		26070223										2021-06-04 4:19:28	2021-06-04 4:19:28	8552			2021-06-04 4:19:28	
36		M	MA			New or Missing Misd Codes		99999999										2021-01-27 3:34:23	2021-01-27 3:34:23	M198			2021-01-27 3:34:44	
37		N	NA			Warrant Dallas PD		99999999										2021-10-23 9:30:42	2021-10-23 9:30:42	8552			2021-10-23 9:30:52	
38		M	MA			PC 38.04(b)		48010017										2021-10-23 9:30:42	2021-10-23 9:30:42	8552			2021-10-23 9:30:52	
39		M	MA			New or Missing Misd Codes		99999999										2021-10-23 9:30:42	2021-10-23 9:30:42	8552			2021-10-23 9:30:52	
40		F	FS			HSC 481.115(c)		35990015										2021-10-23 9:30:42	2021-10-23 9:30:42	8552			2021-10-23 9:30:52	
41		M	MA			PC 38.03(a)		48010006										2021-07-11 7:32:33	2021-07-11 7:32:33	8082			2021-07-11 7:32:33	
42		N	NA			Social Services Referral		99999999										2021-04-27 23:18:28	2021-04-26 8:37:10	8561			2021-04-26 8:37:10	
43		N	NA			Social Services Referral		99999999										2021-10-18 5:57:03	2021-10-18 5:57:03	8552			2021-10-18 5:57:07	
44		M	MB			PC 49.04(c)		54040012										2021-01-15 2:17:40	2021-01-15 1:54:37	M198			2021-01-15 1:54:41	
45		M	MC			PC 49.02		99999999										2021-07-05 2:09:55	2021-07-05 2:09:55	M198			2021-07-05 2:04:05	
46		M	MC			PC 49.02		99999999										2021-10-01 2:28:28	2021-10-01 2:28:28	8561			2021-10-01 2:28:28	
47		N	NA			Social Services Referral		99999999										2021-10-06 19:35:21	2021-10-06 19:35:21	9146			2021-10-06 19:05:26	
48		F	FS			PC 46.04(e)		52120009										2021-10-06 19:35:21	2021-10-06 19:35:21	9146			2021-10-06 19:05:26	
49		F	FS			PC 31.07		24110003										2021-10-06 19:35:21	2021-10-06 19:35:21	9146			2021-10-06 19:05:26	
50		F	FS			HSC 481.115(c)		35990015										2021-06-27 4:30:51	2021-06-27 4:30:51	8189			2021-06-27 4:31:22	
51		F	FS			PC 49.045(b)		54040028										2021-09-19 7:08:08	2021-09-19 7:08:08	7718			2021-09-19 7:08:23	
52		N	NA			Warrant Dallas PD		99999999										2021-09-19 7:08:08	2021-09-19 7:08:08	7718			2021-09-19 7:08:23	
53		M	MA			PC 46.02(b)		52030027										2021-09-19 7:17:07	2021-09-19 7:08:08	7718			2021-09-19 7:08:23	
54		M	MB			HSC 481.121(b)(1)		35620008										2021-09-19 7:17:07	2021-09-19 7:08:08	7718			2021-09-19 7:08:23	
55		N	NA			Warrant Dallas PD		99999999										2021-09-19 7:17:07	2021-09-19 7:08:08	7718			2021-09-19 7:08:23	
56		F	FS			PC 32.51(c)(2)		26040043										2021-09-19 7:17:07	2021-09-19 7:08:08	7718			2021-09-19 7:08:23	
57		N	NA			Warrant Dallas PD		99999999										2021-01-04 5:36:17	2021-01-04 5:36:17	8196			2021-01-04 5:36:49	
58		N	NA			Warrant Dallas PD		99999999										2021-05-16 7:46:55	2021-05-16 7:46:55	8189			2021-05-16 7:47:03	
59		M	MA			PC 46.02(b)		52120009										2021-05-16 7:46:55	2021-05-16 7:46:55	8189			2021-05-16 7:47:03	
60		F	FS			PC 46.02(b)		52030027										2021-05-16 7:46:55	2021-05-16 7:46:55	8189			2021-05-16 7:47:03	
61		M	MA			HSC 481.121(b)(1)		35620008										2021-05-16 7:46:55	2021-05-16 7:46:55	8189			2021-05-16 7:47:03	
62		M	MB			HSC 481.117(b)		35990023										2021-05-16 7:46:55	2021-05-16 7:46:55	8189			2021-05-16 7:47:03	
63		M	MA			HSC 481.117(b)		35620008										2021-05-16 7:46:55	2021-05-16 7:46:55	8189			2021-05-16 7:47:03	
64		M	MB			PC 46.02(b)		52030027										2021-05-16 7:46:55	2021-05-16 7:46:55	8189			2021-05-16 7:47:03	
65		M	MA			Warrant Dallas PD		99999999										2021-01-29 3:03:24	2021-01-29 3:03:24	9042			2021-01-29 3:03:29	
66		N																						



	AO	AP	AQ	AR	AS	AT	AU	AV	AW	AX
	OFCA Approved By Date	OFCA Received By Date	Apprehended Date	Final Disp	Final Disp Date	At/Premise	AR/Action	Dis/Code	NIBRS Group	NIBRS Crime Category
1	2021-01-02 3:03:03	2021-01-02 3:04:00		Accepted	2021-01-02 0:00:00	Highway, Street, Alley ETC	Arrested - Lew Sterrett	A	A	MOTOR VEHICLE THEFT
2				Accepted	2021-02-22 0:00:00	Highway, Street, Alley ETC	Arrested - CDC	B	B	ALL OTHER OFFENSES
3	2021-02-22 14:42:52			Accepted	2021-02-22 0:00:00	Highway, Street, Alley ETC	Arrested - CDC	B	B	ALL OTHER OFFENSES
4	2021-02-22 14:43:00			Accepted	2021-03-25 0:00:00	Bar/NightClub/Dancehall ETC.	Arrested - Released To Hospital (AROWW)	H	C	AROWW (SOCIAL SERVICES REFERRAL)
5	2021-03-25 3:04:23	2021-03-25 0:00:00		Accepted	2021-03-25 0:00:00	Highway, Street, Alley ETC	Arrested - Lew Sterrett	H	A	DRUG/ NARCOTIC VIOLATIONS
6	2021-04-02 3:46:26	2021-04-02 4:03:00		Accepted	2021-04-02 0:00:00	Highway, Street, Alley ETC	Arrested - Lew Sterrett	H	A	DRUG/ NARCOTIC VIOLATIONS
7	2021-04-02 3:46:26	2021-04-02 4:03:00		Accepted	2021-04-02 0:00:00	Highway, Street, Alley ETC	Arrested - Lew Sterrett	H	C	WARRANT HOLD (NOT A DPD WARRANT)
8	2021-04-02 3:46:26	2021-04-02 4:03:00		Accepted	2021-04-02 0:00:00	Highway, Street, Alley ETC	Arrested - Lew Sterrett	H	A	WARRANT DALLAS PD
9	2021-08-25 1:51:32	2021-08-25 2:01:00		Accepted	2021-08-25 0:00:00	Apartment Complex/Building	Arrested - Lew Sterrett	B	B	DRIVING UNDER THE INFLUENCE
10	2021-06-06 5:12:03	2021-06-06 5:39:00		Accepted	2021-06-06 0:00:00		Arrested - Lew Sterrett	A	A	DRUG/ NARCOTIC VIOLATIONS
11	2021-08-10 16:33:35	2021-08-10 16:47:00	2021-08-10 13:00:00	Accepted	2021-09-11 0:00:00	Highway, Street, Alley ETC	Arrested - Lew Sterrett	H	A	DRUG/ NARCOTIC VIOLATIONS
12	2021-09-11 7:17:01	2021-09-11 7:23:00		Accepted	2021-09-11 0:00:00	Highway, Street, Alley ETC	Arrested - Lew Sterrett	H	A	DRUG/ NARCOTIC VIOLATIONS
13	2021-09-11 7:17:01	2021-09-11 7:23:00		Accepted	2021-09-11 0:00:00	Highway, Street, Alley ETC	Arrested - Lew Sterrett	H	A	WEAPON LAW VIOLATIONS
14	2021-09-11 7:17:30	2021-09-11 7:31:00		Accepted	2021-09-11 0:00:00	Highway, Street, Alley ETC	Arrested - Lew Sterrett	H	A	WEAPON LAW VIOLATIONS
15	2021-09-11 7:17:01	2021-09-11 7:31:00		Accepted	2021-09-11 0:00:00	Highway, Street, Alley ETC	Arrested - Lew Sterrett	H	C	TRAFFIC VIOLATION - NON HAZARDOUS
16	2021-09-11 7:17:30	2021-09-11 7:31:00		Accepted	2021-09-11 0:00:00	Highway, Street, Alley ETC	Arrested - Lew Sterrett	H	A	DRUG/ NARCOTIC VIOLATIONS
17	2021-09-11 7:17:30	2021-09-11 7:31:00		Accepted	2021-09-11 0:00:00	Highway, Street, Alley ETC	Arrested - Lew Sterrett	H	A	DRUG/ NARCOTIC VIOLATIONS
18	2021-09-11 7:17:30	2021-09-11 7:31:00		Accepted	2021-09-11 0:00:00	Highway, Street, Alley ETC	Arrested - Lew Sterrett	H	C	TRAFFIC VIOLATION - NON HAZARDOUS
19	2021-09-11 7:17:30	2021-09-11 7:31:00		Accepted	2021-09-11 0:00:00	Bar/NightClub/Dancehall ETC.	Arrested - Lew Sterrett	C	C	WARRANT HOLD (NOT A DPD WARRANT)
20	2021-03-28 2:17:27	2021-03-28 2:48:00		Accepted	2021-04-18 0:00:00	Highway, Street, Alley ETC	Arrested - Lew Sterrett	A	A	WEAPON LAW VIOLATIONS
21	2021-04-18 4:49:01	2021-04-18 5:05:00	2021-04-18 1:06:00	Accepted	2021-04-18 0:00:00	Highway, Street, Alley ETC	Arrested - Lew Sterrett	A	A	DRUG/ NARCOTIC VIOLATIONS
22	2021-04-18 4:49:01	2021-04-18 5:05:00	2021-04-18 1:06:00	Accepted	2021-04-18 0:00:00	Highway, Street, Alley ETC	Arrested - Lew Sterrett	A	A	DRUG/ NARCOTIC VIOLATIONS
23	2021-04-18 4:49:01	2021-04-18 5:05:00	2021-04-18 1:06:00	Accepted	2021-04-18 0:00:00	Highway, Street, Alley ETC	Arrested - Lew Sterrett	A	A	DRUG/ NARCOTIC VIOLATIONS
24	2021-10-29 4:20:33	2021-10-29 4:22:00		Accepted	2021-10-29 0:00:00	Highway, Street, Alley ETC	Arrested - Lew Sterrett	C	C	WARRANT HOLD (NOT A DPD WARRANT)
25	2021-04-18 4:49:01	2021-04-18 5:05:00	2021-04-18 1:06:00	Accepted	2021-04-18 0:00:00	Highway, Street, Alley ETC	Arrested - Lew Sterrett	A	A	DRUG/ NARCOTIC VIOLATIONS
26	2021-11-05 5:59:22	2021-11-05 5:59:00		Accepted	2021-11-05 0:00:00	Highway, Street, Alley ETC	Arrested - Lew Sterrett	C	C	WARRANT HOLD (NOT A DPD WARRANT)
27	2021-11-05 5:59:22	2021-11-05 5:59:00		Accepted	2021-11-05 0:00:00	Highway, Street, Alley ETC	Arrested - Lew Sterrett	C	C	DRUG/ NARCOTIC VIOLATIONS
28	2021-10-09 5:03:26	2021-10-09 8:16:00		Declined by Magistrate	2021-10-09 0:00:00	Highway, Street, Alley ETC	Arrested - Lew Sterrett	C	C	ALL OTHER OFFENSES
29	2021-10-09 5:03:26	2021-10-09 8:16:00		Accepted	2021-10-09 0:00:00	Highway, Street, Alley ETC	Arrested - Lew Sterrett	B	B	WARRANT HOLD (NOT A DPD WARRANT)
30	2021-09-10 3:49:00	2021-09-10 3:48:48		Accepted	2021-09-10 0:00:00	Highway, Street, Alley ETC	Arrested - Lew Sterrett	C	C	WEAPON LAW VIOLATIONS
31	2021-10-08 7:01:47	2021-10-08 7:11:00		Accepted	2021-10-08 0:00:00	Highway, Street, Alley ETC	Arrested - Lew Sterrett	H	A	WEAPON LAW VIOLATIONS
32	2021-10-08 7:01:47	2021-10-08 7:11:00		Accepted	2021-10-08 0:00:00	Highway, Street, Alley ETC	Arrested - Lew Sterrett	A	A	WARRANT HOLD (NOT A DPD WARRANT)
33	2021-10-08 7:01:47	2021-10-08 7:11:00		Accepted	2021-10-08 0:00:00	Highway, Street, Alley ETC	Arrested - Lew Sterrett	C	C	DRUG/ NARCOTIC VIOLATIONS
34	2021-06-04 3:56:10	2021-06-04 3:56:10		Accepted	2021-06-04 0:00:00	Highway, Street, Alley ETC	Arrested - Lew Sterrett	H	A	WARRANT HOLD (NOT A DPD WARRANT)
35	2021-06-04 3:56:10	2021-06-04 3:56:10		Accepted	2021-06-04 0:00:00	Highway, Street, Alley ETC	Arrested - Lew Sterrett	H	A	FRAUD OFFENSES
36	2021-01-27 3:31:44	2021-01-27 3:44:00		Accepted	2021-01-27 0:00:00	Highway, Street, Alley ETC	Arrested - Lew Sterrett	H	B	ALL OTHER OFFENSES
37	2021-10-23 9:30:52	2021-10-23 9:45:00		Accepted	2021-10-23 0:00:00	Highway, Street, Alley ETC	Arrested - Lew Sterrett	C	C	WARRANT HOLD (NOT A DPD WARRANT)
38	2021-10-23 9:30:52	2021-10-23 9:45:00		Accepted	2021-10-23 0:00:00	Highway, Street, Alley ETC	Arrested - Lew Sterrett	B	B	ALL OTHER OFFENSES
39	2021-10-23 9:30:52	2021-10-23 9:45:00		Accepted	2021-10-23 0:00:00	Highway, Street, Alley ETC	Arrested - Lew Sterrett	B	B	ALL OTHER OFFENSES
40	2021-10-23 9:30:52	2021-10-23 9:45:00		Accepted	2021-10-23 0:00:00	Highway, Street, Alley ETC	Arrested - Lew Sterrett	B	B	ALL OTHER OFFENSES
41	2021-10-23 9:30:52	2021-10-23 9:45:00		Accepted	2021-10-23 0:00:00	Highway, Street, Alley ETC	Arrested - Lew Sterrett	B	B	ALL OTHER OFFENSES
42	2021-07-11 7:32:33	2021-07-11 18:00:00		Accepted	2021-07-11 0:00:00	Highway, Street, Alley ETC	Arrested - Released To Hospital (AROWW)	H	C	AROWW (SOCIAL SERVICES REFERRAL)
43	2021-04-26 8:37:10	2021-04-27 0:00:00		Accepted	2021-04-27 0:00:00	Highway, Street, Alley ETC	Arrested - Released To Hospital (AROWW)	H	C	AROWW (SOCIAL SERVICES REFERRAL)
44	2021-10-18 5:57:07	2021-10-18 6:04:00		Accepted	2021-10-18 0:00:00	Highway, Street, Alley ETC	Arrested - Lew Sterrett	B	B	DRIVING UNDER THE INFLUENCE
45	2021-01-15 1:54:41	2021-01-15 2:17:00		Accepted	2021-01-15 0:00:00	Bar/NightClub/Dancehall ETC.	Arrested - Lew Sterrett	B	B	PUBLIC INTOXICATION
46	2021-07-05 2:04:05	2021-07-05 20:09:00		Accepted	2021-07-05 0:00:00	Highway, Street, Alley ETC	Arrested - CDC	H	B	PUBLIC INTOXICATION
47	2021-10-01 2:29:28	2021-10-01 0:00:00		Accepted	2021-10-01 0:00:00	Highway, Street, Alley ETC	Arrested - Released To Hospital (AROWW)	H	C	AROWW (SOCIAL SERVICES REFERRAL)
48	2021-10-06 19:05:26	2021-10-06 19:34:00		Accepted	2021-10-06 0:00:00	Highway, Street, Alley ETC	Arrested - Lew Sterrett	A	A	WEAPON LAW VIOLATIONS
49	2021-10-06 19:05:26	2021-10-06 19:34:00		Accepted	2021-10-06 0:00:00	Highway, Street, Alley ETC	Arrested - Lew Sterrett	H	A	MOTOR VEHICLE THEFT
50	2021-10-06 19:05:26	2021-10-06 19:34:00		Accepted	2021-10-06 0:00:00	Highway, Street, Alley ETC	Arrested - Lew Sterrett	H	A	DRUG/ NARCOTIC VIOLATIONS
51	2021-06-27 4:31:22	2021-06-27 5:39:00		Accepted	2021-06-27 0:00:00	Highway, Street, Alley ETC	Arrested - Lew Sterrett	H	B	DRIVING UNDER THE INFLUENCE
52	2021-09-19 7:28:23	2021-09-19 7:28:00		Accepted	2021-09-19 0:00:00	Highway, Street, Alley ETC	Arrested - Lew Sterrett	H	C	WARRANT DALLAS PD (ALIAS/CP/PS)
53	2021-09-19 7:28:23	2021-09-19 7:28:00		Accepted	2021-09-19 0:00:00	Highway, Street, Alley ETC	Arrested - Lew Sterrett	H	A	WEAPON LAW VIOLATIONS
54	2021-09-19 7:28:23	2021-09-19 7:28:00		Accepted	2021-09-19 0:00:00	Highway, Street, Alley ETC	Arrested - Lew Sterrett	H	A	DRUG/ NARCOTIC VIOLATIONS
55	2021-09-19 7:28:23	2021-09-19 7:28:00		Accepted	2021-09-19 0:00:00	Highway, Street, Alley ETC	Arrested - Lew Sterrett	H	A	WARRANT HOLD (NOT A DPD WARRANT)
56	2021-09-19 7:28:23	2021-09-19 7:28:00		Accepted	2021-09-19 0:00:00	Highway, Street, Alley ETC	Arrested - Lew Sterrett	H	C	FRAUD OFFENSES
57	2021-09-19 7:28:23	2021-09-19 7:28:00		Accepted	2021-09-19 0:00:00	Highway, Street, Alley ETC	Arrested - Lew Sterrett	H	C	WARRANT HOLD (NOT A DPD WARRANT)
58	2021-01-04 5:36:49	2021-01-04 5:48:00		Accepted	2021-01-04 0:00:00	Gas or Service Station	Arrested - Lew Sterrett	H	C	WEAPON LAW VIOLATIONS
59	2021-05-16 7:48:15	2021-05-16 8:06:00		Accepted	2021-05-16 0:00:00	Highway, Street, Alley ETC	Arrested - Lew Sterrett	A	A	WEAPON LAW VIOLATIONS
60	2021-05-16 7:47:03	2021-05-16 8:06:00		Accepted	2021-05-16 0:00:00	Highway, Street, Alley ETC	Arrested - Lew Sterrett	A	A	WEAPON LAW VIOLATIONS
61	2021-05-16 7:47:44	2021-05-16 8:06:00		Accepted	2021-05-16 0:00:00	Highway, Street, Alley ETC	Arrested - Lew Sterrett	A	A	WEAPON LAW VIOLATIONS
62	2021-05-16 7:48:15	2021-05-16 8:06:00		Accepted	2021-05-16 0:00:00	Highway, Street, Alley ETC	Arrested - Lew Sterrett	A	A	DRUG/ NARCOTIC VIOLATIONS
63	2021-05-16 7:47:03	2021-05-16 8:06:00		Accepted	2021-05-16 0:00:00	Highway, Street, Alley ETC	Arrested - Lew Sterrett	A	A	DRUG/ NARCOTIC VIOLATIONS
64	2021-05-16 7:47:25	2021-05-16 8:06:00		Accepted	2021-05-16 0:00:00	Highway, Street, Alley ETC	Arrested - Lew Sterrett	A	A	DRUG/ NARCOTIC VIOLATIONS
65	2021-05-16 7:47:25	2021-05-16 8:06:00		Accepted	2021-05-16 0:00:00	Highway, Street, Alley ETC	Arrested - Lew Sterrett	A	A	WEAPON LAW VIOLATIONS
66	2021-01-29 3:03:29	2021-01-29 3:19:00		Accepted	2021-01-29 0:00:00	Highway, Street, Alley ETC	Arrested - Lew Sterrett	C	C	WARRANT HOLD (NOT A DPD WARRANT)
67	2021-05-19 4:16:59	2021-05-19 4:20:00		Accepted	2021-05-19 0:00:00	Highway, Street, Alley ETC	Arrested - CDC	C	C	TRAFFIC VIOLATION - NON HAZARDOUS



	AV	AZ	BA	BB	BC	BD	BE	BF	BG	BH
	NIBRS_Crime	NIBRS_Crime_Comstat	NIBRS_CrimeAgainst	NIBRS_Code	NIBRS_Group_CrimeAgainst	NIBRS_Type	ChargeSynopsis	CFR_Number		Name
1	NIBRS_Crime									YOUNG-RAMOS, GABRIEL, ROBERT
2	UUMV	UUMV	PROPERTY	240	PROPERTY	Coded		21-0007769		PIEASANT, DEZARAE, OPAL
3	ALL OTHER OFFENSES	ALL OTHER OFFENSES	PERSON, PROPERTY, OR SOCIETY	902	PERSON, PROPERTY, OR SOCIETY	Coded		21-0323647		ROBIN, KARU, NICHOLE
4	ALL OTHER OFFENSES	ALL OTHER OFFENSES	PERSON, PROPERTY, OR SOCIETY	902	PERSON, PROPERTY, OR SOCIETY	Coded		21-0323647		FOSTER, JAYLIE, MICHELLE
5	APOWW (SOCIAL SERVICES REFERRAL)	APOWW (SOCIAL SERVICES REFERRAL)	PERSON, PROPERTY, OR SOCIETY	999	PERSON, PROPERTY, OR SOCIETY	Coded		21-0518933		VEAIBLE, RONNIE, KENT
6	DRUG/ NARCOTIC VIOLATIONS	DRUG/ NARCOTIC VIOLATIONS	SOCIETY	35A	SOCIETY	Coded		21-0572633		VEAIBLE, RONNIE, KENT
7	DRUG EQUIPMENT VIOLATIONS	DRUG EQUIPMENT VIOLATIONS	PERSON, PROPERTY, OR SOCIETY	999	PERSON, PROPERTY, OR SOCIETY	Not Coded		21-0572633		VENABLE, RONNIE, KENT
8	WARRANT HOLD (NOT A DPD WARRANT)	WARRANT HOLD (NOT A DPD WARRANT)	PERSON, PROPERTY, OR SOCIETY	999	PERSON, PROPERTY, OR SOCIETY	Not Coded		21-0572633		HINES, KELDRICK, QUENTERBRIUS
9	WARRANT DALLAS PD (AGG ASSAULT - NFV)	WARRANT DALLAS PD (AGG ASSAULT - NFV)	PERSON	13A	PERSON	Coded		21-0915646		ALVAREZ-ZAPATERO, JULIO, CESAR
10	DUI	DUI	SOCIETY	90D	SOCIETY	Coded		21-1017506		THOMPSON, ROBERTJUSE, KENDALL
11	DRUG/ NARCOTIC VIOLATIONS	DRUG/ NARCOTIC VIOLATIONS	SOCIETY	35A	SOCIETY	Coded		21-1500879		SABRINSKA, TSVELTINA
12	DRUG/ NARCOTIC VIOLATIONS	DRUG/ NARCOTIC VIOLATIONS	SOCIETY	35A	SOCIETY	Not Coded		21-1724614		SABRINSKA, TSVELTINA
13	DRUG/ NARCOTIC VIOLATIONS	DRUG/ NARCOTIC VIOLATIONS	SOCIETY	35A	SOCIETY	Not Coded		21-1724614		SABRINSKA, TSVELTINA
14	WEAPON LAW VIOLATIONS	WEAPON LAW VIOLATIONS	SOCIETY	520	SOCIETY	Coded		21-1724614		STUART, DEZZIE, TYRONE
15	WEAPON LAW VIOLATIONS	WEAPON LAW VIOLATIONS	PERSON, PROPERTY, OR SOCIETY	999	PERSON, PROPERTY, OR SOCIETY	Coded		21-1724614		STUART, DEZZIE, TYRONE
16	TRAFFIC VIOLATION - NON HAZARDOUS	TRAFFIC VIOLATION - NON HAZARDOUS	SOCIETY	35B	SOCIETY	Not Coded		21-1724614		STUART, DEZZIE, TYRONE
17	DRUG/ NARCOTIC VIOLATIONS	DRUG/ NARCOTIC VIOLATIONS	PERSON, PROPERTY, OR SOCIETY	999	PERSON, PROPERTY, OR SOCIETY	Not Coded		21-1724614		STUART, DEZZIE, TYRONE
18	DRUG EQUIPMENT VIOLATIONS	DRUG EQUIPMENT VIOLATIONS	PERSON, PROPERTY, OR SOCIETY	999	PERSON, PROPERTY, OR SOCIETY	Not Coded		21-1724614		STUART, DEZZIE, TYRONE
19	TRAFFIC VIOLATION - NON HAZARDOUS	TRAFFIC VIOLATION - NON HAZARDOUS	PERSON, PROPERTY, OR SOCIETY	999	PERSON, PROPERTY, OR SOCIETY	Not Coded		21-1724614		STUART, DEZZIE, TYRONE
20	WARRANT HOLD (NOT A DPD WARRANT)	WARRANT HOLD (NOT A DPD WARRANT)	PERSON, PROPERTY, OR SOCIETY	999	PERSON, PROPERTY, OR SOCIETY	Coded		21-0539815		ST ONGE, TIMOTHY, STEPHEN
21	WEAPON LAW VIOLATIONS	WEAPON LAW VIOLATIONS	SOCIETY	520	SOCIETY	Coded		21-0680994		MITCHELL, JACQUES
22	DRUG/ NARCOTIC VIOLATIONS	DRUG/ NARCOTIC VIOLATIONS	SOCIETY	35A	SOCIETY	Not Coded		21-0680994		MITCHELL, JACQUES
23	DRUG/ NARCOTIC VIOLATIONS	DRUG/ NARCOTIC VIOLATIONS	SOCIETY	35A	SOCIETY	Not Coded		21-0680994		ARISMENDEZ, BERNARDO
24	DRUG/ NARCOTIC VIOLATIONS	DRUG/ NARCOTIC VIOLATIONS	SOCIETY	35A	SOCIETY	Coded		21-2071579		MITCHELL, JACQUES
25	WARRANT HOLD (NOT A DPD WARRANT)	WARRANT HOLD (NOT A DPD WARRANT)	PERSON, PROPERTY, OR SOCIETY	999	PERSON, PROPERTY, OR SOCIETY	Not Coded		21-2120572		BOYOSTON, MEIRILYANNE, E
26	DRUG/ NARCOTIC VIOLATIONS	DRUG/ NARCOTIC VIOLATIONS	SOCIETY	35A	SOCIETY	Coded		21-2120572		BOYOSTON, MEIRILYANNE, E
27	WARRANT HOLD (NOT A DPD WARRANT)	WARRANT HOLD (NOT A DPD WARRANT)	PERSON, PROPERTY, OR SOCIETY	999	PERSON, PROPERTY, OR SOCIETY	Coded		21-1926985		SANCHEZ, PAUL, LUIS
28	DRUG/ NARCOTIC VIOLATIONS	DRUG/ NARCOTIC VIOLATIONS	PERSON, PROPERTY, OR SOCIETY	902	PERSON, PROPERTY, OR SOCIETY	Not Coded		21-1926985		SANCHEZ, PAUL, LUIS
29	ALL OTHER OFFENSES	ALL OTHER OFFENSES	PERSON, PROPERTY, OR SOCIETY	999	PERSON, PROPERTY, OR SOCIETY	Coded		21-1716982		RAMIREZ, ASTRID, GISELL
30	WARRANT HOLD (NOT A DPD WARRANT)	WARRANT HOLD (NOT A DPD WARRANT)	PERSON, PROPERTY, OR SOCIETY	999	PERSON, PROPERTY, OR SOCIETY	Coded		21-1919185		SANDOVAL, RAFAEL, OMAR
31	WEAPON LAW VIOLATIONS	WEAPON LAW VIOLATIONS	SOCIETY	520	SOCIETY	Not Coded		21-1919185		SANDOVAL, RAFAEL, OMAR
32	WARRANT HOLD (NOT A DPD WARRANT)	WARRANT HOLD (NOT A DPD WARRANT)	PERSON, PROPERTY, OR SOCIETY	999	PERSON, PROPERTY, OR SOCIETY	Not Coded		21-1919185		SANDOVAL, RAFAEL, OMAR
33	DRUG/ NARCOTIC VIOLATIONS	DRUG/ NARCOTIC VIOLATIONS	SOCIETY	35A	SOCIETY	Not Coded		21-1919185		SANDOVAL, RAFAEL, OMAR
34	WARRANT HOLD (NOT A DPD WARRANT)	WARRANT HOLD (NOT A DPD WARRANT)	PERSON, PROPERTY, OR SOCIETY	999	PERSON, PROPERTY, OR SOCIETY	Not Coded		21-1009317		RAMIREZ CONTRERAS, ANTONIO
35	FALSE PRETENSES, SWINDLE/ CONFIDENCE GAME	FALSE PRETENSES, SWINDLE/ CONFIDENCE GAME	PERSON, PROPERTY, OR SOCIETY	999	PERSON, PROPERTY, OR SOCIETY	Not Coded		21-1009317		RAMIREZ CONTRERAS, ANTONIO
36	ALL OTHER OFFENSES	ALL OTHER OFFENSES	PROPERTY	26A	PROPERTY	Coded		21-1009317		GOMEZ, ARTURO
37	WARRANT HOLD (NOT A DPD WARRANT)	WARRANT HOLD (NOT A DPD WARRANT)	PERSON, PROPERTY, OR SOCIETY	902	PERSON, PROPERTY, OR SOCIETY	Coded		21-0157311		MYERS, SUDRICK, LADON
38	ALL OTHER OFFENSES	ALL OTHER OFFENSES	PERSON, PROPERTY, OR SOCIETY	999	PERSON, PROPERTY, OR SOCIETY	Not Coded		21-2028886		MYERS, SUDRICK, LADON
39	ALL OTHER OFFENSES	ALL OTHER OFFENSES	PERSON, PROPERTY, OR SOCIETY	902	PERSON, PROPERTY, OR SOCIETY	Not Coded		21-2028886		MYERS, SUDRICK, LADON
40	DRUG/ NARCOTIC VIOLATIONS	DRUG/ NARCOTIC VIOLATIONS	PERSON, PROPERTY, OR SOCIETY	902	PERSON, PROPERTY, OR SOCIETY	Not Coded		21-2028886		MYERS, SUDRICK, LADON
41	ALL OTHER OFFENSES	ALL OTHER OFFENSES	PERSON, PROPERTY, OR SOCIETY	902	PERSON, PROPERTY, OR SOCIETY	Not Coded		21-2028886		MYERS, SUDRICK, LADON
42	APOWW (SOCIAL SERVICES REFERRAL)	APOWW (SOCIAL SERVICES REFERRAL)	PERSON, PROPERTY, OR SOCIETY	999	PERSON, PROPERTY, OR SOCIETY	Coded		21-1279411		HOLBERT, KATRINA, ANNETTE
43	APOWW (SOCIAL SERVICES REFERRAL)	APOWW (SOCIAL SERVICES REFERRAL)	PERSON, PROPERTY, OR SOCIETY	999	PERSON, PROPERTY, OR SOCIETY	Coded		21-0722100		MAYS, DUSTIN, LEE
44	DUI	DUI	SOCIETY	90D	SOCIETY	Coded		21-1993269		PERAZZA, RENEE
45	PUBLIC INTOXICATION	PUBLIC INTOXICATION	SOCIETY	902	SOCIETY	Coded		21-0084631		WONG, JHON
46	PUBLIC INTOXICATION	PUBLIC INTOXICATION	SOCIETY	902	PERSON, PROPERTY, OR SOCIETY	Coded		21-1237073		NOLANEA RODRIGUEZ, DANIEL
47	APOWW (SOCIAL SERVICES REFERRAL)	APOWW (SOCIAL SERVICES REFERRAL)	PERSON, PROPERTY, OR SOCIETY	999	PERSON, PROPERTY, OR SOCIETY	Coded		21-1867945		ROBLEY, CHRISTINA
48	WEAPON LAW VIOLATIONS	WEAPON LAW VIOLATIONS	SOCIETY	520	PERSON, PROPERTY, OR SOCIETY	Coded		21-1867945		OROZCO MARTINEZ, LUIS, JAVIER
49	UUMV	UUMV	PROPERTY	240	PROPERTY	Not Coded		21-1907903		OROZCO MARTINEZ, LUIS, JAVIER
50	DRUG/ NARCOTIC VIOLATIONS	DRUG/ NARCOTIC VIOLATIONS	SOCIETY	35A	SOCIETY	Not Coded		21-1907903		OROZCO MARTINEZ, LUIS, JAVIER
51	DUI	DUI	SOCIETY	90D	SOCIETY	Coded		21-1171509		PRIOR, DEVIN, MARICE
52	WARRANT DALLAS PD (ALIAS/ CAPIAS)	WARRANT DALLAS PD (ALIAS/ CAPIAS)	PERSON, PROPERTY, OR SOCIETY	999	PERSON, PROPERTY, OR SOCIETY	Not Coded		21-1782253		DEPAZ, MIGEL, ANGEL
53	WEAPON LAW VIOLATIONS	WEAPON LAW VIOLATIONS	SOCIETY	520	SOCIETY	Not Coded		21-1782253		DEPAZ, MIGEL, ANGEL
54	DRUG/ NARCOTIC VIOLATIONS	DRUG/ NARCOTIC VIOLATIONS	PERSON, PROPERTY, OR SOCIETY	999	PERSON, PROPERTY, OR SOCIETY	Not Coded		21-1782253		DEPAZ, MIGEL, ANGEL
55	WARRANT HOLD (NOT A DPD WARRANT)	WARRANT HOLD (NOT A DPD WARRANT)	PERSON, PROPERTY, OR SOCIETY	999	PERSON, PROPERTY, OR SOCIETY	Not Coded		21-1782253		DEPAZ, MIGEL, ANGEL
56	IDENTITY THEFT	FRAUD OFFENSES	PROPERTY	26F	PROPERTY	Coded		21-1782253		DEPAZ, MIGEL, ANGEL
57	WARRANT HOLD (NOT A DPD WARRANT)	WARRANT HOLD (NOT A DPD WARRANT)	PERSON, PROPERTY, OR SOCIETY	999	PERSON, PROPERTY, OR SOCIETY	Not Coded		21-1782253		DEPAZ, MIGEL, ANGEL
58	WARRANT HOLD (NOT A DPD WARRANT)	WARRANT HOLD (NOT A DPD WARRANT)	PERSON, PROPERTY, OR SOCIETY	999	PERSON, PROPERTY, OR SOCIETY	Coded		21-0021014		DEPAZ, MIGEL, ANGEL
59	WEAPON LAW VIOLATIONS	WEAPON LAW VIOLATIONS	PERSON, PROPERTY, OR SOCIETY	520	PERSON, PROPERTY, OR SOCIETY	Coded		21-0870141		DEPAZ, MIGEL, ANGEL
60	WEAPON LAW VIOLATIONS	WEAPON LAW VIOLATIONS	SOCIETY	520	SOCIETY	Coded		21-0870141		DEPAZ, MIGEL, ANGEL
61	WEAPON LAW VIOLATIONS	WEAPON LAW VIOLATIONS	SOCIETY	520	SOCIETY	Coded		21-0870141		DEPAZ, MIGEL, ANGEL
62	DRUG/ NARCOTIC VIOLATIONS	DRUG/ NARCOTIC VIOLATIONS	SOCIETY	35A	SOCIETY	Not Coded		21-0870141		DEPAZ, MIGEL, ANGEL
63	DRUG/ NARCOTIC VIOLATIONS	DRUG/ NARCOTIC VIOLATIONS	SOCIETY	35A	SOCIETY	Not Coded		21-0870141		DEPAZ, MIGEL, ANGEL
64	DRUG/ NARCOTIC VIOLATIONS	DRUG/ NARCOTIC VIOLATIONS	SOCIETY	35A	SOCIETY	Not Coded		21-0870141		DEPAZ, MIGEL, ANGEL
65	WEAPON LAW VIOLATIONS	WEAPON LAW VIOLATIONS	SOCIETY	520	SOCIETY	Coded		21-0870141		DEPAZ, MIGEL, ANGEL
66	WARRANT HOLD (NOT A DPD WARRANT)	WARRANT HOLD (NOT A DPD WARRANT)	PERSON, PROPERTY, OR SOCIETY	999	PERSON, PROPERTY, OR SOCIETY	Coded		21-0169105		DEPAZ, MIGEL, ANGEL
67	TRAFFIC VIOLATION - NON HAZARDOUS	TRAFFIC VIOLATION - NON HAZARDOUS	PERSON, PROPERTY, OR SOCIETY	999	PERSON, PROPERTY, OR SOCIETY	Coded		21-0890712		DEPAZ, MIGEL, ANGEL



	BI	BJ	BK	BL	BM	BN	BO	BP	BQ	BR	BS	BT	BU	BV	BW	BX	BY	BZ	CA	CB
	NickName	AliasName		Birthplace			Height	Weight	Hair	Eye	Race		Sex			Drlic_St	Drlic_Type	HUAddress	HLApt	HLZIP
1							5-08	125	Brown	Brown	Black	Hispanic or Latino	Male			TX		2326 AGGIE DR		75051
2				DALLAS, TX			5-00	110	Black	Brown	Black	Non-Hispanic or Latino	Female			TX		12603 NORTHBOROUGH DR	3320	77069
3				HOUSTON			5-4	150	Blonde	Green	White	Non-Hispanic or Latino	Female			LA		103 SEATTLE ST		70506
4							5-05	110	Blonde	Blue	White	Non-Hispanic or Latino	Female			TX		7424 SAN ISABEL CT		76137
5							5-11	180	White	Brown	White	Non-Hispanic or Latino	Male					1818 CORSICANA ST		75201
6				OKLAHOMA			5-11	180	White	Brown	White	Non-Hispanic or Latino	Male					1818 CORSICANA ST		75201
7				OKLAHOMA			5-11	180	White	Brown	White	Non-Hispanic or Latino	Male					1818 CORSICANA ST		75201
8				LOUISIANA			5-06	170	Black	Brown	Black	Non-Hispanic or Latino	Male			LA		2841 CLYDEDALE DR	149	75160
9							5-10	190	Black	Brown	Hispanic or Latino	Non-Hispanic or Latino	Male			TX		126 HAMILTON DR		77018
10				JACKSON, MISSISSIPPI			5-9	165	Black	Brown	White	Non-Hispanic or Latino	Male			MS		4206 DOUGLAS ST		39080
11				BULGARIA			5-10	150	Brown	Brown	White	Non-Hispanic or Latino	Female			TX		857 DUBLIN DR		75080
12				BULGARIA			5-10	150	Brown	Brown	White	Non-Hispanic or Latino	Female			TX		857 DUBLIN DR	C	75080
13				TEXAS			6-00	185	Black	Black	Black	Non-Hispanic or Latino	Male			TX		1955 KRAFT DR		75212
14							5-10	150	Brown	Brown	White	Non-Hispanic or Latino	Female			TX		857 DUBLIN DR		75080
15				BULGARIA			6-00	185	Black	Black	Black	Non-Hispanic or Latino	Male			TX		1955 KRAFT ST	C	75212
16				TEXAS			6-00	185	Black	Black	Black	Non-Hispanic or Latino	Male			TX		1955 KRAFT ST		75212
17				TEXAS			6-00	185	Black	Black	Black	Non-Hispanic or Latino	Male			TX		1955 KRAFT ST		75212
18				TEXAS			6-00	185	Black	Black	Black	Non-Hispanic or Latino	Male			TX		1955 KRAFT ST		75212
19				TEXAS			5-03	350	Brown	Blue	White	Non-Hispanic or Latino	Male			TX		1109 JEANETTE WAY		75006
20							5-08	245	Black	Brown	Black	Non-Hispanic or Latino	Male					3801 GANNON LN		75237
21							5-08	245	Black	Brown	Black	Non-Hispanic or Latino	Male					3801 GANNON LN		75237
22							5-08	245	Black	Brown	Black	Non-Hispanic or Latino	Male					3801 GANNON LN		75237
23							5-06	175	Black	Brown	Black	Non-Hispanic or Latino	Male			TX		1817 BAXLEY DR		75006
24							5-08	245	Black	Brown	Black	Non-Hispanic or Latino	Male			TX		3801 GANNON LN		75237
25				CITY OF DALLAS			5-5	130	Other	Blue	White	Non-Hispanic or Latino	Female			TX		1818 CORSICANA ST		75201
26				CITY OF DALLAS			5-09	150	Black	Brown	Hispanic or Latino	Non-Hispanic or Latino	Male			TX		2907 W AMHERST AVE		75235
27							5-02	142	Black	Brown	Hispanic or Latino	Non-Hispanic or Latino	Female			TX		2907 W AMHERST AVE		75235
28							6-00	265	Black	Brown	Hispanic or Latino	Non-Hispanic or Latino	Male			TX		1206 S KENTUCKY ST		75069
29							6-00	265	Black	Brown	Hispanic or Latino	Non-Hispanic or Latino	Male			TX		1900 JUBILEE TRL		76014
30							6-00	265	Black	Brown	Hispanic or Latino	Non-Hispanic or Latino	Male			TX		1900 JUBILEE TRL		76014
31							5-09	150	Black	Brown	Hispanic or Latino	Non-Hispanic or Latino	Male					2515 COMMUNITY DR	2002	75220
32				MEXICO			5-09	150	Black	Brown	Hispanic or Latino	Non-Hispanic or Latino	Male			TX		2515 COMMUNITY DR		75220
33				MEXICO			6-00	178	Black	Brown	Hispanic or Latino	Non-Hispanic or Latino	Male			TX		3131 KINKAD DR		75220
34				MEXICO			5-8	210	Black	Brown	Black	Non-Hispanic or Latino	Male					1818 CORSICANA ST		75201
35				DALLAS			5-8	210	Black	Brown	Black	Non-Hispanic or Latino	Male					1818 CORSICANA ST		75201
36							5-8	210	Black	Brown	Black	Non-Hispanic or Latino	Male					1818 CORSICANA ST		75201
37							5-8	210	Black	Brown	Black	Non-Hispanic or Latino	Male					1818 CORSICANA ST		75201
38							5-8	210	Black	Brown	Black	Non-Hispanic or Latino	Male					1818 CORSICANA ST		75201
39							5-8	210	Black	Brown	Black	Non-Hispanic or Latino	Male					1818 CORSICANA ST		75201
40							5-8	210	Black	Brown	Black	Non-Hispanic or Latino	Male					1818 CORSICANA ST		75201
41							5-07	200	Black	Brown	Black	Non-Hispanic or Latino	Female			TX		10010 FOREST LN	632	75243
42							5-07	126	Black	Brown	Black	Non-Hispanic or Latino	Male					1818 CORSICANA ST		75201
43							5-7	170	Black	Brown	Hispanic or Latino	Non-Hispanic or Latino	Male			NY		2360 29TH ST	28	11105
44				NEW YORK			5-09	180	Black	Brown	Hispanic or Latino	Non-Hispanic or Latino	Male			MX		11621 FERGUSON RD		75228
45							5-09	245	Black	Brown	Hispanic or Latino	Non-Hispanic or Latino	Male			TX		3606 MT WASHINGTON ST	2132	75211
46				MEXICO			5-03	160	Brown	Brown	Hispanic or Latino	Non-Hispanic or Latino	Female					5116 BRVCE CANYON RD		75211
47							5-11	170	Red	Brown	Hispanic or Latino	Non-Hispanic or Latino	Male					5116 BRVCE CANYON RD		75211
48							5-11	170	Red	Brown	Hispanic or Latino	Non-Hispanic or Latino	Male					14300 STALTER BLVD		76155
49							5-11	170	Red	Brown	Hispanic or Latino	Non-Hispanic or Latino	Male					3323 HIGH BLUFF DR		75234
50							5-06	178	Red	Brown	Black	Non-Hispanic or Latino	Male			TX		3323 HIGH BLUFF DR		75234
51				DALLAS, TEXAS			5-09	195	Black	Brown	Hispanic or Latino	Non-Hispanic or Latino	Male					3323 HIGH BLUFF DR		75234
52							5-09	195	Black	Brown	Hispanic or Latino	Non-Hispanic or Latino	Male			TX		3323 HIGH BLUFF DR		75234
53							5-09	195	Black	Brown	Hispanic or Latino	Non-Hispanic or Latino	Male			TX		3323 HIGH BLUFF DR		75234
54							5-09	195	Black	Brown	Hispanic or Latino	Non-Hispanic or Latino	Male			TX		3323 HIGH BLUFF DR		75234
55							5-09	195	Black	Brown	Hispanic or Latino	Non-Hispanic or Latino	Male			TX		3323 HIGH BLUFF DR		75234
56							5-09	195	Black	Brown	Hispanic or Latino	Non-Hispanic or Latino	Male			TX		3323 HIGH BLUFF DR		75234
57							5-8	194	Brown	Brown	Black	Non-Hispanic or Latino	Female					1818 CORSICANA ST		75201
58				ARKANSAS			5-6	140	Black	Brown	Black	Non-Hispanic or Latino	Male			AR		1400 OLD FORGE DR	E	72227
59							5-6	140	Black	Brown	Black	Non-Hispanic or Latino	Male			AR		505 RIDGELEA AVE		72206
60							5-6	140	Black	Brown	Black	Non-Hispanic or Latino	Male			AR		1616 W 24TH ST		72227
61							5-6	140	Black	Brown	Black	Non-Hispanic or Latino	Male			AR		1400 OLD FORGE DR	E	72227
62				ARKANSAS			5-6	130	Black	Brown	Black	Non-Hispanic or Latino	Male			AR		505 RIDGELEA AVE		72120
63				ARKANSAS			5-6	130	Black	Brown	Black	Non-Hispanic or Latino	Male			AR		505 RIDGELEA AVE		72120
64							5-8	160	Black	Brown	Black	Non-Hispanic or Latino	Male			AR		713 DUACHTIA DR		72205
65							5-11	160	Brown	Brown	White	Non-Hispanic or Latino	Male			TX		6705 SUMMERS DR	105	76137
66				CUBA			5-3	140	Black	Brown	Black	Hispanic or Latino	Male			TX		10928 AUDELLA RD	1016	75243
67																				

COD\_005758



	CC	CD	CE	CF	CG	CH	CI	CJ	CK	CL	CM	CN	CO	CP	CQ	CR	CS	CT	CU
	HCity	HState	HCounty	HRA	HBeat	HDivision	AltHAddress					Artao	ArtaoComments	ArOccupn	ArObsStatus	ArEmployer			
1	GRAND PRAIRIE	TX	DALLAS														No		
2	HOUSTON	TX												WAREHOUSE			No		
3	LAFAYETTE	LA															No		
4	FORT WORTH	TX															Unknown		
5	DALLAS	TX	DALLAS	2088	135	CENTRAL											Unknown		
6	DALLAS	TX	DALLAS	2088	135	CENTRAL											Unknown		
7	DALLAS	TX	DALLAS	2088	135	CENTRAL											No		
8	DALLAS	TX	DALLAS	3058	537	NORTHWEST											No		
9	TERRELL	TX															Unknown		
10	HOUSTON	TX															No		
11	RICHARDSON	TX	DALLAS														No		Processed Marijuana
12	RICHARDSON	TX	DALLAS														Yes		
13	DALLAS	TX	DALLAS	4038	423	SOUTHWEST											No		Processed Marijuana
14	RICHARDSON	TX	DALLAS														No		
15	DALLAS	TX	DALLAS														Yes		Processed Marijuana
16	DALLAS	TX		4038	423	SOUTHWEST											Yes		Processed Marijuana
17	DALLAS	TX		4038	423	SOUTHWEST											Yes		Processed Marijuana
18	DALLAS	TX		4038	423	SOUTHWEST											Yes		Processed Marijuana
19	DALLAS	TX		4038	423	SOUTHWEST								MANAGER		XTC	No		
20	CARROLLTON	TX	DALLAS	4380	451	SOUTHWEST											No		
21	DALLAS	TX	DALLAS	4380	451	SOUTHWEST											No		
22	DALLAS	TX	DALLAS	4380	451	SOUTHWEST											No		
23	DALLAS	TX	DALLAS	4380	451	SOUTHWEST											No		
24	CARROLLTON	TX	DALLAS														No		
25	DALLAS	TX	DALLAS	4380	451	SOUTHWEST											Yes		Methamphetamine
26	DALLAS	TX	DALLAS	2088	135	CENTRAL											Yes		Methamphetamine
27	DALLAS	TX	DALLAS	2088	135	CENTRAL											Yes		Cultivated Marijuana
28	DALLAS	TX		3081	522	NORTHWEST											Yes		Cultivated Marijuana
29	DALLAS	TX		3081	522	NORTHWEST											No		
30	MCKINNEY	TX															No		
31	ARLINGTON	TX															No		
32	ARLINGTON	TX															No		
33	ARLINGTON	TX															No		
34	DALLAS	TX	DALLAS	3067	522	NORTHWEST											No		
35	DALLAS	TX	DALLAS	3067	522	NORTHWEST											No		
36	DALLAS	TX	DALLAS	3043	535	NORTHWEST											Yes		Methamphetamine
37	DALLAS	TX	DALLAS	2088	135	CENTRAL											Yes		Methamphetamine
38	DALLAS	TX	DALLAS	2088	135	CENTRAL											Yes		Methamphetamine
39	DALLAS	TX	DALLAS	2088	135	CENTRAL											Yes		Methamphetamine
40	DALLAS	TX	DALLAS	2088	135	CENTRAL											Yes		Methamphetamine
41	DALLAS	TX	DALLAS	2088	135	CENTRAL											No		
42	DALLAS	TX	DALLAS	1042	257	NORTHWEST											No		
43	DALLAS	TX	DALLAS	2088	135	CENTRAL											No		
44	DALLAS	TX	DALLAS	1248	331	SOUTHEAST											No		
45	ASTORIA	NY															No		
46	DALLAS	TX	DALLAS	1258	227	NORTHWEST											No		
47	DALLAS	TX	DALLAS	4113	441	SOUTHWEST											No		
48	DALLAS	TX	DALLAS	8820	432	SOUTHWEST											No		
49	DALLAS	TX	DALLAS	8820	432	SOUTHWEST											No		
50	DALLAS	TX	DALLAS	8820	432	SOUTHWEST											No		
51	FTWORTH	TX															No		
52	DALLAS	TX		3006	553	NORTHWEST											No		
53	DALLAS	TX		3006	553	NORTHWEST											No		
54	DALLAS	TX		3006	553	NORTHWEST											No		
55	DALLAS	TX		3006	553	NORTHWEST											No		
56	DALLAS	TX		3006	553	NORTHWEST											No		
57	DALLAS	TX		3006	553	NORTHWEST											No		
58	DALLAS	TX	DALLAS	2088	135	CENTRAL											No		
59	LITTLE ROCK	AR															No		
60	SHEWOOD	AR															No		
61	LITTLE ROCK	AR															No		
62	LITTLE ROCK	AR															No		
63	SHEWOOD	AR															No		
64	SHEWOOD	AR															No		
65	LITTLE ROCK	AR															No		
66	FT WORTH	TX															No		
67	DALLAS	TX	DALLAS	1055	246	NORTHEAST											No		



		CV	Expunged	CW	Address	CX	CV	CZ	DA	DB	DC	DD	DE	DF	DG	DH	DI	DJ	DK	DL	DM
	ArClothingWorn				ArAddress		ArCity	ArZip	ArState	RA	Beat	Division	Size	District	New District	TAAG Name	Community	VCRP Area		Point X	Point Y
1	T SHIRT, SHORTS, SWEAT PANTS, TENNIS SHOES				7332 W NORTHWEST HWY	DALLAS	75220	TX	4451 1521	3040 534	NORTHWEST	530	6	D6	D6	NW Hwy WaltonWalker			70825	2463156.033	699717.079
2	BLACK TOP, BLACK SHIRT, TALL BLACK BOOTS				10849 COMPOSITE DR	DALLAS	75220	TX	3040 534	3040 534	NORTHWEST	530	6	D6	D6	NW Hwy WaltonWalker			77041	2460683.275	7006289.444
3	BLUE DRESS, BLACK JACKET, BLACK BOOTS				10849 COMPOSITE DR	DALLAS	75220	TX	3055 521	3055 521	NORTHWEST	520	6	D6	D6	NW Hwy WaltonWalker			71392	2460064.188	7000416.197
4	BLUE JEANS/WHITE SHIRT/BROWN JACKET				10310 TECHNOLOGY BLVD W	DALLAS	75220	TX	6060 533	6060 533	NORTHWEST	530	6	D6	D6	NW Hwy WaltonWalker			73095	2465670.788	7002572.517
5	BLUE JEANS/WHITE SHIRT/BROWN JACKET				1985 W NORTHWEST HWY	DALLAS	75220	TX	6060 533	6060 533	NORTHWEST	530	6	D6	D6	NW Hwy WaltonWalker			73095	2465670.788	7002572.517
6	DAKAR BLUE HOODIE AND BLUE JEANS				1985 W NORTHWEST HWY	DALLAS	75220	TX	6060 533	6060 533	NORTHWEST	530	6	D6	D6	NW Hwy WaltonWalker			73095	2465670.788	7002572.517
7	DAKAR BLUE HOODIE AND BLUE JEANS				1985 W NORTHWEST HWY	DALLAS	75220	TX	6060 533	6060 533	NORTHWEST	530	6	D6	D6	NW Hwy WaltonWalker			73095	2465670.788	7002572.517
8	DAKAR BLUE HOODIE AND BLUE JEANS				1985 W NORTHWEST HWY	DALLAS	75220	TX	6060 533	6060 533	NORTHWEST	530	6	D6	D6	NW Hwy WaltonWalker			73095	2465670.788	7002572.517
9	DAKAR BLUE HOODIE AND BLUE JEANS				1985 W NORTHWEST HWY	DALLAS	75220	TX	6060 533	6060 533	NORTHWEST	530	6	D6	D6	NW Hwy WaltonWalker			73095	2465670.788	7002572.517
10	LONG SLEEVED TSHIRT AND JEANS				10500 NEWKIRK ST	DALLAS	75220	TX	6041 533	6041 533	NORTHWEST	530	6	D6	D6	NW Hwy WaltonWalker			72514	2457311.08	7001964.841
11	BLACK SHIRT, BLUE SHORTS				11044 HARRY HINES BLVD	DALLAS	75220	TX	6041 533	6041 533	NORTHWEST	530	6	D6	D6	NW Hwy WaltonWalker			7876	2465941.485	7003413.225
12	BLACK SHIRT, RED PLAID PALAMA PANTS.				2439 WALNUT HILL LN	DALLAS	75220	TX	3025 534	3025 534	NORTHWEST	530	6	D6	D6	NW Hwy WaltonWalker			72793	2465966.555	7002060.152
13	BLACK SHIRT, RED PLAID PALAMA PANTS.				2439 WALNUT HILL LN	DALLAS	75220	TX	3025 534	3025 534	NORTHWEST	530	6	D6	D6	NW Hwy WaltonWalker			78014	2460759.178	7007384.045
14	ORANGE SHIRT, RED PLAID PALAMA PANTS.				2439 WALNUT HILL LN	DALLAS	75220	TX	3025 534	3025 534	NORTHWEST	530	6	D6	D6	NW Hwy WaltonWalker			78014	2460759.178	7007384.045
15	ORANGE SHIRT, RED PLAID PALAMA PANTS.				2439 WALNUT HILL LN	DALLAS	75220	TX	3025 534	3025 534	NORTHWEST	530	6	D6	D6	NW Hwy WaltonWalker			78014	2460759.178	7007384.045
16	ORANGE SHIRT, BLACK PANTS, ORANGE NIKE SHOES.				2439 WALNUT HILL LN	DALLAS	75220	TX	3025 534	3025 534	NORTHWEST	530	6	D6	D6	NW Hwy WaltonWalker			78014	2460759.178	7007384.045
17	ORANGE SHIRT, BLACK PANTS, ORANGE NIKE SHOES.				2439 WALNUT HILL LN	DALLAS	75220	TX	3025 534	3025 534	NORTHWEST	530	6	D6	D6	NW Hwy WaltonWalker			78014	2460759.178	7007384.045
18	ORANGE SHIRT, BLACK PANTS, ORANGE NIKE SHOES.				2439 WALNUT HILL LN	DALLAS	75220	TX	3025 534	3025 534	NORTHWEST	530	6	D6	D6	NW Hwy WaltonWalker			78014	2460759.178	7007384.045
19	ORANGE SHIRT, BLACK PANTS, ORANGE NIKE SHOES.				2439 WALNUT HILL LN	DALLAS	75220	TX	3025 534	3025 534	NORTHWEST	530	6	D6	D6	NW Hwy WaltonWalker			78014	2460759.178	7007384.045
20	BLUE BUTTON UP, KHAKI PANTS				8550 N STEMMONS FWY	DALLAS	75247	TX	3078 522	3078 522	NORTHWEST	520	2	D2	D2	John Carpenter Stemmons	STEMMONS, EMPIRECENTRAL, VC		61838	2468467.525	6988393.232
21	BLK HOODIE, BLK PANTS				2117 W NORTHWEST HWY	DALLAS	75220	TX	6060 533	6060 533	NORTHWEST	530	6	D6	D6	NW Hwy WaltonWalker			72518	2458417.32	7001830.996
22	BLK HOODIE, BLK PANTS				2117 W NORTHWEST HWY	DALLAS	75220	TX	6060 533	6060 533	NORTHWEST	530	6	D6	D6	NW Hwy WaltonWalker			72518	2458417.32	7001830.996
23	BLK HOODIE, BLK PANTS				2117 W NORTHWEST HWY	DALLAS	75220	TX	6060 533	6060 533	NORTHWEST	530	6	D6	D6	NW Hwy WaltonWalker			72518	2458417.32	7001830.996
24	BLU SHIRT AND BLUE JEANS				2100 W NORTHWEST HWY	DALLAS	75220	TX	6060 533	6060 533	NORTHWEST	530	6	D6	D6	NW Hwy WaltonWalker			72516	2457943.663	7001837.443
25	BLK HOODIE, BLK PANTS				2117 W NORTHWEST HWY	DALLAS	75220	TX	6060 533	6060 533	NORTHWEST	530	6	D6	D6	NW Hwy WaltonWalker			72518	2458417.32	7001830.996
26	BLACK JACKET AND BLACK PANTS				2100 W NORTHWEST HWY	DALLAS	75220	TX	6060 533	6060 533	NORTHWEST	530	6	D6	D6	NW Hwy WaltonWalker			72516	2457943.663	7001837.443
27	BLACK JACKET AND BLACK PANTS				2100 W NORTHWEST HWY	DALLAS	75220	TX	6060 533	6060 533	NORTHWEST	530	6	D6	D6	NW Hwy WaltonWalker			72516	2457943.663	7001837.443
28	WHITE HAT, BLACK SHIRT, BLACK PANTS				10900 COMPOSITE DR	DALLAS	75220	TX	3040 534	3040 534	NORTHWEST	530	6	D6	D6	NW Hwy WaltonWalker			77041	2460983.299	7006485.397
29	WHITE HAT, BLACK SHIRT, BLACK PANTS				10900 COMPOSITE DR	DALLAS	75220	TX	3040 534	3040 534	NORTHWEST	530	6	D6	D6	NW Hwy WaltonWalker			77041	2460983.299	7006485.397
30	TAN DRESS				2500 WILLOWBROOK RD	DALLAS	75220	TX	6060 533	6060 533	NORTHWEST	530	6	D6	D6	NW Hwy WaltonWalker			77041	2460983.299	7006485.397
31	BLUE JERSEY, JEANS				2248 LOMBARDY LN	DALLAS	75220	TX	6060 533	6060 533	NORTHWEST	530	6	D6	D6	NW Hwy WaltonWalker			77041	2460983.299	7006485.397
32	BLUE JERSEY, JEANS				2248 LOMBARDY LN	DALLAS	75220	TX	6060 533	6060 533	NORTHWEST	530	6	D6	D6	NW Hwy WaltonWalker			77041	2460983.299	7006485.397
33	BLUE JERSEY, JEANS				2248 LOMBARDY LN	DALLAS	75220	TX	6060 533	6060 533	NORTHWEST	530	6	D6	D6	NW Hwy WaltonWalker			77041	2460983.299	7006485.397
34	BLACK TUBE TOP, WHITE AND BLACK SLACKS.				2150 CALIFORNIA CROSSING RD	DALLAS	75220	TX	6040 533	6040 533	NORTHWEST	520	6	D6	D6	NW Hwy WaltonWalker			77931	2456791.504	7002683.954
35	BLACK TUBE TOP, WHITE AND BLACK SLACKS.				2150 CALIFORNIA CROSSING RD	DALLAS	75220	TX	6040 533	6040 533	NORTHWEST	520	6	D6	D6	NW Hwy WaltonWalker			77931	2456791.504	7002683.954
36	WHITE HOODIE BLUE JEANS BROWN BOOTS				8500 N STEMMONS FWY	DALLAS	75220	TX	3078 522	3078 522	NORTHWEST	520	6	D6	D6	NW Hwy WaltonWalker			7236	2457100.797	7001539.695
37	BLACK PANTS				2000 W NORTHWEST HWY	DALLAS	75220	TX	6041 533	6041 533	NORTHWEST	530	6	D6	D6	NW Hwy WaltonWalker			7236	2457100.797	7001539.695
38	BLACK PANTS				2000 W NORTHWEST HWY	DALLAS	75220	TX	6041 533	6041 533	NORTHWEST	530	6	D6	D6	NW Hwy WaltonWalker			7236	2457100.797	7001539.695
39	BLACK PANTS				2000 W NORTHWEST HWY	DALLAS	75220	TX	6041 533	6041 533	NORTHWEST	530	6	D6	D6	NW Hwy WaltonWalker			7236	2457100.797	7001539.695
40	BLACK PANTS				2000 W NORTHWEST HWY	DALLAS	75220	TX	6041 533	6041 533	NORTHWEST	530	6	D6	D6	NW Hwy WaltonWalker			7236	2457100.797	7001539.695
41	BLACK PANTS				2000 W NORTHWEST HWY	DALLAS	75220	TX	6041 533	6041 533	NORTHWEST	530	6	D6	D6	NW Hwy WaltonWalker			7236	2457100.797	7001539.695
42	GREEN SHIRT, BLACK SHORTS				900 W MOCKINGBIRD LN	DALLAS	75247	TX	3099 512	3099 512	NORTHWEST	510	6	D6	D6	John Carpenter Stemmons			53940	2467809.301	6990989.166
43	BLACK JACKET AND JEANS				1607 REGAL ROW	DALLAS	75247	TX	3078 522	3078 522	NORTHWEST	520	2	D2	D2	John Carpenter Stemmons			53940	2467809.301	6990989.166
44	PLAYA SHIRT, JEANS				10310 TECHNOLOGY BLVD E	DALLAS	75220	TX	3055 521	3055 521	NORTHWEST	520	6	D6	D6	NW Hwy WaltonWalker			71103	2460357.955	7000172.55
45	PLAYA SHIRT, JEANS				10310 TECHNOLOGY BLVD E	DALLAS	75220	TX	3055 521	3055 521	NORTHWEST	520	6	D6	D6	NW Hwy WaltonWalker			71103	2460357.955	7000172.55
46	BLACK POLO BLUE JEANS				11044 HARRY HINES BLVD	DALLAS	75229	TX	3025 534	3025 534	NORTHWEST	530	6	D6	D6	NW Hwy WaltonWalker			78976	2463941.485	7008413.225
47	MAKED				2100 CALIFORNIA CROSSING RD	DALLAS	75220	TX	6041 533	6041 533	NORTHWEST	530	6	D6	D6	NW Hwy WaltonWalker			72237	2457357.682	7001525.906
48	RED/YELLOW JACKET, JEAN SHORTS, RED SHOES				2030 W NORTHWEST HWY	DALLAS	75220	TX	6041 533	6041 533	NORTHWEST	530	6	D6	D6	NW Hwy WaltonWalker			72237	2457357.682	7001525.906
49	RED/YELLOW JACKET, JEAN SHORTS, RED SHOES				2030 W NORTHWEST HWY	DALLAS	75220	TX	6041 533	6041 533	NORTHWEST	530	6	D6	D6	NW Hwy WaltonWalker			72237	2457357.682	7001525.906
50	RED/YELLOW JACKET, JEAN SHORTS, RED SHOES				2030 W NORTHWEST HWY	DALLAS	75220	TX	6041 533	6041 533	NORTHWEST	530	6	D6	D6	NW Hwy WaltonWalker			72237	2457357.682	7001525.906
51	SHORTS, SHIRT, BASEBALL HAT				10200 SHADY TRI	DALLAS	75220	TX	6041 533	6041 533	NORTHWEST	530	6	D6	D6	NW Hwy WaltonWalker			72237	2457357.682	7001525.906
52	BLACK T SHIRT, SHORTS				8850 N STEMMONS FWY	DALLAS	75247	TX	3078 522	3078 522	NORTHWEST	520	2	D2	D2	John Carpenter Stemmons			63433	2467819.988	6990689.596
53	BLACK T SHIRT, SHORTS				8850 N STEMMONS FWY	DALLAS	75247	TX	3078 522	3078 522	NORTHWEST	520	2	D2	D2	John Carpenter Stemmons			63433	2467819.988	6990689.596
54	BLACK T SHIRT, SHORTS				8850 N STEMMONS FWY	DALLAS	75247	TX	3078 522	3078 522	NORTHWEST	520	2	D2	D2	John Carpenter Stemmons			63433	2467819.988	6990689.596
55	BLACK T SHIRT, SHORTS				8850 N STEMMONS FWY	DALLAS	75247	TX	3078 522	3078 522	NORTHWEST	520	2	D2	D2	John Carpenter Stemmons			63433	2467819.988	6990689.596
56	BLACK T SHIRT, SHORTS				8850 N STEMMONS FWY	DALLAS	75247	TX	3078 522	3078 522	NORTHWEST	520	2	D2	D2	John Carpenter Stemmons			63433	2467819.988	6990689.596
57	BLACK T SHIRT, SHORTS				8850 N STEMMONS FWY	DALLAS	75247	TX	3078 522	3078 522	NORTHWEST	520	2	D2	D2	John Carpenter Stemmons			63433	2467819.988	6990689.596
58	BLACK SHIRT, JEANS				2332 W NORTHWEST HWY	DALLAS	75220	TX	4451 521	4451 521	NORTHWEST	520	6	D6	D6	NW Hwy WaltonWalker			70875	2463156.033	699717.079
59	BLACK SHIRT/BLACK JEANS				8600 N STEMMONS FWY	DALLAS	75247	TX	3078 522	3078 522	NORTHWEST	520	6	D6	D6	John Carpenter Stemmons	STEMMONS, EMPIRECENTRAL, VC		62314	2468009.514	698882.572
60	WHITE SHIRT/BLUE JEANS				8600 N STEMMONS FWY	DALLAS	75247	TX	3078 522	3078 522	NORTHWEST	520	6	D6	D6	John Carpenter Stemmons	STEMMONS, EMPIRECENTRAL, VC		62314	2468009.514	698882.572
61	WHITE SHIRT, BLUE JEANS				8600 N STEMMONS FWY	DALLAS	75247	TX	3078 522	3078 522	NORTHWEST	520	6	D6	D6	John Carpenter Stemmons	STEMMONS, EMPIRECENTRAL, VC		62314	2468009.514	698882.572
62	WHITE SHIRT/BLUE JEANS				8600 N STEMMONS FWY	DALLAS	75247	TX	3078 522	3078 522	NORTHWEST	520	6	D6	D6	John Carpenter Stemmons	STEMMONS, EMPIRECENTRAL, VC		62314	2468009.514	698882.572
63	WHITE SHIRT/BLUE JEANS				8600																



	DN	DO	DP	DQ	DR
1	Update	USER SOB Name	USER Address	USER License Type	BUF_DIST
2	2021-01-02 3:09:23	THE MEN'S CLUB OF DALLAS	1240 W NORTHWEST HWY	CABARET	500
3	2021-02-23 10:37:34	UNKNOWN AT PRESENT	10901 N STEMMONS FWY SB	CABARET	500
4	2021-02-23 10:42:13	UNKNOWN AT PRESENT	10901 N STEMMONS FWY SB	CABARET	500
5	2021-03-25 3:42:13	BABY DOLLS SALOON WEST	10310 TECHNOLOGY BLVD W	CABARET	500
6	2021-04-02 4:23:53	NEW FINE ARTS - WEST	1966 W NORTHWEST HWY	ARCADE	500
7	2021-04-02 4:23:53	NEW FINE ARTS - WEST	1966 W NORTHWEST HWY	ARCADE	500
8	2021-04-02 4:23:53	NEW FINE ARTS - WEST	1966 W NORTHWEST HWY	ARCADE	500
9	2021-08-25 2:07:02	BUCKS CABARET	2150 CALIFORNIA CROSSING RD	CABARET	500
10	2021-06-06 5:42:07	CHICKA BONITAS	11044 HARRY HINES BLVD	CABARET	500
11	2021-10-02 17:07:47	BUCKS CABARET	2150 CALIFORNIA CROSSING RD	CABARET	500
12	2021-09-11 7:24:28	SPEARMINT RHINO GENTLEMANS CLUB	10965 COMPOSITE DR	CABARET	500
13	2021-09-11 7:24:28	SPEARMINT RHINO GENTLEMANS CLUB	10965 COMPOSITE DR	CABARET	500
14	2021-09-11 7:32:11	SPEARMINT RHINO GENTLEMANS CLUB	10965 COMPOSITE DR	CABARET	500
15	2021-09-11 7:32:11	SPEARMINT RHINO GENTLEMANS CLUB	10965 COMPOSITE DR	CABARET	500
16	2021-09-11 7:32:11	SPEARMINT RHINO GENTLEMANS CLUB	10965 COMPOSITE DR	CABARET	500
17	2021-09-11 7:32:11	SPEARMINT RHINO GENTLEMANS CLUB	10965 COMPOSITE DR	CABARET	500
18	2021-09-11 7:32:11	SPEARMINT RHINO GENTLEMANS CLUB	10965 COMPOSITE DR	CABARET	500
19	2021-09-11 7:32:11	SPEARMINT RHINO GENTLEMANS CLUB	10965 COMPOSITE DR	CABARET	500
20	2021-03-28 2:49:25	XTC CABARET	8550 N STEMMONS FWY NB	CABARET	500
21	2021-04-21 13:35:52	DG5'S A GENTLEMANS CLUB	2117 W NORTHWEST HWY	CABARET	500
22	2021-04-21 13:35:52	DG5'S A GENTLEMANS CLUB	2117 W NORTHWEST HWY	CABARET	500
23	2021-04-21 13:35:52	DG5'S A GENTLEMANS CLUB	2117 W NORTHWEST HWY	CABARET	500
24	2021-10-29 4:30:30	DG5'S A GENTLEMANS CLUB	2117 W NORTHWEST HWY	CABARET	500
25	2021-04-21 13:35:52	DG5'S A GENTLEMANS CLUB	2117 W NORTHWEST HWY	CABARET	500
26	2021-11-05 6:03:01	DG5'S A GENTLEMANS CLUB	2117 W NORTHWEST HWY	CABARET	500
27	2021-11-05 6:03:01	DG5'S A GENTLEMANS CLUB	2117 W NORTHWEST HWY	CABARET	500
28	2021-10-18 10:57:08	SPEARMINT RHINO GENTLEMANS CLUB	10965 COMPOSITE DR	CABARET	500
29	2021-10-18 10:57:08	SPEARMINT RHINO GENTLEMANS CLUB	10965 COMPOSITE DR	CABARET	500
30	2021-10-18 10:57:08	THE MEN'S CLUB OF DALLAS	2340 W NORTHWEST HWY	CABARET	500
31	2021-09-10 3:50:56	DG5'S A GENTLEMANS CLUB	2117 W NORTHWEST HWY	CABARET	500
32	2021-10-08 7:13:20	DG5'S A GENTLEMANS CLUB	2117 W NORTHWEST HWY	CABARET	500
33	2021-10-08 7:13:20	DG5'S A GENTLEMANS CLUB	2117 W NORTHWEST HWY	CABARET	500
34	2021-06-04 4:19:29	BUCKS CABARET	2150 CALIFORNIA CROSSING RD	CABARET	500
35	2021-06-04 4:19:29	BUCKS CABARET	8550 N STEMMONS FWY NB	CABARET	500
36	2021-01-28 10:02:07	XTC CABARET	1966 W NORTHWEST HWY	ARCADE	500
37	2021-10-23 10:10:01	NEW FINE ARTS - WEST	1966 W NORTHWEST HWY	ARCADE	500
38	2021-10-23 10:10:01	NEW FINE ARTS - WEST	1966 W NORTHWEST HWY	ARCADE	500
39	2021-10-23 10:10:01	NEW FINE ARTS - WEST	1966 W NORTHWEST HWY	ARCADE	500
40	2021-10-23 10:10:01	NEW FINE ARTS - WEST	1966 W NORTHWEST HWY	ARCADE	500
41	2021-10-23 10:10:01	NEW FINE ARTS - WEST	1966 W NORTHWEST HWY	ARCADE	500
42	2021-07-11 17:59:26	XPOSED ADULT THEATER	910 W MOCKINGBIRD LN	ADULT VIDEO	500
43	2021-04-27 23:18:29	LA ZONA ROSA	1676 REGAL ROW, DALLAS	CABARET	500
44	2021-10-18 6:05:21	COASTER LINE - COASTER CLUB INC.	9125 E R L THORNTON FWY WB	CABARET	500
45	2021-01-15 11:32:22	BABY DOLLS SALOON WEST	10310 TECHNOLOGY BLVD W	CABARET	500
46	2021-07-05 11:17:35	CHICKA BONITAS	11044 HARRY HINES BLVD	CABARET	500
47	2021-10-01 13:41:53	BUCKS CABARET	2150 CALIFORNIA CROSSING RD	CABARET	500
48	2021-10-06 19:35:21	BUCKS CABARET	2150 CALIFORNIA CROSSING RD	CABARET	500
49	2021-10-06 19:35:21	BUCKS CABARET	2150 CALIFORNIA CROSSING RD	CABARET	500
50	2021-10-06 19:35:21	BUCKS CABARET	2150 CALIFORNIA CROSSING RD	CABARET	500
51	2021-06-27 5:44:54	BABY DOLLS TOPLESS SALOON	10250 SHADY TRL	CABARET	500
52	2021-09-19 9:13:36	LA ZONA ROSA	1676 REGAL ROW, DALLAS	CABARET	500
53	2021-09-19 9:13:36	LA ZONA ROSA	1676 REGAL ROW, DALLAS	CABARET	500
54	2021-09-19 9:13:36	LA ZONA ROSA	1676 REGAL ROW, DALLAS	CABARET	500
55	2021-09-19 9:13:36	LA ZONA ROSA	1676 REGAL ROW, DALLAS	CABARET	500
56	2021-09-19 9:13:36	LA ZONA ROSA	1676 REGAL ROW, DALLAS	CABARET	500
57	2021-09-19 9:13:36	LA ZONA ROSA	1676 REGAL ROW, DALLAS	CABARET	500
58	2021-01-04 5:50:55	THE MEN'S CLUB OF DALLAS	2340 W NORTHWEST HWY	CABARET	500
59	2021-05-20 15:40:58	XTC CABARET	8550 N STEMMONS FWY NB	CABARET	500
60	2021-05-16 8:56:25	XTC CABARET	8550 N STEMMONS FWY NB	CABARET	500
61	2021-05-16 9:33:21	XTC CABARET	8550 N STEMMONS FWY NB	CABARET	500
62	2021-05-20 15:40:58	XTC CABARET	8550 N STEMMONS FWY NB	CABARET	500
63	2021-05-16 8:56:25	XTC CABARET	8550 N STEMMONS FWY NB	CABARET	500
64	2021-05-16 8:56:25	XTC CABARET	8550 N STEMMONS FWY NB	CABARET	500
65	2021-05-16 9:20:46	XTC CABARET	8550 N STEMMONS FWY NB	CABARET	500
66	2021-01-29 3:23:46	NEW FINE ARTS - WEST	1966 W NORTHWEST HWY	ARCADE	500
67	2021-05-19 9:40:39	DALLAS CABARET NORTH	11569 HARRY HINES BLVD	CABARET	500



A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N	O	P	Q	R	S
OBJECTID	Join Count	TARGET_FID	DataSource	IncidentNum	Arrest#	ArrestNumber	ArrestDate	Time	Group	Arrestday	ArbDate	ArbDay	ArbTime	ArbChargeCT	OffenseCode	ChargeFlag	ChargeDesc	PrimaryArresting
1	245	1	26014 RMS/NIBRS	012202-2020	2020	20-0072188	2020-01-19 1:00:00	1:00 AM	10p to 2a	Wednesday	2020-03-18 4:15:37	Wednesday	20-009586-01	1	NA-99999999-NC177	No Offense	WARRANT-DALLAS PD (ALIAS)	
2	311	1	18161 RMS/NIBRS	052517-2020	2020	20-0009566	2020-03-18 1:00:00	1:00 AM	10p to 2a	Wednesday	2020-03-18 4:15:37	Wednesday	20-009586-01	1	MA-48990007-NC235	No Offense	WARRANT-DALLAS PD (ALIAS)	
3	320	1	32429 RMS/NIBRS	045755-2020	2020	20-0008335	2020-03-18 1:00:00	1:00 AM	10p to 2a	Wednesday	2020-03-18 4:15:37	Wednesday	20-009586-01	1	MA-79990007-NC103	No Offense	WARRANT-DALLAS PD (ALIAS)	
4	367	1	36357 RMS/NIBRS	052517-2020	2020	20-0009566	2020-03-18 1:00:00	1:00 AM	10p to 2a	Wednesday	2020-03-18 4:15:37	Wednesday	20-009586-01	1	MA-79990007-NC103	No Offense	WARRANT-DALLAS PD (ALIAS)	
5	404	1	39573 RMS/NIBRS	052517-2020	2020	20-0009566	2020-03-18 1:00:00	1:00 AM	10p to 2a	Wednesday	2020-03-18 4:15:37	Wednesday	20-009586-01	1	MA-79990007-NC103	No Offense	WARRANT-DALLAS PD (ALIAS)	
6	650	1	64215 RMS/NIBRS	099185-2020	2020	20-018049	2020-06-05 13:00:00	1:00 AM	10p to 2a	Friday	2020-06-05 13:54:55	Friday	20-018049-01	1	MA-36520008-01	No Offense	WARRANT-DALLAS PD (ALIAS)	
7	755	1	72614 RMS/NIBRS	130820-2020	2020	20-022747	2020-07-26 13:00:00	1:00 AM	10p to 2a	Friday	2020-07-26 13:54:55	Friday	20-018049-01	1	MA-36520008-01	No Offense	WARRANT-DALLAS PD (ALIAS)	
8	1587	1	130025 RMS/NIBRS	232024-2020	2020	20-039974	2020-12-29 1:00:00	1:00 AM	10p to 2a	Monday	2020-12-29 13:54:55	Monday	20-039974-01	1	MA-36520008-01	No Offense	WARRANT-DALLAS PD (ALIAS)	
9	398	1	39178 RMS/NIBRS	058769-2020	2020	20-010699	2020-03-29 1:00:00	1:00 AM	10p to 2a	Monday	2020-03-29 13:54:55	Monday	20-010699-01	1	MA-36520008-01	No Offense	WARRANT-DALLAS PD (ALIAS)	
10	518	1	52011 RMS/NIBRS	058769-2020	2020	20-010699	2020-03-29 1:00:00	1:00 AM	10p to 2a	Monday	2020-03-29 13:54:55	Monday	20-010699-01	1	MA-36520008-01	No Offense	WARRANT-DALLAS PD (ALIAS)	
11	1055	1	95766 RMS/NIBRS	162192-2020	2020	20-028137	2020-09-12 1:00:00	1:00 AM	10p to 2a	Monday	2020-09-12 13:54:55	Monday	20-028137-01	1	MA-36520008-01	No Offense	WARRANT-DALLAS PD (ALIAS)	
12	1134	1	101139 RMS/NIBRS	230521-2020	2020	20-039753	2020-12-27 1:00:00	1:00 AM	10p to 2a	Monday	2020-12-27 13:54:55	Monday	20-039753-01	1	MA-36520008-01	No Offense	WARRANT-DALLAS PD (ALIAS)	
13	1500	1	124459 RMS/NIBRS	230521-2020	2020	20-039753	2020-12-27 1:00:00	1:00 AM	10p to 2a	Monday	2020-12-27 13:54:55	Monday	20-039753-01	1	MA-36520008-01	No Offense	WARRANT-DALLAS PD (ALIAS)	
14	1692	1	139725 RMS/NIBRS	230521-2020	2020	20-039753	2020-12-27 1:00:00	1:00 AM	10p to 2a	Monday	2020-12-27 13:54:55	Monday	20-039753-01	1	MA-36520008-01	No Offense	WARRANT-DALLAS PD (ALIAS)	
15	1699	1	140122 RMS/NIBRS	230521-2020	2020	20-039753	2020-12-27 1:00:00	1:00 AM	10p to 2a	Monday	2020-12-27 13:54:55	Monday	20-039753-01	1	MA-36520008-01	No Offense	WARRANT-DALLAS PD (ALIAS)	
16	1774	1	146739 RMS/NIBRS	230521-2020	2020	20-039753	2020-12-27 1:00:00	1:00 AM	10p to 2a	Monday	2020-12-27 13:54:55	Monday	20-039753-01	1	MA-36520008-01	No Offense	WARRANT-DALLAS PD (ALIAS)	
17	1844	1	152433 RMS/NIBRS	230521-2020	2020	20-039753	2020-12-27 1:00:00	1:00 AM	10p to 2a	Monday	2020-12-27 13:54:55	Monday	20-039753-01	1	MA-36520008-01	No Offense	WARRANT-DALLAS PD (ALIAS)	
18	1919	1	160869 RMS/NIBRS	106818-2020	2020	20-019126	2020-06-18 1:00:00	1:00 AM	10p to 2a	Thursday	2020-06-18 13:54:55	Thursday	20-019126-01	1	MA-36520008-01	No Offense	WARRANT-DALLAS PD (ALIAS)	
19	899	1	84454 RMS/NIBRS	137615-2020	2020	20-023858	2020-08-06 1:11:00	1:11 AM	10p to 2a	Thursday	2020-08-06 5:26:56	Thursday	20-023858-01	1	MA-36520008-01	No Offense	WARRANT-DALLAS PD (ALIAS)	
20	945	1	87935 RMS/NIBRS	137615-2020	2020	20-023858	2020-08-06 1:11:00	1:11 AM	10p to 2a	Thursday	2020-08-06 5:26:56	Thursday	20-023858-01	1	MA-36520008-01	No Offense	WARRANT-DALLAS PD (ALIAS)	
21	381	1	37795 RMS/NIBRS	051214-2020	2020	20-009355	2020-03-16 1:00:00	1:00 AM	10p to 2a	Monday	2020-03-16 13:54:55	Monday	20-009355-01	1	MA-36520008-01	No Offense	WARRANT-DALLAS PD (ALIAS)	
22	1254	1	109283 RMS/NIBRS	169132-2020	2020	20-032710	2020-06-03 1:21:00	1:21 AM	10p to 2a	Wednesday	2020-06-03 5:28:19	Wednesday	20-017885-01	1	MA-48010017-NC112	No Offense	WARRANT-DALLAS PD (ALIAS)	
23	569	1	56787 RMS/NIBRS	097683-2020	2020	20-017865	2020-06-03 1:21:00	1:21 AM	10p to 2a	Wednesday	2020-06-03 5:28:19	Wednesday	20-017865-01	1	MA-48010017-NC112	No Offense	WARRANT-DALLAS PD (ALIAS)	
24	105	1	12105 RMS/NIBRS	084131-2020	2020	20-003992	2020-05-11 1:30:00	1:30 AM	10p to 2a	Monday	2020-05-11 15:32:07	Monday	20-015611-01	1	MA-99999999-NC130	No Offense	WARRANT-DALLAS PD (ALIAS)	
25	487	1	48661 RMS/NIBRS	084131-2020	2020	20-015611	2020-05-11 1:30:00	1:30 AM	10p to 2a	Monday	2020-05-11 15:32:07	Monday	20-015611-01	1	MA-99999999-NC130	No Offense	WARRANT-DALLAS PD (ALIAS)	
26	1239	1	108216 RMS/NIBRS	175833-2020	2020	20-004043	2020-10-16 1:30:00	1:30 AM	10p to 2a	Friday	2020-10-16 16:14:00	Friday	20-031910-01	1	MA-52030027-NC120	No Offense	WARRANT-DALLAS PD (ALIAS)	
27	163	1	135394 RMS/NIBRS	214690-2020	2020	20-0037136	2020-12-01 1:30:00	1:30 AM	10p to 2a	Friday	2020-12-01 16:14:00	Friday	20-031910-01	1	MA-52030027-NC120	No Offense	WARRANT-DALLAS PD (ALIAS)	
28	1791	1	147906 RMS/NIBRS	184416-2020	2020	20-019130	2020-10-16 1:30:00	1:30 AM	10p to 2a	Friday	2020-10-16 16:14:00	Friday	20-031910-01	1	MA-52030027-NC120	No Offense	WARRANT-DALLAS PD (ALIAS)	
29	1803	1	148358 RMS/NIBRS	123176-2020	2020	20-039875	2020-10-03 1:30:00	1:30 AM	10p to 2a	Monday	2020-10-03 5:28:19	Monday	20-025168-01	1	MA-99999999-NC112	No Offense	WARRANT-DALLAS PD (ALIAS)	
30	1974	1	164399 RMS/NIBRS	175833-2020	2020	20-004043	2020-10-03 1:30:00	1:30 AM	10p to 2a	Monday	2020-10-03 5:28:19	Monday	20-025168-01	1	MA-99999999-NC112	No Offense	WARRANT-DALLAS PD (ALIAS)	
31	1004	1	92633 RMS/NIBRS	144908-2020	2020	20-025168	2020-08-17 1:30:00	1:30 AM	10p to 2a	Monday	2020-08-17 15:32:07	Monday	20-031910-01	1	MA-99999999-NC112	No Offense	WARRANT-DALLAS PD (ALIAS)	
32	643	1	63744 RMS/NIBRS	093276-2020	2020	20-006862	2020-02-22 1:40:00	1:40 AM	10p to 2a	Thursday	2020-02-22 8:33:07	Thursday	20-006862-01	1	MA-99999999-NC112	No Offense	WARRANT-DALLAS PD (ALIAS)	
33	221	1	24139 RMS/NIBRS	093276-2020	2020	20-006862	2020-02-22 1:40:00	1:40 AM	10p to 2a	Thursday	2020-02-22 8:33:07	Thursday	20-006862-01	1	MA-99999999-NC112	No Offense	WARRANT-DALLAS PD (ALIAS)	
34	247	1	26093 RMS/NIBRS	093276-2020	2020	20-006862	2020-02-22 1:40:00	1:40 AM	10p to 2a	Thursday	2020-02-22 8:33:07	Thursday	20-006862-01	1	MA-99999999-NC112	No Offense	WARRANT-DALLAS PD (ALIAS)	
35	312	1	31951 RMS/NIBRS	048518-2020	2020	20-008853	2020-03-12 1:40:00	1:40 AM	10p to 2a	Thursday	2020-03-12 16:14:00	Thursday	20-008853-01	1	MA-99999999-NC112	No Offense	WARRANT-DALLAS PD (ALIAS)	
36	585	1	58064 RMS/NIBRS	088102-2020	2020	20-016086	2020-05-18 1:40:00	1:40 AM	10p to 2a	Monday	2020-05-18 16:14:00	Monday	20-016086-01	1	MA-99999999-NC112	No Offense	WARRANT-DALLAS PD (ALIAS)	
37	2004	1	166696 RMS/NIBRS	122494-2020	2020	20-038652	2020-12-17 1:40:00	1:40 AM	10p to 2a	Thursday	2020-12-17 18:00:55	Thursday	20-038652-01	1	MA-99999999-NC112	No Offense	WARRANT-DALLAS PD (ALIAS)	
38	1403	1	118131 RMS/NIBRS	213188-2020	2020	20-038652	2020-11-29 1:45:00	1:45 AM	10p to 2a	Friday	2020-11-29 13:54:55	Friday	20-038652-01	1	MA-99999999-NC112	No Offense	WARRANT-DALLAS PD (ALIAS)	
39	1665	1	137300 RMS/NIBRS	216611-2020	2020	20-038652	2020-11-29 1:45:00	1:45 AM	10p to 2a	Friday	2020-11-29 13:54:55	Friday	20-038652-01	1	MA-99999999-NC112	No Offense	WARRANT-DALLAS PD (ALIAS)	
40	1675	1	138574 RMS/NIBRS	213188-2020	2020	20-038652	2020-11-29 1:45:00	1:45 AM	10p to 2a	Friday	2020-11-29 13:54:55	Friday	20-038652-01	1	MA-99999999-NC112	No Offense	WARRANT-DALLAS PD (ALIAS)	
41	1147	1	102074 RMS/NIBRS	108426-2020	2020	20-023293	2020-10-22 1:50:00	1:50 AM	10p to 2a	Thursday	2020-10-22 13:54:55	Thursday	20-032593-01	1	MA-99999999-NC112	No Offense	WARRANT-DALLAS PD (ALIAS)	
42	1743	1	143799 RMS/NIBRS	093276-2020	2020	20-017001	2020-05-26 1:54:00	1:54 AM	10p to 2a	Friday	2020-05-26 16:14:00	Friday	20-017001-01	1	MA-99999999-NC112	No Offense	WARRANT-DALLAS PD (ALIAS)	
43	572	1	57164 RMS/NIBRS	093276-2020	2020	20-006216	2020-02-21 1:56:00	1:56 AM	10p to 2a	Friday	2020-02-21 16:14:00	Friday	20-006216-01	1	MA-99999999-NC112	No Offense	WARRANT-DALLAS PD (ALIAS)	
44	264	1	27332 RMS/NIBRS	093276-2020	2020	20-006216	2020-02-21 1:56:00	1:56 AM	10p to 2a	Friday	2020-02-21 16:14:00	Friday	20-006216-01	1	MA-99999999-NC112	No Offense	WARRANT-DALLAS PD (ALIAS)	
45	742	1	71971 RMS/NIBRS	133336-2020	2020	20-023245	2020-12-13 2:00:00	2:00 AM	10p to 2a	Thursday	2020-12-13 13:54:55	Thursday	20-023245-01	1	MA-99999999-NC112	No Offense	WARRANT-DALLAS PD (ALIAS)	
46	1688	1	139293 RMS/NIBRS	222986-2020	2020	20-038445	2020-12-13 2:00:00	2:00 AM	10p to 2a	Thursday	2020-12-13 13:54:55	Thursday	20-038445-01	1	MA-99999999-NC112	No Offense	WARRANT-DALLAS PD (ALIAS)	
47	1717	1	141569 RMS/NIBRS	213188-2020	2020	20-038445	2020-12-13 2:00:00	2:00 AM	10p to 2a	Thursday	2020-12-13 13:54:55	Thursday	20-038445-01	1	MA-99999999-NC112	No Offense	WARRANT-DALLAS PD (ALIAS)	
48	1721	1	141802 RMS/NIBRS	205818-2020	2020	20-039877	2020-11-20 2:00:00	2:00 AM	10p to 2a	Monday	2020-11-20 13:54:55	Monday	20-039877-01	1	MA-99999999-NC112	No Offense	WARRANT-DALLAS PD (ALIAS)	
49	1728	1	142480 RMS/NIBRS	207586-2020	2020	20-039877	2020-11-20 2:00:00	2:00 AM	10p to 2a	Monday	2020-11-20 13:54:55	Monday	20-039877-01	1	MA-99999999-NC112	No Offense	WARRANT-DALLAS PD (ALIAS)	
50	1746	1	143851 RMS/NIBRS	213188-2020	2020	20-039877	2020-11-20 2:00:00	2:00 AM	10p to 2a	Monday	2020-11-20 13:54:55	Monday	20-039877-01	1	MA-99999999-NC112	No Offense	WARRANT-DALLAS PD (ALIAS)	
51	1765	1	148284 RMS/NIBRS	198218-2020	2020	20-039413	2020-12-23 2:06:00	2:06 AM	10p to 2a	Wednesday	2020-12-23 16:14:00	Wednesday	20-039413-01	1	MA-99999999-NC112	No Offense	WARRANT-DALLAS PD (ALIAS)	
52	1687	1	139320 RMS/NIBRS	228412-2020	2020	20-039413	2020-12-23 2:06:00	2:06 AM	10p to 2a	Wednesday	2020-12-23 16:14:00	Wednesday	20-039413-01	1	MA-99999999-NC112	No Offense	WARRANT-DALLAS PD (ALIAS)	
53	1697	1	139320 RMS/NIBRS	228412-2020	2020	20-039413	2020-12-23 2:06:00	2:06 AM	10p to 2a	Wednesday	2020-12-23 16:14:00	Wednesday	20-039413-01	1	MA-99999999-NC112	No Offense	WARRANT-DALLAS PD (AL	



	T	U	V	W	X	Y	Z	AA	AB	AC	AD	AE	AF	AG	AH	AI	AJ	AK	AL	AM	AN		
1	URArrestChg	Severity	PC/Class	UCR	UCRWord	UCROffense	Statute	CJS	Type	UCR_ArChg	HoldType	BailOfficmnt	ReleaseType	WarrantNum	WarrantType	WarrantIssuedAgency	WarrantIssuedDate	ChangeDate	StaffOffReviewDate	JalstgUpd	OCFR_RPT	Written_By	Date
2	N	NA	NA				Alfas Warrant	99999999				\$888.2	Other	M2053661	WRNT_C	DALLAS PD	2020-03-18 4:55:51	2020-03-19 1:07:12	2020-03-18 4:15:19	88882			2020-03-18 4:15:35
3	M	MA	MA				PC 38.02(d)(2)	48990009						M2053615	WRNT_C	DALLAS PD	2020-03-08 4:55:43	2020-03-18 4:31:14	2020-03-18 4:15:19	88882			2020-03-18 4:15:35
4	M	MA	MA				PC 21.07	72999007						M2053615	WRNT_C	DALLAS PD	2020-03-08 4:55:43	2020-03-18 4:31:14	2020-03-18 4:15:19	88882			2020-03-18 4:15:35
5	M	NA	NA				Warrant Dallas PD	99999999				\$3799.2		M2053660	WRNT_C	DALLAS PD	2020-03-18 5:00:18	2020-03-18 4:31:14	2020-03-18 4:15:19	88882			2020-03-18 4:15:35
6	M	MB	MB				HSC 481.121(b)(1)	35620008						M2053660	WRNT_C	DALLAS PD	2020-03-18 5:00:18	2020-03-18 4:31:14	2020-03-18 4:15:19	88882			2020-03-18 4:15:35
7	N	NA	NA				Social Services Referral	99999999					Other										2020-06-05 13:54:54
8	M	MC	MC				PC 49.02	99999999															2020-07-26 15:05:08
9	N	NA	NA				Social Services Referral	99999999						F2053580	WRNT_C	DALLAS PD	2020-03-29 8:50:38	2020-12-30 3:17:37	2020-12-30 1:03:28	8561			2020-03-29 8:08:30
10	N	NA	NA				Warrant Dallas PD	99999999			DSO			F2053580	WRNT_C	DALLAS PD	2020-12-15 13:11:34	2020-09-12 2:35:25	2020-09-12 2:35:25	9042			2020-09-12 2:35:29
11	F	FS	FS				HSC 481.115(b)	35990014															2020-09-12 2:35:29
12	N	NA	NA				Warrant Dallas PD	99999999															2020-09-12 2:35:29
13	N	NA	NA				Warrant Dallas PD	99999999															2020-12-27 5:14:48
14	M	MC	MC				TC	99999999						M2063025	WRNT_C	DALLAS PD	2020-12-27 6:42:55	2020-12-27 5:14:48	2020-12-27 5:14:48	9920			2020-12-27 5:14:48
15	M	MC	MC				TC	99999999						M2063024	WRNT_C	DALLAS PD	2020-12-27 6:42:55	2020-12-27 5:14:48	2020-12-27 5:14:48	9920			2020-12-27 5:14:48
16	F	F1	F1				HSC 481.112(d)	35990003						F2061721	WRNT_C	DALLAS PD	2020-12-27 6:42:55	2020-12-27 5:14:48	2020-12-27 5:14:48	9920			2020-12-27 5:14:48
17	M	MB	MB				TC 545.40(b)	54990044						M2063025	WRNT_C	DALLAS PD	2020-12-27 6:42:55	2020-12-27 5:14:48	2020-12-27 5:14:48	9920			2020-12-27 5:14:48
18	M	MB	MB				HSC 481.121(b)(1)	35620008				\$417.3	Release Bail/Bond										2020-06-18 2:24:10
19	N	NA	NA				Warrant Dallas PD	99999999				\$671.2	Other										2020-08-06 5:26:56
20	N	NA	NA				Warrant Dallas PD	99999999															2020-08-06 5:26:56
21	M	MC	MC				PC 22.01(a)(3)	99999999					Released to Appear										2020-08-06 5:26:56
22	M	MC	MC				PC 49.02	99999999						M2056210	WRNT_C	DALLAS PD	2020-06-03 4:18:28	2020-10-23 2:20:33	2020-10-23 2:20:33	M194			2020-06-03 3:58:19
23	M	MC	MC				PC 38.04(b)	48010017					Other										2020-06-03 3:58:19
24	M	MA	MA				Social Services Referral	99999999															2020-06-03 3:58:19
25	N	NA	NA				Social Services Referral	99999999															2020-06-03 3:58:19
26	N	NA	NA				PC 46.02(b)	52000027						M2059828	WRNT_C	DALLAS PD	2020-02-02 7:27:11	2020-05-11 15:33:07	2020-05-11 15:33:07	8068			2020-05-11 15:33:07
27	M	MA	MA				PC 43.02 (-1)	40000015						M2062029	WRNT_C	DALLAS PD	2020-12-01 16:47:15	2020-12-01 16:47:15	2020-12-01 16:47:15	7718			2020-12-01 16:47:15
28	M	MB	MB				Warrant Dallas PD	99999999						F2061311	WRNT_C	DALLAS PD	2020-12-28 16:37:57	2020-10-16 3:27:36	2020-10-16 3:27:36	9850			2020-10-16 3:27:36
29	N	NA	NA				PC 22.02 (A)(2)	13150004						M2059828	WRNT_C	DALLAS PD	2020-12-24 13:10:47	2020-08-17 1:17:48	2020-08-17 1:17:48	8561			2020-08-17 1:17:48
30	F	F2	F2				Warrant Dallas PD	99999999			Other												2020-10-10 2:35:25
31	N	NA	NA				Social Services Referral	99999999					Other										2020-10-10 2:35:25
32	N	NA	NA				PC 49.02	99999999						M2057018	WRNT_C	DALLAS PD	2020-07-09 6:20:05	2020-10-10 2:35:25	2020-10-10 2:35:25	8196			2020-10-10 2:35:25
33	M	MC	MC				PC 49.04(c)	54040012						F2052485	WRNT_C	DALLAS PD	2020-02-22 8:33:07	2020-07-09 6:20:05	2020-07-09 6:20:05	8196			2020-07-09 6:20:05
34	M	MB	MB				TC	99999999				0		F2052485	WRNT_C	DALLAS PD	2020-02-22 8:33:07	2020-07-09 6:20:05	2020-07-09 6:20:05	8196			2020-07-09 6:20:05
35	M	MC	MC				PC 31.03(e)(4)(D)	23990196					Released to Appear										2020-09-12 2:57:24
36	F	F5	F5				PC 49.02	99999999						F2061028	WRNT_C	DALLAS PD	2020-12-17 18:48:46	2020-05-18 3:37:36	2020-05-18 3:37:36	9320			2020-05-18 3:37:36
37	N	NA	NA				Warrant Dallas PD	99999999			DSO	\$100000		M2061918	WRNT_C	DALLAS PD	2020-12-17 18:48:46	2020-12-17 18:48:46	2020-12-17 18:48:46	9098			2020-12-17 18:48:46
38	N	NA	NA				PC 22.02(b)(1)	13150007						M2061918	WRNT_C	DALLAS PD	2020-12-30 13:15:45	2020-12-30 13:15:45	2020-12-30 13:15:45	9850			2020-12-30 13:15:45
39	F	F1	F1				PC 38.03(a)	48010006						F2060494	WRNT_C	DALLAS PD	2020-11-29 5:40:46	2020-12-30 13:15:45	2020-12-30 13:15:45	8561			2020-12-30 13:15:45
40	M	MA	MA				Social Services Referral	99999999						F2060494	WRNT_C	DALLAS PD	2020-11-29 5:40:46	2020-12-30 13:15:45	2020-12-30 13:15:45	8561			2020-12-30 13:15:45
41	N	NA	NA				PC 38.03(a)	13990082															2020-12-22 2:53:47
42	F	F2	F2				PC 38.15(b)	73991084					Released to Appear										2020-11-14 2:53:47
43	M	MB	MB				PC 49.02	99999999					Other										2020-11-14 2:53:47
44	M	MC	MC				PC 49.02	99999999					Other										2020-05-26 3:22:49
45	M	MC	MC				Social Services Referral	99999999															2020-05-26 3:22:49
46	N	NA	NA				Social Services Referral	99999999			Other	\$550	Other										2020-07-31 8:57:19
47	N	NA	NA				Warrant Dallas PD	99999999															2020-07-31 8:57:19
48	N	NA	NA				Social Services Referral	99999999															2020-12-13 3:34:22
49	N	NA	NA				Warrant Dallas PD	99999999			DSO	\$1000	Other										2020-12-13 3:34:22
50	N	NA	NA				Warrant Dallas PD	99999999															2020-12-28 15:25:02
51	N	NA	NA				Warrant Dallas PD	99999999			DSO												2020-12-28 15:25:02
52	F	FS	FS				HSC 481.115(f)	35990014						F2060290	WRNT_C	DALLAS PD	2020-11-20 3:44:23	2020-11-20 3:44:23	2020-11-20 3:44:23	M194			2020-11-20 3:44:23
53	N	NA	NA				Warrant Dallas PD	99999999					Other										2020-11-29 3:11:14
54	M	MC	MC				PC 49.02	99999999					Other										2020-11-29 3:11:14
55	F	FS	FS				HSC 481.114(f)	35990010						M2062909	WRNT_C	DALLAS PD	2020-12-23 7:48:13	2020-11-06 3:40:29	2020-11-06 3:40:29	M194			2020-11-06 3:40:29
56	M	MA	MA				PC 46.02(b)	52030027						F2061190	WRNT_C	DALLAS PD	2020-12-23 7:48:13	2020-12-23 7:48:13	2020-12-23 7:48:13	8189			2020-12-23 7:48:13
57	F	F1	F1				HSC 481.112(d)	35990003						F2061192	WRNT_C	DALLAS PD	2020-12-23 7:48:13	2020-12-23 7:48:13	2020-12-23 7:48:13	8189			2020-12-23 7:48:13
58	F	FS	FS				HSC 481.115(f)	35990014						F2061190	WRNT_C	DALLAS PD	2020-12-23 7:48:13	2020-12-23 7:48:13	2020-12-23 7:48:13	8189			2020-12-23 7:48:13
59	N	NA	NA				Warrant Dallas PD	99999999			Other												2020-12-17 16:48:09
60	N	NA	NA				Warrant Dallas PD	99999999			Other												2020-12-17 16:48:09
61	N	NA	NA				Warrant Dallas PD	99999999			Other	\$10500											2020-12-17 16:48:09
62	M	MC	MC				PC 49.02	99999999					Other	F2059265	WRNT_C	DALLAS PD	2020-10-19 8:01:45	2020-08-10 2:48:00	2020-08-10 2:48:00	M198			2020-08-10 2:48:00
63	F	FS	FS				HSC 481.115(b)	35990014					Other										2020-10-19 7:25:19
64	M	MC	MC				PC 49.02	99999999				\$3773.1	Released to Appear										2020-06-05 3:30:23
65	N	NA	NA				Alfas Warrant	99999999				\$798.5	Released to Appear										2020-01-04 3:54:14
66	N	NA	NA				Capias Warrant	99999999															2020-01-04 3:54:14
67	N	NA	NA				Warrant Dallas PD	99999999			DSO			F2052505	WRNT_C	DALLAS PD	2020-02-23 5:12:34						



	AO	AP	AQ	AR	AS	AT	AU	AV	AW	AX
	OfCR Approved By Date	OfCR Received By Date	Apprehended Date	Final Disp	Final Disp Date	At/Premise	Action	DisCode	NIBRS Group	NIBRS_Crime_Category
1							Arrested - CDC			WARRANT-DALLAS PD (ALUS)
2	2020-01-19 1:04:49	2020-01-19 1:07:00		Accepted	2020-01-19 0:00:00	Highway, Street, Alley ETC	Arrested - Low Streett		A	FRAUD OFFENSES
3	2020-03-18 4:15:35	2020-03-18 4:19:00		Accepted	2020-03-18 0:00:00	Commercial Property Occupied/Vacant	Arrested - Low Streett		B	DISORDERLY CONDUCT
4	2020-03-08 5:44:34	2020-03-08 4:22:00	2020-03-08 1:00:00	Accepted	2020-03-18 0:00:00		Arrested - Low Streett		C	WARRANT DALLAS PD (ALUS/CAPAS)
5	2020-03-18 4:15:35	2020-03-18 4:19:00		Accepted	2020-03-18 0:00:00		Arrested - Low Streett		A	DRUG/ NARCOTIC VIOLATIONS
6	2020-03-18 4:15:35	2020-03-18 4:19:00		Accepted	2020-06-06 0:00:00	Outdoor Area Public/Private	Arrested - Released To Hospital (APOWW)		C	APOWW (SOCIAL SERVICES REFERRAL)
7	2020-06-05 13:54:54	2020-06-06 0:00:00		Accepted	2020-07-26 0:00:00	Outdoor Area Public/Private	Arrested - CDC		B	PUBLIC INTOXICATION
8	2020-07-26 15:05:58			Accepted	2020-12-30 0:00:00	Highway, Street, Alley ETC	Arrested - Released To Hospital (APOWW)	H	C	WARRANT HOLD (NOT A DPD WARRANT)
9	2020-12-30 1:03:37	2020-03-29 8:13:00		Accepted	2020-03-29 0:00:00	Highway, Street, Alley ETC	Arrested - Low Streett		A	DRUG/ NARCOTIC VIOLATIONS
10	2020-03-29 8:08:30	2020-03-29 8:13:00		Accepted	2020-03-29 0:00:00	Highway, Street, Alley ETC	Arrested - Low Streett		C	WARRANT HOLD (NOT A DPD WARRANT)
11	2020-03-29 8:08:30	2020-09-12 3:17:00		Accepted	2020-09-12 0:00:00		Arrested - Low Streett		C	WARRANT HOLD (NOT A DPD WARRANT)
12	2020-09-12 2:35:29	2020-09-12 3:17:00		Accepted	2020-09-12 0:00:00	Highway, Street, Alley ETC	Arrested - Low Streett		C	TRAFFIC VIOLATION - NON HAZARDOUS
13	2020-09-12 2:35:29	2020-12-27 1:10:00	2020-12-27 1:10:00	Accepted	2020-12-27 0:00:00	Highway, Street, Alley ETC	Arrested - Low Streett		C	TRAFFIC VIOLATION - NON HAZARDOUS
14	2020-12-27 5:14:48	2020-12-27 5:33:00	2020-12-27 1:10:00	Accepted	2020-12-27 0:00:00	Highway, Street, Alley ETC	Arrested - Low Streett		A	DRUG/ NARCOTIC VIOLATIONS
15	2020-12-27 5:14:48	2020-12-27 5:33:00	2020-12-27 1:10:00	Accepted	2020-12-27 0:00:00	Highway, Street, Alley ETC	Arrested - Low Streett		C	TRAFFIC VIOLATION - HAZARDOUS
16	2020-12-27 5:14:48	2020-12-27 5:33:00	2020-12-27 1:10:00	Accepted	2020-12-27 0:00:00	Highway, Street, Alley ETC	Arrested - Low Streett		A	DRUG/ NARCOTIC VIOLATIONS
17	2020-12-27 5:14:48	2020-12-27 5:33:00	2020-12-27 1:10:00	Accepted	2020-06-18 0:00:00	Highway, Street, Alley ETC	Arrested - CDC		C	WARRANT DALLAS PD (ALUS/CAPAS)
18	2020-12-27 5:14:48	2020-12-27 5:33:00	2020-12-27 1:10:00	Accepted	2020-06-18 0:00:00	Highway, Street, Alley ETC	Arrested - CDC		C	WARRANT DALLAS PD (ALUS/CAPAS)
19	2020-06-18 2:24:10	2020-06-18 2:26:00		Accepted	2020-08-06 0:00:00	Apartment Residence	Arrested - CDC	H	A	ASSAULT OFFENSES
20	2020-08-06 5:26:56	2020-08-06 5:31:00		Accepted	2020-08-06 0:00:00	Apartment Residence	Arrested - CDC	H	A	ASSAULT OFFENSES
21	2020-08-06 5:26:56	2020-08-06 5:31:00		Accepted	2020-03-16 0:00:00	Bar/Nightclub/Dancehall ETC.	Arrested - CDC		B	PUBLIC INTOXICATION
22	2020-03-16 2:33:59	2020-10-23 2:21:00		Accepted	2020-10-23 0:00:00	Bar/Nightclub/Dancehall ETC.	Arrested - CDC		B	PUBLIC INTOXICATION
23	2020-10-23 2:20:33	2020-10-23 2:20:33		Accepted	2020-06-03 0:00:00	Restaurant/Food Service/TABC location	Arrested - Low Streett		B	ALL OTHER OFFENSES
24	2020-06-03 3:58:19	2020-06-03 4:04:00		Accepted	2020-02-03 0:00:00	Restaurant/Food Service/TABC location	Arrested - Released To Hospital (APOWW)		C	APOWW (SOCIAL SERVICES REFERRAL)
25	2020-02-02 2:27:27	2020-02-03 0:00:00		Accepted	2020-02-03 0:00:00	Restaurant/Food Service/TABC location	Arrested - Released To Hospital (APOWW)		C	APOWW (SOCIAL SERVICES REFERRAL)
26	2020-05-11 15:32:07	2020-05-11 0:00:00		Accepted	2020-05-11 0:00:00	Outdoor Area Public/Private	Arrested - Low Streett		A	WEAPON LAW VIOLATIONS
27	2020-10-03 5:56:17	2020-10-03 5:56:00		Accepted	2020-10-03 0:00:00	Outdoor Area Public/Private	Arrested - Low Streett		A	PROSTITUTION OFFENSES
28	2020-12-01 16:14:00	2020-12-01 16:31:00		Accepted	2020-12-01 0:00:00	Outdoor Area Public/Private	Arrested - Low Streett		A	WARRANT HOLD (NOT A DPD WARRANT)
29	2020-10-16 3:44:00	2020-10-16 3:44:00		Accepted	2020-10-16 0:00:00	Outdoor Area Public/Private	Arrested - Low Streett		A	ASSAULT OFFENSES
30	2020-12-28 16:28:25	2020-12-28 16:37:00	2020-12-28 13:30:00	Accepted	2020-12-28 0:00:00	Outdoor Area Public/Private	Arrested - Low Streett		C	WARRANT HOLD (NOT A DPD WARRANT)
31	2020-10-03 5:36:17	2020-10-03 5:36:00		Accepted	2020-10-03 0:00:00	Restaurant/Food Service/TABC location	Arrested - Low Streett		C	APOWW (SOCIAL SERVICES REFERRAL)
32	2020-08-17 5:22:23	2020-10-10 2:37:00		Accepted	2020-08-17 0:00:00	Restaurant/Food Service/TABC location	Arrested - Released To Hospital (APOWW)		C	PUBLIC INTOXICATION
33	2020-10-10 2:35:25	2020-10-10 2:37:00		Accepted	2020-10-10 0:00:00	Highway, Street, Alley ETC	Arrested - CDC		B	DRIVING UNDER THE INFLUENCE
34	2020-07-09 4:42:38	2020-07-09 6:04:00		Accepted	2020-07-09 0:00:00	Highway, Street, Alley ETC	Arrested - Low Streett		B	TRAFFIC VIOLATION - NON HAZARDOUS
35	2020-02-22 8:33:07	2020-02-22 8:59:00		Accepted	2020-02-22 0:00:00	Highway, Street, Alley ETC	Arrested - Low Streett		C	LARCENY/ THEFT OFFENSES
36	2020-02-22 8:33:07	2020-02-22 8:59:00		Accepted	2020-02-22 0:00:00	Highway, Street, Alley ETC	Arrested - Low Streett		B	PUBLIC INTOXICATION
37	2020-03-12 2:57:24	2020-03-12 2:58:00		Accepted	2020-03-12 0:00:00	Bar/Nightclub/Dancehall ETC.	Arrested - CDC		C	WARRANT HOLD (NOT A DPD WARRANT)
38	2020-05-18 3:29:33	2020-05-18 3:36:00	2020-05-18 1:40:00	Accepted	2020-05-18 0:00:00	Parking Lot (All Others)	Arrested - Low Streett	H	A	ASSAULT OFFENSES
39	2020-12-17 18:00:55	2020-12-17 18:27:00		Accepted	2020-12-17 0:00:00	Highway, Street, Alley ETC	Arrested - Low Streett		A	ALL OTHER OFFENSES
40	2020-11-29 4:24:57	2020-11-29 4:29:00		Accepted	2020-11-29 0:00:00	Highway, Street, Alley ETC	Arrested - Low Streett		B	APOWW (SOCIAL SERVICES REFERRAL)
41	2020-12-09 15:49:51	2020-12-09 0:00:00		Accepted	2020-11-29 0:00:00	Highway, Street, Alley ETC	Arrested - Released To Hospital (APOWW)		A	ASSAULT OFFENSES
42	2020-11-29 4:24:57	2020-11-29 4:29:00		Accepted	2020-11-29 0:00:00	Highway, Street, Alley ETC	Arrested - Low Streett		B	PUBLIC INTOXICATION
43	2020-11-29 4:24:57	2020-11-29 4:29:00		Accepted	2020-11-29 0:00:00	Highway, Street, Alley ETC	Arrested - CDC		B	PUBLIC INTOXICATION
44	2020-10-12 2:53:47	2020-10-12 2:55:00		Accepted	2020-11-14 0:00:00	Bar/Nightclub/Dancehall ETC.	Arrested - CDC		C	APOWW (SOCIAL SERVICES REFERRAL)
45	2020-11-14 2:52:10	2020-11-14 2:55:00		Accepted	2020-11-14 0:00:00	Bar/Nightclub/Dancehall ETC.	Arrested - CDC		C	APOWW (SOCIAL SERVICES REFERRAL)
46	2020-05-26 3:22:49	2020-05-26 0:00:00		Accepted	2020-05-26 0:00:00	Other	Arrested - CDC		C	APOWW (SOCIAL SERVICES REFERRAL)
47	2020-02-21 3:47:20	2020-02-21 3:51:00		Accepted	2020-02-21 0:00:00	Other	Arrested - CDC		C	APOWW (SOCIAL SERVICES REFERRAL)
48	2020-07-31 8:57:19			Accepted	2020-11-05 0:00:00	Highway, Street, Alley ETC	Arrested - Released To Hospital (APOWW)		C	WARRANT HOLD (NOT A DPD WARRANT)
49	2020-12-13 3:34:22	2020-12-13 0:00:00		Accepted	2020-12-13 0:00:00	Highway, Street, Alley ETC	Arrested - Low Streett		C	WARRANT HOLD (NOT A DPD WARRANT)
50	2020-11-29 3:11:14	2020-11-29 3:12:00		Accepted	2020-11-29 0:00:00	Outdoor Area Public/Private	Arrested - CDC		C	WARRANT HOLD (NOT A DPD WARRANT)
51	2020-12-28 15:25:02	2020-12-28 18:01:00		Accepted	2020-12-28 0:00:00	Apartment Complex/Building	Arrested - Low Streett		A	WARRANT DALLAS PD
52	2020-11-20 3:44:16	2020-11-20 3:49:00		Accepted	2020-11-20 0:00:00	Bar/Nightclub/Dancehall ETC.	Arrested - Low Streett		A	DRUG/ NARCOTIC VIOLATIONS
53	2020-11-29 3:11:14	2020-11-29 3:12:00		Accepted	2020-11-29 0:00:00	Other	Arrested - CDC		C	WARRANT DALLAS PD (ALUS/CAPAS)
54	2020-11-06 3:28:34	2020-11-06 3:40:00		Accepted	2020-11-06 0:00:00	Other	Arrested - CDC		B	PUBLIC INTOXICATION
55	2020-12-23 5:49:26	2020-12-23 5:52:00		Accepted	2020-12-23 0:00:00	Other	Arrested - Low Streett		A	DRUG/ NARCOTIC VIOLATIONS
56	2020-12-23 5:49:26	2020-12-23 5:52:00		Accepted	2020-12-23 0:00:00	Other	Arrested - Low Streett		A	DRUG/ NARCOTIC VIOLATIONS
57	2020-12-23 5:49:26	2020-12-23 5:52:00		Accepted	2020-12-23 0:00:00	Other	Arrested - Low Streett		A	DRUG/ NARCOTIC VIOLATIONS
58	2020-12-23 5:49:26	2020-12-23 5:52:00		Accepted	2020-12-23 0:00:00	Other	Arrested - Low Streett		C	WARRANT HOLD (NOT A DPD WARRANT)
59	2020-12-17 16:48:09	2020-12-17 16:56:00	2020-12-17 14:09:00	Accepted	2020-12-17 0:00:00	Highway, Street, Alley ETC	Arrested - Low Streett		C	WARRANT HOLD (NOT A DPD WARRANT)
60	2020-12-17 16:48:09	2020-12-17 16:56:00	2020-12-17 14:09:00	Accepted	2020-12-17 0:00:00	Highway, Street, Alley ETC	Arrested - Low Streett		C	WARRANT HOLD (NOT A DPD WARRANT)
61	2020-12-17 16:48:09	2020-12-17 16:56:00	2020-12-17 14:09:00	Accepted	2020-12-17 0:00:00	Highway, Street, Alley ETC	Arrested - Low Streett		C	WARRANT HOLD (NOT A DPD WARRANT)
62	2020-08-10 2:45:06	2020-08-10 2:47:00		Accepted	2020-08-10 0:00:00	Highway, Street, Alley ETC	Arrested - CDC	H	B	PUBLIC INTOXICATION
63	2020-10-19 7:25:19	2020-10-19 7:28:00		Accepted	2020-06-05 0:00:00	Highway, Street, Alley ETC	Arrested - Low Streett		A	DRUG/ NARCOTIC VIOLATIONS
64	2020-06-05 3:30:23	2020-06-05 3:43:00		Accepted	2020-06-05 0:00:00	Restaurant/Food Service/TABC location	Arrested - CDC		B	WARRANT DALLAS PD (ALUS)
65	2020-01-04 3:54:14	2020-01-04 4:39:00		Accepted	2020-01-04 0:00:00	Restaurant/Food Service/TABC location	Arrested - CDC		C	WARRANT DALLAS PD (ALUS)
66	2020-01-04 3:54:14	2020-01-04 4:39:00		Accepted	2020-01-04 0:00:00	Restaurant/Food Service/TABC location	Arrested - CDC		C	WARRANT DALLAS PD (CAPAS)
67	2020-02-23 4:18:09	2020-02-23 4:57:00		Accepted	2020-02-23 0:00:00	Highway, Street, Alley ETC	Arrested - Low Streett		B	WARRANT DALLAS PD



	AV	AZ	BA	BB	BC	BD	BE	BF	BG	BH
1	NIBRS Crime	NIBRS Crime Compsstat	NIBRS CrimeAgainst	NIBRS Code	NIBRS Group CrimeAgainst	NIBRS Type	ChargeSynopsis	CFR Number		Name
2	WARRANT-DALLAS PD (ALIAS)	WARRANT-DALLAS PD (ALIAS)	PERSON, PROPERTY, OR SOCIETY	999	PERSON, PROPERTY, OR SOCIETY	Coded		20-0118770		CASTELLANOS, EDUARDO
3	FALSE PRETENSES/ SWINDLE/ CONFIDENCE GAME	FRAUD OFFENSES	PERSON, PROPERTY, OR SOCIETY	26A	PROPERTY	Not Coded		20-0508680		BENTON, TIMOTHY
4	DISORDERLY CONDUCT	DISORDERLY CONDUCT	SOCIETY	90C	SOCIETY	Coded		20-04041415		MITCHELL, MORITAY, GAY
5	WARRANT DALLAS PD (ALIAS/CAPAS)	WARRANT DALLAS PD (ALIAS/CAPAS)	PERSON, PROPERTY, OR SOCIETY	999	PERSON, PROPERTY, OR SOCIETY	Not Coded		20-0508680		BENTON, TIMOTHY
6	DRUG/ NARCOTIC VIOLATIONS	DRUG/ NARCOTIC VIOLATIONS	SOCIETY	35A	SOCIETY	Coded		20-0508680		BENTON, TIMOTHY
7	APOWW (SOCIAL SERVICES REFERRAL)	APOWW (SOCIAL SERVICES REFERRAL)	PERSON, PROPERTY, OR SOCIETY	999	PERSON, PROPERTY, OR SOCIETY	Coded		20-1010261		HILL, JOHN, ROBERT
8	PUBLIC INTOXICATION	PUBLIC INTOXICATION	PERSON, PROPERTY, OR SOCIETY	90E	SOCIETY	Coded		20-1344689		OCHOA, JESSIE
9	APOWW (SOCIAL SERVICES REFERRAL)	APOWW (SOCIAL SERVICES REFERRAL)	PERSON, PROPERTY, OR SOCIETY	999	PERSON, PROPERTY, OR SOCIETY	Coded		20-2351484		CATES, TYSON
10	WARRANT HOLD (NOT A DPD WARRANT)	WARRANT HOLD (NOT A DPD WARRANT)	PERSON, PROPERTY, OR SOCIETY	999	PERSON, PROPERTY, OR SOCIETY	Not Coded		20-0576985		HERBERT, JOHN
11	DRUG/ NARCOTIC VIOLATIONS	DRUG/ NARCOTIC VIOLATIONS	SOCIETY	35A	SOCIETY	Coded		20-0576985		HERBERT, JOHN
12	WARRANT HOLD (NOT A DPD WARRANT)	WARRANT HOLD (NOT A DPD WARRANT)	PERSON, PROPERTY, OR SOCIETY	999	PERSON, PROPERTY, OR SOCIETY	Not Coded		20-1654295		OSMANOVIC, SENAD
13	WARRANT HOLD (NOT A DPD WARRANT)	WARRANT HOLD (NOT A DPD WARRANT)	PERSON, PROPERTY, OR SOCIETY	999	PERSON, PROPERTY, OR SOCIETY	Coded		20-1654295		OSMANOVIC, SENAD
14	TRAFFIC VIOLATION - NON HAZARDOUS	TRAFFIC VIOLATION - NON HAZARDOUS	PERSON, PROPERTY, OR SOCIETY	999	PERSON, PROPERTY, OR SOCIETY	Not Coded		20-2337193		MITCHELL, MICHAEL, LEE
15	TRAFFIC VIOLATION - NON HAZARDOUS	TRAFFIC VIOLATION - NON HAZARDOUS	PERSON, PROPERTY, OR SOCIETY	999	PERSON, PROPERTY, OR SOCIETY	Not Coded		20-2337193		MITCHELL, MICHAEL, LEE
16	DRUG/ NARCOTIC VIOLATIONS	DRUG/ NARCOTIC VIOLATIONS	SOCIETY	35A	SOCIETY	Coded		20-2337193		MITCHELL, MICHAEL, LEE
17	TRAFFIC VIOLATION - HAZARDOUS	TRAFFIC VIOLATION - HAZARDOUS	PERSON, PROPERTY, OR SOCIETY	999	PERSON, PROPERTY, OR SOCIETY	Not Coded		20-2337193		MITCHELL, MICHAEL, LEE
18	DRUG/ NARCOTIC VIOLATIONS	DRUG/ NARCOTIC VIOLATIONS	SOCIETY	35A	SOCIETY	Not Coded		20-2337193		MITCHELL, MICHAEL, LEE
19	WARRANT DALLAS PD (ALIAS/CAPAS)	WARRANT DALLAS PD (ALIAS/CAPAS)	PERSON, PROPERTY, OR SOCIETY	999	PERSON, PROPERTY, OR SOCIETY	Coded		20-1090802		SHORTER, MECOSE, MENDALE
20	WARRANT DALLAS PD (ALIAS/CAPAS)	WARRANT DALLAS PD (ALIAS/CAPAS)	PERSON, PROPERTY, OR SOCIETY	999	PERSON, PROPERTY, OR SOCIETY	Not Coded		20-1412121		JEFFERSON, DERRICK, DEWAYNE
21	SIMPLE ASSAULT	SIMPLE ASSAULT	PERSON, PROPERTY, OR SOCIETY	13B	PERSON	Coded		20-1412121		JEFFERSON, DERRICK, DEWAYNE
22	PUBLIC INTOXICATION	PUBLIC INTOXICATION	SOCIETY	90E	SOCIETY	Coded		20-0496246		CROSS, CAMERON, JAY
23	PUBLIC INTOXICATION	PUBLIC INTOXICATION	SOCIETY	90E	SOCIETY	Coded		20-1926142		PIERCE, ERIC
24	ALL OTHER OFFENSES	ALL OTHER OFFENSES	PERSON, PROPERTY, OR SOCIETY	90Z	PERSON, PROPERTY, OR SOCIETY	Coded		20-0995279		DUAL, KRISTIAN, EDOUARD
25	APOWW (SOCIAL SERVICES REFERRAL)	APOWW (SOCIAL SERVICES REFERRAL)	PERSON, PROPERTY, OR SOCIETY	999	PERSON, PROPERTY, OR SOCIETY	Coded		20-0210810		PENKINS, ELMER
26	APOWW (SOCIAL SERVICES REFERRAL)	APOWW (SOCIAL SERVICES REFERRAL)	PERSON, PROPERTY, OR SOCIETY	999	PERSON, PROPERTY, OR SOCIETY	Coded		20-0847419		WHITNEY, GIVNN
27	WEAPON LAW VIOLATIONS	WEAPON LAW VIOLATIONS	SOCIETY	520	SOCIETY	Coded		20-1793251		BATISTE, DAVEYON, Q
28	PURCHASING PROSTITUTION	PURCHASING PROSTITUTION	SOCIETY	40C	SOCIETY	Coded		20-2175709		MENDEZ-ARAMBUA, JUAN, MANUEL
29	WARRANT HOLD (NOT A DPD WARRANT)	WARRANT HOLD (NOT A DPD WARRANT)	PERSON, PROPERTY, OR SOCIETY	999	PERSON, PROPERTY, OR SOCIETY	Coded		20-1879523		BLOUNT, PAUL, ANTHONY
30	AGG ASSAULT - FV	AGG ASSAULT - FV	PERSON	13A	PERSON	Coded		20-2345432		TIGON, JOHN, JUBSON
31	WARRANT HOLD (NOT A DPD WARRANT)	WARRANT HOLD (NOT A DPD WARRANT)	PERSON, PROPERTY, OR SOCIETY	999	PERSON, PROPERTY, OR SOCIETY	Not Coded		20-1793251		BATISTE, DAVEYON, Q
32	APOWW (SOCIAL SERVICES REFERRAL)	APOWW (SOCIAL SERVICES REFERRAL)	PERSON, PROPERTY, OR SOCIETY	999	PERSON, PROPERTY, OR SOCIETY	Coded		20-1486181		DOSSKOL, JAMES, EDWARD
33	PUBLIC INTOXICATION	PUBLIC INTOXICATION	SOCIETY	90E	SOCIETY	Coded		20-1838684		RASCO, CLAYTON, DOUGLAS
34	DUI	DUI	SOCIETY	90D	SOCIETY	Coded		20-1234338		MENDOZA-RODRIGUEZ, ENIK, DAVID
35	TRAFFIC VIOLATION - NON HAZARDOUS	TRAFFIC VIOLATION - NON HAZARDOUS	PERSON, PROPERTY, OR SOCIETY	999	PERSON, PROPERTY, OR SOCIETY	Not Coded		20-0342341		BRINLEE, NATHAN, LEE
36	ALL OTHER LARCENY	OTHER THEFT	PROPERTY	23H	PROPERTY	Coded		20-0342341		BRINLEE, NATHAN, LEE
37	PUBLIC INTOXICATION	PUBLIC INTOXICATION	SOCIETY	90E	SOCIETY	Coded		20-0469254		SILVA, MICHAEL, ANTHONY
38	WARRANT HOLD (NOT A DPD WARRANT)	WARRANT HOLD (NOT A DPD WARRANT)	PERSON, PROPERTY, OR SOCIETY	999	PERSON, PROPERTY, OR SOCIETY	Coded		20-0890304		THOMPSON, BRIANNE
39	AGG ASSAULT - FV	AGG ASSAULT - FV	PERSON	13A	PERSON	Coded		20-2277495		TARRABAS, MELISSA, ESPARZA
40	ALL OTHER OFFENSES	ALL OTHER OFFENSES	PERSON, PROPERTY, OR SOCIETY	90Z	PERSON, PROPERTY, OR SOCIETY	Not Coded		20-2161230		HUNTER, LUMARK, DESHAWN
41	APOWW (SOCIAL SERVICES REFERRAL)	APOWW (SOCIAL SERVICES REFERRAL)	PERSON, PROPERTY, OR SOCIETY	999	PERSON, PROPERTY, OR SOCIETY	Coded		20-2161230		CROCKETT, STEPHANIE
42	SIMPLE ASSAULT	SIMPLE ASSAULT	PERSON	13B	PERSON	Coded		20-2161230		HUNTER, LUMARK, DESHAWN
43	ALL OTHER OFFENSES	ALL OTHER OFFENSES	PERSON, PROPERTY, OR SOCIETY	90Z	PERSON, PROPERTY, OR SOCIETY	Not Coded		20-2161230		HUNTER, LUMARK, DESHAWN
44	PUBLIC INTOXICATION	PUBLIC INTOXICATION	SOCIETY	90E	SOCIETY	Coded		20-1918372		PENA, JOSEPH, BERTIL
45	PUBLIC INTOXICATION	PUBLIC INTOXICATION	SOCIETY	90E	SOCIETY	Coded		20-2066641		KOWNSLAR, STEVEN
46	APOWW (SOCIAL SERVICES REFERRAL)	APOWW (SOCIAL SERVICES REFERRAL)	PERSON, PROPERTY, OR SOCIETY	999	PERSON, PROPERTY, OR SOCIETY	Coded		20-0942902		MURR, RYAN, ALEXANDR
47	WARRANT HOLD (NOT A DPD WARRANT)	WARRANT HOLD (NOT A DPD WARRANT)	PERSON, PROPERTY, OR SOCIETY	999	PERSON, PROPERTY, OR SOCIETY	Coded		20-0305042		SALAZAR-SOLETO, LOUIS
48	APOWW (SOCIAL SERVICES REFERRAL)	APOWW (SOCIAL SERVICES REFERRAL)	PERSON, PROPERTY, OR SOCIETY	999	PERSON, PROPERTY, OR SOCIETY	Coded		20-1369509		ASH, SAMUEL
49	WARRANT HOLD (NOT A DPD WARRANT)	WARRANT HOLD (NOT A DPD WARRANT)	PERSON, PROPERTY, OR SOCIETY	999	PERSON, PROPERTY, OR SOCIETY	Coded		20-2250586		NEICE, ASHLEY
50	WARRANT HOLD (NOT A DPD WARRANT)	WARRANT HOLD (NOT A DPD WARRANT)	PERSON, PROPERTY, OR SOCIETY	999	PERSON, PROPERTY, OR SOCIETY	Not Coded		20-2161230		HUNTER, MAROUIS
51	WARRANT DALLAS PD (AGG ASSAULT - FV)	WARRANT DALLAS PD (AGG ASSAULT - FV)	PERSON	13A	PERSON	Coded		20-2087725		CARSON, ANTMUAN, LAMORRIS
52	DRUG/ NARCOTIC VIOLATIONS	DRUG/ NARCOTIC VIOLATIONS	SOCIETY	35A	SOCIETY	Coded		20-2104890		ADAME, JORDAN
53	WARRANT DALLAS PD (ALIAS/CAPAS)	WARRANT DALLAS PD (ALIAS/CAPAS)	PERSON, PROPERTY, OR SOCIETY	999	PERSON, PROPERTY, OR SOCIETY	Coded		20-2161230		HUNTER, MAROUIS
54	PUBLIC INTOXICATION	PUBLIC INTOXICATION	SOCIETY	90E	SOCIETY	Coded		20-2013062		ARBONA, RICHARD
55	DRUG/ NARCOTIC VIOLATIONS	DRUG/ NARCOTIC VIOLATIONS	SOCIETY	35A	SOCIETY	Not Coded		20-2311831		WEATHERSPON, DEON, LEE
56	WEAPON LAW VIOLATIONS	WEAPON LAW VIOLATIONS	SOCIETY	520	SOCIETY	Coded		20-2311831		WEATHERSPON, DEON, LEE
57	DRUG/ NARCOTIC VIOLATIONS	DRUG/ NARCOTIC VIOLATIONS	SOCIETY	35A	SOCIETY	Not Coded		20-2311831		WEATHERSPON, DEON, LEE
58	DRUG/ NARCOTIC VIOLATIONS	DRUG/ NARCOTIC VIOLATIONS	SOCIETY	35A	SOCIETY	Not Coded		20-2311831		WEATHERSPON, DEON, LEE
59	WARRANT HOLD (NOT A DPD WARRANT)	WARRANT HOLD (NOT A DPD WARRANT)	PERSON, PROPERTY, OR SOCIETY	999	PERSON, PROPERTY, OR SOCIETY	Not Coded		20-2277495		ROSAS-CRUZ, CIRIANO
60	WARRANT HOLD (NOT A DPD WARRANT)	WARRANT HOLD (NOT A DPD WARRANT)	PERSON, PROPERTY, OR SOCIETY	999	PERSON, PROPERTY, OR SOCIETY	Coded		20-2277495		ROSAS, FLORA, DELIPAR
61	WARRANT HOLD (NOT A DPD WARRANT)	WARRANT HOLD (NOT A DPD WARRANT)	PERSON, PROPERTY, OR SOCIETY	999	PERSON, PROPERTY, OR SOCIETY	Coded		20-1438406		HERNANDEZ, RUBENS, M
62	PUBLIC INTOXICATION	PUBLIC INTOXICATION	SOCIETY	90E	SOCIETY	Coded		20-1900050		LOPEZ, RICARDO, MAURICIO
63	DRUG/ NARCOTIC VIOLATIONS	DRUG/ NARCOTIC VIOLATIONS	SOCIETY	35A	SOCIETY	Coded		20-1007961		SIMS, CHARLES, DEVON
64	PUBLIC INTOXICATION	PUBLIC INTOXICATION	SOCIETY	90E	SOCIETY	Coded		20-0021246		STARRE-ETIART, CHELSI, DAWN
65	WARRANT-DALLAS PD (ALIAS)	WARRANT-DALLAS PD (ALIAS)	PERSON, PROPERTY, OR SOCIETY	999	PERSON, PROPERTY, OR SOCIETY	Coded		20-0021246		STARRE-ETIART, CHELSI, DAWN
66	WARRANT-DALLAS PD (CAPAS)	WARRANT-DALLAS PD (CAPAS)	PERSON, PROPERTY, OR SOCIETY	999	PERSON, PROPERTY, OR SOCIETY	Not Coded		20-0021246		STARRE-ETIART, CHELSI, DAWN
67	WARRANT DALLAS PD (OTHERS)	WARRANT DALLAS PD (OTHERS)	PERSON, PROPERTY, OR SOCIETY	90Z	PERSON, PROPERTY, OR SOCIETY	Not Coded		20-0349140		REYNOLDS, TESSA, LEE

COD\_005832



	BI	AliasName	BJ	BK	BL	BM	BN	BO	BP	BQ	BR	BS	BT	BU	BV	BW	BX	BY	BZ	CA	CB
	NickName			BirthPlace				Height	Weight	Hair	Eye	Race	Ethnicity	Sex			Drlic_St	Drlic_Type	HILAddress	HILApt	HILZip
1				HONDURAS				5-06	130	Black	Brown	Black	Non-Hispanic or Latino	Male			TX		6733 WOFFORD DR		75227
2				FT WORTH				5-03	130	Blonde	Black	White	Non-Hispanic or Latino	Female			TX		252 COUNTY RD 438		75248
3								6-01	190	Black	Brown	Black	Non-Hispanic or Latino	Male			TX		7720 MCCALLUM BLVD		75248
4								6-01	190	Black	Brown	Black	Non-Hispanic or Latino	Male			TX		7720 MCCALLUM BLVD		75248
5								5-08	172	Black	Brown	Black	Non-Hispanic or Latino	Male					1818 CORSCANA ST		75201
6	NATASHA							5-05	150	Black	Brown	Hispanic or Latino	Non-Hispanic or Latino	Male					2422 WALNUT HILL LN	120	75220
7								6-1	150	N/A	Brown	White	Non-Hispanic or Latino	Male			TX		1818 CORSCANA ST		75201
8								5-10	165	Brown	Brown	Hispanic or Latino	Non-Hispanic or Latino	Male			TX		1818 CORSCANA ST		75201
9								5-10	165	Brown	Brown	White	Non-Hispanic or Latino	Male			TX		3130 KINGBRIDGE ST	31	75212
10								5-06	170	Black	Brown	White	Non-Hispanic or Latino	Male			TX		10658 OAK GATE LN		75217
11								5-10	230	Black	Brown	Black	Non-Hispanic or Latino	Male			TX		10658 OAK GATE LN		75217
12								5-10	230	Black	Brown	Black	Non-Hispanic or Latino	Male			TX		10658 OAK GATE LN		75217
13								5-10	230	Black	Brown	Black	Non-Hispanic or Latino	Male			TX		10658 OAK GATE LN		75217
14								5-10	230	Black	Brown	Black	Non-Hispanic or Latino	Male			TX		10658 OAK GATE LN		75217
15								5-10	230	Black	Brown	Black	Non-Hispanic or Latino	Male			TX		10658 OAK GATE LN		75217
16								5-10	230	Black	Brown	Black	Non-Hispanic or Latino	Male			TX		1546 HANCOCK DR		75040
17								6-02	140	Black	Brown	Black	Non-Hispanic or Latino	Male			TX		613 MOONLIGHT DR		75040
18								6-02	250	Black	Brown	Black	Non-Hispanic or Latino	Male			TX		1013 SE 4TH ST		75051
19								6-02	250	Black	Brown	Black	Non-Hispanic or Latino	Male			TX		1523 S MONTE CARLO ST		75224
20								5-6	165	Brown	Brown	White	Non-Hispanic or Latino	Male			TX		1818 CORSCANA ST		75201
21								6-00	200	N/A	Brown	Black	Non-Hispanic or Latino	Male			TX		1818 CORSCANA ST		75201
22								6-0	250	Blonde	Blue	White	Non-Hispanic or Latino	Male			TX		306 N BOWSER RD		75081
23								5-09	180	Brown	Unkown	White	Non-Hispanic or Latino	Male			TX		1615 JOHN WEST RD		75228
24								6-2	230	Brown	Hazel	White	Non-Hispanic or Latino	Male			TX		2939 BAY OAKS DR		75229
25								6-3	190	Black	Brown	Black	Non-Hispanic or Latino	Male			TX		1428 SHADY LN		75159
26								5-07	180	Black	Brown	Hispanic or Latino	Non-Hispanic or Latino	Male			MS		701 TRUMAN ST		39201
27								5-11	215	Brown	Blue	White	Non-Hispanic or Latino	Male					1615 JOHN WEST RD	1424	75228
28	JUAN MENDEZ							5-7	125	Black	Brown	Black	Non-Hispanic or Latino	Male			TX		1818 CORSCANA ST		75201
29								6-3	190	Black	Brown	Black	Non-Hispanic or Latino	Male			TX		5855 HARVEST HILL RD	240	75260
30								5-10	180	Brown	Blue	White	Non-Hispanic or Latino	Male			TX		914 HILLTOP DR	A	75060
31	JAMES							5-8	183	Black	Brown	Hispanic or Latino	Non-Hispanic or Latino	Male			TX		914 HILLTOP DR	A	75060
32								5-8	240	Brown	Hazel	White	Non-Hispanic or Latino	Male			TX		2939 CARRIZO LN		75229
33								5-6	165	Black	Brown	Hispanic or Latino	Non-Hispanic or Latino	Male			TX		8138 LA FRONTERA		76012
34								5-2	115	Black	Brown	White	Non-Hispanic or Latino	Female			TX		1818 CORSCANA ST		75201
35								5-2	115	Brown	Brown	Hispanic or Latino	Non-Hispanic or Latino	Female			TX		15804 PRESTON VIEW BLVD	2088	75243
36								6-2	190	Black	Brown	Black	Non-Hispanic or Latino	Male			TX		5804 PRESTON VIEW BLVD	2088	75240
37								5-7	155	Black	Brown	Black	Non-Hispanic or Latino	Male			TX		5823 FORT LARAMIE		78239
38								5-11	170	White	Blue	White	Non-Hispanic or Latino	Male			TX		4440 W AIRPORT Fwy	1220	75062
39	ESPANZA							6-01	200	Black	Brown	Hispanic or Latino	Non-Hispanic or Latino	Male			TX		679 SOUTH ST HWY 121		76205
40								5-7	145	Blonde	Blue	White	Non-Hispanic or Latino	Male			TX		1309 PAISLEY ST		75201
41								5-7	155	Brown	Unkown	White	Non-Hispanic or Latino	Female			TX		10904 CASTLEBROCK DR		75217
42								6-0	147	N/A	Brown	Black	Non-Hispanic or Latino	Male			TX		5124 SPRING VALLEY RD	158	75227
43								6-05	250	Black	Brown	White	Non-Hispanic or Latino	Male			TX		816 CREST RIDGE DR		75061
44								5-10	200	Brown	Brown	Hispanic or Latino	Non-Hispanic or Latino	Male			TX		5621 SPRING VALLEY RD	158	75254
45								6-0	147	N/A	Brown	Black	Non-Hispanic or Latino	Male			TX		2491 ELM GRV		75098
46								6-02	180	Black	Brown	Black	Non-Hispanic or Latino	Male			TX		1818 CORSCANA ST		75228
47								6-02	180	Black	Brown	Black	Non-Hispanic or Latino	Male			TX		1818 CORSCANA ST		75228
48								6-02	180	Black	Brown	Black	Non-Hispanic or Latino	Male			TX		1818 CORSCANA ST		75228
49								6-02	180	Black	Brown	Black	Non-Hispanic or Latino	Male			TX		1818 CORSCANA ST		75228
50								5-06	135	Black	Brown	Hispanic or Latino	Non-Hispanic or Latino	Male			TX		5607 PR 901		75009
51								5-11	208	Black	Brown	Hispanic or Latino	Non-Hispanic or Latino	Male			TX		5607 PR 901		75009
52								5-06	170	Black	Brown	Hispanic or Latino	Non-Hispanic or Latino	Male			TX		244 E MARGUERITA DR		75040
53								6-01	158	Black	Brown	White	Non-Hispanic or Latino	Male			TX		630 GLENDALE		75134
54								5-07	230	Brown	Blue	White	Non-Hispanic or Latino	Female			TX		1818 CORSCANA ST		75201
55								5-07	230	Brown	Blue	White	Non-Hispanic or Latino	Female			TX		1818 CORSCANA ST		75201
56								5-07	230	Brown	Blue	White	Non-Hispanic or Latino	Female			TX		1818 CORSCANA ST		75201
57								5-06	170	Black	Brown	Hispanic or Latino	Non-Hispanic or Latino	Male			TX		1818 CORSCANA ST		75201
58								5-06	135	Black	Brown	Hispanic or Latino	Non-Hispanic or Latino	Male			TX		5607 PR 901		75009
59								5-06	135	Black	Brown	Hispanic or Latino	Non-Hispanic or Latino	Male			TX		5607 PR 901		75009
60								5-06	135	Black	Brown	Hispanic or Latino	Non-Hispanic or Latino	Male			TX		5607 PR 901		75009
61								5-06	135	Black	Brown	Hispanic or Latino	Non-Hispanic or Latino	Male			TX		5607 PR 901		75009
62								5-06	135	Black	Brown	Hispanic or Latino	Non-Hispanic or Latino	Male			TX		5607 PR 901		75009
63								5-06	135	Black	Brown	Hispanic or Latino	Non-Hispanic or Latino	Male			TX		5607 PR 901		75009
64								5-06	135	Black	Brown	Hispanic or Latino	Non-Hispanic or Latino	Male			TX		5607 PR 901		75009
65								5-06	135	Black	Brown	Hispanic or Latino	Non-Hispanic or Latino	Male			TX		5607 PR 901		75009
66								5-06	135	Black	Brown	Hispanic or Latino	Non-Hispanic or Latino	Male			TX		5607 PR 901		75009
67								5-06	135	Black	Brown	Hispanic or Latino	Non-Hispanic or Latino	Male			TX		5607 PR 901		75009



	CC	CD	CE	CF	CG	CH	CI	CJ	CK	CL	CM	CN	CO	CP	CO	CR	CS	CT	CU
	HICity	HlState	HlCounty	HLRA	HLBeat	HlDivision	AltHAddress					Artato	ArtatoComments	ArOccupn	ArJobSchStatus	ArEmployer	DrugRelated	DrugUse	DrugType
1	HICity	TX	DALLAS	1234	315	SOUTHEAST											No		
2	DALLAS	TX	DALLAS	6009	623	NORTH CENTRAL											No		
3	DALLAS	TX	DALLAS	6009	623	NORTH CENTRAL											No		
4	HASLET	TX	DALLAS	6009	623	NORTH CENTRAL											No		
5	DALLAS	TX	DALLAS	6009	623	NORTH CENTRAL											No		
6	DALLAS	TX	DALLAS	6009	623	NORTH CENTRAL											No		
7	DALLAS	TX	DALLAS	2088	135	CENTRAL											No		
8	DALLAS	TX	DALLAS	2088	135	CENTRAL											No		
9	DALLAS	TX	DALLAS	3040	594	NORTHWEST											No		
10	DALLAS	TX	DALLAS	2088	135	CENTRAL											No		
11	DALLAS	TX	DALLAS	2088	135	CENTRAL											No		
12	DALLAS	TX	DALLAS	4035	426	SOUTHWEST											No		
13	DALLAS	TX	DALLAS	4035	426	SOUTHWEST											No		
14	DALLAS	TX	DALLAS	1257	327	SOUTHWEST											No		
15	DALLAS	TX	DALLAS	1257	327	SOUTHWEST											No		
16	DALLAS	TX	DALLAS	1257	327	SOUTHWEST											No		
17	DALLAS	TX	DALLAS	1257	327	SOUTHWEST											No		
18	DALLAS	TX	DALLAS	1257	327	SOUTHWEST											No		
19	MESQUITE	TX	DALLAS														No		
20	GARLAND	TX															No		
21	GARLAND	TX															Unknown		
22	GRAND PRAIRIE	TX															No		
23	DALLAS	TX	DALLAS	4239	445	SOUTHWEST											No		
24	DALLAS	TX	DALLAS	2088	135	CENTRAL											No		
25	DALLAS	TX	DALLAS	2088	135	CENTRAL											Unknown		
26	RICHARDSON	TX															No		
27	DALLAS	TX	DALLAS	1177	223	NORTHEAST										SELF	No		
28	DALLAS	TX	DALLAS	3026	535	NORTHWEST											No		
29	BEDFORD	TX															Unknown		
30	ARLINGTON	TX															No		
31	DALLAS	TX	DALLAS	1177	223	NORTHEAST											Unknown		
32	DALLAS	TX	DALLAS	2088	135	CENTRAL											Unknown		
33	MABANK	TX															Unknown		
34	DALLAS	TX		1024	641	NORTH CENTRAL											No		
35	IRVING	TX															No		
36	IRVING	TX															No		
37	DALLAS	TX	DALLAS	3026	535	NORTHWEST											No		
38	ARLINGTON	TX															No		
39	DALLAS	TX	DALLAS	2088	135	CENTRAL										UNEMPLOYED	No		
40	DALLAS	TX	DALLAS	1013	635	NORTH CENTRAL										UNEMPLOYED	No		
41	DALLAS	TX	DALLAS	1042	257	NORTHEAST										WAREHOUSE	No		
42	DALLAS	TX	DALLAS	1013	635	NORTH CENTRAL										WAREHOUSE	No		
43	DALLAS	TX	DALLAS	1013	635	NORTH CENTRAL										UNEMPLOYED	No		
44	SAN ANTONIO	TX															No		
45	IRVING	TX															No		
46	LEWISVILLE	TX															No		
47	DENTON	TX															No		
48	DALLAS	TX	DALLAS	2088	135	CENTRAL											No		
49	DALLAS	TX	DALLAS	1257	327	SOUTHWEST											No		
50	DALLAS	TX	DALLAS	1009	632	NORTH CENTRAL											No		
51	DALLAS	TX	DALLAS	1216	317	SOUTHWEST											Unknown		
52	IRVING	TX															Yes		Powder Cocaine
53	DALLAS	TX		1009	632	NORTH CENTRAL											No		
54	WYU	TX															No		
55	DALLAS	TX	DALLAS	1202	221	NORTHEAST											Yes		Methamphetamine
56	DALLAS	TX	DALLAS	1202	221	NORTHEAST											Yes		Methamphetamine
57	DALLAS	TX	DALLAS	1202	221	NORTHEAST											Yes		Methamphetamine
58	DALLAS	TX	DALLAS	1202	221	NORTHEAST											No		
59	CELINA	TX															No		
60	CELINA	TX															No		
61	CELINA	TX															No		
62	GARLAND	TX	DALLAS														No		
63	DALLAS	TX															No		
64	LANCASTER	TX	DALLAS														No		
65	DALLAS	TX	DALLAS	2088	135	CENTRAL											No		
66	DALLAS	TX	DALLAS	2088	135	CENTRAL											No		
67	DALLAS	TX	DALLAS	2088	135	CENTRAL											No		



	CV	CW	CX	CV	CZ	DA	DB	DC	DD	DE	DF	DG	DH	DI	DI	DK	DL
	CV	Expunged	ArtAddress	ArtCity	ArtZip	ArtState	RA	Beat	Division	Sector	District	New_District	TAG_Name	Community	VCRP_Area		
1	AcClothingWorn																
2	BLACK JACKET, RED SHIRT WITH JEANS		9201 E R L THORNTON FWY	DALLAS	75228	TX	1202 222	NORTHEAST	220	7	07		Buckner 30			53706	2525610.666
3	POLO SHIRT, BROWN PANTS, BLACK SLIDES		9035 E R L THORNTON SERV WB	DALLAS	75228	TX	1202 221	NORTHEAST	520	7	07		Buckner 30			53704	2524856.723
4	ALIGATOR SKIN PATTERN STRECH PANTS		9109 JOHN W CARPENTER FWY	DALLAS	75247	TX	3098 512	NORTHEAST	510	6	06		John Carpenter Stemmons			60862	246607.198
5	POLO SHIRT, BROWN PANTS, BLACK SLIDES		9035 E R L THORNTON SERV WB	DALLAS	75228	TX	1202 221	NORTHEAST	220	7	07		Buckner 30			53704	2524856.723
6	POLO SHIRT, BROWN PANTS, BLACK SLIDES		11311 HARRY HINES BLVD	DALLAS	75229	TX	3004 551	NORTHEAST	550	6	06		Forest Dennis			82148	2462365.532
7	BLUE HOSPITAL TOP AND BOTTOMS		10240 N WALTON WALKER BLVD	DALLAS	75220	TX	3055 521	NORTHEAST	520	6	06		NW Hwy WaltonWalker			71681	2457800.664
8	BLK SHIRT / BLUE SHORTS/ BLK SHOES/ RED HAT		2422 WALNUT HILL LN	DALLAS	75220	TX	3040 534	NORTHEAST	530	6	06					77694	2460759.058
9	T-SHIRT WITH BLUE JEANS AND TENNIS SHOES		11000 COMPOSITE DR	DALLAS	75229	TX	3025 534	NORTHEAST	530	6	06		John Carpenter Stemmons			78014	2460893.742
10	GREY SWEATS, BLACK JACKET		11000 COMPOSITE DR	DALLAS	75247	TX	3078 522	NORTHEAST	520	2	02		John Carpenter Stemmons			63868	2467961.235
11	GREY SWEATS, BLACK JACKET		1625 REGAL ROW	DALLAS	75247	TX	3078 522	NORTHEAST	520	2	02		John Carpenter Stemmons			63868	2467961.235
12	NO SHIRT, BLACK PANTS		1625 REGAL ROW	DALLAS	75247	TX	3078 522	NORTHEAST	520	7	07		Buckner 30			53941	2525542.837
13	NO SHIRT, BLACK PANTS		9125 E R L THORNTON FWY	DALLAS	75228	TX	1203 222	NORTHEAST	220	7	07		Buckner 30			53941	2525542.837
14	WHITE SHIRT, WHITE JEANS, BLACK SHOES		9125 E R L THORNTON FWY	DALLAS	75228	TX	1203 222	NORTHEAST	220	7	07		Buckner 30			53941	2525542.837
15	WHITE SHIRT, WHITE JEANS, BLACK SHOES		9125 E R L THORNTON FWY	DALLAS	75228	TX	1203 222	NORTHEAST	220	7	07		Buckner 30			53941	2525542.837
16	WHITE SHIRT, WHITE JEANS, BLACK SHOES		9125 E R L THORNTON FWY	DALLAS	75228	TX	1203 222	NORTHEAST	220	7	07		Buckner 30			53941	2525542.837
17	WHITE SHIRT, WHITE JEANS, BLACK SHOES		9125 E R L THORNTON FWY	DALLAS	75228	TX	1203 222	NORTHEAST	220	7	07		Buckner 30			53941	2525542.837
18	WHITE SHIRT, WHITE JEANS, BLACK SHOES		10900 HARRY HINES BLVD	DALLAS	75220	TX	3041 534	NORTHEAST	530	6	06					76723	2464396.883
19	POLO SHIRT/PINK SHORTS		9033 E R L THORNTON FWY	DALLAS	75228	TX	1202 221	NORTHEAST	220	7	07		Buckner 30			53471	2524913.481
20	WHITE SHIRT, BLUE JEANS, BLACK SHOES		9033 E R L THORNTON FWY	DALLAS	75228	TX	1202 221	NORTHEAST	220	7	07		Buckner 30			53471	2524913.481
21	WHITE SHIRT, BLUE JEANS, BLACK SHOES		8550 N STEMMONS SERV NB	DALLAS	75247	TX	3078 522	NORTHEAST	520	2	02		John Carpenter Stemmons		STEMMONS, EMPIRECENTRAL, VC	61838	2468304.062
22	GREEN JACKET, BLACK POLO GREEN PANTS AND BLACK KYRIE SNEAKERS		2117 W NORTHWEST HWY	DALLAS	75220	TX	6041 533	NORTHWEST	530	6	06		NW Hwy WaltonWalker			72518	2456791.504
23	BLUE JEANS, BLACK SHIRT		2000 W NORTHWEST HWY	DALLAS	75220	TX	6041 533	NORTHWEST	530	6	06		Buckner 30			78014	2460777.435
24	WHITE SHIRT, NANY BLUE BASKETBALL SHORTS		2425 WALNUT HILL LN	DALLAS	75228	TX	1202 221	NORTHEAST	220	7	07					75131	2464587.022
25	JEAN JACKET, CARGO PANTS		9035 E R L THORNTON SERV WB	DALLAS	75228	TX	1202 221	NORTHEAST	220	7	07					78014	2460759.178
26	BLUE SHIRT / BLUE JEANS		2429 WALNUT HILL LN	DALLAS	75229	TX	3025 534	NORTHWEST	530	6	06					75131	2464587.022
27	BLACK SHIRT, BLUE T SHIRT		10800 HARRY HINES BLVD	DALLAS	75220	TX	3041 534	NORTHWEST	530	6	06					53704	2524856.723
28	BLUE JEANS AND BLACK SWEATSHIRT WITH WHITE HOOD		11118 HARRY HINES BLVD	DALLAS	75229	TX	3025 534	NORTHWEST	530	6	06					77888	2463538.253
29	GREEN SWEATSHIRT AND BEIGE PANTS		7012 JOHN W CARPENTER SERV SB	DALLAS	75247	TX	3099 512	NORTHWEST	510	6	06		John Carpenter Stemmons			57660	2470061.136
30	BLACK T-SHIRT JOGGING PANTS		2291 W NORTHWEST HWY	DALLAS	75220	TX	3055 521	NORTHWEST	520	6	06		NW Hwy WaltonWalker			71109	2462678.595
31	BLACK SHORTS, BLUE T SHIRT		10310 TECHNOLOGY BLVD E	DALLAS	75220	TX	3055 521	NORTHWEST	520	6	06		NW Hwy WaltonWalker			71103	2460357.955
32	BLACK TANK TOP, SHORTS, RED SANDALS		10310 TECHNOLOGY BLVD E	DALLAS	75220	TX	3041 534	NORTHWEST	530	6	06		NW Hwy WaltonWalker			76723	246496.883
33	T SHIRT, JEANS, BOOTS		10900 HARRY HINES BLVD	DALLAS	75220	TX	6040 533	NORTHWEST	530	6	06		NW Hwy WaltonWalker			72516	2457918.608
34	CAMO JACKET, BLUE JEANS		12100 W NORTHWEST HWY	DALLAS	75220	TX	6040 533	NORTHWEST	530	6	06		NW Hwy WaltonWalker			72516	2457918.608
35	CAMO JACKET, BLUE JEANS		12100 W NORTHWEST HWY	DALLAS	75220	TX	6040 533	NORTHWEST	530	6	06		NW Hwy WaltonWalker			71392	2460058.083
36	CAMO JACKET, BLUE JEANS		10310 TECHNOLOGY BLVD W	DALLAS	75220	TX	3055 521	NORTHWEST	520	6	06		NW Hwy WaltonWalker			72795	2457638.508
37	T-SHIRT, JEANS, TENNIS SHOES		2051 W NORTHWEST HWY	DALLAS	75220	TX	6060 534	NORTHWEST	530	6	06					77040	2460308.157
38	ONAGNE DRESS		10900 N STEMMONS FWY	DALLAS	75220	TX	3040 534	NORTHWEST	530	6	06		Forest Dennis			81876	2462541.103
39	HOODIE AND PANTS		11300 STEMMONS FWY	DALLAS	75229	TX	3004 551	NORTHWEST	550	6	06		John Carpenter Stemmons		STEMMONS, EMPIRECENTRAL, VC	58202	2469992.094
40	HOODIE AND PANTS		7100 N STEMMONS FWY	DALLAS	75247	TX	3100 514	NORTHWEST	510	6	06		Forest Dennis			81876	2462541.103
41	BROWN PANTS, RED HOODED SWEATSHIRT, GRAY TOBAGGEN		11300 HARRY HINES BLVD	DALLAS	75229	TX	3004 551	NORTHWEST	550	6	06		NW Hwy WaltonWalker			71103	2460357.955
42	HOODIE AND PANTS		11300 HARRY HINES BLVD	DALLAS	75229	TX	3004 551	NORTHWEST	550	6	06		NW Hwy WaltonWalker			76393	2464416.231
43	HOODIE AND PANTS		11300 HARRY HINES BLVD	DALLAS	75220	TX	3055 521	NORTHWEST	520	6	06		NW Hwy WaltonWalker			73095	2465570.798
44	T-SHIRT, JEANS, BOOTS		10310 TECHNOLOGY BLVD E	DALLAS	75220	TX	6060 533	NORTHWEST	530	6	06		NW Hwy WaltonWalker		STEMMONS, EMPIRECENTRAL, VC	61838	2468304.099
45	SOLED		10859 HARRY HINES BLVD	DALLAS	75220	TX	3078 522	NORTHWEST	520	2	02		John Carpenter Stemmons			79888	2463578.87
46	BLK SHIRT, BLUE JEANS, BROWN BOOTS		8550 N STEMMONS SERV NB	DALLAS	75247	TX	3078 522	NORTHWEST	520	6	06		NW Hwy WaltonWalker			76723	2464396.883
47	WHITE BUTTON-DOWN SHIRT, KHAKI PANTS, TAN WORK BOOTS.		11100 HARRY HINES BLVD	DALLAS	75229	TX	3025 534	NORTHWEST	530	6	06		Forest Dennis			81876	2462541.103
48	BLUE T SHIRT, BLUE SHORTS		10900 HARRY HINES BLVD	DALLAS	75220	TX	3041 534	NORTHWEST	530	6	06		NW Hwy WaltonWalker			71103	2460357.955
49	BLACK JACKET, RED PANTS, BOOTS		11300 HARRY HINES BLVD	DALLAS	75229	TX	1217 318	SOUTHEAST	310	7	07		NW Hwy WaltonWalker			71103	2460357.955
50	HOODIE AND SHORTS		9200 E R L THORNTON FWY	DALLAS	75228	TX	3055 521	NORTHWEST	520	6	06		Buckner 30			53707	2525658.512
51	SHIRT AND PANTS		10310 TECHNOLOGY BLVD E	DALLAS	75229	TX	3004 551	NORTHWEST	550	6	06		NW Hwy WaltonWalker			81876	2462541.103
52	BLACK T-SHIRT, JEANS, BOOTS		11300 HARRY HINES BLVD	DALLAS	75220	TX	3055 521	NORTHWEST	520	6	06		NW Hwy WaltonWalker			71103	2460357.955
53	HOODIE AND SHORTS		10310 TECHNOLOGY BLVD E	DALLAS	75228	TX	1202 221	NORTHEAST	220	7	07		Buckner 30			53471	2524913.481
54	BLK T-SHIRT, BLUE JEANS AND BROWN BOOTS		4415 W MCKINSGRBD LN	DALLAS	75228	TX	1202 221	NORTHEAST	220	7	07		Buckner 30			53471	2524913.481
55	GREEN JUMP SUIT, WHITE SHOES		4415 W MCKINSGRBD LN	DALLAS	75228	TX	1202 221	NORTHEAST	220	7	07		Buckner 30			53471	2524913.481
56	GREEN JUMP SUIT, WHITE SHOES		4415 W MCKINSGRBD LN	DALLAS	75228	TX	1202 221	NORTHEAST	220	7	07		Buckner 30			53471	2524913.481
57	GREEN JUMP SUIT, WHITE SHOES		4415 W MCKINSGRBD LN	DALLAS	75228	TX	1202 221	NORTHEAST	220	7	07		Buckner 30			53471	2524913.481
58	GREEN JUMP SUIT, WHITE SHOES		4415 W MCKINSGRBD LN	DALLAS	75220	TX	3040 534	NORTHWEST	530	6	06					77040	2460308.157
59	WHITE TEE SHIRT, BLUE JEANS		10900 N STEMMONS FWY	DALLAS	75220	TX	3040 534	NORTHWEST	530	6	06					77040	2460308.157
60	WHITE TEE SHIRT, BLUE JEANS		10900 N STEMMONS FWY	DALLAS	75220	TX	3040 534	NORTHWEST	530	6	06					77040	2460308.157
61	BLACK AND WHITE PANTS, BLACK SHIRT		7501 N STEMMONS SERV SB	DALLAS	75247	TX	3077 512	NORTHWEST	510	6	06		John Carpenter Stemmons		STEMMONS, EMPIRECENTRAL, VC	59287	2469144.039
62	NO SHIRT AND SHORTS		10965 COMPOSITE DR	DALLAS	75220	TX	3040 534	NORTHWEST	530	6	06					77369	2460654.377
63	WHITE DRESS SHIRT, BLUE JEANS, WHITE TENNIS SHOES		1626 W MCKINSGRBD LN	DALLAS	75220	TX	3057 521	NORTHWEST	520	6	06					71691	2463004.793
64	WHITE TANK TOP, JEANS		1626 W MCKINSGRBD LN	DALLAS	75225	TX	3100 514	NORTHWEST	510	2	02					59838	2473109.476
65	WHITE TANK TOP, BLACK PANTS		1626 W MCKINSGRBD LN	DALLAS	75225	TX	3100 514	NORTHWEST	510	2	02					59838	2473109.476
66	WHITE TANK TOP, BLACK PANTS		1626 W MCKINSGRBD LN	DALLAS	75225	TX	3100 514	NORTHWEST	510	2	02					59838	2473109.476
67	BLACK T-SHIRT, BLUE JEANS, BLACK SHOES		11300 REEDER RD	DALLAS	75229	TX	3004 551	NORTHWEST	550	6	06					81871	2460912.43

COD\_005862



	DM	Update	DN	USER SOB Name	DO	DP	DO	DR
1	Point_Y							
2	697760.879	2020-01-19 10:29:20		COASTER LINE - COASTER CLUB INC.		9125 E R L THORNTON FMY WB	CABARET	500
3	6977601.234	2020-11-18 16:08:49		COASTER LINE - COASTER CLUB INC.		9125 E R L THORNTON FMY WB	CABARET	500
4	6987080.524	2020-03-08 4:22:54		BLISS ARCADE THEATER CLUB		9109 JOHN W CARPENTER FMY SB	CABARET	500
5	6977601.234	2020-11-18 16:08:49		COASTER LINE - COASTER CLUB INC.		9125 E R L THORNTON FMY WB	CABARET	500
6	6977601.234	2020-11-18 16:08:49		COASTER LINE - COASTER CLUB INC.		9125 E R L THORNTON FMY WB	CABARET	500
7	7011905.103	2020-06-06 14:31:29		AMAZING.NET		10310 TECHNOLOGY BLVD W	CABARET	500
8	7000725.746	2020-07-27 10:25:06		BABY DOLLS SALOON WEST		10965 COMPOSITE DR	CABARET	500
9	7007205.154	2020-12-31 8:26:24		SPEARMINT RHINO GENTLEMANS CLUB		10965 COMPOSITE DR	CABARET	500
10	7007280.523	2020-12-15 13:12:42		SPEARMINT RHINO GENTLEMANS CLUB		10965 COMPOSITE DR	CABARET	500
11	7007280.523	2020-12-15 13:12:42		SPEARMINT RHINO GENTLEMANS CLUB		10965 COMPOSITE DR	CABARET	500
12	6991272.677	2020-09-12 3:25:31		LA ZONA ROSA		1676 REGAL ROW, DALLAS	CABARET	500
13	6991272.677	2020-09-12 3:25:31		LA ZONA ROSA		1676 REGAL ROW, DALLAS	CABARET	500
14	6977975.508	2020-12-28 12:07:06		COASTER LINE - COASTER CLUB INC.		9125 E R L THORNTON FMY WB	CABARET	500
15	6977975.508	2020-12-28 12:07:06		COASTER LINE - COASTER CLUB INC.		9125 E R L THORNTON FMY WB	CABARET	500
16	6977975.508	2020-12-28 12:07:06		COASTER LINE - COASTER CLUB INC.		9125 E R L THORNTON FMY WB	CABARET	500
17	6977975.508	2020-12-28 12:07:06		COASTER LINE - COASTER CLUB INC.		9125 E R L THORNTON FMY WB	CABARET	500
18	6977975.508	2020-12-28 12:07:06		COASTER LINE - COASTER CLUB INC.		9125 E R L THORNTON FMY WB	CABARET	500
19	7006064.2	2020-06-18 4:19:16		UPSTICK		10859 HARRY HINES BLVD	CABARET DH A	500
20	6977526.993	2020-08-07 9:53:46		COASTER LINE - COASTER CLUB INC.		9125 E R L THORNTON FMY WB	CABARET	500
21	6977526.993	2020-08-07 9:53:46		COASTER LINE - COASTER CLUB INC.		9125 E R L THORNTON FMY WB	CABARET	500
22	6988283.583	2020-03-16 6:38:18		XTC CABARET		8550 N STEMMONS FMY NB	CABARET	500
23	7001830.996	2020-10-23 11:36:57		DG'S A GENTLEMANS CLUB		2117 W NORTHWEST HWY	CABARET	500
24	7002263.955	2020-06-03 4:12:59		NEW FINE ARTS - WEST		1966 W NORTHWEST HWY	ARCADE	500
25	7007282.501	2020-02-03 7:44:30		SPEARMINT RHINO GENTLEMANS CLUB		9125 E R L THORNTON FMY WB	CABARET	500
26	6977601.234	2020-05-11 17:17:24		COASTER LINE - COASTER CLUB INC.		9125 E R L THORNTON FMY WB	CABARET	500
27	7007384.045	2020-12-24 13:10:47		SPEARMINT RHINO GENTLEMANS CLUB		10859 HARRY HINES BLVD	CABARET	500
28	7005233.346	2020-12-02 8:17:45		UPSTICK		11118 HARRY HINES BLVD	ARCADE	500
29	7009516.127	2020-10-16 3:52:12		PARIS ADULT BOOKSTORE		7035 JOHN W CARPENTER FMY SB	CABARET	500
30	6983140.577	2020-12-28 16:37:57		LUO ADULT THEATER		10965 COMPOSITE DR	CABARET	500
31	7007384.045	2020-12-24 13:10:47		SPEARMINT RHINO GENTLEMANS CLUB		10250 SHADY TRL	CABARET	500
32	7000305.276	2020-08-17 17:17:48		BABY DOLLS TOPLESS SALOON		10310 TECHNOLOGY BLVD W	CABARET DH A	500
33	7000172.55	2020-10-12 6:11:56		BABY DOLLS SALOON WEST		10859 HARRY HINES BLVD	CABARET	500
34	7006064.2	2020-07-09 6:06:25		UPSTICK		10250 SHADY TRL	CABARET	500
35	7001833.979	2020-08-06 15:41:20		DG'S A GENTLEMANS CLUB		2117 W NORTHWEST HWY	CABARET	500
36	7001833.979	2020-08-06 15:41:20		DG'S A GENTLEMANS CLUB		2117 W NORTHWEST HWY	CABARET	500
37	7000399.681	2020-03-12 7:51:34		BABY DOLLS SALOON WEST		10310 TECHNOLOGY BLVD W	CABARET	500
38	7002214.754	2020-05-18 3:37:36		BUCKS CABARET		2150 CALIFORNIA CROSSING RD	CABARET	500
39	7006297.96	2020-12-17 18:27:46		UNKNOWN AT PRESENT		10901 N STEMMONS FMY SB	CABARET	500
40	7011575.499	2020-12-30 13:15:57		AMAZING.NET		11311 HARRY HINES BLVD	ARCADE	500
41	6983721.049	2020-12-09 21:50:29		LUO ADULT THEATER		7035 JOHN W CARPENTER FMY SB	ARCADE	500
42	7011575.499	2020-12-30 13:15:57		AMAZING.NET		11311 HARRY HINES BLVD	ARCADE	500
43	7011575.499	2020-12-30 13:15:57		AMAZING.NET		11311 HARRY HINES BLVD	ARCADE	500
44	7000172.55	2020-10-22 10:30:21		BABY DOLLS SALOON WEST		10310 TECHNOLOGY BLVD W	CABARET	500
45	7005667.052	2020-11-14 2:57:08		UPSTICK		10859 HARRY HINES BLVD	CABARET DH A	500
46	7002572.57	2020-05-26 17:42:04		NEW FINE ARTS - WEST		1966 W NORTHWEST HWY	ARCADE	500
47	6988901.279	2020-09-01 9:20:50		XTC CABARET		8550 N STEMMONS FMY NB	ARCADE	500
48	7009357.655	2020-11-05 16:26:55		PARIS ADULT BOOKSTORE		11118 HARRY HINES BLVD	ARCADE	500
49	7006064.2	2020-12-13 3:39:06		UPSTICK		10859 HARRY HINES BLVD	CABARET DH A	500
50	7011575.499	2020-11-29 11:13:31		AMAZING.NET		11311 HARRY HINES BLVD	ARCADE	500
51	6977677.565	2020-12-28 18:01:43		COASTER LINE - COASTER CLUB INC.		9125 E R L THORNTON FMY WB	CABARET	500
52	7000172.55	2020-11-20 3:54:23		BABY DOLLS SALOON WEST		10310 TECHNOLOGY BLVD W	CABARET	500
53	7011575.499	2020-11-29 11:13:31		AMAZING.NET		11311 HARRY HINES BLVD	ARCADE	500
54	7000172.55	2020-11-06 10:16:46		BABY DOLLS SALOON WEST		10310 TECHNOLOGY BLVD W	CABARET	500
55	6977526.993	2021-01-08 8:44:47		COASTER LINE - COASTER CLUB INC.		9125 E R L THORNTON FMY WB	CABARET	500
56	6977526.993	2021-01-08 8:44:47		COASTER LINE - COASTER CLUB INC.		9125 E R L THORNTON FMY WB	CABARET	500
57	6977526.993	2021-01-08 8:44:47		COASTER LINE - COASTER CLUB INC.		9125 E R L THORNTON FMY WB	CABARET	500
58	6977526.993	2021-01-08 8:44:47		COASTER LINE - COASTER CLUB INC.		9125 E R L THORNTON FMY WB	CABARET	500
59	7006297.96	2020-12-17 16:57:09		UNKNOWN AT PRESENT		10901 N STEMMONS FMY SB	CABARET	500
60	7006297.96	2020-12-17 16:57:09		UNKNOWN AT PRESENT		10901 N STEMMONS FMY SB	CABARET	500
61	7006297.96	2020-12-17 16:58:49		UNKNOWN AT PRESENT		10901 N STEMMONS FMY SB	CABARET	500
62	6985135.861	2020-08-10 12:27:20		SILVER CITY CABARET		7501 N STEMMONS FMY SB	CABARET	500
63	7006856.355	2020-10-19 7:33:07		SPEARMINT RHINO GENTLEMANS CLUB		10965 COMPOSITE DR	CABARET	500
64	7000853.843	2020-05-05 6:32:41		BABY DOLLS TOPLESS SALOON		10250 SHADY TRL	CABARET	500
65	6985756.153	2020-01-04 9:52:01		NEW FINE ARTS - MOCKINGBIRD		1720 W MOCKINGBIRD LN	ARCADE	500
66	6985756.153	2020-01-04 9:52:01		NEW FINE ARTS - MOCKINGBIRD		1720 W MOCKINGBIRD LN	ARCADE	500
67	7011573.798	2020-08-07 11:06:54		BUCKS WILD		11327 REEDER RD	CABARET	500



A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N	O	P	Q	R	S
OBJECTID	Join Count	TARGET	FID	Database	IncidentNum	Arrest	ArrestDate	Time	Group	ArrestDay	ArbDate	ArbDay	ArbNumID	ArbArrest	OffenseCode	ChargeFlag	ChargeDesc	Primary/Arresting
1	200	1	21881	RMS/NIBRS	090430-2019	2019	2019-02-14 1:00:00	1:00 AM	10p to 2a	Thursday	2019-02-14 2:24:40	Thursday	19-005338-01	1	MC-99999999-NC112	City	PUBLIC INTOXICATION	
2	529	1	53071	RMS/NIBRS	093442-2019	2019	2019-05-11 1:00:00	1:00 AM	10p to 2a	Saturday	2019-05-11 5:54:33	Saturday	19-016420-03	1	MC-99999999-NC162	County	WARRANT DALLAS PD (OTHERS)	
3	578	1	57492	RMS/NIBRS	093442-2019	2019	2019-05-11 1:00:00	1:00 AM	10p to 2a	Saturday	2019-05-11 5:54:33	Saturday	19-016420-04	1	MC-99999999-NC308	City	TRAFFIC VIOLATION - HAZARDOUS	
4	640	1	63619	RMS/NIBRS	093442-2019	2019	2019-05-11 1:00:00	1:00 AM	10p to 2a	Saturday	2019-05-11 5:54:33	Saturday	19-016420-05	1	MC-99999999-NC308	City	TRAFFIC VIOLATION - NON HAZARDOUS	
5	663	1	65156	RMS/NIBRS	093442-2019	2019	2019-05-11 1:00:00	1:00 AM	10p to 2a	Saturday	2019-05-11 5:54:33	Saturday	19-016420-02	1	MC-35620008-01	County	POSS MARIJUANA <20Z	Yes
6	666	1	65383	RMS/NIBRS	093442-2019	2019	2019-05-11 1:00:00	1:00 AM	10p to 2a	Saturday	2019-05-11 5:54:33	Saturday	19-000808-01	1	MC-99999999-NC112	City	PUBLIC INTOXICATION	
7	887	1	83556	RMS/NIBRS	093442-2019	2019	2019-05-11 1:00:00	1:00 AM	10p to 2a	Saturday	2019-05-11 5:54:33	Saturday	19-016420-01	1	MA-48990009-NC235	County	FAIL TO ID - FUGITIVE INTENT GIVE FALSE INFO	
8	1296	1	111489	RMS/NIBRS	176773-2019	2019	2019-08-31 1:00:00	1:00 AM	10p to 2a	Sunday	2019-08-31 2:14:51	Sunday	19-031888-01	1	NA-99999999-NC162	County	WARRANT DALLAS PD (OTHERS)	
9	1312	1	112478	RMS/NIBRS	008330-2019	2019	2019-01-13 1:00:00	1:00 AM	10p to 2a	Sunday	2019-01-13 2:27:38	Sunday	19-001422-01	1	NA-99999999-NC35	No Offense	ARROW (SOCAL SERVICES REFERRAL)	
10	1523	1	125666	RMS/NIBRS	235339-2019	2019	2019-11-22 1:00:00	1:00 AM	10p to 2a	Friday	2019-11-22 3:46:43	Friday	19-040444-01	1	MB-35620008-01	County	POSS MARIJUANA <20Z	Yes
11	1702	1	140272	RMS/NIBRS	225970-2019	2019	2019-11-08 13:00:00	1:00 AM	10p to 2a	Friday	2019-11-08 18:13:30	Friday	19-040444-02	1	NA-99999999-NC63	County	WARRANT DALLAS PD (PROSTITUTION)	
12	1770	1	145917	RMS/NIBRS	225970-2019	2019	2019-11-08 13:00:00	1:00 AM	10p to 2a	Friday	2019-11-08 18:13:30	Friday	19-040444-03	1	NA-99999999-NC76	No Offense	WARRANT HOLD (OUTSIDE AGENCY)	
13	1771	1	145918	RMS/NIBRS	225970-2019	2019	2019-11-08 13:00:00	1:00 AM	10p to 2a	Friday	2019-11-08 18:13:30	Friday	19-040444-04	1	NA-99999999-NC76	No Offense	WARRANT HOLD (OUTSIDE AGENCY)	
14	1852	1	152904	RMS/NIBRS	066567-2019	2019	2019-03-08 13:00:00	1:00 AM	10p to 2a	Friday	2019-03-08 15:11:30	Friday	19-028699-01	4	NA-99999999-NC76	No Offense	WARRANT HOLD (OUTSIDE AGENCY)	
15	1852	1	94235	RMS/NIBRS	165004-2019	2019	2019-07-25 1:02:00	1:02 AM	10p to 2a	Thursday	2019-07-25 2:40:31	Thursday	19-028699-01	2	NA-99999999-NC76	No Offense	WARRANT HOLD (OUTSIDE AGENCY)	
16	1670	1	137835	RMS/NIBRS	066761-2019	2019	2019-04-09 1:03:00	1:03 AM	10p to 2a	Monday	2019-04-09 3:34:28	Monday	19-028699-01	1	MC-99999999-NC12	City	WARRANT DALLAS PD (OTHERS)	
17	1670	1	44514	RMS/NIBRS	066761-2019	2019	2019-04-05 1:05:00	1:05 AM	10p to 2a	Friday	2019-04-05 2:33:26	Friday	19-018822-01	1	NA-99999999-NC162	County	WARRANT HOLD (OUTSIDE AGENCY)	Yes
18	452	1	111159	RMS/NIBRS	157672-2019	2019	2019-10-26 1:03:00	1:03 AM	10p to 2a	Saturday	2019-10-26 3:35:58	Saturday	19-038942-01	2	NA-99999999-NC76	No Offense	WARRANT HOLD (OUTSIDE AGENCY)	
19	1289	1	144406	RMS/NIBRS	217012-2019	2019	2019-04-05 1:05:00	1:05 AM	10p to 2a	Friday	2019-04-05 2:33:26	Friday	19-038942-02	1	NA-99999999-NC76	No Offense	WARRANT HOLD (OUTSIDE AGENCY)	
20	1752	1	170819	RMS/NIBRS	066761-2019	2019	2019-04-05 1:05:00	1:05 AM	10p to 2a	Friday	2019-04-05 2:33:26	Friday	19-018822-01	1	NA-99999999-NC76	No Offense	WARRANT HOLD (OUTSIDE AGENCY)	
21	2066	1	44298	RMS/NIBRS	066761-2019	2019	2019-04-05 1:05:00	1:05 AM	10p to 2a	Friday	2019-04-05 2:33:26	Friday	19-018822-01	1	NA-99999999-NC76	No Offense	WARRANT HOLD (OUTSIDE AGENCY)	
22	446	1	49047	RMS/NIBRS	066761-2019	2019	2019-04-05 1:05:00	1:05 AM	10p to 2a	Friday	2019-04-05 2:33:26	Friday	19-018822-01	1	NA-99999999-NC76	No Offense	WARRANT HOLD (OUTSIDE AGENCY)	
23	493	1	60248	RMS/NIBRS	178916-2019	2019	2019-09-03 1:05:00	1:05 AM	10p to 2a	Tuesday	2019-09-03 4:03:41	Tuesday	19-032313-01	1	MC-99999999-NC12	City	WARRANT DALLAS PD (OTHERS)	
24	613	1	110173	RMS/NIBRS	178916-2019	2019	2019-09-03 1:05:00	1:05 AM	10p to 2a	Tuesday	2019-09-03 4:03:41	Tuesday	19-032313-02	1	MC-99999999-NC12	City	WARRANT DALLAS PD (OTHERS)	
25	1271	1	120205	RMS/NIBRS	258726-2019	2019	2019-12-27 1:05:00	1:05 AM	10p to 2a	Friday	2019-12-27 2:57:42	Friday	19-032313-01	1	MC-99999999-NC77	No Offense	WARRANT DALLAS PD (OTHERS)	
26	1430	1	146503	RMS/NIBRS	258726-2019	2019	2019-12-27 1:05:00	1:05 AM	10p to 2a	Friday	2019-12-27 2:57:42	Friday	19-032313-02	1	MC-99999999-NC77	No Offense	WARRANT DALLAS PD (OTHERS)	
27	1773	1	167475	RMS/NIBRS	258726-2019	2019	2019-06-18 1:07:00	1:07 AM	10p to 2a	Tuesday	2019-06-18 1:59:53	Tuesday	19-021473-01	1	MA-35620008-01	County	POSS MARIJUANA <20Z	Yes
28	2014	1	39168	RMS/NIBRS	058935-2019	2019	2019-03-25 1:06:00	1:06 AM	10p to 2a	Monday	2019-03-25 2:44:40	Monday	19-010491-01	1	MA-35620008-01	County	POSS MARIJUANA <20Z	Yes
29	397	1	52687	RMS/NIBRS	122243-2019	2019	2019-06-18 1:07:00	1:07 AM	10p to 2a	Tuesday	2019-06-18 1:59:53	Tuesday	19-021473-01	1	NA-99999999-NC76	No Offense	WARRANT HOLD (OUTSIDE AGENCY)	
30	888	1	83661	RMS/NIBRS	122243-2019	2019	2019-06-18 1:07:00	1:07 AM	10p to 2a	Tuesday	2019-06-18 1:59:53	Tuesday	19-021473-02	3	NA-99999999-NC76	No Offense	WARRANT HOLD (OUTSIDE AGENCY)	
31	894	1	84236	RMS/NIBRS	122243-2019	2019	2019-06-18 1:07:00	1:07 AM	10p to 2a	Tuesday	2019-06-18 1:59:53	Tuesday	19-021473-03	3	MC-99999999-NC12	City	WARRANT DALLAS PD (OTHERS)	Yes
32	975	1	99042	RMS/NIBRS	122243-2019	2019	2019-06-18 1:07:00	1:09 AM	10p to 2a	Wednesday	2019-06-18 1:59:53	Wednesday	19-028699-01	1	MC-99999999-NC162	County	WARRANT DALLAS PD (OTHERS)	
33	901	1	84712	RMS/NIBRS	118062-2019	2019	2019-02-26 1:13:00	1:10 AM	10p to 2a	Tuesday	2019-02-26 1:47:35	Tuesday	19-011424-01	1	MB-40040015-NC63	No Offense	WARRANT DALLAS PD (OTHERS)	Yes
34	268	1	27660	RMS/NIBRS	093267-2019	2019	2019-07-25 1:13:00	1:10 AM	10p to 2a	Tuesday	2019-07-25 3:15:43	Tuesday	19-011424-01	1	NA-99999999-NC76	No Offense	WARRANT DALLAS PD (OTHERS)	
35	582	1	57761	RMS/NIBRS	084009-2019	2019	2019-04-23 1:13:00	1:10 AM	10p to 2a	Thursday	2019-04-23 3:06:29	Thursday	19-011424-01	1	NA-99999999-NC76	No Offense	WARRANT DALLAS PD (OTHERS)	
36	865	1	81366	RMS/NIBRS	084009-2019	2019	2019-04-23 1:13:00	1:10 AM	10p to 2a	Thursday	2019-04-23 3:06:29	Thursday	19-011424-01	1	NA-99999999-NC76	No Offense	WARRANT DALLAS PD (OTHERS)	
37	1745	1	143849	RMS/NIBRS	084009-2019	2019	2019-04-23 1:13:00	1:10 AM	10p to 2a	Thursday	2019-04-23 3:06:29	Thursday	19-011424-01	1	MA-40040015-NC63	County	WARRANT DALLAS PD (OTHERS)	Yes
38	1898	1	67114	RMS/NIBRS	108920-2019	2019	2019-08-19 1:14:00	1:14 AM	10p to 2a	Monday	2019-08-19 3:06:29	Monday	19-030219-01	15	MA-40040015-NC63	County	WARRANT DALLAS PD (OTHERS)	
39	686	1	98331	RMS/NIBRS	167905-2019	2019	2019-08-19 1:14:00	1:15 AM	10p to 2a	Monday	2019-08-19 3:06:29	Monday	19-030219-02	1	NA-99999999-NC76	No Offense	WARRANT DALLAS PD (OTHERS)	
40	1042	1	168791	RMS/NIBRS	167905-2019	2019	2019-08-19 1:14:00	1:15 AM	10p to 2a	Monday	2019-08-19 3:06:29	Monday	19-030219-02	1	MA-40040015-NC63	County	WARRANT DALLAS PD (OTHERS)	Yes
41	2042	1	44116	RMS/NIBRS	065284-2019	2019	2019-04-03 1:15:00	1:15 AM	10p to 2a	Wednesday	2019-04-03 3:06:29	Wednesday	19-011569-01	5	NA-99999999-NC77	No Offense	WARRANT DALLAS PD (OTHERS)	
42	445	1	58320	RMS/NIBRS	065284-2019	2019	2019-04-03 1:15:00	1:15 AM	10p to 2a	Wednesday	2019-04-03 3:06:29	Wednesday	19-011569-01	1	MA-40040015-NC63	County	WARRANT DALLAS PD (OTHERS)	
43	589	1	103304	RMS/NIBRS	127826-2019	2019	2019-07-12 1:15:00	1:15 AM	10p to 2a	Friday	2019-07-12 4:41:22	Friday	19-024970-01	1	MA-40040015-NC63	County	WARRANT DALLAS PD (OTHERS)	Yes
44	1166	1	113136	RMS/NIBRS	140234-2019	2019	2019-07-12 1:15:00	1:15 AM	10p to 2a	Friday	2019-07-12 4:41:22	Friday	19-024970-02	1	MA-40040015-NC63	County	WARRANT DALLAS PD (OTHERS)	
45	1324	1	113145	RMS/NIBRS	140234-2019	2019	2019-07-12 1:15:00	1:15 AM	10p to 2a	Friday	2019-07-12 4:41:22	Friday	19-024970-02	1	MA-40040015-NC63	County	WARRANT DALLAS PD (OTHERS)	
46	1324	1	113145	RMS/NIBRS	140234-2019	2019	2019-07-12 1:15:00	1:15 AM	10p to 2a	Friday	2019-07-12 4:41:22	Friday	19-024970-02	1	MA-40040015-NC63	County	WARRANT DALLAS PD (OTHERS)	
47	1642	1	135168	RMS/NIBRS	222122-2019	2019	2019-05-29 1:26:00	1:26 AM	10p to 2a	Sunday	2019-05-29 3:35:58	Sunday	19-000567-01	1	MA-40040015-NC63	County	WARRANT DALLAS PD (OTHERS)	
48	670	1	65585	RMS/NIBRS	003395-2019	2019	2019-01-14 1:30:00	1:30 AM	10p to 2a	Monday	2019-01-14 3:06:29	Monday	19-045742-01	1	MA-40040015-NC63	County	WARRANT DALLAS PD (OTHERS)	
49	25	1	2470	RMS/NIBRS	003395-2019	2019	2019-01-14 1:30:00	1:30 AM	10p to 2a	Monday	2019-01-14 3:06:29	Monday	19-045742-01	1	MA-40040015-NC63	County	WARRANT DALLAS PD (OTHERS)	
50	67	1	7663	RMS/NIBRS	008968-2019	2019	2019-04-12 1:30:00	1:30 AM	10p to 2a	Wednesday	2019-04-12 3:06:29	Wednesday	19-013833-01	1	MC-99999999-NC12	City	WARRANT DALLAS PD (OTHERS)	
51	646	1	63938	RMS/NIBRS	008968-2019	2019	2019-04-12 1:30:00	1:30 AM	10p to 2a	Wednesday	2019-04-12 3:06:29	Wednesday	19-013833-01	1	MC-99999999-NC12	City	WARRANT DALLAS PD (OTHERS)	
52	758	1	73100	RMS/NIBRS	104141-2019	2019	2019-05-29 1:30:00	1:30 AM	10p to 2a	Saturday	2019-05-29 3:35:58	Saturday	19-018824-01	1	MA-40040015-NC63	County	WARRANT DALLAS PD (OTHERS)	
53	758	1	73100	RMS/NIBRS	104141-2019	2019	2019-05-29 1:30:00	1:30 AM	10p to 2a	Saturday	2019-05-29 3:35:58	Saturday	19-018824-01	1	MA-40040015-NC63	County	WARRANT DALLAS PD (OTHERS)	
54	767	1	80953	RMS/NIBRS	104141-2019	2019	2019-05-29 1:30:00	1:30 AM	10p to 2a	Saturday	2019-05-29 3:35:58	Saturday	19-018824-01	1	MA-40040015-NC63	County	WARRANT DALLAS PD (OTHERS)	
55	858	1	85880	RMS/NIBRS	120411-2019	2019	2019-06-15 1:30:00	1:30 AM	10p to 2a	Wednesday	2019-06-15 3:06:29	Wednesday	19-031500-01	1	NA-99999999-NC76	No Offense	WARRANT HOLD (OUTSIDE AGENCY)	Yes
56	915	1	109392	RMS/NIBRS	194815-2019	2019	2019-09-25 1:30:00	1:30 AM	10p to 2a	Monday</								



	T	U	P	V	W	X	Y	Z	AA	AB	AC	AD	AE	AF	AG	AH	AI	AJ	AK	AL	AM	AN		
1	UCARresting	Severity	PCAS	UCR	UNCRWD	UNCROffense	Statute			Type	UR	Acting	HoldType	Bail/Damt	ReleaseType	WarrentNum	WarrentType	WarrentIssuedAgency	WarrentIssuedDate	ChangeDate	StatusOffenseKeyword	JAISGTD	ORCA_RPT	Written By Date
2		M	M/C				PC 49.02			99999999				Released to Appear		M1955533	WRNT_C	DALLAS PD	2019-05-11 7:37:12	2019-02-15 16:38:35	2019-02-14 2:24:03	M1956189		2019-07-14 2:24:40
3		M	N/A				Warrant Dallas PD			99999999						M1955533	WRNT_C	DALLAS PD	2019-05-11 7:37:12	2019-05-16 19:39:03	2019-05-11 5:54:19	7872		2019-05-11 5:54:33
4		M	M/C				TC			99999999						M1955533	WRNT_C	DALLAS PD	2019-05-11 7:37:12	2019-05-16 19:39:03	2019-05-11 5:54:19	7872		2019-05-11 5:54:33
5		M	M/C				TC			99999999						M1955534	WRNT_C	DALLAS PD	2019-05-11 7:39:50	2021-04-07 6:58:21	2019-05-11 5:54:19	7872		2019-05-11 5:54:33
6		M	M/B				HSC 481.121(b)(1)			35620008						M1955534	WRNT_C	DALLAS PD	2019-05-11 7:39:50	2019-05-16 19:39:03	2019-05-11 5:54:19	7872		2019-05-11 5:54:33
7		M	M/C				PC 49.02			99999999				Released to Appear		M1955534	WRNT_C	DALLAS PD	2019-05-11 7:39:50	2019-01-08 16:16:52	2019-01-08 1:55:41	M1956189		2019-01-08 1:55:41
8		M	N/A				PC 38.02(d)(2)			46890009						M1955534	WRNT_C	DALLAS PD	2019-05-11 7:39:50	2021-04-13 7:15:25	2019-05-11 5:54:19	7872		2019-05-11 5:54:33
9		M	N/A				Warrant Dallas PD			99999999						M1955534	WRNT_C	DALLAS PD	2019-05-11 7:39:50	2019-09-05 10:16:46	2019-08-31 2:14:43	8423		2019-08-31 2:14:43
10		N	N/A				Warrant Dallas PD			99999999						M1955534	WRNT_C	DALLAS PD	2019-05-11 7:39:50	2019-09-05 10:16:46	2019-08-31 2:14:43	8423		2019-08-31 2:14:43
11		N	N/A				Social Services Referral			99999999						M1955534	WRNT_C	DALLAS PD	2019-05-11 7:39:50	2019-09-05 10:16:46	2019-08-31 2:14:43	8423		2019-08-31 2:14:43
12		M	M/B				HSC 481.121(b)(1)			35620008						M1955534	WRNT_C	DALLAS PD	2019-05-11 7:39:50	2019-09-05 10:16:46	2019-08-31 2:14:43	8423		2019-08-31 2:14:43
13		N	N/A				Warrant Dallas PD			99999999						M1955534	WRNT_C	DALLAS PD	2019-05-11 7:39:50	2019-09-05 10:16:46	2019-08-31 2:14:43	8423		2019-08-31 2:14:43
14		N	N/A				Warrant Hold			99999999						M1955534	WRNT_C	DALLAS PD	2019-05-11 7:39:50	2019-09-05 10:16:46	2019-08-31 2:14:43	8423		2019-08-31 2:14:43
15		N	N/A				Warrant Hold			99999999						M1955534	WRNT_C	DALLAS PD	2019-05-11 7:39:50	2019-09-05 10:16:46	2019-08-31 2:14:43	8423		2019-08-31 2:14:43
16		N	N/A				Warrant Hold			99999999						M1955534	WRNT_C	DALLAS PD	2019-05-11 7:39:50	2019-09-05 10:16:46	2019-08-31 2:14:43	8423		2019-08-31 2:14:43
17		N	N/A				Warrant Hold			99999999						M1955534	WRNT_C	DALLAS PD	2019-05-11 7:39:50	2019-09-05 10:16:46	2019-08-31 2:14:43	8423		2019-08-31 2:14:43
18		M	M/C				PC 22.01(a)(3)			99999999				Released to Other Agency		M1955534	WRNT_C	DALLAS PD	2019-05-11 7:39:50	2019-09-05 10:16:46	2019-08-31 2:14:43	8423		2019-08-31 2:14:43
19		M	M/C				Dallas City Code 31-27			99999999				Released to Appear		M1955541	WRNT_C	DALLAS PD	2019-09-03 4:48:38	2019-09-24 9:15:33	2019-09-03 4:02:42	8882		2019-09-03 4:03:41
20		N	N/A				Warrant Dallas PD			99999999						M1955541	WRNT_C	DALLAS PD	2019-09-03 4:48:38	2019-10-10 10:10:27	2019-09-03 4:02:42	8882		2019-09-03 4:03:41
21		N	N/A				Warrant Hold			99999999						M1955541	WRNT_C	DALLAS PD	2019-09-03 4:48:38	2019-10-10 10:10:27	2019-09-03 4:02:42	8882		2019-09-03 4:03:41
22		N	N/A				Warrant Hold			99999999						M1955541	WRNT_C	DALLAS PD	2019-09-03 4:48:38	2019-10-10 10:10:27	2019-09-03 4:02:42	8882		2019-09-03 4:03:41
23		N	N/A				Warrant Hold			99999999						M1955541	WRNT_C	DALLAS PD	2019-09-03 4:48:38	2019-10-10 10:10:27	2019-09-03 4:02:42	8882		2019-09-03 4:03:41
24		N	N/A				Warrant Hold			99999999						M1955541	WRNT_C	DALLAS PD	2019-09-03 4:48:38	2019-10-10 10:10:27	2019-09-03 4:02:42	8882		2019-09-03 4:03:41
25		M	M/C				Dallas City Code 31-27			99999999				Released to Appear		M1955541	WRNT_C	DALLAS PD	2019-09-03 4:48:38	2019-10-10 10:10:27	2019-09-03 4:02:42	8882		2019-09-03 4:03:41
26		M	N/A				PC 38.06(a)			46990001						M1955541	WRNT_C	DALLAS PD	2019-09-03 4:48:38	2019-10-10 10:10:27	2019-09-03 4:02:42	8882		2019-09-03 4:03:41
27		M	M/C				Dallas City Code 31-27			99999999						M1955541	WRNT_C	DALLAS PD	2019-09-03 4:48:38	2019-10-10 10:10:27	2019-09-03 4:02:42	8882		2019-09-03 4:03:41
28		N	N/A				Warrant Dallas PD			99999999						M1955541	WRNT_C	DALLAS PD	2019-09-03 4:48:38	2019-10-10 10:10:27	2019-09-03 4:02:42	8882		2019-09-03 4:03:41
29		M	M/C				PC 49.02			99999999						M1955541	WRNT_C	DALLAS PD	2019-09-03 4:48:38	2019-10-10 10:10:27	2019-09-03 4:02:42	8882		2019-09-03 4:03:41
30		M	M/B				HSC 481.121(b)(1)			35620008						M1955541	WRNT_C	DALLAS PD	2019-09-03 4:48:38	2019-10-10 10:10:27	2019-09-03 4:02:42	8882		2019-09-03 4:03:41
31		N	N/A				Warrant Hold			99999999				Released to Other Agency		M1955541	WRNT_C	DALLAS PD	2019-09-03 4:48:38	2019-10-10 10:10:27	2019-09-03 4:02:42	8882		2019-09-03 4:03:41
32		N	N/A				Warrant Hold			99999999						M1955541	WRNT_C	DALLAS PD	2019-09-03 4:48:38	2019-10-10 10:10:27	2019-09-03 4:02:42	8882		2019-09-03 4:03:41
33		N	N/A				Warrant Hold			99999999						M1955541	WRNT_C	DALLAS PD	2019-09-03 4:48:38	2019-10-10 10:10:27	2019-09-03 4:02:42	8882		2019-09-03 4:03:41
34		M	M/C				Dallas City Code 31-27			99999999						M1955541	WRNT_C	DALLAS PD	2019-09-03 4:48:38	2019-10-10 10:10:27	2019-09-03 4:02:42	8882		2019-09-03 4:03:41
35		M	N/A				Warrant Dallas PD			99999999						M1955541	WRNT_C	DALLAS PD	2019-09-03 4:48:38	2019-10-10 10:10:27	2019-09-03 4:02:42	8882		2019-09-03 4:03:41
36		M	M/B				PC 43.02 (c-1)			40040015						M1955541	WRNT_C	DALLAS PD	2019-09-03 4:48:38	2019-10-10 10:10:27	2019-09-03 4:02:42	8882		2019-09-03 4:03:41
37		N	N/A				Warrant Dallas PD			99999999						M1955541	WRNT_C	DALLAS PD	2019-09-03 4:48:38	2019-10-10 10:10:27	2019-09-03 4:02:42	8882		2019-09-03 4:03:41
38		M	M/C				Dallas City Code 31-27			99999999						M1955541	WRNT_C	DALLAS PD	2019-09-03 4:48:38	2019-10-10 10:10:27	2019-09-03 4:02:42	8882		2019-09-03 4:03:41
39		N	N/A				Warrant Hold			99999999						M1955541	WRNT_C	DALLAS PD	2019-09-03 4:48:38	2019-10-10 10:10:27	2019-09-03 4:02:42	8882		2019-09-03 4:03:41
40		M	M/B				PC 43.02 (c-1)			40040015						M1955541	WRNT_C	DALLAS PD	2019-09-03 4:48:38	2019-10-10 10:10:27	2019-09-03 4:02:42	8882		2019-09-03 4:03:41
41		M	M/C				Dallas City Code 31-27			99999999						M1955541	WRNT_C	DALLAS PD	2019-09-03 4:48:38	2019-10-10 10:10:27	2019-09-03 4:02:42	8882		2019-09-03 4:03:41
42		N	N/A				Warrant Dallas PD			99999999				Released to Appear		M1955541	WRNT_C	DALLAS PD	2019-09-03 4:48:38	2019-10-10 10:10:27	2019-09-03 4:02:42	8882		2019-09-03 4:03:41
43		N	N/A				Copias Warrant			99999999						M1955541	WRNT_C	DALLAS PD	2019-09-03 4:48:38	2019-10-10 10:10:27	2019-09-03 4:02:42	8882		2019-09-03 4:03:41
44		N	N/A				Warrant Dallas PD			99999999						M1955541	WRNT_C	DALLAS PD	2019-09-03 4:48:38	2019-10-10 10:10:27	2019-09-03 4:02:42	8882		2019-09-03 4:03:41
45		M	M/B				PC 43.02 (c-1)			40040015						M1955541	WRNT_C	DALLAS PD	2019-09-03 4:48:38	2019-10-10 10:10:27	2019-09-03 4:02:42	8882		2019-09-03 4:03:41
46		M	M/C				Dallas City Code 31-27			99999999						M1955541	WRNT_C	DALLAS PD	2019-09-03 4:48:38	2019-10-10 10:10:27	2019-09-03 4:02:42	8882		2019-09-03 4:03:41
47		M	M/A				PC 38.04(b)			48010017						M1955541	WRNT_C	DALLAS PD	2019-09-03 4:48:38	2019-10-10 10:10:27	2019-09-03 4:02:42	8882		2019-09-03 4:03:41
48		N	N/A				Social Services Referral			99999999						M1955541	WRNT_C	DALLAS PD	2019-09-03 4:48:38	2019-10-10 10:10:27	2019-09-03 4:02:42	8882		2019-09-03 4:03:41
49		M	M/B				PC 43.02(b)			40040009						M1955541	WRNT_C	DALLAS PD	2019-09-03 4:48:38	2019-10-10 10:10:27	2019-09-03 4:02:42	8882		2019-09-03 4:03:41
50		N	N/A				Social Services Referral			99999999						M1955541	WRNT_C	DALLAS PD	2019-09-03 4:48:38	2019-10-10 10:10:27	2019-09-03 4:02:42	8882		2019-09-03 4:03:41
51		F	F3				PC 46.02(c)			52030014						M1955541	WRNT_C	DALLAS PD	2019-09-03 4:48:38	2019-10-10 10:10:27	2019-09-03 4:02:42	8882		2019-09-03 4:03:41
52		M	M/C				PC 22.01(b)(3)			99999999						M1955541	WRNT_C	DALLAS PD	2019-09-03 4:48:38	2019-10-10 10:10:27	2019-09-03 4:02:42	8882		2019-09-03 4:03:41
53		N	N/A				Warrant Hold			99999999						M1955541	WRNT_C	DALLAS PD	2019-09-03 4:48:38	2019-10-10 10:10:27	2019-09-03 4:02:42	8882		2019-09-03 4:03:41
54		M	M/B				PC 43.02(c)			40040009						M1955541	WRNT_C	DALLAS PD	2019-09-03 4:48:38	2019-10-10 10:10:27	2019-09-03 4:02:42	8882		2019-09-03 4:03:41
55		N	N/A				Warrant Hold			99999999						M1955541	WRNT_C	DALLAS PD	2019-09-03 4:48:38	2019-10-10 10:10:				

COD\_005893



	AO	AP	AQ	AR	AS	AT	Action	AU	AV	AW	AX	AY
1	OFCC Approved By Date	OFCC Received By Date	Apprehended Date	Final Disp	Final Disp Date	At/Premise						
2	2019-02-14 2:24:40	2019-02-14 2:59:00		Accepted	2019-02-14 00:00:00	Bar/Nightclub/Dancehall ETC.	Arrested - CDC				PUBLIC INTOXICATION	PUBLIC INTOXICATION
3	2019-05-11 5:54:33	2019-05-11 00:00:00		Accepted	2019-05-11 00:00:00	Highway, Street, Alley ETC	Arrested - Low Streett			B	WARRANT DALLAS PD	WARRANT DALLAS PD (OTHERS)
4	2019-05-11 5:54:33	2019-05-11 00:00:00		Accepted	2019-05-11 00:00:00	Highway, Street, Alley ETC	Arrested - Low Streett			C	TRAFFIC VIOLATION - HAZARDOUS	TRAFFIC VIOLATION - HAZARDOUS
5	2019-05-11 5:54:33	2019-05-11 00:00:00		Accepted	2019-05-11 00:00:00	Highway, Street, Alley ETC	Arrested - Low Streett			C	TRAFFIC VIOLATION - NON HAZARDOUS	TRAFFIC VIOLATION - NON HAZARDOUS
6	2019-05-11 5:54:33	2019-05-11 00:00:00		Accepted	2019-05-11 00:00:00	Highway, Street, Alley ETC	Arrested - Low Streett			A	DRUG/ NARCOTIC VIOLATIONS	DRUG/ NARCOTIC VIOLATIONS
7	2019-01-08 1:55:11	2019-01-08 2:00:00		Accepted	2019-01-08 00:00:00	Restaurant/Food Service/TABC location	Arrested - CDC			B	PUBLIC INTOXICATION	PUBLIC INTOXICATION
8	2019-05-11 5:54:33	2019-05-11 00:00:00		Accepted	2019-05-11 00:00:00	Highway, Street, Alley ETC	Arrested - Low Streett			A	FRAUD OFFENSES	FRAUD OFFENSES
9	2019-08-31 2:14:51	2019-08-31 2:22:00		Accepted	2019-08-31 00:00:00	Highway, Street, Alley ETC	Arrested - Low Streett			B	WARRANT DALLAS PD	WARRANT DALLAS PD (OTHERS)
10	2019-01-13 2:27:37	2019-01-13 2:24:00		Accepted	2019-01-13 00:00:00	Highway, Street, Alley ETC	Arrested - Low Streett			B	WARRANT DALLAS PD	WARRANT DALLAS PD (OTHERS)
11	2019-11-22 3:46:43	2019-11-25 00:00:00		Accepted	2019-11-22 00:00:00	Highway, Street, Alley ETC	Arrested - Released To Hospital (APOWV)			H	APOWV	DRUG/ NARCOTIC VIOLATIONS
12	2019-11-08 18:13:30	2019-11-08 18:25:00		Accepted	2019-11-08 00:00:00	Highway, Street, Alley ETC	Arrested - Low Streett			H	WARRANT DALLAS PD	WARRANT DALLAS PD (PROSTITUTION)
13	2019-11-08 18:13:30	2019-11-08 18:25:00		Accepted	2019-11-08 00:00:00	Highway, Street, Alley ETC	Arrested - Low Streett			H	WARRANT DALLAS PD	WARRANT DALLAS PD (PROSTITUTION)
14	2019-11-08 18:13:30	2019-11-08 18:25:00		Accepted	2019-11-08 00:00:00	Highway, Street, Alley ETC	Arrested - Low Streett			H	WARRANT DALLAS PD	WARRANT DALLAS PD (PROSTITUTION)
15	2019-03-08 15:11:30	2019-03-08 15:14:00		Accepted	2019-03-08 00:00:00	Highway, Street, Alley ETC	Arrested - Low Streett			H	WARRANT DALLAS PD (JALIAS)	WARRANT DALLAS PD (JALIAS)
16	2019-07-25 3:15:47	2019-07-25 3:24:00		Accepted	2019-07-25 00:00:00		Arrested - CDC			C	WARRANT DALLAS PD (JALIAS)	WARRANT DALLAS PD (JALIAS)
17	2019-08-15 2:40:31	2019-08-15 2:41:00		Accepted	2019-08-15 00:00:00	Restaurant/Food Service/TABC location	Arrested - CDC			C	WARRANT DALLAS PD (JALIAS)	WARRANT DALLAS PD (JALIAS)
18	2019-04-09 3:34:27	2019-04-09 3:38:00		Accepted	2019-04-09 00:00:00		Arrested - Low Streett			A	ASSAULT OFFENSES	SIMPLE ASSAULT
19	2019-08-05 1:52:11	2019-08-05 3:56:00		Accepted	2019-08-05 00:00:00	Highway, Street, Alley ETC	Arrested - CDC			A	PROSTITUTION OFFENSES	PROSTITUTION
20	2019-10-26 3:33:58	2019-10-26 3:47:00		Accepted	2019-10-26 00:00:00		Arrested - Low Streett			H	WARRANT DALLAS PD	WARRANT DALLAS PD (OTHERS)
21	2019-10-26 3:33:58	2019-10-26 3:47:00		Accepted	2019-10-26 00:00:00		Arrested - Low Streett			H	WARRANT DALLAS PD	WARRANT DALLAS PD (OTHERS)
22	2019-04-05 2:33:29	2019-04-05 2:37:00		Accepted	2019-04-05 00:00:00	Highway, Street, Alley ETC	Arrested - CDC			C	WARRANT DALLAS PD (JALIAS)	WARRANT DALLAS PD (JALIAS)
23	2019-04-05 2:33:29	2019-04-05 2:37:00		Accepted	2019-04-05 00:00:00	Highway, Street, Alley ETC	Arrested - CDC			C	WARRANT DALLAS PD (JALIAS)	WARRANT DALLAS PD (JALIAS)
24	2019-04-05 2:33:29	2019-04-05 2:37:00		Accepted	2019-04-05 00:00:00	Highway, Street, Alley ETC	Arrested - CDC			C	WARRANT DALLAS PD (JALIAS)	WARRANT DALLAS PD (JALIAS)
25	2019-09-03 4:03:41	2019-09-03 4:08:00		Accepted	2019-09-03 00:00:00	Highway, Street, Alley ETC	Arrested - Low Streett			A	PROSTITUTION OFFENSES	PROSTITUTION
26	2019-09-03 4:03:41	2019-09-03 4:08:00		Accepted	2019-09-03 00:00:00	Highway, Street, Alley ETC	Arrested - Low Streett			B	ALL OTHER OFFENSES	ALL OTHER OFFENSES
27	2019-12-27 2:57:41	2019-12-27 3:13:00		Accepted	2019-12-27 00:00:00	Highway, Street, Alley ETC	Arrested - CDC			A	PROSTITUTION OFFENSES	PROSTITUTION
28	2019-12-27 2:57:41	2019-12-27 3:13:00		Accepted	2019-12-27 00:00:00	Highway, Street, Alley ETC	Arrested - CDC			B	WARRANT DALLAS PD (JALIAS)	WARRANT DALLAS PD (JALIAS)
29	2019-03-25 2:44:39	2019-03-25 2:50:00		Accepted	2019-03-25 00:00:00	Restaurant/Food Service/TABC location	Arrested - Low Streett			A	DRUG/ NARCOTIC VIOLATIONS	DRUG/ NARCOTIC VIOLATIONS
30	2019-03-25 2:44:39	2019-03-25 2:50:00		Accepted	2019-03-25 00:00:00	Restaurant/Food Service/TABC location	Arrested - Low Streett			B	PUBLIC INTOXICATION	PUBLIC INTOXICATION
31	2019-06-18 1:59:52	2019-06-18 2:37:00		Accepted	2019-06-18 00:00:00	Commercial Property Occupied/Vacant	Arrested - CDC			C	WARRANT DALLAS PD (JALIAS)	WARRANT DALLAS PD (JALIAS)
32	2019-06-18 1:59:52	2019-06-18 2:37:00		Accepted	2019-06-18 00:00:00	Commercial Property Occupied/Vacant	Arrested - CDC			C	WARRANT DALLAS PD (JALIAS)	WARRANT DALLAS PD (JALIAS)
33	2019-06-18 1:59:52	2019-06-18 2:37:00		Accepted	2019-06-18 00:00:00	Commercial Property Occupied/Vacant	Arrested - CDC			C	WARRANT DALLAS PD (JALIAS)	WARRANT DALLAS PD (JALIAS)
34	2019-06-12 14:19:26	2019-06-12 14:25:00		Accepted	2019-06-12 00:00:00	Highway, Street, Alley ETC	Arrested - CDC			A	PROSTITUTION OFFENSES	PROSTITUTION
35	2019-02-26 14:25:09	2019-02-26 14:46:00		Accepted	2019-02-26 00:00:00		Arrested - Low Streett			B	WARRANT DALLAS PD	WARRANT DALLAS PD (OTHERS)
36	2019-04-23 17:07:48	2019-04-23 00:00:00		Accepted	2019-04-23 00:00:00	Outdoor Area Public/Private	Arrested - Low Streett			A	PROSTITUTION OFFENSES	PURCHASING PROSTITUTION
37	2019-07-25 3:15:43	2019-07-25 3:26:00		Accepted	2019-07-25 00:00:00	Highway, Street, Alley ETC	Arrested - CDC			C	WARRANT DALLAS PD (JALIAS)	WARRANT DALLAS PD (JALIAS)
38	2019-12-27 2:57:47	2019-12-27 3:15:00		Accepted	2019-12-27 00:00:00	Highway, Street, Alley ETC	Arrested - CDC			A	PROSTITUTION OFFENSES	PROSTITUTION
39	2019-04-23 17:07:48	2019-04-23 00:00:00		Accepted	2019-04-23 00:00:00	Outdoor Area Public/Private	Arrested - Low Streett			C	WARRANT DALLAS PD (JALIAS)	WARRANT DALLAS PD (JALIAS)
40	2019-05-31 20:21:53	2019-05-31 20:45:00		Accepted	2019-05-31 00:00:00	Outdoor Area Public/Private	Arrested - Low Streett			A	PROSTITUTION OFFENSES	PURCHASING PROSTITUTION
41	2019-08-19 3:06:28	2019-08-19 3:22:00		Accepted	2019-08-19 00:00:00	Highway, Street, Alley ETC	Arrested - CDC			B	WARRANT DALLAS PD	WARRANT DALLAS PD (OTHERS)
42	2019-08-19 3:06:28	2019-08-19 3:22:00		Accepted	2019-08-19 00:00:00	Highway, Street, Alley ETC	Arrested - CDC			B	WARRANT DALLAS PD (CAPIAS)	WARRANT DALLAS PD (CAPIAS)
43	2019-04-03 2:06:53	2019-04-03 2:13:00		Accepted	2019-04-03 00:00:00	Highway, Street, Alley ETC	Arrested - CDC			C	WARRANT DALLAS PD (JALIAS)	WARRANT DALLAS PD (JALIAS)
44	2019-04-03 2:06:53	2019-04-03 2:13:00		Accepted	2019-04-03 00:00:00	Highway, Street, Alley ETC	Arrested - CDC			C	WARRANT DALLAS PD (JALIAS)	WARRANT DALLAS PD (JALIAS)
45	2019-06-25 16:17:15	2019-06-25 16:40:00		Accepted	2019-06-25 00:00:00		Arrested - Low Streett			A	PROSTITUTION OFFENSES	PURCHASING PROSTITUTION
46	2019-07-12 4:41:22	2019-07-12 4:45:00		Accepted	2019-07-12 00:00:00	Highway, Street, Alley ETC	Arrested - Low Streett			A	PROSTITUTION OFFENSES	PROSTITUTION
47	2019-07-12 4:41:22	2019-07-12 4:45:00		Accepted	2019-07-12 00:00:00	Highway, Street, Alley ETC	Arrested - Low Streett			B	WARRANT DALLAS PD	WARRANT DALLAS PD (OTHERS)
48	2019-11-03 1:35:49	2019-11-07 00:00:00		Accepted	2019-11-07 00:00:00		Arrested - Released To Hospital (APOWV)			B	APOWV	ALL OTHER OFFENSES
49	2019-05-29 15:35:37	2019-05-29 15:45:00		Accepted	2019-05-29 00:00:00	Highway, Street, Alley ETC	Arrested - Low Streett			A	PROSTITUTION OFFENSES	PROSTITUTION
50	2019-01-06 8:03:49	2019-01-07 00:00:00		Accepted	2019-01-07 00:00:00		Arrested - Released To Hospital (APOWV)			R	APOWV	ALL OTHER OFFENSES
51	2019-01-14 3:53:32	2019-01-14 4:24:00		Accepted	2019-01-14 00:00:00	Bar/Nightclub/Dancehall ETC.	Arrested - Low Streett			A	WEAPON LAW VIOLATIONS	WEAPON LAW VIOLATIONS
52	2019-04-17 16:19:53	2019-04-17 00:00:00		Accepted	2019-04-17 00:00:00	Apartment Residence	Arrested - Low Streett			A	ASSAULT OFFENSES	SIMPLE ASSAULT
53	2019-05-25 2:24:51	2019-05-25 2:27:00		Accepted	2019-05-25 00:00:00	Business Office	Arrested - Low Streett			C	PROSTITUTION OFFENSES	WARRANT DALLAS PD (JALIAS)
54	2019-05-29 16:19:05	2019-05-29 00:00:00		Accepted	2019-05-29 00:00:00	Business Office	Arrested - Other			A	PROSTITUTION OFFENSES	WARRANT DALLAS PD (JALIAS)
55	2019-05-25 2:24:51	2019-05-25 2:27:00		Accepted	2019-05-25 00:00:00	Business Office	Arrested - Low Streett			C	WARRANT DALLAS PD (JALIAS)	WARRANT DALLAS PD (JALIAS)
56	2019-06-15 18:58:15	2019-06-15 19:01:00		Accepted	2019-06-15 00:00:00	Highway, Street, Alley ETC	Arrested - Low Streett			A	DRUG/ NARCOTIC VIOLATIONS	DRUG/ NARCOTIC VIOLATIONS
57	2019-09-25 2:19:49	2019-09-25 2:23:00		Accepted	2019-09-25 00:00:00	Highway, Street, Alley ETC	Arrested - Low Streett			C	WARRANT DALLAS PD (JALIAS)	WARRANT DALLAS PD (JALIAS)
58	2019-09-25 2:19:49	2019-09-25 2:23:00		Accepted	2019-09-25 00:00:00	Highway, Street, Alley ETC	Arrested - Low Streett			C	WARRANT DALLAS PD (JALIAS)	WARRANT DALLAS PD (JALIAS)
59	2019-09-30 23:00:06	2019-10-01 00:00:00		Accepted	2019-10-01 00:00:00		Arrested - Released To Hospital (APOWV)			B	APOWV	DRUG/ NARCOTIC VIOLATIONS
60	2019-12-23 6:36:59	2019-12-23 6:40:00		Accepted	2019-12-23 00:00:00	Highway, Street, Alley ETC	Arrested - Low Streett			A	DRUG/ NARCOTIC VIOLATIONS	DRUG/ NARCOTIC VIOLATIONS
61	2019-12-23 6:36:59	2019-12-23 6:40:00		Accepted	2019-12-23 00:00:00	Highway, Street, Alley ETC	Arrested - Low Streett			B	DRUG/ NARCOTIC VIOLATIONS	DRUG/ NARCOTIC VIOLATIONS
62	2019-03-19 3:06:39	2019-03-19 3:10:00		Accepted	2019-03-19 00:00:00	Commercial Property Occupied/Vacant	Arrested - Low Streett			H	WARRANT DALLAS PD	WARRANT DALLAS PD (OTHERS)
63	2019-06-15 18:58:15	2019-06-15 19:01:00		Accepted	2019-06-15 00:00:00		Arrested - Low Streett			B	WARRANT DALLAS PD	WARRANT DALLAS PD (OTHERS)
64	2019-03-02 9:46:17	2019-03-02 9:49:00		Accepted	2019-03-02 00:00:00	Highway, Street, Alley ETC	Arrested - Low Streett			B	ALL OTHER OFFENSES	ALL OTHER OFFENSES
65	2019-03-02 9:46:17	2019-03-02 9:49:00		Accepted	2019-03-02 00:00:00	Highway, Street, Alley ETC	Arrested - Low Streett			A	FRAUD OFFENSES	FALSE PRETENSES/ SWINDLE/ CONFIDENCE GAME
66	2019-09-27 6:07:46	2019-09-27 6:23:00		Accepted	2019-09-27 00:00:00	Highway, Street, Alley ETC	Arrested - Low Streett			C	WARRANT DALLAS PD (JALIAS)	WARRANT DALLAS PD (JALIAS)
67	2019-09-27 6:07:46	2019-09-27 6:23:00		Accepted	2019-09-27 00:00:00	Highway, Street, Alley ETC	Arrested - Low Streett			C	WARRANT DALLAS PD (JALIAS)	WARRANT DALLAS PD (JALIAS)



	AZ	BA	BB	BC	BD	BE	BF	BG	BH	BI	BJ	BK	BL	BM
	NIBRS_Crime_Comstat	NIBRS_CrimeAgainst	NIBRS_Code	NIBRS_Group_CrimeAgainst	NIBRS_Type	Charge&Synopsis	CFS_Number		Name	NickName	AliasName		BirthPlace	
1	NIBRS_Crime_Comstat	NIBRS_CrimeAgainst	NIBRS_Code	NIBRS_Group_CrimeAgainst	NIBRS_Type	Charge&Synopsis	CFS_Number		DIOUNESSI, FRANK, A					
2	PUBLIC INTOXICATION	SOCIETY	90E	SOCIETY	Coded		19-0265825		KYLE, WALTER, EARL					
3	WARRANT DALLAS PD (OTHERS)	PERSON, PROPERTY, OR SOCIETY	90Z	PERSON, PROPERTY, OR SOCIETY	Not Coded		19-0836714		KYLE, WALTER, EARL					
4	TRAFFIC VIOLATION - HAZARDOUS	PERSON, PROPERTY, OR SOCIETY	999	PERSON, PROPERTY, OR SOCIETY	Not Coded		19-0836714		KYLE, WALTER, EARL					
5	TRAFFIC VIOLATION - NON HAZARDOUS	PERSON, PROPERTY, OR SOCIETY	999	PERSON, PROPERTY, OR SOCIETY	Not Coded		19-0836714		KYLE, WALTER, EARL					
6	DRUG/ NARCOTIC VIOLATIONS	SOCIETY	35A	SOCIETY	Coded		19-0836714		JONES, TIMOTHY, DARRYL					
7	PUBLIC INTOXICATION	SOCIETY	90E	SOCIETY	Coded		19-0836714		KYLE, WALTER, EARL					
8	FRAUD OFFENSES	PROPERTY	26A	PROPERTY	Not Coded		19-1634676		MARION, BRIANNA, SRENOA				DALLAS TX	
9	WARRANT DALLAS PD (OTHERS)	PERSON, PROPERTY, OR SOCIETY	90Z	PERSON, PROPERTY, OR SOCIETY	Coded		19-0074312		JANDRES, DENY, A				EL SALVADOR	
10	WARRANT DALLAS PD (OTHERS)	PERSON, PROPERTY, OR SOCIETY	90Z	PERSON, PROPERTY, OR SOCIETY	Coded		19-2202282		NIETO, VIOLA, MARIE				DALLAS, TX	
11	APOWW	PERSON, PROPERTY, OR SOCIETY	90Z	PERSON, PROPERTY, OR SOCIETY	Coded		19-2111128		JOHNSON, TMARVIEUS, PARADISE				DALLAS, TX	
12	DRUG/ NARCOTIC VIOLATIONS	SOCIETY	35A	SOCIETY	Not Coded		19-2111128		JOHNSON, TMARVIEUS, PARADISE					
13	WARRANT DALLAS PD (PROSTITUTION)	SOCIETY	40A	SOCIETY	Coded		19-2111128		JOHNSON, TMARVIEUS, PARADISE					
14	WARRANT HOLD (OUTSIDE AGENCY)	PERSON, PROPERTY, OR SOCIETY	999	PERSON, PROPERTY, OR SOCIETY	Not Coded		19-0405401		JOHNSON, TMARVIEUS, PARADISE					
15	WARRANT HOLD (OUTSIDE AGENCY)	PERSON, PROPERTY, OR SOCIETY	999	PERSON, PROPERTY, OR SOCIETY	Coded		19-1376037		TEMMING, NICHELLE, GLAORYS					
16	WARRANT HOLD (OUTSIDE AGENCY)	PERSON, PROPERTY, OR SOCIETY	999	PERSON, PROPERTY, OR SOCIETY	Coded		19-1521995		HINALOSA, DESIREE					
17	WARRANT HOLD (OUTSIDE AGENCY)	PERSON, PROPERTY, OR SOCIETY	999	PERSON, PROPERTY, OR SOCIETY	Coded		19-0618026		BROOKER, CURTIS					
18	SIMPLE ASSAULT	PERSON	138	PERSON	Coded		19-1452604		SIMPSON, CASEY, LEWIS				LOUISIANA	
19	PROSTITUTION	SOCIETY	40A	SOCIETY	Coded		19-2024362		BURNETT, CHRISTINA, LEIGH				MEMPHIS	
20	WARRANT DALLAS PD (OTHERS)	PERSON, PROPERTY, OR SOCIETY	90Z	PERSON, PROPERTY, OR SOCIETY	Coded		19-2024362		MILES, RICKI, DE WAYNE					
21	WARRANT HOLD (OUTSIDE AGENCY)	PERSON, PROPERTY, OR SOCIETY	999	PERSON, PROPERTY, OR SOCIETY	Not Coded		19-2024362		MILES, RICKI, DE WAYNE					
22	WARRANT HOLD (OUTSIDE AGENCY)	PERSON, PROPERTY, OR SOCIETY	999	PERSON, PROPERTY, OR SOCIETY	Not Coded		19-0590940		MARSHALL, RHINENDE					
23	WARRANT HOLD (OUTSIDE AGENCY)	PERSON, PROPERTY, OR SOCIETY	999	PERSON, PROPERTY, OR SOCIETY	Coded		19-0590940		MARSHALL, RHINENDE					
24	WARRANT-DALLAS PD (ALIAS)	PERSON, PROPERTY, OR SOCIETY	999	PERSON, PROPERTY, OR SOCIETY	Coded		19-0590940		SCOTT, KIARA					
25	PROSTITUTION	SOCIETY	40A	SOCIETY	Not Coded		19-1655057		TURNER, JAZZMYN					
26	ALL OTHER OFFENSES	PERSON, PROPERTY, OR SOCIETY	90Z	PERSON, PROPERTY, OR SOCIETY	Coded		19-1655057		TURNER, JAZZMYN					
27	PROSTITUTION	SOCIETY	40A	SOCIETY	Coded		19-2429028		BURNETT, ARNETTA, LASHAUN				OKLAHOMA CITY, OKLAHOMA	
28	WARRANT-DALLAS PD (ALIAS)	PERSON, PROPERTY, OR SOCIETY	999	PERSON, PROPERTY, OR SOCIETY	Not Coded		19-2429028		BURNETT, ARNETTA, LASHAUN				OKLAHOMA CITY, OKLAHOMA	
29	PUBLIC INTOXICATION	SOCIETY	90E	SOCIETY	Not Coded		19-0218591		WILLIS, RODNEY, LAMAR					
30	DRUG/ NARCOTIC VIOLATIONS	SOCIETY	35A	SOCIETY	Coded		19-0238591		WILLIS, RODNEY, LAMAR					
31	WARRANT HOLD (OUTSIDE AGENCY)	PERSON, PROPERTY, OR SOCIETY	999	PERSON, PROPERTY, OR SOCIETY	Not Coded		19-1117775		BROWN, OMAR, SHARIF				MEMPHIS	
32	WARRANT HOLD (OUTSIDE AGENCY)	PERSON, PROPERTY, OR SOCIETY	999	PERSON, PROPERTY, OR SOCIETY	Not Coded		19-1117775		BROWN, OMAR, SHARIF				DALLAS, TEXAS	
33	WARRANT-DALLAS PD (ALIAS)	PERSON, PROPERTY, OR SOCIETY	999	PERSON, PROPERTY, OR SOCIETY	Coded		19-1117775		BROWN, OMAR, SHARIF				MEXICO	
34	PROSTITUTION	SOCIETY	40A	SOCIETY	Coded		19-1072889		HANCOCK, MONICA				ARKANSAS	
35	WARRANT DALLAS PD (OTHERS)	PERSON, PROPERTY, OR SOCIETY	90Z	PERSON, PROPERTY, OR SOCIETY	Coded		19-0342371		BROOKS, STEPHEN, MICHAEL					
36	PURCHASING PROSTITUTION	SOCIETY	40C	SOCIETY	Coded		19-0715352		GARCIA, CESAR, ANDREDE					
37	WARRANT-DALLAS PD (ALIAS)	PERSON, PROPERTY, OR SOCIETY	999	PERSON, PROPERTY, OR SOCIETY	Coded		19-1376037		CRUTCHFIELD, GEORGIA, MELINDA					
38	PROSTITUTION	PERSON, PROPERTY, OR SOCIETY	40A	SOCIETY	Coded		19-2429028		ACEVEDO HERRERA, SAMUEL, ENRIQUE					
39	WARRANT HOLD (OUTSIDE AGENCY)	PERSON, PROPERTY, OR SOCIETY	999	PERSON, PROPERTY, OR SOCIETY	Not Coded		19-0715352		GARCIA, CESAR, ANDREDE				MEXICO	
40	PURCHASING PROSTITUTION	SOCIETY	40C	SOCIETY	Coded		19-0981265		HARRIS, ROBERT, WILLIAM					
41	PROSTITUTION	SOCIETY	40A	SOCIETY	Coded		19-1549989		PINKINS, BRITTNEY, SHIMARA				DALLAS, TX	
42	WARRANT DALLAS PD (OTHERS)	PERSON, PROPERTY, OR SOCIETY	90Z	PERSON, PROPERTY, OR SOCIETY	Not Coded		19-1549989		PINKINS, BRITTNEY, SHIMARA					
43	WARRANT-DALLAS PD (CAPIAS)	PERSON, PROPERTY, OR SOCIETY	999	PERSON, PROPERTY, OR SOCIETY	Not Coded		19-0577330		FULLER, LINNETTE, LATRECE				BEVERLY HILLS, CA	
44	WARRANT-DALLAS PD (ALIAS)	PERSON, PROPERTY, OR SOCIETY	999	PERSON, PROPERTY, OR SOCIETY	Coded		19-0577330		FULLER, LINNETTE, LATRECE				MISSOURI	
45	PURCHASING PROSTITUTION	SOCIETY	40C	SOCIETY	Coded		19-1165567		ASHBROOK, LARRY				WISCONSIN	
46	PROSTITUTION	SOCIETY	40A	SOCIETY	Not Coded		19-1286092		PEAVY, JAMARL, LEIGH				HOUSTON, TX	
47	ALL OTHER OFFENSES	PERSON, PROPERTY, OR SOCIETY	90Z	PERSON, PROPERTY, OR SOCIETY	Coded		19-1286092		PEAVY, JAMARL, LEIGH				OHIO	
48	APOWW	PERSON, PROPERTY, OR SOCIETY	90Z	PERSON, PROPERTY, OR SOCIETY	Coded		19-2075413		GILLIS, MICHAEL, JEROME					
49	PROSTITUTION	SOCIETY	40A	SOCIETY	Coded		19-0968102		VANDENBERGHE, SARNA, LINDSAY					
50	APOWW	PERSON, PROPERTY, OR SOCIETY	90Z	PERSON, PROPERTY, OR SOCIETY	Coded		19-0032402		MORALES-SOTO, CONSUELO, OFELIA	CONNIE			LOUISIANA	
51	WEAPON LAW VIOLATIONS	SOCIETY	520	SOCIETY	Coded		19-0075580		LEWIS, KLEMENTE, CONNELIOUS	TAYTHATAKEOVA			DALLAS, TX	
52	SIMPLE ASSAULT	PERSON	138	PERSON	Coded		19-0673055		REED, DEBRICK, NIGEL				IRAN	
53	WARRANT HOLD (OUTSIDE AGENCY)	PERSON, PROPERTY, OR SOCIETY	999	PERSON, PROPERTY, OR SOCIETY	Not Coded		19-0937139		TMAACHING, RAVIN					
54	PROSTITUTION	SOCIETY	40A	SOCIETY	Coded		19-0968170		JACKSON, OPAL, LOUISE				IRAN	
55	WARRANT HOLD (OUTSIDE AGENCY)	PERSON, PROPERTY, OR SOCIETY	999	PERSON, PROPERTY, OR SOCIETY	Coded		19-0937139		TMAACHING, RAVIN				MARYLAND	
56	DRUG/ NARCOTIC VIOLATIONS	SOCIETY	35A	SOCIETY	Coded		19-1094489		HAMILTON, TRICIA, LYNN				TULSA, TEXAS	
57	WARRANT HOLD (OUTSIDE AGENCY)	PERSON, PROPERTY, OR SOCIETY	999	PERSON, PROPERTY, OR SOCIETY	Coded		19-1807656		THOMPSON, COREY, DEWAYNE				TULSA, TEXAS	
58	WARRANT HOLD (OUTSIDE AGENCY)	PERSON, PROPERTY, OR SOCIETY	999	PERSON, PROPERTY, OR SOCIETY	Not Coded		19-1807656		THOMPSON, COREY, DEWAYNE					
59	APOWW	PERSON, PROPERTY, OR SOCIETY	90Z	PERSON, PROPERTY, OR SOCIETY	Coded		19-1847311		BENTLE, ZACKERY				TEXAS	
60	DRUG/ NARCOTIC VIOLATIONS	SOCIETY	35A	SOCIETY	Coded		19-2405832		SANCHEZ, TOMAS				TEXAS	
61	DUI	SOCIETY	90D	SOCIETY	Not Coded		19-0478069		BECK, KEELY, HUNTER				MARYLAND	
62	WARRANT HOLD (OUTSIDE AGENCY)	PERSON, PROPERTY, OR SOCIETY	999	PERSON, PROPERTY, OR SOCIETY	Coded		19-0478069		BECK, KEELY, HUNTER					
63	WARRANT DALLAS PD (OTHERS)	PERSON, PROPERTY, OR SOCIETY	90Z	PERSON, PROPERTY, OR SOCIETY	Not Coded		19-1094489		HAMILTON, TRICIA, LYNN				MEXICO	
64	ALL OTHER OFFENSES	PERSON, PROPERTY, OR SOCIETY	90Z	PERSON, PROPERTY, OR SOCIETY	Not Coded		19-0365408		NAVARRO, VICTOR, DANIEL		NAVARRO ESTANES, VICTOR		MEXICO	
65	FRAUD OFFENSES	PROPERTY	26A	PROPERTY	Not Coded		19-0365408		NAVARRO, VICTOR, DANIEL		NAVARRO ESTANES, VICTOR		KAUFMAN	
66	WARRANT HOLD (OUTSIDE AGENCY)	PERSON, PROPERTY, OR SOCIETY	999	PERSON, PROPERTY, OR SOCIETY	Not Coded		19-1821744		FILICO, CRYSTAL, LEE	HALL, CRYSTAL			KAUFMAN	
67	WARRANT HOLD (OUTSIDE AGENCY)	PERSON, PROPERTY, OR SOCIETY	999	PERSON, PROPERTY, OR SOCIETY	Not Coded		19-1821744		FILICO, CRYSTAL, LEE	HALL, CRYSTAL			KAUFMAN	

COD\_005917



	BN	BQ	BP	BQ	BR	Race	BS	Ethnicity	BT	BU	BV	BW	BX	BY	BZ	CA	CB	CC	CD	CE	CF	CG	CH	CI	CJ
1		Height	Weight	Hair	Eye								Drlic_St	Drlic_Type	HLAddress	HLApt	HLZip	HLCity	HLState	HLCounty	HLRA	HLBeat	HLDivision	AltHLAddress	
2		5-09	160	Black	Brown	Black		Non-Hispanic or Latino		Male			TX		212 S COOPER ST		76013	ARLINGTON	TX	DALLAS	3091	513			
3		5-09	190	Black	Brown	Black		Non-Hispanic or Latino		Male			TX		1853 W MOCKINGBIRD LN		75235	DALLAS	TX	DALLAS	3091	513			
4		5-09	190	Black	Brown	Black		Non-Hispanic or Latino		Male			TX		1853 W MOCKINGBIRD LN		75235	DALLAS	TX	DALLAS	3091	513			
5		5-09	190	Black	Brown	Black		Non-Hispanic or Latino		Male			TX		1853 W MOCKINGBIRD LN		75235	DALLAS	TX	DALLAS	3091	513			
6		5-09	190	Black	Brown	Black		Non-Hispanic or Latino		Male			TX		1853 W MOCKINGBIRD LN		75235	DALLAS	TX	DALLAS	3091	513			
7		5-10	170	Black	Brown	Black		Non-Hispanic or Latino		Male			TX		719 S VERNON		75208	DALLAS	TX	DALLAS	4140	417			
8		5-09	190	Black	Brown	Black		Non-Hispanic or Latino		Male			TX		1853 W MOCKINGBIRD LN		75235	DALLAS	TX	DALLAS	3091	513			
9		5-8	120	Black	Brown	Black		Non-Hispanic or Latino		Female			TX		1341 TENNIS DR	A	76022	BEDFORD	TX	DALLAS					
10		5-6	175	Black	Brown	Hispanic or Latino		Non-Hispanic or Latino		Female			TX		2838 ROYAL LN		75229	DALLAS	TX	DALLAS	3026	535			
11		5-1	110	Black	Brown	Hispanic or Latino		Non-Hispanic or Latino		Female			TX		UNKNOWN		00000	DALLAS	TX						
12		6-3	180	Black	Brown	Black		Non-Hispanic or Latino		Male			TX		1004 ROSEMONT DR		75115	DESOTO	TX						
13		6-3	180	Black	Brown	Black		Non-Hispanic or Latino		Male			TX		1004 ROSEMONT DR		75115	DESOTO	TX						
14		6-3	180	Black	Brown	Black		Non-Hispanic or Latino		Male			TX		9448 FOREST LN		75243	DALLAS	TX	DALLAS	4525	242			
15		5-06	150	Black	Brown	Black		Non-Hispanic or Latino		Female			TX		1818 CORSICANA ST		75201	DALLAS	TX	DALLAS	2088	135			
16		5-0	145	Black	Black	Hispanic or Latino		Non-Hispanic or Latino		Female			TX		1818 CORSICANA ST		75201	DALLAS	TX	DALLAS	2088	135			
17		6-4	300	Red	Blue	White		Non-Hispanic or Latino		Male			TX		9033 E R L THORNTON FWY		75228	DALLAS	TX	DALLAS	1202	221			
18		5-09	190	Black	Brown	Black		Non-Hispanic or Latino		Male			TX		1550 EMPIRE CENTRAL		75235	DALLAS	TX	DALLAS	3091	513			
19		5-5	125	Red	Hazel	White		Non-Hispanic or Latino		Female			TX		128 E MIMOSA LN		75149	MESQUITE	TX						
20		6-01	205	Brown	Brown	White		Non-Hispanic or Latino		Male			TX		128 E MIMOSA LN		75149	MESQUITE	TX	DALLAS	1257	327			
21		6-01	205	Brown	Brown	White		Non-Hispanic or Latino		Male			TX		1524 FOX RUN DR		75217	DALLAS	TX	DALLAS	1257	327			
22		5-06	140	Black	Brown	Black		Non-Hispanic or Latino		Female			TX		1524 FOX RUN DR		75217	DALLAS	TX	DALLAS	1257	327			
23		5-06	140	Black	Brown	Black		Non-Hispanic or Latino		Female			TX		2134 TRADEWIND DR		75150	MESQUITE	TX	DALLAS					
24		5-06	140	Black	Brown	Black		Non-Hispanic or Latino		Female			TX		1125 SUSAN DR		75040	GARLAND	TX						
25		5-05	160	Black	Brown	Black		Non-Hispanic or Latino		Female			TX		1125 SUSAN DR		75040	GARLAND	TX	DALLAS	4249	728			
26		5-05	160	Black	Brown	Black		Non-Hispanic or Latino		Female			TX		3119 KELLOGG AVE		75216	DALLAS	TX	DALLAS	4249	728			
27		4-11	160	Black	Brown	Black		Non-Hispanic or Latino		Female			TX		3119 KELLOGG AVE		75216	DALLAS	TX	DALLAS	4249	728			
28		4-11	160	Black	Brown	Black		Non-Hispanic or Latino		Female			TX		5850 BELT LINE RD		75234	DALLAS	TX	DALLAS	1009	632			
29		5-11	145	Black	Brown	Black		Non-Hispanic or Latino		Male			TX		5850 BELT LINE RD		75234	DALLAS	TX	DALLAS	1009	632			
30		5-11	145	Black	Brown	Black		Non-Hispanic or Latino		Male			TX		1818 CORSICANA ST		75201	DALLAS	TX	DALLAS	2088	135			
31		5-9	205	Black	Brown	Black		Non-Hispanic or Latino		Male			TX		1818 CORSICANA ST		75201	DALLAS	TX	DALLAS	2088	135			
32		5-9	205	Black	Brown	Black		Non-Hispanic or Latino		Male			TX		1818 CORSICANA ST		75201	DALLAS	TX	DALLAS	2088	135			
33		5-9	205	Black	Brown	Black		Non-Hispanic or Latino		Male			TX		1818 CORSICANA ST		75201	DALLAS	TX	DALLAS	2088	135			
34		5-5	200	Black	Brown	Black		Non-Hispanic or Latino		Female			TX		2421 WALNUT HILL LN		75229	DALLAS	TX	DALLAS	3025	534			
35		5-8	195	Black	Brown	Black		Non-Hispanic or Latino		Male			TX		708 RIDGEMONT DR		75002	ALLEN	TX						
36		5-4	190	Black	Brown	Hispanic or Latino		Non-Hispanic or Latino		Male			TX		3040 PARK LN		75220	DALLAS	TX		4552	536			
37		5-01	104	Black	Black	Black		Non-Hispanic or Latino		Female			TX		1103 PRATT RD		72206	LITTLE ROCK	AR						
38		5-11	300	Black	Brown	White		Non-Hispanic or Latino		Male			TX		1911 PAXTON DR		75007	CARROLLTON	TX		4552	536			
39		5-4	190	Black	Brown	Hispanic or Latino		Non-Hispanic or Latino		Male			TX		3040 PARK LN		75220	DALLAS	TX						
40		6-2	225	Brown	Brown	White		Non-Hispanic or Latino		Male			TX		2512 RUGER DR		76006	ARLINGTON	TX						
41		5-06	130	Other	Brown	Black		Non-Hispanic or Latino		Female			TX		711 SHADY MEADOW LN		75154	GLENN HEIGHTS	TX						
42		5-06	130	Other	Brown	Black		Non-Hispanic or Latino		Female			TX		1818 CORSICANA ST		75201	DALLAS	TX	DALLAS	2088	135			
43		6-1	175	Black	Brown	Black		Non-Hispanic or Latino		Female			TX		1818 CORSICANA ST		75201	DALLAS	TX	DALLAS	2088	135			
44		6-1	175	Black	Brown	Black		Non-Hispanic or Latino		Female			TX		1818 CORSICANA ST		75201	DALLAS	TX	DALLAS	2088	135			
45		5-10	190	White	Blue	White		Non-Hispanic or Latino		Male			TX		2000 BLUFFSPRINGS		75215	FERRIS	TX						
46		5-07	140	Black	Brown	Black		Non-Hispanic or Latino		Female			TX		1125 N CALAHAN PL		53233	MILWAUKEE	WI						
47		5-07	140	Black	Brown	Black		Non-Hispanic or Latino		Female			TX		1125 N CALAHAN PL		53233	MILWAUKEE	WI						
48		5-07	170	Black	Brown	Black		Non-Hispanic or Latino		Male			TX		1818 CORSICANA ST		75201	DALLAS	TX	DALLAS	2088	135			
49		5-4	125	Blonde	Blue	White		Non-Hispanic or Latino		Female			TX		2050 KELLERSPRINGS		75006	CARROLLTON	TX						
50		5-04	125	Brown	Brown	White		Non-Hispanic or Latino		Female			TX		5806 DIANA DR		75043	GARLAND	TX						
51		5-08	150	Black	Brown	Black		Non-Hispanic or Latino		Male			TX		1000 E PLEASANT RUN RD		75104	CEDAR HILL	TX	DALLAS	1202	221			
52		5-10	160	Black	Brown	Black		Non-Hispanic or Latino		Male			TX		9033 E R L THORNTON FWY		75228	DALLAS	TX	DALLAS	2088	135			
53		5-08	150	Black	Brown	Black		Non-Hispanic or Latino		Male			TX		1818 CORSICANA ST		75201	DALLAS	TX	DALLAS	2088	135			
54		5-05	135	Blonde	Green	White		Non-Hispanic or Latino		Female			TX		8150 N STEMMONS FWY		75247	DALLAS	TX	DALLAS	3091	513			
55		5-08	150	Black	Brown	Asian		Non-Hispanic or Latino		Male			TX		1818 CORSICANA ST		75201	DALLAS	TX	DALLAS	2088	135			
56		5-3	150	Brown	Brown	White		Non-Hispanic or Latino		Female			TX		3906 LAKESIDE DR		75088	ROWLETT	TX	ROCKWA					
57		6-0	190	Black	Brown	Black		Non-Hispanic or Latino		Male			TX		1550 EMPIRE CENTRAL		75235	DALLAS	TX	DALLAS	3091	513			
58		6-0	190	Black	Brown	Black		Non-Hispanic or Latino		Male			TX		1550 EMPIRE CENTRAL		75235	DALLAS	TX	DALLAS	3091	513			
59		6-02	210	Brown	Blue	White		Non-Hispanic or Latino		Male			TX		11545 THOUSAND PINES		75763	FRANKSTON	TX						
60		5-10	210	Black	Brown	Hispanic or Latino		Non-Hispanic or Latino		Male			TX		1108 N MARYLAND ST		75006	CARROLLTON	TX						
61		5-10	210	Black	Brown	Hispanic or Latino		Non-Hispanic or Latino		Male			TX		1108 N MARYLAND ST		75006	CARROLLTON	TX						
62		5-8	110	Brown	Brown	White		Non-Hispanic or Latino		Female			TX		3522 MORRIS ST		75212	DALLAS	TX		4031	421			
63		5-3	150	Brown	Brown	White		Non-Hispanic or Latino		Female			TX		3906 LAKESIDE DR		75088	ROWLETT	TX	ROCKWA					
64		5-06	140	Black	Brown	Hispanic or Latino		Non-Hispanic or Latino		Male			TX		3120 ORADELL LN		75220	DALLAS	TX		3059	538			
65		5-06	140	Black	Brown	Hispanic or Latino		Non-Hispanic or Latino																	



	CK	CL	CM	CN	CO	CP	CO	CR	CS	CT	CU	CV	CW	CR	CV
				Artaeo	ArtaeoComments	ArtaeoCupn	ArtaeoStatus	ArtaeoEmployer				ArtaeoWorn	Expunged	ArtaeoAddress	ArtaeoCity
1															
2															
3															
4															
5															
6															
7															
8															
9															
10															
11															
12															
13															
14															
15															
16															
17															
18															
19															
20															
21															
22															
23															
24															
25															
26															
27															
28															
29															
30															
31															
32															
33															
34															
35															
36															
37															
38															
39															
40															
41															
42															
43															
44															
45															
46															
47															
48															
49															
50															
51															
52															
53															
54															
55															
56															
57															
58															
59															
60															
61															
62															
63															
64															
65															
66															
67															



	CZ	DA	DB	DC	DD	DE	DF	DG	DH	DI	DJ	DK	DL	DM	DN	DO	DP	DQ	DR
	AltZip	RA	Beat	Division	Sector	District	New District	TAG Name	Community	VCRP Area	GridID	Point X	Point Y	Update	User SOB Name	User Address	User License Type	BUFF_DIST	
1	75220	TX	3041	534	NORTHWEST	530	6	06			74074	246708.462	699079.013	2019-07-15 16:38:36	PAIDONKAS MENS CLUB	10649 HARRY HINES BLVD	CABARET	500	
2	75247	TX	3078	522	NORTHWEST	520	2	02	John Carpenter Stemmons		63657	246708.462	699079.013	2021-04-13 7:15:25	LA ZONA ROSA	1676 REGAL ROW, DALLAS	CABARET	500	
3	75247	TX	3078	522	NORTHWEST	520	2	02	John Carpenter Stemmons		63657	246708.462	699079.013	2021-04-13 7:15:25	LA ZONA ROSA	1676 REGAL ROW, DALLAS	CABARET	500	
4	75247	TX	3078	522	NORTHWEST	520	2	02	John Carpenter Stemmons		63657	246708.462	699079.013	2021-04-13 7:15:25	LA ZONA ROSA	1676 REGAL ROW, DALLAS	CABARET	500	
5	75247	TX	3078	522	NORTHWEST	520	2	02	John Carpenter Stemmons		63657	246708.462	699079.013	2021-04-13 7:15:25	LA ZONA ROSA	1676 REGAL ROW, DALLAS	CABARET	500	
6	75247	TX	3078	522	NORTHWEST	520	2	02	John Carpenter Stemmons		63657	246708.462	699079.013	2021-04-13 7:15:25	LA ZONA ROSA	1676 REGAL ROW, DALLAS	CABARET	500	
7	75229	TX	3025	534	NORTHWEST	530	6	06	John Carpenter Stemmons		78014	246077.745	7007282.501	2019-07-25 14:40:29	UPSTICK	10959 HARRY HINES BLVD	CABARET	500	
8	75247	TX	3078	522	NORTHWEST	520	2	02	John Carpenter Stemmons		63657	246708.462	699079.013	2021-04-13 7:15:25	LA ZONA ROSA	1676 REGAL ROW, DALLAS	CABARET	500	
9	75220	TX	3041	534	NORTHWEST	530	6	06			76723	246441.151	7006047.399	2019-09-05 10:16:40	UPSTICK	10859 HARRY HINES BLVD	CABARET	500	
10	75229	TX	3025	534	NORTHWEST	530	6	06			79283	2463805.017	7008793.591	2019-11-25 8:02:17	DALLAS CABARET SOUTH	11044 HARRY HINES BLVD	CABARET	500	
11	75229	TX	3025	534	NORTHWEST	530	6	06	Forest Dennis		85734	246416.043	7016824.045	2019-12-11 14:14:05	ODDYSSEY	11505 ANAHEIM DR	ARCADÉ	500	
12	75229	TX	3005	552	NORTHWEST	550	6	06	Forest Dennis		85734	246416.043	7016824.045	2019-12-11 14:14:05	ODDYSSEY	11505 ANAHEIM DR	ARCADÉ	500	
13	75229	TX	3005	552	NORTHWEST	550	6	06	Forest Dennis		85734	246416.043	7016824.045	2019-12-11 14:14:05	ODDYSSEY	11505 ANAHEIM DR	ARCADÉ	500	
14	75229	TX	3005	552	NORTHWEST	550	6	06	Forest Dennis		85734	246416.043	7016824.045	2019-12-11 14:14:05	ODDYSSEY	11505 ANAHEIM DR	ARCADÉ	500	
15	75220	TX	6041	533	NORTHWEST	530	6	06	NWHwy WaltonWalker		76723	2464334.589	7005981.201	2019-07-25 14:40:29	UPSTICK	2150 CALIFORNIA CROSSING RD	CABARET	500	
16	75220	TX	3041	534	NORTHWEST	530	6	06			76723	2464334.589	7005981.201	2019-07-25 14:40:29	UPSTICK	10859 HARRY HINES BLVD	CABARET	500	
17	75229	TX	3025	534	NORTHWEST	530	6	06			53471	246077.745	6977534.641	2019-04-10 12:39:31	COASTER LINE - COASTER CLUB INC.	9125 E R L THORNTON Fwy WB	CABARET	500	
18	75220	TX	1202	221	NORTHWEST	220	7	07	Buckner 30		76723	246441.151	7006047.399	2019-09-12 11:25:42	UPSTICK	10859 HARRY HINES BLVD	CABARET	500	
19	75220	TX	1202	221	NORTHWEST	220	7	07			71880	2531632.694	7000927.229	2019-11-12 11:42:05	NEW FINE ARTS - SHILOH RD	12045 SHILOH RD	ARCADÉ	500	
20	75228	TX	1101	233	NORTHWEST	230	9	09			71880	2531632.694	7000927.229	2019-11-12 11:42:05	NEW FINE ARTS - SHILOH RD	12045 SHILOH RD	ARCADÉ	500	
21	75228	TX	1101	233	NORTHWEST	230	9	09			76723	246441.151	7006047.399	2019-04-11 8:12:16	UPSTICK	10859 HARRY HINES BLVD	CABARET	500	
22	75220	TX	3041	534	NORTHWEST	530	6	06			76723	246441.151	7006047.399	2019-04-11 8:12:16	UPSTICK	10859 HARRY HINES BLVD	CABARET	500	
23	75220	TX	3041	534	NORTHWEST	530	6	06			76723	246441.151	7006047.399	2019-04-11 8:12:16	UPSTICK	10859 HARRY HINES BLVD	CABARET	500	
24	75220	TX	3041	534	NORTHWEST	530	6	06			76723	246441.151	7006047.399	2019-04-11 8:12:16	UPSTICK	10859 HARRY HINES BLVD	CABARET	500	
25	75220	TX	3041	534	NORTHWEST	530	6	06			76723	246441.151	7006047.399	2019-04-11 8:12:16	UPSTICK	10859 HARRY HINES BLVD	CABARET	500	
26	75220	TX	3041	534	NORTHWEST	530	6	06			76723	246441.151	7006047.399	2019-04-11 8:12:16	UPSTICK	10859 HARRY HINES BLVD	CABARET	500	
27	75229	TX	3025	534	NORTHWEST	530	6	06			78652	2460588.319	7008256.87	2020-01-08 10:19:18	DALLAS CABARET SOUTH	2444 WALNUT RIDGE ST	CABARET	500	
28	75229	TX	3025	534	NORTHWEST	530	6	06			78652	2460588.319	7008256.87	2020-01-08 10:19:18	DALLAS CABARET SOUTH	2444 WALNUT RIDGE ST	CABARET	500	
29	75229	TX	3025	534	NORTHWEST	530	6	06			78014	246077.745	7007282.501	2019-04-05 10:22:16	SPEARMINT RHINO GENTLEMANS CLUB	10965 COMPOSITE DR	CABARET	500	
30	75229	TX	3025	534	NORTHWEST	530	6	06			78014	246077.745	7007282.501	2019-04-05 10:22:16	SPEARMINT RHINO GENTLEMANS CLUB	10965 COMPOSITE DR	CABARET	500	
31	75228	TX	1202	221	NORTHWEST	220	7	07	Buckner 30		53704	2524847.856	6977609.022	2019-07-19 22:36:08	COASTER LINE - COASTER CLUB INC.	9125 E R L THORNTON Fwy WB	CABARET	500	
32	75228	TX	1202	221	NORTHWEST	220	7	07	Buckner 30		53704	2524847.856	6977609.022	2019-07-19 22:36:08	COASTER LINE - COASTER CLUB INC.	9125 E R L THORNTON Fwy WB	CABARET	500	
33	75228	TX	1202	221	NORTHWEST	220	7	07	Buckner 30		53704	2524847.856	6977609.022	2019-07-19 22:36:08	COASTER LINE - COASTER CLUB INC.	9125 E R L THORNTON Fwy WB	CABARET	500	
34	75220	TX	3041	534	NORTHWEST	530	6	06	NWHwy WaltonWalker		76723	246441.151	7006047.399	2019-06-27 15:26:12	UPSTICK	10859 HARRY HINES BLVD	CABARET	500	
35	75220	TX	6041	533	NORTHWEST	530	6	06			77931	2465959.547	7002060.152	2019-02-26 16:52:49	BUCKS CABARET	2150 CALIFORNIA CROSSING RD	CABARET	500	
36	75220	TX	3041	534	NORTHWEST	530	6	06			76723	2464334.589	7005981.201	2019-04-25 9:37:37	UPSTICK	10859 HARRY HINES BLVD	CABARET	500	
37	75220	TX	3041	534	NORTHWEST	530	6	06			76723	2464334.589	7005981.201	2019-04-25 9:37:37	UPSTICK	10859 HARRY HINES BLVD	CABARET	500	
38	75229	TX	3025	534	NORTHWEST	530	6	06			76723	2464334.589	7005981.201	2019-04-25 9:37:37	UPSTICK	10859 HARRY HINES BLVD	CABARET	500	
39	75220	TX	3041	534	NORTHWEST	530	6	06			76723	2464334.589	7005981.201	2019-04-25 9:37:37	UPSTICK	10859 HARRY HINES BLVD	CABARET	500	
40	75220	TX	3041	534	NORTHWEST	530	6	06			76723	2464334.589	7005981.201	2019-04-25 9:37:37	UPSTICK	10859 HARRY HINES BLVD	CABARET	500	
41	75220	TX	3041	534	NORTHWEST	530	6	06			76723	2464334.589	7005981.201	2019-04-25 9:37:37	UPSTICK	10859 HARRY HINES BLVD	CABARET	500	
42	75220	TX	3041	534	NORTHWEST	530	6	06			76723	2464334.589	7005981.201	2019-04-25 9:37:37	UPSTICK	10859 HARRY HINES BLVD	CABARET	500	
43	75220	TX	3041	534	NORTHWEST	530	6	06			76723	2464334.589	7005981.201	2019-04-25 9:37:37	UPSTICK	10859 HARRY HINES BLVD	CABARET	500	
44	75220	TX	3041	534	NORTHWEST	530	6	06			76723	2464334.589	7005981.201	2019-04-25 9:37:37	UPSTICK	10859 HARRY HINES BLVD	CABARET	500	
45	75220	TX	3041	534	NORTHWEST	530	6	06			76723	2464334.589	7005981.201	2019-04-25 9:37:37	UPSTICK	10859 HARRY HINES BLVD	CABARET	500	
46	75220	TX	3041	534	NORTHWEST	530	6	06			76723	2464334.589	7005981.201	2019-04-25 9:37:37	UPSTICK	10859 HARRY HINES BLVD	CABARET	500	
47	75220	TX	3041	534	NORTHWEST	530	6	06			76723	2464334.589	7005981.201	2019-04-25 9:37:37	UPSTICK	10859 HARRY HINES BLVD	CABARET	500	
48	75228	TX	1202	221	NORTHWEST	220	7	07	Buckner 30		77041	2460904.024	6977534.641	2019-06-03 17:34:49	SPEARMINT RHINO GENTLEMANS CLUB	10965 COMPOSITE DR	CABARET	500	
49	75220	TX	3040	534	NORTHWEST	530	6	06			53471	2524904.024	6977534.641	2019-06-03 17:34:49	SPEARMINT RHINO GENTLEMANS CLUB	10965 COMPOSITE DR	CABARET	500	
50	75228	TX	1202	221	NORTHWEST	220	7	07	Buckner 30		77041	2460904.024	6977534.641	2019-06-03 17:34:49	SPEARMINT RHINO GENTLEMANS CLUB	10965 COMPOSITE DR	CABARET	500	
51	75229	TX	3025	534	NORTHWEST	530	6	06			53471	2524904.024	6977534.641	2019-06-03 17:34:49	SPEARMINT RHINO GENTLEMANS CLUB	10965 COMPOSITE DR	CABARET	500	
52	75228	TX	1202	221	NORTHWEST	220	7	07	Buckner 30		53471	2524904.024	6977534.641	2019-06-03 17:34:49	SPEARMINT RHINO GENTLEMANS CLUB	10965 COMPOSITE DR	CABARET	500	
53	75220	TX	3040	534	NORTHWEST	530	6	06			75731	2464598.527	7005228.532	2019-06-06 9:43:45	UPSTICK	10859 HARRY HINES BLVD	CABARET	500	
54	75220	TX	3041	534	NORTHWEST	530	6	06			74719	2461714.126	7004284.049	2019-06-06 9:43:45	UPSTICK	10859 HARRY HINES BLVD	CABARET	500	
55	75220	TX	3040	534	NORTHWEST	530	6	06			72240	2458607.405	7001407.459	2019-07-12 9:17:39	DOCS AT PRESENT/STRIP MALL	2535 MANANA DR	CABARET	500	
56	75220	TX	6040	533	NORTHWEST	530	6	06	NWHwy WaltonWalker		63886	2467961.234	6991272.678	2019-09-29 9:33:31	LA ZONA ROSA	1676 REGAL ROW, DALLAS	CABARET	500	
57	75247	TX	3078	522	NORTHWEST	520	2	02	John Carpenter Stemmons		63886	2467961.234	6991272.678	2019-09-29 9:33:31	LA ZONA ROSA	1676 REGAL ROW, DALLAS	CABARET	500	
58	75247	TX	3078	522	NORTHWEST	520	2	02	John Carpenter Stemmons		63886	2467961.234	6991272.678	2019-09-29 9:33:31	LA ZONA ROSA	1676 REGAL ROW, DALLAS	CABARET	500	
59	75247	TX	3078	522	NORTHWEST	520	2	02	John Carpenter Stemmons		63886	2467961.234	6991272.678	2019-09-29 9:33:31	LA ZONA ROSA	1676 REGAL ROW, DALLAS	CABARET	500	
60	75229	TX	3025	534	NORTHWEST	530	6	06	John Carpenter Stemmons		78888	2463588.255	7009516.127	2020-01-08 9:47:36	PARKS ADULT BOOKSTORE	11118 HARRY HINES BLVD	ARCADÉ	500	
61	75229	TX	3025	534	NORTHWEST	530	6	06			78888	2463588.255	7009516.127	2020-01-08 9:47:36	PARKS ADULT BOOKSTORE	11118 HARRY HINES BLVD	ARCADÉ	500	
62	75220	TX	3057	521	NORTHWEST	520	6	06			71691	2463004.794	7009853.842	2019-03-19 7:39:09	BARRY DOLLS TOPLESS SALOON	10250 SHADY TRL	CABARET	500	
63	75220	TX	6040	533	NORTHWEST	530	6	06	NWHwy WaltonWalker		72240	2458607.405	7001407.459	2019-07-12 9:17:39	DOCS AT PRESENT/STRIP MALL	2535 MANANA DR	CABARET	500	
64	75229	TX	3004	551	NORTHWEST	550	6	06	Forest Dennis		84982	2461437.859	7015559.931	2021-02-0					



Count of Master_Incident_Number	
MBeat	Total
221	5
222	736
227	296
233	112
258	94
318	233
437	704
512	19
513	1421
514	183
515	710
521	31
522	2174
532	1495
533	39
534	2958
535	4146
551	3
552	607
(blank)	190
Grand Total	16
	16172



A		B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N	O
1	Count of Master_Incident_Number	Priority Description	Year	Group A B		2018 Total		2019 Total		2020 Total		2021 Total		Grand Total	
2			10p to 2a	2a to 6a	10p to 2a	2a to 6a	10p to 2a	2a to 6a	10p to 2a	2a to 6a	10p to 2a	2a to 6a	10p to 2a	2a to 6a	
3	USER_SOB_Name														
4	AMAZING.NET	1 - Emergency	14	2	2	5	1	6	1	4	5	1	2	3	16
5		2 - Urgent	10	2	24	15	8	23	11	16	27	9	7	16	90
6		3 - General Service	6	2	8	9	4	13	5	4	9	3	5	8	38
7		4 - Non Critical	2	2	2	1	2	3	3	2	2	1	1	1	8
8	AMAZING.NET Total		22	14	36	30	15	45	17	26	43	14	14	28	152
9															
10	AZUKITA INC-DBA CLUB LACY	1 - Emergency	2	4	6	13	2	14	5	2	7	2	3	5	32
11		2 - Urgent	3	2	5	2	2	4	2	2	4	2	2	2	32
12		3 - General Service	2	2	2	1	1	2	4	2	2	1	1	2	15
13		4 - Non Critical	2	2	2	1	1	2	2	2	4	2	1	1	5
14	AZUKITA INC-DBA CLUB LACY Total		7	6	13	18	4	22	7	5	12	4	4	8	55
15															
16	BABY DOLLS SALOON WEST	1 - Emergency	2	2	2	2	1	3	2	2	2	1	3	4	11
17		2 - Urgent	5	4	9	3	5	8	2	4	6	5	3	8	31
18		3 - General Service	6	2	8	2	2	4	6	2	1	3	3	1	18
19		4 - Non Critical	2	2	3	3	1	4	4	1	1	1	2	2	7
20	BABY DOLLS SALOON WEST Total		13	6	19	10	11	21	5	7	12	6	9	15	67
21															
22	BABY DOLLS SOUTH	1 - Emergency	1	1	1	2	—	2	—	2	—	2	—	1	2
23		2 - Urgent	3	—	3	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	9
24		3 - General Service	—	—	—	1	—	1	—	—	—	—	—	—	1
25	BABY DOLLS SOUTH Total		4	—	4	—	—	3	—	2	—	2	—	3	12
26	BABY DOLLS TOPLESS SALOON	1 - Emergency	3	6	9	6	1	7	4	4	8	4	5	9	33
27		2 - Urgent	30	29	59	35	37	72	27	28	55	25	25	50	236
28		3 - General Service	17	16	33	9	12	21	10	20	30	16	16	32	116
29		4 - Non Critical	7	4	11	6	3	9	2	5	7	4	3	7	34
30	BABY DOLLS TOPLESS SALOON Total		57	55	112	56	53	109	43	57	100	49	49	98	419
31	BLACK ORCHID	1 - Emergency	—	1	1	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	1
32		2 - Urgent	2	1	3	1	3	4	—	1	1	1	1	2	10
33		3 - General Service	—	1	1	2	1	3	—	1	1	1	1	1	6
34	BLACK ORCHID Total		2	3	5	3	4	7	—	2	2	2	1	3	17
35	BLISS ARCADE THEATER CLUB	1 - Emergency	4	1	5	1	—	1	2	1	3	2	2	4	13
36		2 - Urgent	17	8	25	14	3	17	13	9	22	14	4	18	82
37		3 - General Service	8	4	12	8	4	12	7	2	9	5	4	9	42
38		4 - Non Critical	1	2	3	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	7
39	BLISS ARCADE THEATER CLUB Total		30	15	45	23	7	30	23	12	35	24	10	34	144
40	BUCKS CABARET	1 - Emergency	4	4	8	2	1	3	4	8	12	3	8	11	34
41		2 - Urgent	21	34	55	35	45	80	29	45	74	18	32	50	259
42		3 - General Service	15	24	39	23	21	44	9	17	26	6	19	25	134
43		4 - Non Critical	3	9	12	5	6	11	3	8	11	6	2	8	42
44	BUCKS CABARET Total	(blank)	43	71	114	65	74	139	45	78	123	33	61	94	470
45	BUCKS WILD	1 - Emergency	2	1	3	—	1	1	3	—	3	—	2	2	9
46		2 - Urgent	5	16	21	12	16	28	19	12	31	15	12	27	107
47		3 - General Service	2	8	10	4	6	10	6	6	12	5	4	9	41
48		4 - Non Critical	2	6	8	—	2	2	1	3	4	3	3	6	20
49	BUCKS WILD Total		11	31	42	16	25	41	29	21	50	23	21	44	177
50	CABARET ROYAL/CHICAS LOCAS	1 - Emergency	—	1	1	—	2	2	—	—	—	1	—	1	4
51		2 - Urgent	4	6	10	8	12	20	5	4	9	—	2	4	43
52		3 - General Service	1	3	4	1	2	3	1	—	1	4	2	6	14
53		4 - Non Critical	—	1	1	1	—	1	1	1	1	1	2	3	6
54	CABARET ROYAL/CHICAS LOCAS Total		5	11	16	10	16	26	7	4	11	8	6	14	67
55	CHICA BONITAS	1 - Emergency	2	2	4	1	2	3	2	2	4	1	1	1	12
56		2 - Urgent	10	18	28	16	21	37	13	17	30	11	17	28	123
57		3 - General Service	1	8	9	5	6	11	4	6	10	5	4	9	39
58		4 - Non Critical	2	2	3	—	3	3	3	3	3	2	2	4	13
59	CHICA BONITAS Total		15	29	44	22	32	54	22	25	47	19	23	42	187
60	COASTER LINE - COASTER CLUB INC.	1 - Emergency	4	5	9	4	6	10	2	9	11	6	10	16	46
61		2 - Urgent	39	45	84	55	44	99	43	46	89	28	42	70	342
62		3 - General Service	22	26	48	21	25	46	26	28	54	18	22	40	188
63		4 - Non Critical	12	8	20	4	11	15	7	11	18	4	4	8	61
64	COASTER LINE - COASTER CLUB INC. Total		77	84	161	84	86	170	78	94	172	56	78	134	637
65	DALLAS CABARET NORTH	1 - Emergency	2	1	3	1	1	2	3	1	4	1	1	2	11
66		2 - Urgent	4	6	10	9	9	18	5	5	10	3	5	8	46
67		3 - General Service	1	4	5	—	—	6	—	1	7	2	1	3	15



A		B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N	O
68	DALLAS CABARET NORTH	4 - Non Critical	4	1	5	1	2	3	3		3		2	2	13
69	DALLAS CABARET NORTH Total		11	12	23	11	12	23	17	7	24	6	9	15	85
70	DALLAS CABARET SOUTH	1 - Emergency	1	4	5		1	1	3	3	3	1	2	3	12
71		2 - Urgent	9	10	19	13	9	22	9	7	16	11	8	19	76
72		3 - General Service	6	5	11	4	6	10	3	2	5	3	8	11	37
73		4 - Non Critical	3	3	3	4	4	4	1	5	1	1	1	2	14
74	DALLAS CABARET SOUTH Total		16	22	38	21	16	37	16	13	29	16	19	35	139
75	DG'S A GENTLEMAN'S CLUB	1 - Emergency		1	1	6	2	8	4	3	7	2	3	5	21
76		2 - Urgent	15	14	29	16	29	45	18	27	45	10	22	32	151
77		3 - General Service	12	11	23	7	12	19	8	18	26	10	14	24	92
78		4 - Non Critical	5	6	11	1	6	7		2	2	5	6	11	31
79	DG'S A GENTLEMAN'S CLUB Total		32	32	64	30	49	79	30	50	80	27	45	72	295
80	FOXV'S CABARET	2 - Urgent		2	2				1	2	3	3	3	3	8
81		3 - General Service		1	1		1	1	1	1	1	1	1	2	5
82	FOXV'S CABARET Total			3	3		1	1	1	3	4	1	4	5	13
83	LA ZONA ROSA	1 - Emergency	4	1	5	3	3	6	5	6	11	9	1	10	32
84		2 - Urgent	23	29	52	48	26	74	28	16	44	15	12	27	197
85		3 - General Service	24	16	40	15	14	29	17	11	28	13	10	23	120
86		4 - Non Critical	2	2	4	5	4	9	2	2	4	4	3	7	24
87	LA ZONA ROSA Total		53	48	101	71	47	118	52	35	87	41	26	67	373
88	LIDO ADULT THEATER	1 - Emergency	1	4	5	3	1	4	4	7	11	4	1	5	25
89		2 - Urgent	24	24	48	25	21	46	28	21	49	26	15	41	184
90		3 - General Service	10	14	24	15	8	23	10	7	17	5	3	8	72
91		4 - Non Critical	4	4	8	3	6	9	6	3	9	6	3	9	35
92	LIDO ADULT THEATER Total		39	46	85	46	36	82	48	38	86	41	22	63	316
93	LIPSTICK	1 - Emergency	3	2	5	2	1	3	2	2	4		4	4	16
94		2 - Urgent	5	19	24	6	11	17	11	9	20	9	5	14	75
95		3 - General Service	2	8	10	8	3	11	3	5	8	3	7	10	39
96		4 - Non Critical	1	4	5	1	1	2	2	3	5	3	1	4	16
97	LIPSTICK Total		11	33	44	17	16	33	18	19	37	15	17	32	146
98	NEW FINE ARTS - MOCKINGBIRD	1 - Emergency				1		1		5	5	1		1	7
99		2 - Urgent	6	6	12	9	8	17	6	7	13	3	7	10	52
100		3 - General Service		4	8		3	4	3	3	6	2	3	5	23
101		4 - Non Critical	2	2	3	2	3	5	4	2	6		1	1	15
102	NEW FINE ARTS - MOCKINGBIRD Total		12	11	23	15	12	27	13	17	30	6	11	17	97
103	NEW FINE ARTS - WEST	1 - Emergency	4	3	7	3	3	6		3	3	4	3	7	23
104		2 - Urgent	11	19	30	11	12	23	8	18	26	9	18	27	106
105		3 - General Service	3	10	13	6	9	15	5	9	14	7	8	15	57
106		4 - Non Critical	2	8	10	3	5	8	1	1	2		2	2	22
107	NEW FINE ARTS - WEST Total		20	40	60	23	29	52	14	31	45	20	31	51	208
108	NEW FINE ARTS - SHILOH RD	1 - Emergency	1		1	2	2	2	1	2	3	3		8	6
109		2 - Urgent	6	9	15	7	3	10	6	3	9	5	3	4	42
110		3 - General Service	1	2	3	4	2	6	3	1	4	3	1	4	17
111		4 - Non Critical		1	1		3	3	1		1		3	3	8
112	NEW FINE ARTS - SHILOH RD Total		8	12	20	13	8	21	11	6	17	8	7	15	73
113	ODYSSEY	1 - Emergency					2	2	1		1	1		4	
114		2 - Urgent	4	5	9	7	2	9	4	7	11	6	1	7	36
115		3 - General Service	4	3	7	2	5	7	5	4	9	1	3	4	27
116		4 - Non Critical	3	3	6	1	1	2	2	1	3		1	1	12
117		(blank)					1	1							1
118	ODYSSEY Total		11	11	22	10	11	21	12	12	24	8	5	13	80
119	PANDORA'S MENS CLUB	1 - Emergency		1	1		2	19	7	10	3	2	3	5	11
120		2 - Urgent	6	8	14	9	10	19	7	10	17	6	7	13	63
121		3 - General Service	5	4	9	2	11	13	3	5	8	3	4	7	37
122		4 - Non Critical	1	3	4	1	1	2	1	1	2	1		1	9
123	PANDORA'S MENS CLUB Total		12	16	28	12	24	36	12	18	30	12	14	26	120
124	PARIS ADULT BOOKSTORE	1 - Emergency					5	5	1	5	6	2	4	6	17
125		2 - Urgent	10	12	22	9	25	34	8	21	29	10	12	22	107
126		3 - General Service	6	9	15	7	9	16	7	9	16	7	9	16	63
127		4 - Non Critical	1	4	5	3	6	9	4	2	6		5	5	25
128	PARIS ADULT BOOKSTORE Total		17	25	42	19	45	64	20	37	57	19	30	49	212
129	PTS MENS CLUB	1 - Emergency		3	3			12	4	5	9		3		3
130		2 - Urgent	5	2	7	7	5	12	4	5	9	5	3	8	36
131		3 - General Service	3	2	5	3	1	4	2	1	3	2	1	3	15
132		4 - Non Critical	1	1	2	1	1	2	1	1	2	1	1	2	8
133	PTS MENS CLUB Total		9	8	17	11	7	18	7	7	14	8	5	13	62
134	SILVER CITY CABARET	1 - Emergency		3	3	1		1				2	3	5	9

NOT RECORDED



	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N	O
135	SILVER CITY CABARET	2 - Urgent	6	10	16	16	13	29	14	13	27	12	8	20	92
136		3 - General Service	6	4	10	5	3	8	4	3	7	5	6	11	36
137		4 - Non Critical	1	4	5	1	1	1	2	2	2	1	3	3	11
138	SILVER CITY CABARET Total		13	21	34	22	17	39	20	16	36	20	19	39	148
139	SPEARMINT RHINO GENTLEMAN'S CLUB	1 - Emergency	4	8	12	1	2	3	7	12	19	4	5	9	43
140		2 - Urgent	31	41	72	32	45	77	43	54	97	29	28	57	303
141		3 - General Service	17	20	37	17	25	42	15	15	30	20	20	40	149
142		4 - Non Critical	12	15	27	6	10	16	8	10	18	1	5	6	67
143	SPEARMINT RHINO GENTLEMAN'S CLUB Total		64	84	148	56	82	138	73	91	164	54	58	112	562
144	THE CLUBHOUSE	1 - Emergency													
145		2 - Urgent	1	6	7	1	4	5	3	2	5	1	1	1	18
146		3 - General Service	1	3	4		1	1				2		2	7
147		4 - Non Critical	1	1	2				1		1	1		1	4
148	THE CLUBHOUSE Total		3	10	13	1	5	6	4	3	7	3	2	5	31
149	THE LODGE BAR AND GRILL	1 - Emergency		2	2			7	5	1	1	1	2	2	5
150		2 - Urgent	1	1	2	3	4	7	5	3	8	6	3	9	26
151		3 - General Service	3	1	4		2	2	3	3	3	1	1	2	11
152		4 - Non Critical		1	1					2	2				3
153	THE LODGE BAR AND GRILL Total		4	5	9	3	6	9	8	6	14	7	6	13	45
154	THE MEN'S CLUB OF DALLAS	1 - Emergency	2	5	7		2	7	3	1	4		8	8	26
155		2 - Urgent	20	27	47	19	36	55	31	28	59	24	23	47	208
156		3 - General Service	8	19	27	17	15	32	12	12	24	10	15	25	108
157		4 - Non Critical	1	6	7	4	3	7	4	5	9		7	7	30
158	THE MEN'S CLUB OF DALLAS Total		31	57	88	45	56	101	50	46	96	36	51	87	372
159	UNK AT PRESENT/STRIP MALL	1 - Emergency		1	1	1		1		2	2	1	1	1	5
160		2 - Urgent	5	6	11		6	7	1	5	6	2	3	5	29
161		3 - General Service	2	2	4	1	1	1		2	2	2	2	4	11
162		4 - Non Critical		1	1	2	1	3	1	1	2		2	2	8
163		(blank)					1	1							1
164	UNK AT PRESENT/STRIP MALL Total		7	10	17	5	8	13	2	10	12	5	7	12	54
165	UNKNOWN AT PRESENT	1 - Emergency											1	1	1
166		2 - Urgent	1	2	3	1		1	1		1	1	1	2	7
167		3 - General Service							2		2			2	2
168		4 - Non Critical											1	1	1
169	UNKNOWN AT PRESENT Total		1	2	3	1		1	3		3	1	3	4	11
170	WESTWOOD MEDIA & ENTERTAINMENT GROUP	2 - Urgent													
171		3 - General Service		1	1					1	1				1
172	WESTWOOD MEDIA & ENTERTAINMENT GROUP Total			1	1					1	1				2
173	XPOSED ADULT THEATER	1 - Emergency		2	2	2	3	5		1	1	1	1	2	10
174		2 - Urgent	9	12	21	6	7	13	8	15	23	7	10	17	74
175		3 - General Service	10	13	13	3	3	6	2	8	10	2	7	9	38
176		4 - Non Critical	2	2	3		1	4	1	1	2	1	1	2	10
177	XPOSED ADULT THEATER Total		19	19	38	14	14	28	11	25	36	11	19	30	132
178	XTC CABARET	1 - Emergency	1	2	3	1	2	4	2	2	4	3	3	6	17
179		2 - Urgent	14	18	32	11	12	23	11	11	22	7	15	22	99
180		3 - General Service	7	9	16	10	3	13	5	2	7	5	3	8	44
181		4 - Non Critical	3	2	5	3	3	3	1	2	3		4	4	15
182	XTC CABARET Total		25	31	56	26	17	43	19	17	36	15	25	40	175
183	Grand Total		704	884	1588	812	845	1657	739	839	1578	620	712	1332	6155



	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N	O
1	OBJECTID	Join_Count	TARGET_FID	ID	Master_Incident_Number	Response_Date	Response_Time	Watch	Jurisdiction	MRA	MBeat	Msector	MDivision	Problem	Priority_Number
2	433	1	61096	30539255	18-0001873	2018-01-01 0:00:00	01:18	1	Dallas Police	3078	522	520	Northwest	40/01 - Other	2
3	434	1	61246	30540305	18-0002659	2018-01-01 0:00:00	02:32	1	Dallas Police	3025	534	530	Northwest	40 - Other	3
4	435	1	61260	30540390	18-0002740	2018-01-01 0:00:00	02:42	1	Dallas Police	1202	221	220	Northwest	6X - Major Dist (Violence)	2
5	436	1	61274	30540472	18-0002830	2018-01-01 0:00:00	02:50	1	Dallas Police	3098	512	510	Northwest	6XA - Major Dist Ambulance	2
6	437	1	61276	30540489	18-0002826	2018-01-01 0:00:00	02:53	1	Dallas Police	3040	534	530	Northwest	11V - Burg Motor Veh	4
7	438	1	61292	30540589	18-0002908	2018-01-01 0:00:00	03:03	1	Dallas Police	6060	533	530	Northwest	07 - Minor Accident	3
8	439	1	61318	30540713	18-0002987	2018-01-01 0:00:00	03:20	1	Dallas Police	6041	533	530	Northwest	6X - Major Dist (Violence)	2
9	440	1	61351	30540842	18-0003083	2018-01-01 0:00:00	03:41	1	Dallas Police	3025	534	530	Northwest	128 - Business Alarm	3
10	441	1	61360	30540867	18-0003102	2018-01-01 0:00:00	03:44	1	Dallas Police	6060	533	530	Northwest	6X - Major Dist (Violence)	2
11	429	1	60504	30541574	18-0003647	2018-01-01 0:00:00	05:38	1	Dallas Police	3078	522	520	Northwest	24 - Abandoned Property	4
12	442	1	61443	30542014	18-0003953	2018-01-01 0:00:00	07:50	1	Dallas Police	3078	522	520	Northwest	09V - UUNV	4
13	443	1	61560	30542647	18-0004406	2018-01-01 0:00:00	10:22	2	Dallas Police	3100	514	510	Northwest	31 - Criminal Mischief	4
14	444	1	61597	30542832	18-0004544	2018-01-01 0:00:00	11:04	2	Dallas Police	3005	552	550	Northwest	32 - Suspicious Person	2
15	445	1	61598	30542834	18-0004559	2018-01-01 0:00:00	11:05	2	Dallas Police	4451	521	520	Northwest	11V - Burg Motor Veh	4
16	446	1	61659	30543131	18-0004778	2018-01-01 0:00:00	12:11	2	Dallas Police	1203	222	220	Northwest	24 - Abandoned Property	4
17	447	1	61720	30543444	18-0004960	2018-01-01 0:00:00	13:03	2	Dallas Police	6041	533	530	Northwest	38 - Meet Complainant	4
18	448	1	61747	30543591	18-0005063	2018-01-01 0:00:00	13:32	2	Dallas Police	3078	522	520	Northwest	40/01 - Other	2
19	449	1	61815	30544031	18-0005400	2018-01-01 0:00:00	14:53	2	Dallas Police	3100	514	510	Northwest	04 - 911 Hang Up	2
20	450	1	62084	30545547	18-0005772	2018-01-01 0:00:00	19:03	3	Dallas Police	1203	222	220	Northwest	06 - Minor Disturbance	4
21	451	1	62099	30545637	18-0006616	2018-01-01 0:00:00	19:14	3	Dallas Police	3041	534	530	Northwest	128 - Business Alarm	3
22	452	1	62109	30545698	18-0006669	2018-01-01 0:00:00	19:26	3	Dallas Police	3078	522	520	Northwest	40 - Other	3
23	453	1	62169	30546024	18-0006901	2018-01-01 0:00:00	20:22	3	Dallas Police	3098	512	510	Northwest	7XF - Major Accident Freeway	1
24	454	1	62242	30546430	18-0007231	2018-01-01 0:00:00	21:28	3	Dallas Police	1217	318	310	Southeast	128 - Business Alarm	3
25	455	1	62293	30546718	18-0007467	2018-01-01 0:00:00	22:21	3	Dallas Police	1203	222	220	Northwest	40 - Other	3
26	456	1	62478	30547722	18-0008281	2018-01-02 0:00:00	02:49	1	Dallas Police	3099	512	510	Northwest	40/01 - Other	2
27	457	1	62506	30547913	18-0008407	2018-01-02 0:00:00	03:57	1	Dallas Police	3078	522	520	Northwest	6X - Major Dist (Violence)	2
28	463	1	63286	30550201	18-0010165	2018-01-02 0:00:00	12:39	2	Dallas Police	3041	534	530	Northwest	6X - Major Dist (Violence)	2
29	466	1	63744	30550481	18-0010365	2018-01-02 0:00:00	13:23	2	Dallas Police	3025	534	530	Northwest	6X - Major Dist (Violence)	2
30	460	1	62854	30550883	18-0010685	2018-01-02 0:00:00	14:20	2	Dallas Police	4451	521	520	Northwest	09 - Theft	4
31	462	1	63239	30551190	18-0010923	2018-01-02 0:00:00	15:07	2	Dallas Police	3025	534	530	Northwest	6XEA - Disturbance Emerg Amb	1
32	458	1	62655	30552979	18-0012337	2018-01-02 0:00:00	19:19	3	Dallas Police	3041	534	530	Northwest	40 - Other	3
33	464	1	63336	30553527	18-0012756	2018-01-02 0:00:00	20:46	3	Dallas Police	1203	222	220	Northwest	40/01 - Other	2
34	465	1	63420	30554963	18-0013837	2018-01-03 0:00:00	01:06	1	Dallas Police	1203	222	220	Northwest	DASV-Dist Active Shooter Veh	1
35	459	1	62679	30555224	18-0014055	2018-01-03 0:00:00	02:20	1	Dallas Police	3100	514	510	Northwest	11V - Burg Motor Veh	4
36	461	1	62981	30555568	18-0014316	2018-01-03 0:00:00	04:32	1	Dallas Police	3004	551	550	Northwest	128 - Business Alarm	3
37	468	1	64352	30556377	18-0014936	2018-01-03 0:00:00	08:36	2	Dallas Police	4451	521	520	Northwest	128 - Business Alarm	3
38	467	1	64247	30561858	18-0019135	2018-01-03 0:00:00	21:29	3	Dallas Police	4451	521	520	Northwest	40 - Other	3
39	469	1	64831	30562487	18-0019618	2018-01-03 0:00:00	23:17	3	Dallas Police	3099	512	510	Northwest	41/40 - Other - In Progress	1
40	470	1	65033	30563413	18-0020297	2018-01-04 0:00:00	02:18	1	Dallas Police	3100	514	510	Northwest	6X - Major Dist (Violence)	2
41	499	1	68412	30564691	18-0021302	2018-01-04 0:00:00	08:43	2	Dallas Police	1202,12	221	220	Northwest	07 - Minor Accident	3
42	500	1	68456	30566565	18-0022743	2018-01-04 0:00:00	13:51	2	Dallas Police	3005	552	550	Northwest	04 - 911 Hang Up	2
43	478	1	66337	30566996	18-0023084	2018-01-04 0:00:00	14:58	2	Dallas Police	3040	534	530	Northwest	6X - Major Dist (Violence)	2
44	492	1	67547	30567315	18-0023333	2018-01-04 0:00:00	15:36	2	Dallas Police	1203	222	220	Northwest	6X - Major Dist (Violence)	2
45	498	1	68059	30568617	18-0024363	2018-01-04 0:00:00	18:31	3	Dallas Police	3098	512	510	Northwest	07 - Minor Accident	3
46	493	1	67568	30569509	18-0025042	2018-01-04 0:00:00	20:41	3	Dallas Police	3100	514	510	Northwest	40/01 - Other	2
47	501	1	68485	30569899	18-0025352	2018-01-04 0:00:00	21:54	3	Dallas Police	4451	521	520	Northwest	11V - Burg Motor Veh	4
48	502	1	68497	30569947	18-0025398	2018-01-04 0:00:00	21:51	3	Dallas Police	3078	522	520	Northwest	32 - Suspicious Person	2
49	471	1	65368	30571044	18-0026301	2018-01-05 0:00:00	01:50	1	Dallas Police	4451	521	520	Northwest	6X - Major Dist (Violence)	2
50	489	1	67275	30571373	18-0026571	2018-01-05 0:00:00	03:20	1	Dallas Police	3078	522	520	Northwest	40/01 - Other	2
51	490	1	67281	30571400	18-0026591	2018-01-05 0:00:00	04:22	1	Dallas Police	1202	221	220	Northwest	11B - Burg of Bus	3
52	497	1	67865	30571597	18-0026753	2018-01-05 0:00:00	07:01	1	Dallas Police	1203	222	220	Northwest	7X - Major Accident	2
53	474	1	65888	30572064	18-0027136	2018-01-05 0:00:00	07:01	1	Dallas Police	3040	534	530	Northwest	128 - Business Alarm	3
54	472	1	65379	30572837	18-0027742	2018-01-05 0:00:00	09:36	2	Dallas Police	3100	514	510	Northwest	09V - UUNV	4
55	491	1	67331	30573178	18-0028023	2018-01-05 0:00:00	10:38	2	Dallas Police	4451	521	520	Northwest	40/01 - Other	2
56	496	1	67850	30574588	18-0029145	2018-01-05 0:00:00	14:04	2	Dallas Police	3099	512	510	Northwest	37F - Freeway Blockage	2



P		Q	R		S	T	U	V	W	X
1	Priority_Description	Location_Name	Address	Apt	City	State	Postal_Code	Map_Info	Call_Disposition	
2	2 - Urgent	MOTEL 6	1625 Regal Row	217	Dallas	TX	75247	33-K	NP - No Police Action	
3	3 - General Service	DALLAS CABARET SOUTH	2444 Walnut Ridge St		Dallas	TX	75229	22-M	NP - No Police Action	
4	2 - Urgent	LAMPLIGHTER HOTEL	9033 E R L Thornton Serv Wb		Dallas	TX	75228	48-F	NC - No Complainant	
5	2 - Urgent	JACK OF DIAMONDS NIGHT CLUB	9009 Sovereign Row		Dallas	TX	75247	33-S	NP - No Police Action	
6	4 - Non Critical		10945 Composite Dr		Dallas	TX	75220	22-R	NC - No Complainant	
7	3 - General Service	ONYX CLUB	2151 Manana Dr		Dallas	TX	75220	22-P	NC - No Complainant	
8	2 - Urgent		2030 W Northwest Hwy		Dallas	TX	75220	22-U	NP - No Police Action	
9	3 - General Service	IMPORT WAREHOUSE INC	11029 Harry Hines Blvd		Dallas	TX	75229	23-J	AF - Alarm False	
10	2 - Urgent	ONYX CLUB	2151 Manana Dr		Dallas	TX	75220	22-P	NP - No Police Action	
11	4 - Non Critical	WHATABURGER   stemmons @ regal row	8850 N Stemmons Serv Nb		Dallas	TX	75247	33-K	NP - No Police Action	
12	4 - Non Critical	WHATABURGER   stemmons @ regal row	8850 N Stemmons Serv Nb		Dallas	TX	75247	33-K	NP - No Police Action	
13	4 - Non Critical	CROWNE PLAZA MKT CTR	7050 N Stemmons Serv Nb		Dallas	TX	75247	33-U	R - Report	
14	2 - Urgent	TOYOTA OF DALLAS	2610 Forest Ln		Dallas	TX	75229	13-W	C - Cover Only	
15	4 - Non Critical	HOLIDAY INN	2287 W Northwest Hwy		Dallas	TX	75220	48-G	R - Report	
16	4 - Non Critical	TIGER CABARET	9211 E R L Thornton Serv Wb		Dallas	TX	75228	48-G	NC - No Complainant	
17	4 - Non Critical	ROYAL INN	2030 W Northwest Hwy		Dallas	TX	75220	22-U	NC - No Complainant	
18	2 - Urgent	MOTEL 6	1625 Regal Row	110	Dallas	TX	75247	33-K	C - Cover Only	
19	2 - Urgent	SUBWAY	1710 W Mockingbird Ln		Dallas	TX	75235	33-V	C - Cover Only	
20	4 - Non Critical	THE GARDEN INN SUITES	9211 E R L Thornton Fwy Wb	108	Dallas	TX	75228	48-G	NP - No Police Action	
21	3 - General Service	FIESTA FURNITURE	10679 Harry Hines Blvd	B	Dallas	TX	75220	23-S	AF - Alarm False	
22	3 - General Service	WHATABURGER   stemmons @ regal row	8850 N Stemmons Serv Nb		Dallas	TX	75247	33-K	NP - No Police Action	
23	1 - Emergency	T MOBILE	9200 John W Carpenter Fwy Nb	210	Dallas	TX	75247	33-N	C - Cover Only	
24	3 - General Service	GARDEN INN AND SUITES	9208 E R L Thornton Fwy Eb		Dallas	TX	75228	48-G	NP - No Police Action	
25	3 - General Service	XPOSED ADULT VIDEO	9211 E R L Thornton Serv Wb		Dallas	TX	75247	33-X	NC - No Complainant	
26	2 - Urgent	CLUB XTC   (stemmons & viceroy)	910 W Mockingbird Ln		Dallas	TX	75247	33-P	C - Cover Only	
27	2 - Urgent		8550 N Stemmons Serv Nb		Dallas	TX	75220	23-S	R - Report	
28	2 - Urgent		Freewood Dr / Harry Hines Blvd		Dallas	TX	75220	23-S	R - Report	
29	2 - Urgent	SAF T LOC STORAGE	11359 Reeder Rd		Dallas	TX	75229	22-H	NP - No Police Action	
30	4 - Non Critical	BEST WESTERN	2361 W Northwest Hwy	209	Dallas	TX	75220	23-W	R - Report	
31	1 - Emergency	MATH DISCOUNT MERCHANDISE	11035 Harry Hines Blvd	203	Dallas	TX	75229	23-J	R - Report	
32	3 - General Service	EL PAISA	10844 Harry Hines Blvd		Dallas	TX	75220	23-N	NP - No Police Action	
33	2 - Urgent		9211 E R L Thornton Fwy Wb	108	Dallas	TX	75228	48-G	NC - No Complainant	
34	1 - Emergency	Tiger Cabaret	9125 E R L Thornton Serv Wb		Dallas	TX	75228	48-G	R - Report	
35	4 - Non Critical	CROWNE PLAZA	7050 N Stemmons Serv Nb		Dallas	TX	75247	33-U		
36	3 - General Service	LAS HADAS MEXICAN RESTAURANT	11311 Harry Hines Blvd	601	Dallas	TX	75229	22-H	AF - Alarm False	
37	3 - General Service	*TEXAS CAR WORLD	2331 W Northwest Hwy	102	Dallas	TX	75220	23-W	NP - No Police Action	
38	3 - General Service		2351 W NORTHWEST HWY		Dallas	TX	75220	23-W	NP - No Police Action	
39	1 - Emergency		N STEMMONS FWY NB / JOHN W CARPENTER FWY NB		Dallas	TX	75247	33-U	NP - No Police Action	
40	2 - Urgent	CROWNE PLAZA MKT CTR	7050 N Stemmons Serv Nb		Dallas	TX	75228	48-F,48-F	NC - No Complainant	
41	3 - General Service	lamp lighter motel	E R L Thornton Serv Wb / Dilido Rd		Dallas	TX	75229	13-W	NP - No Police Action	
42	2 - Urgent	TOYOTA OF DALLAS	2610 Forest Ln	211	Dallas	TX	75220	22-V	C - Cover Only	
43	2 - Urgent	PEOPLE READY	2526 MANANA DR		Dallas	TX	75228	48-F	M - Mark Out Only	
44	2 - Urgent	CASH, PLUS PAWN	9103 E R L Thornton Fwy Wb		Dallas	TX	75247	33-N	R - Report	
45	3 - General Service	BLISS ADULT ARCADE THEATER	9109 John W Carpenter Serv Sb		Dallas	TX	75235	33-V	NP - No Police Action	
46	2 - Urgent		1700 Plantation Rd		Dallas	TX	75220	22-Z	R - Report	
47	4 - Non Critical	HOLIDAY INN EXPRESS	2287 W Northwest Hwy		Dallas	TX	75220	33-K	M - Mark Out Only	
48	2 - Urgent	MOTEL 6	1625 Regal Row		Dallas	TX	75247	22-Z	NP - No Police Action	
49	2 - Urgent	HOLIDAY INN	2287 W Northwest Hwy		Dallas	TX	75220	22-Z	C - Cover Only	
50	2 - Urgent	MOTEL 6	1625 Regal Row		Dallas	TX	75247	33-K	R - Report	
51	3 - General Service	LAMPLIGHTER HOTEL	9033 E R L Thornton Serv Wb	124	Dallas	TX	75228	48-F	R - Report	
52	2 - Urgent	Tiger Cabaret	9125 E R L Thornton Serv Wb		Dallas	TX	75228	48-G	AF - Alarm False	
53	3 - General Service	METROPLEX BATTERY	2750 Electronic Ln	1616	Dallas	TX	75220	22-R	R - Report	
54	4 - Non Critical	CROWNE PLAZA MKT CTR	7050 N Stemmons Serv Nb	1014	Dallas	TX	75247	33-U	NC - No Complainant	
55	2 - Urgent		2351 W NORTHWEST HWY		Dallas	TX	75220	23-W	NP - No Police Action	
56	2 - Urgent		John W Carpenter Fwy Nb / N Stemmons Fwy Nb		Dallas	TX	75247	33-U		

COD\_006258



	Y	Z	AA	AB	AC	AD	AE	AF	AG	AH
1	Cancel_Reason	Year	Time_PhonePickUp	Time_PhonePickUp	Group Time	Group A B	Time_FirstCallTrakingKeyStroke	Fixed_Time_CallEnteredQueue	Time_CallEnteredQueue	Time_First_Unit_Assigned
2	2018	2018-01-01 1:18:24	2018-01-01 0:00:00	1:18:24 AM	10p to 2a	2018-03-29 20:51:51	2018-03-29 20:57:45	2018-03-29 20:57:45	2018-03-29 21:08:57	
3	2018	2018-01-01 2:32:53	2018-01-01 0:00:00	2:32:53 AM	2a to 6a	2018-07-05 4:03:35	2018-07-05 4:06:51	2018-07-05 4:06:51	2018-07-05 4:14:15	
4	2018	2018-01-01 2:42:09	2018-01-01 0:00:00	2:42:09 AM	2a to 6a	2018-07-17 2:28:32	2018-07-17 2:30:11	2018-07-17 2:30:11	2018-07-17 2:30:49	
5	2018	2018-01-01 2:50:51	2018-01-01 0:00:00	2:50:51 AM	2a to 6a	2018-07-29 2:33:37	2018-07-29 2:35:27	2018-07-29 2:35:27	2018-07-29 2:53:42	
6	2018	2018-01-01 2:53:25	2018-01-01 0:00:00	2:53:25 AM	2a to 6a	2018-08-02 9:19:37	2018-08-02 9:23:56	2018-08-02 9:23:56	2018-08-02 9:25:50	
7	2018	2018-01-01 3:03:56	2018-01-01 0:00:00	3:03:56 AM	2a to 6a	2018-08-15 0:53:52	2018-08-15 0:57:08	2018-08-15 0:57:08	2018-08-15 0:58:32	
8	2018	2018-01-01 3:20:59	2018-01-01 0:00:00	3:20:59 AM	2a to 6a	2018-09-06 4:00:05	2018-09-06 4:05:11	2018-09-06 4:05:11	2018-09-06 4:23:52	
9	2018	2018-01-01 3:41:08	2018-01-01 0:00:00	3:41:08 AM	2a to 6a	2018-09-30 14:17:11	2018-09-30 14:20:19	2018-09-30 14:20:19	2018-09-30 16:28:35	
10	2018	2018-01-01 3:44:26	2018-01-01 0:00:00	3:44:26 AM	2a to 6a	2018-10-06 11:08:08	2018-10-06 11:09:35	2018-10-06 11:09:35	2018-10-06 11:49:43	
11	2018	2018-01-01 5:38:39	2018-01-01 0:00:00	5:38:39 AM	2a to 6a	2019-02-12 3:28:20	2019-02-12 3:29:45	2019-02-12 3:29:45	2019-02-12 3:38:58	
12	2018	2018-01-01 7:50:22	2018-01-01 0:00:00	7:50:22 AM		2019-05-17 10:48:43	2019-05-17 10:52:21	2019-05-17 10:52:21	2019-05-17 11:03:15	
13	2018	2018-01-01 10:22:57	2018-01-01 0:00:00	10:22:57 AM		2019-09-12 12:04:40	2019-09-12 12:04:25	2019-09-12 12:04:25	2019-09-12 12:20:35	
14	2018	2018-01-01 11:04:58	2018-01-01 0:00:00	11:04:58 AM		2019-10-15 9:02:26	2019-10-15 9:04:39	2019-10-15 9:04:39	2019-10-15 9:06:11	
15	2018	2018-01-01 11:05:08	2018-01-01 0:00:00	11:05:08 AM		2019-10-15 15:09:44	2019-10-15 15:12:55	2019-10-15 15:12:55	2019-10-15 17:03:08	
16	2018	2018-01-01 12:11:13	2018-01-01 0:00:00	12:11:13 PM		2019-12-20 0:49:53	2019-12-20 0:54:09	2019-12-20 0:54:09	2019-12-20 0:55:08	
17	2018	2018-01-01 13:02:59	2018-01-01 0:00:00	1:02:59 PM		2020-02-14 8:01:52	2020-02-14 8:04:12	2020-02-14 8:05:32	2020-02-14 8:05:32	
18	2018	2018-01-01 13:02:59	2018-01-01 0:00:00	1:02:59 PM		2020-03-11 1:03:16	2020-03-11 1:04:29	2020-03-11 1:04:29	2020-03-11 1:48:30	
19	2018	2018-01-01 13:32:15	2018-01-01 0:00:00	1:32:15 PM		2020-06-10 21:36:13	2020-06-10 21:37:35	2020-06-10 21:37:35	2020-06-10 21:39:09	
20	2018	2018-01-01 14:53:01	2018-01-01 0:00:00	2:53:01 PM		2021-02-06 17:17:24	2021-02-06 17:21:13	2021-02-06 17:21:13	2021-02-06 18:27:48	
21	2018	2018-01-01 19:13:59	2018-01-01 0:00:00	7:13:59 PM		2021-02-15 20:01:12	2021-02-15 20:01:12	2021-02-15 20:01:12	2021-02-15 20:13:54	
22	2018	2018-01-01 19:26:52	2018-01-01 0:00:00	7:26:52 PM		2021-02-28 1:45:30	2021-04-14 14:48:10	2021-04-14 14:48:10	2021-04-14 14:51:38	
23	2018	2018-01-01 20:21:52	2018-01-01 0:00:00	8:21:52 PM		2021-06-19 16:47:20	2021-06-19 16:51:13	2021-06-19 16:51:13	2021-06-19 17:58:33	
24	2018	2018-01-01 21:28:12	2018-01-01 0:00:00	9:28:12 PM	10p to 2a	2021-08-06 2:21:08	2021-08-06 2:22:10	2021-08-06 2:22:10	2021-08-06 4:37:26	
25	2018	2018-01-01 22:21:44	2018-01-01 0:00:00	10:21:44 PM	10p to 2a	2018-10-20 8:03:15	2018-10-20 8:05:52	2018-10-20 8:05:52	2018-10-20 8:20:46	
26	2018	2018-01-02 2:49:34	2018-01-02 0:00:00	2:49:34 AM	2a to 6a	2018-07-27 15:41:47	2018-07-27 15:43:29	2018-07-27 15:43:29	2018-07-27 15:59:08	
27	2018	2018-01-02 3:57:32	2018-01-02 0:00:00	3:57:32 AM	2a to 6a	2020-01-15 14:32:10	2020-01-15 14:34:06	2020-01-15 14:34:06	2020-01-15 15:34:32	
28	2018	2018-01-02 12:39:35	2018-01-02 0:00:00	12:39:35 PM		2020-03-02 16:12:13	2020-03-02 16:12:14	2020-03-02 16:12:14	2020-03-02 16:12:14	
29	2018	2018-01-02 13:23:41	2018-01-02 0:00:00	1:23:41 PM		2020-05-13 11:55:47	2020-05-13 11:56:48	2020-05-13 11:56:48	2020-05-13 15:18:36	
30	2018	2018-01-02 14:20:07	2018-01-02 0:00:00	2:20:07 PM		2021-02-22 2:36:54	2021-02-22 2:37:24	2021-02-22 2:37:24	2021-02-22 2:37:56	
31	2018	2018-01-02 15:07:28	2018-01-02 0:00:00	3:07:28 PM		2021-05-12 2:33:12	2021-05-12 2:34:22	2021-05-12 2:34:22	2021-05-12 2:47:56	
32	2018	2018-01-02 19:19:40	2018-01-02 0:00:00	7:19:40 PM		2018-03-16 13:30:37	2018-03-16 13:33:41	2018-03-16 13:33:41	2018-03-16 13:39:32	
33	2018	2018-01-02 20:46:02	2018-01-02 0:00:00	8:46:02 PM		2018-06-15 19:48:15	2018-06-15 19:49:57	2018-06-15 19:49:57	2018-06-15 20:37:57	
34	2018	2018-01-03 1:06:11	2018-01-03 0:00:00	1:06:11 AM	10p to 2a	2019-06-18 8:42:04	2019-06-18 8:45:46	2019-06-18 8:45:46	2019-06-18 8:59:51	
35	2018	2018-01-03 2:20:22	2018-01-03 0:00:00	2:20:22 AM	2a to 6a	2021-06-20 11:14:03	2021-06-20 11:14:03	2021-06-20 11:14:03	2021-06-20 12:57:01	
36	2018	2018-01-03 4:32:11	2018-01-03 0:00:00	4:32:11 AM	2a to 6a	2018-06-13 4:26:21	2018-06-13 4:26:20	2018-06-13 4:26:20	2018-06-13 4:26:55	
37	2018	2018-01-03 8:36:14	2018-01-03 0:00:00	8:36:14 AM		2019-06-22 2:32:16	2019-06-22 2:34:22	2019-06-22 2:34:22	2019-06-22 2:42:55	
38	2018	2018-01-03 21:29:44	2018-01-03 0:00:00	9:29:44 PM		2020-04-01 8:55:39	2020-04-01 8:55:39	2020-04-01 8:55:39	2020-04-01 8:56:22	
39	2018	2018-01-03 23:17:08	2018-01-03 0:00:00	11:17:08 PM	10p to 2a	2020-06-16 1:22:49	2020-06-16 1:22:49	2020-06-16 1:22:49	2020-06-16 1:26:19	
40	2018	2018-01-04 2:18:55	2018-01-04 0:00:00	2:18:55 AM	2a to 6a	2020-07-19 16:51:28	2020-07-19 16:53:16	2020-07-19 16:53:16	2020-07-19 17:29:20	
41	2018	2018-01-04 8:43:06	2018-01-04 0:00:00	8:43:06 AM		2021-01-04 12:42:21	2021-01-04 12:45:22	2021-01-04 12:45:22	2021-01-04 12:47:20	
42	2018	2018-01-04 13:51:09	2018-01-04 0:00:00	1:51:09 PM		2021-05-06 13:00:11	2021-05-06 13:00:11	2021-05-06 13:00:11	2021-05-06 13:01:44	
43	2018	2018-01-04 14:58:04	2018-01-04 0:00:00	2:58:04 PM		2021-07-01 7:35:39	2021-07-01 7:35:39	2021-07-01 7:35:39	2021-07-01 7:37:43	
44	2018	2018-01-04 15:36:02	2018-01-04 0:00:00	3:36:02 PM		2018-05-06 2:25:35	2018-05-06 2:25:35	2018-05-06 2:25:35	2018-05-06 2:30:19	
45	2018	2018-01-04 18:31:45	2018-01-04 0:00:00	6:31:45 PM		2018-09-05 15:06:08	2018-09-05 15:08:33	2018-09-05 15:08:33	2018-09-05 15:09:31	
46	2018	2018-01-04 20:41:47	2018-01-04 0:00:00	8:41:47 PM		2018-09-15 11:31:46	2018-09-15 11:34:50	2018-09-15 11:34:50	2018-09-15 12:10:27	
47	2018	2018-01-04 21:44:01	2018-01-04 0:00:00	9:44:01 PM		2018-11-20 17:37:43	2018-11-20 17:40:30	2018-11-20 17:40:30	2018-11-20 17:53:25	
48	2018	2018-01-04 21:51:32	2018-01-04 0:00:00	9:51:32 PM		2019-04-14 10:50:36	2019-04-14 10:52:40	2019-04-14 10:52:40	2019-04-14 11:13:40	
49	2018	2018-01-05 1:50:00	2018-01-05 0:00:00	1:50:00 AM	10p to 2a	2019-08-03 12:28:18	2019-08-03 12:30:08	2019-08-03 12:30:08	2019-08-03 12:32:21	
50	2018	2018-01-05 3:20:32	2018-01-05 0:00:00	3:20:32 AM	2a to 6a	2019-09-22 19:40:01	2019-09-22 19:40:31	2019-09-22 19:40:31	2019-09-22 19:41:33	
51	2018	2018-01-05 3:28:11	2018-01-05 0:00:00	3:28:11 AM	2a to 6a	2020-04-29 13:23:59	2020-04-29 13:26:00	2020-04-29 13:26:00	2020-04-29 13:26:00	
52	2018	2018-01-05 4:22:05	2018-01-05 0:00:00	4:22:05 AM	2a to 6a	2018-03-29 20:51:51	2018-03-29 20:57:45	2018-03-29 20:57:45	2018-03-29 21:08:57	
53	2018	2018-01-05 7:00:59	2018-01-05 0:00:00	7:00:59 AM		2018-07-17 2:28:32	2018-07-17 2:30:11	2018-07-17 2:30:11	2018-07-17 2:30:49	
54	2018	2018-01-05 9:36:48	2018-01-05 0:00:00	9:36:48 AM		2018-07-29 2:33:37	2018-07-29 2:35:27	2018-07-29 2:35:27	2018-07-29 2:53:42	
55	2018	2018-01-05 9:36:48	2018-01-05 0:00:00	9:36:48 AM		2018-08-02 9:19:37	2018-08-02 9:23:56	2018-08-02 9:23:56	2018-08-02 9:25:50	
56	2018	2018-01-05 14:04:15	2018-01-05 0:00:00	2:04:15 PM		2018-08-15 0:53:52	2018-08-15 0:57:08	2018-08-15 0:57:08	2018-08-15 0:58:32	

COD\_006547



	AI	AJ	AK	AL	AM	AN	AO	AP	AQ	AR	AS	AT	AU
1	Time_First Unit Enroute	Time_First Unit Arrived	TimeFirstCallCleared	Elapsed_CallRwdtCallClosed	Time_CallClosed	TAAG_Name	RA	Division	Sector	Beat	District	New District	Community
2	2018-03-29 21:09:00	2018-03-29 21:21:41	2018-03-29 23:39:49	1900-01-01 2:47:58	2018-03-29 23:39:49	John Carpenter Stemmons	3078	NORTHWEST	520	522	6		D6
3	2018-07-05 4:14:15	2018-07-05 4:18:20	2018-07-05 4:35:59	1900-01-01 0:32:28	2018-07-05 4:35:59	John Carpenter Stemmons	3078	NORTHWEST	520	522	2		D2
4	2018-07-17 2:31:40	2018-07-17 3:08:57	2018-07-17 3:19:20	1900-01-01 0:50:48	2018-07-17 3:19:20	NW Hwy WaltonWalker	6060	NORTHWEST	530	534	6		D6
5	2018-07-29 2:53:46	2018-07-29 3:08:09	2018-07-29 7:10:44	1900-01-01 4:36:51	2018-07-29 7:10:44	NW Hwy WaltonWalker	3025	NORTHWEST	530	534	6		D6
6	2018-08-02 9:25:53	2018-08-02 9:33:37	2018-08-02 10:16:59	1900-01-01 1:01:20	2018-08-02 10:20:57	NW Hwy WaltonWalker	3025	NORTHWEST	530	534	6		D6
7	2018-08-15 0:56:36	2018-08-15 1:03:57	2018-08-15 1:08:47	1900-01-01 0:14:59	2018-08-15 1:08:47	John Carpenter Stemmons	3078	NORTHWEST	520	521	6		D6
8	2018-09-06 4:23:56	2018-09-06 4:26:52	2018-09-06 6:08:57	2018-09-06 6:08:57	2018-09-06 6:08:57	John Carpenter Stemmons	3078	NORTHWEST	520	522	2		D2
9	2018-09-30 16:28:42	2018-09-30 16:34:52	2018-09-30 16:38:20	1900-01-01 2:08:52	2018-09-30 16:38:20	NW Hwy WaltonWalker	4451	NORTHWEST	520	521	6		D6
10	2018-10-06 11:49:45	2018-10-06 11:55:28	2018-10-06 12:10:44		2018-10-06 12:10:44	NW Hwy WaltonWalker	4451	NORTHWEST	520	521	6		D6
11	2019-02-12 3:39:28	2019-02-12 3:44:51	2019-02-12 3:53:12	2019-02-12 3:53:12	2019-02-12 3:53:12	NW Hwy WaltonWalker	4451	NORTHWEST	520	521	6		D6
12	2019-05-17 11:03:19	2019-05-17 11:26:45	2019-05-17 11:41:45	2019-05-17 11:41:45	2019-05-17 11:41:45	NW Hwy WaltonWalker	3025	NORTHWEST	530	534	6		D6
13	2019-09-12 12:20:37	2019-09-12 12:39:28	2019-09-12 13:47:18	2019-09-12 13:47:18	2019-09-12 13:47:18	NW Hwy WaltonWalker	3025	NORTHWEST	530	534	6		D6
14	2019-10-15 9:06:37	2019-10-15 9:12:29	2019-10-15 10:16:42	2019-10-15 11:18:47	2019-10-15 11:18:47	NW Hwy WaltonWalker	3025	NORTHWEST	530	534	6		D6
15	2019-10-15 17:03:13	2019-10-15 17:18:11	2019-10-15 19:52:56	2019-10-15 19:52:56	2019-10-15 19:52:56	NW Hwy WaltonWalker	3025	NORTHWEST	530	534	6		D6
16	2019-12-20 0:55:09	2019-12-20 1:00:46	2019-12-20 1:30:46	2019-12-20 2:02:09	2019-12-20 2:02:09	NW Hwy WaltonWalker	4451	NORTHWEST	520	521	6		D6
17	2020-02-14 8:05:37	2020-02-14 8:10:13	2020-02-14 8:35:32	2020-02-14 8:47:19	2020-02-14 8:47:19	John Carpenter Stemmons	3099	NORTHWEST	510	512	6		D6
18	2020-03-11 1:49:19	2020-03-11 2:10:53	2020-03-11 2:21:44	2020-03-11 2:21:44	2020-03-11 2:21:44	John Carpenter Stemmons	3040	NORTHWEST	530	534	6		D6
19	2020-06-10 21:39:11	2020-06-10 21:39:11	2020-06-10 22:01:22	2020-06-10 22:34:49	2020-06-10 22:34:49	NW Hwy WaltonWalker	4451	NORTHWEST	520	521	6		D6
20	2021-02-06 18:27:57	2021-02-06 18:43:45	2021-02-06 18:48:32	2021-02-06 18:48:32	2021-02-06 18:48:32	Buckner 30	1217	SOUTHEAST	310	318	7		D7
21	2021-02-15 20:14:00	2021-02-15 20:28:51	2021-02-15 21:01:18	2021-02-15 21:01:18	2021-02-15 21:01:18	NW Hwy WaltonWalker	3025	NORTHWEST	530	534	6		D6
22	2021-02-28 1:54:16	2021-02-28 1:54:16	2021-02-28 1:54:26	2021-02-28 1:54:26	2021-02-28 1:54:26	NW Hwy WaltonWalker	3055	NORTHWEST	520	521	6		D6
23	2021-04-14 14:51:40	2021-04-14 14:56:39	2021-04-14 15:05:05	1900-01-01 0:52:37	2021-04-14 15:04:07	NW Hwy WaltonWalker	6060	NORTHWEST	530	533	6		D6
24	2021-06-19 17:58:36	2021-06-19 17:58:36	2021-06-19 19:39:39	1900-01-01 2:52:19	2021-06-19 19:39:39	NW Hwy WaltonWalker	3025	NORTHWEST	530	534	6		D6
25	2021-08-06 4:41:05	2021-08-06 4:49:05	2021-08-06 4:49:05	1900-01-01 2:28:15	2021-08-06 4:49:21	NW Hwy WaltonWalker	4451	NORTHWEST	520	521	6		D6
26	2018-07-27 15:59:08	2018-07-27 15:59:08	2018-07-27 16:03:07	2018-07-27 16:09:48	2018-07-27 16:09:48	NW Hwy WaltonWalker	3041	NORTHWEST	530	534	6		D6
27	2018-10-20 8:20:48	2018-10-20 8:32:12	2018-10-20 8:46:58	1900-01-01 0:46:39	2018-10-20 8:49:40	Buckner 30	1202	NORTHEAST	220	221	7		D7
28	2020-01-15 15:34:35	2020-01-15 16:02:04	2020-01-15 16:08:10	1900-01-01 1:36:01	2020-01-15 16:08:10	NW Hwy WaltonWalker	6060	NORTHWEST	530	533	6		D6
29	2020-03-02 16:12:14	2020-03-02 16:12:14	2020-03-02 16:52:07	1900-01-01 0:39:54	2020-03-02 16:52:07	NW Hwy WaltonWalker	6040	NORTHWEST	530	533	6		D6
30	2020-05-15 15:48:47	2020-05-15 15:20:31	2020-05-15 15:36:11	1900-01-01 3:40:24	2020-05-15 15:36:11	NW Hwy WaltonWalker	6060	NORTHWEST	530	533	6		D6
31	2020-06-22 2:37:59	2020-06-22 2:38:49	2020-06-22 2:46:19	1900-01-01 0:24:39	2020-06-22 3:01:33	NW Hwy WaltonWalker	4451	NORTHWEST	520	521	6		D6
32	2021-02-22 15:40:38	2021-02-22 15:49:46	2021-02-22 16:30:05	1900-01-01 4:11:28	2021-02-22 18:11:52	NW Hwy WaltonWalker	3100	NORTHWEST	510	514	2		D2
33	2021-05-12 3:10:40	2021-05-12 3:24:04	2021-05-12 5:48:05				3056	NORTHWEST	520	521	6		D6
34	2018-03-16 13:39:36	2018-03-16 13:45:32	2018-03-16 15:38:36	1900-01-01 1:52:48	2018-03-16 15:38:36	John Carpenter Stemmons	3098	NORTHWEST	510	512	6		D6
35	2018-06-15 20:38:02	2018-06-15 20:54:18	2018-06-15 21:41:03	1900-01-01 1:52:48	2018-06-15 21:41:03	John Carpenter Stemmons	3040	NORTHWEST	530	534	6		D6
36	2018-12-01 4:54:25	2018-12-01 4:57:34	2018-12-01 5:01:37	1900-01-01 0:35:25	2018-12-01 5:01:37	NW Hwy WaltonWalker	3025	NORTHWEST	530	534	6		D6
37	2019-06-18 8:59:58	2019-06-18 9:01:27	2019-06-18 9:21:20	1900-01-01 0:39:18	2019-06-18 9:21:20	NW Hwy WaltonWalker	3100	NORTHWEST	510	514	2		D2
38	2021-06-20 12:57:06	2021-06-20 13:19:14	2021-06-20 13:29:24	1900-01-01 2:15:21	2021-06-20 13:29:24	NW Hwy WaltonWalker	3100	NORTHWEST	510	514	2		D2
39	2021-09-26 7:49:18	2021-09-26 7:49:18	2021-09-26 7:49:33	1900-01-01 2:51:54	2021-09-26 7:49:33	NW Hwy WaltonWalker	3025	NORTHWEST	530	534	6		D6
40	2018-06-13 4:26:58	2018-06-13 4:34:16	2018-06-13 4:59:45	1900-01-01 1:22:14	2018-06-13 4:58:35	NW Hwy WaltonWalker	3025	NORTHWEST	530	534	6		D6
41	2019-06-22 2:43:28	2019-06-22 2:44:05	2019-06-22 4:44:05	1900-01-01 2:11:49	2019-06-22 4:44:05	John Carpenter Stemmons	3078	NORTHWEST	520	522	6		D6
42	2020-04-01 8:56:25	2020-04-01 9:03:29	2020-04-01 9:09:53	1900-01-01 0:19:25	2020-04-01 9:10:39	John Carpenter Stemmons	3091	NORTHWEST	510	513	6		D6
43	2020-06-16 1:28:37	2020-06-16 1:35:42	2020-06-16 2:08:07	2020-06-16 2:15:42	2020-06-16 2:15:42	Buckner 30	1202	NORTHWEST	220	221	7		D7
44	2020-07-19 17:30:28	2020-07-19 17:37:53	2020-07-19 18:47:59	1900-01-01 1:56:32	2020-07-19 18:47:59	NW Hwy WaltonWalker	1202	NORTHWEST	530	533	6		D6
45	2021-01-04 12:47:25	2021-01-04 13:03:19	2021-01-04 13:56:58	1900-01-01 1:14:37	2021-01-04 13:56:58	NW Hwy WaltonWalker	1057	NORTHEAST	250	258	10		D10
46	2021-05-06 13:01:47	2021-05-06 13:18:22	2021-05-06 13:18:22	1900-01-01 0:20:43	2021-05-06 13:18:22	NW Hwy WaltonWalker	3040	NORTHWEST	530	534	6		D6
47	2021-07-01 8:14:23	2021-07-01 8:22:47	2021-07-01 8:31:41	1900-01-01 1:19:17	2021-07-01 8:52:34	NW Hwy WaltonWalker	3025	NORTHWEST	530	534	6		D6
48	2021-07-07 1:07:43	2021-07-07 1:08:39	2021-07-07 1:29:16	2021-07-07 1:29:16	2021-07-07 1:29:16	NW Hwy WaltonWalker	6041	NORTHWEST	530	533	6		D6
49	2018-05-06 2:30:24	2018-05-06 2:47:56	2018-05-06 2:50:36	1900-01-01 0:26:35	2018-05-06 2:50:36	NW Hwy WaltonWalker	3025	NORTHWEST	530	534	6		D6
50	2018-09-05 15:09:34	2018-09-05 15:14:15	2018-09-05 15:33:51	1900-01-01 0:30:59	2018-09-05 15:37:07	NW Hwy WaltonWalker	6060	NORTHWEST	530	533	6		D6
51	2018-09-15 12:10:32	2018-09-15 12:19:41	2018-09-15 12:38:55	1900-01-01 1:07:22	2018-09-15 12:39:08	Buckner 30	1202	NORTHEAST	220	221	7		D7
52	2018-11-20 17:53:28	2018-11-20 18:16:02	2018-11-20 20:31:46	1900-01-01 2:54:07	2018-11-20 20:31:46	NW Hwy WaltonWalker	6040	NORTHWEST	530	533	6		D6
53	2019-04-14 11:18:45	2019-04-14 11:30:25	2019-04-14 11:35:42	1900-01-01 0:45:07	2019-04-14 11:35:42	NW Hwy WaltonWalker	6041	NORTHWEST	530	533	6		D6
54	2019-08-03 12:32:23	2019-08-03 12:37:40	2019-08-03 13:25:39	1900-01-01 1:41:52	2019-08-03 14:09:16	NW Hwy WaltonWalker	3056	NORTHWEST	520	521	6		D6
55	2019-09-22 19:41:53	2019-09-22 20:37:58	2019-09-22 20:33:10	2019-09-22 21:04:52	2019-09-22 21:04:52	NW Hwy WaltonWalker	3040	NORTHWEST	530	534	6		D6
56	2020-04-29 13:26:29	2020-04-29 13:33:13	2020-04-29 14:34:31	1900-01-01 1:13:08	2020-04-29 14:37:07	NW Hwy WaltonWalker	6041	NORTHWEST	530	533	6		D6

COD\_006836



	AV	AW	AX	AV	AZ		BA		BB	BC	BD
1	VCRP_Area	ZipCode	GridID	POINT_X	POINT_Y	USER_SOB_Name		USER_Address		USER_License_Type	BUFE_DIST
2	STEMMONS_EMPIRECENTRAL_VC	75247	61838	2468302.139	6988261.494	XTC CABARET		8550 N STEMMONS FWY NB		CABARET	500
3		75247	63886	2468073.763	6991126.544	LA ZONA ROSA		1676 REGAL ROW, DALLAS		CABARET	500
4		75220	72518	2458328.771	7001751.75	DG'S A GENTLEMAN'S CLUB		2117 W NORTHWEST HWY		CABARET	500
5		75229	78661	2463814.735	7008232.749	CHICA BONITAS		11044 HARRY HINES BLVD		CABARET	500
6		75229	79588	2463382.132	7009215.052	PARIS ADULT BOOKSTORE		11118 HARRY HINES BLVD		ARCADE	500
7		75220	70545	2464039.39	6999565.293	THE MEN'S CLUB OF DALLAS		2340 W NORTHWEST HWY		CABARET	500
8		75247	63658	2467934.937	6990934.504	LA ZONA ROSA		1676 REGAL ROW, DALLAS		CABARET	500
9		75220	70826	2463277.074	6999853.765	THE MEN'S CLUB OF DALLAS		2340 W NORTHWEST HWY		CABARET	500
10		75220	70826	2463372.504	6999818.48	THE MEN'S CLUB OF DALLAS		2340 W NORTHWEST HWY		CABARET	500
11		75220	70545	2464039.39	6999565.293	THE MEN'S CLUB OF DALLAS		2340 W NORTHWEST HWY		CABARET	500
12		75229	81871	2460793.464	7011653.607	BUCKS WILD		11327 REEDER RD		CABARET	500
13		75229	81871	2460793.464	7011653.607	BUCKS WILD		11327 REEDER RD		CABARET	500
14		75229	79588	2463434.549	7009220.223	PARIS ADULT BOOKSTORE		11118 HARRY HINES BLVD		ARCADE	500
15		75229	77694	2460873.688	7007264.254	SPEARMINT RHINO GENTLEMAN'S CLUB		10965 COMPOSITE DR		CABARET	500
16		75220	70545	2464039.39	6999565.293	THE MEN'S CLUB OF DALLAS		2340 W NORTHWEST HWY		CABARET	500
17		75247	57928	2470255.534	6983287.067	LIDO ADULT THEATER		7035 JOHN W CARPENTER FWY SB		ARCADE	500
18		75220	77694	2460778.843	7007262.806	SPEARMINT RHINO GENTLEMAN'S CLUB		10250 SHADY TRL		CABARET	500
19		75220	71110	2462656.848	7000068.752	BABY DOLLS TOPLESS SALOON		10250 SHADY TRL		CABARET	500
20		75228	53707	2525654.865	6977686.601	COASTER LINE - COASTER CLUB INC.		9125 E R L THORNTON FWY WB		CABARET	500
21		75229	81871	2460793.464	7011653.607	BUCKS WILD		11327 REEDER RD		CABARET	500
22		75220	71392	2460078.605	7000511.088	BABY DOLLS SALOON WEST		10310 TECHNOLOGY BLVD W		CABARET	500
23		75220	72517	2458185.788	7001805.247	DG'S A GENTLEMAN'S CLUB		2117 W NORTHWEST HWY		CABARET	500
24		75229	78661	2463832.72	7008021.264	CHICA BONITAS		11044 HARRY HINES BLVD		CABARET	500
25		75220	71109	2462313.316	7000243.231	BABY DOLLS TOPLESS SALOON		10250 SHADY TRL		CABARET	500
26		75220	76392	2464046.048	7005946.229	LIPSTICK		10859 HARRY HINES BLVD		CABARET DH A	500
27		75228	53705	2525090.915	6977795.125	COASTER LINE - COASTER CLUB INC.		9125 E R L THORNTON FWY WB		CABARET	500
28		75220	72797	2458243.167	7002050.625	DG'S A GENTLEMAN'S CLUB		2117 W NORTHWEST HWY		CABARET	500
29		75220	72440	2458509.857	7001635.886	DG'S A GENTLEMAN'S CLUB		2117 W NORTHWEST HWY		CABARET	500
30		75220	72794	2457309.382	7002172.902	BUCKS CABARET		2150 CALIFORNIA CROSSING RD		CABARET	500
31		75220	71109	2462426.048	7000200.932	BABY DOLLS TOPLESS SALOON		10250 SHADY TRL		CABARET	500
32		75235	60102	2473324.169	6985985.208	NEW FINE ARTS - MOCKINGBIRD		1720 W MOCKINGBIRD LN		ARCADE	500
33		75220	71400	2462655.62	7000529.73	BABY DOLLS TOPLESS SALOON		10250 SHADY TRL		CABARET	500
34		75247	61105	2464872.587	6987184.68	BLISS ARCADE THEATER CLUB		9109 JOHN W CARPENTER FWY SB		CABARET	500
35		75220	77694	2460881.769	7006956.197	SPEARMINT RHINO GENTLEMAN'S CLUB		10965 COMPOSITE DR		CABARET	500
36		75229	78334	2460870.607	7007807.799	DALLAS CABARET SOUTH		2444 WALNUT RIDGE ST		CABARET	500
37		75235	60102	2473357.682	6985983.549	NEW FINE ARTS - MOCKINGBIRD		1720 W MOCKINGBIRD LN		ARCADE	500
38		75235	59840	2473621.336	6985704.964	NEW FINE ARTS - MOCKINGBIRD		1720 W MOCKINGBIRD LN		ARCADE	500
39		75229	78653	2461029.691	7008166.074	DALLAS CABARET SOUTH		2444 WALNUT RIDGE ST		CABARET	500
40		75229	79888	2463346.399	7009443.002	PARIS ADULT BOOKSTORE		11118 HARRY HINES BLVD		ARCADE	500
41	STEMMONS_EMPIRECENTRAL_VC	75247	61838	2468302.139	6988261.494	XTC CABARET		8550 N STEMMONS FWY NB		CABARET	500
42	STEMMONS_EMPIRECENTRAL_VC	75247	59288	2469476.142	6985003.24	SILVER CITY CABARET		7501 N STEMMONS FWY SB		CABARET	500
43		75228	53705	2525090.915	6977795.125	COASTER LINE - COASTER CLUB INC.		9125 E R L THORNTON FWY WB		CABARET	500
44		75220	72795	2457340.546	7002122.435	BUCKS CABARET		2150 CALIFORNIA CROSSING RD		CABARET	500
45		75238	80659	2522926.376	7010025.671	SPEARMINT RHINO GENTLEMAN'S CLUB		10920 PETAL ST		CABARET	500
46		75220	77695	2461136.895	7007217.699	SPEARMINT RHINO GENTLEMAN'S CLUB		10965 COMPOSITE DR		CABARET	500
47		75229	78968	2461342.908	7008281.834	DALLAS CABARET SOUTH		2444 WALNUT RIDGE ST		CABARET	500
48		75220	72794	2457299.977	7002084.344	BUCKS CABARET		2150 CALIFORNIA CROSSING RD		CABARET	500
49		75229	78653	2461029.691	7008166.073	DALLAS CABARET SOUTH		2444 WALNUT RIDGE ST		CABARET	500
50		75220	72517	2458225.1	7001946.29	DG'S A GENTLEMAN'S CLUB		2117 W NORTHWEST HWY		CABARET	500
51		75228	53705	2525090.915	6977795.125	COASTER LINE - COASTER CLUB INC.		9125 E R L THORNTON FWY WB		CABARET	500
52		75220	72240	2458432.343	7001664.908	DG'S A GENTLEMAN'S CLUB		2117 W NORTHWEST HWY		CABARET	500
53		75220	72794	2457098.941	7002159.525	BUCKS CABARET		2150 CALIFORNIA CROSSING RD		CABARET	500
54		75220	71400	2462655.62	7000529.73	BABY DOLLS TOPLESS SALOON		10250 SHADY TRL		CABARET	500
55		75220	77695	2461043.88	7007217.006	SPEARMINT RHINO GENTLEMAN'S CLUB		10965 COMPOSITE DR		CABARET	500
56		75220	73095	2456642.925	7002384.755	NEW FINE ARTS - WEST		1966 W NORTHWEST HWY		ARCADE	500

COD\_007125



I	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N	O	P	Q
ID	Master Incident Number	Response Date	Incident Closed	IncidentTime_Seconds	IncidentTime_Minutes	Problem	Address	City	CAD Location Name	Longitude	Latitude	Map Info	Month	Day	Hour	Year	
2	33581420	2019000049	1/1/2019 0:45	1/1/2019 1:05	1224	20 IP - INJURED PERSON	3911 CEDAR SPRINGS RD	Dallas	STATION 4	-96.810482	32.810436	35-W	1	1	1	0	2019
3	33581464	2019000053	1/1/2019 0:47	1/1/2019 1:05	1095	18 42 - AUTOMATIC FIRE ALARM	2250 MANANA DR	Dallas	SUE ELLENS	-96.904824	32.873893	122-Q	1	1	1	0	2019
4	33582841	2019000164	1/1/2019 2:28	1/1/2019 2:28	2131	36 DE - DIABETIC EMERGENCY	3014 THROCKMORTON ST	Dallas	PARIS ADULT BOOK STORE	-96.811953	32.810047	35-W	1	1	1	1	2019
5	33595663	2019001466	1/2/2019 18:49	1/2/2019 18:49	5684	95 AA - AGGRAVATED ASSAULT	11118 HARRY HINES BLVD	Dallas	PARIS ADULT BOOK STORE	-96.887832	32.889705	23-J	1	2	18	2	2019
6	33613477	2019003309	1/5/2019 2:33	1/5/2019 2:46	756	13 MW - MOTOR VEHICLE ACCIDENT	11327 REEDER RD	Dallas	CLUB XTTC (STEMMONS & VICEROY)	-96.896022	32.8893742	22-H	1	5	2	2	2019
7	33620797	2019004089	1/6/2019 0:20	1/6/2019 0:36	1008	16 98 - STRUCTURE FIRE REPORTED	8550 N STEMMONS SERV NB	Dallas	CLUB XTTC (STEMMONS & VICEROY)	-96.872758	32.8286	33-P	1	6	0	0	2019
8	33621752	2019004167	1/6/2019 2:52	1/6/2019 3:01	549	9 AA - AGGRAVATED ASSAULT	3911 CEDAR SPRINGS RD	Dallas	BUCKS WILD	-96.810482	32.810436	35-W	1	6	2	2	2019
9	33622267	2019004218	1/6/2019 5:05	1/6/2019 6:13	4117	68 ME - MEDICAL EMERGENCY	11327 REEDER RD	Dallas	BUCKS WILD	-96.896022	32.8893742	122-H	1	6	5	3	2019
10	33637276	2019005730	1/8/2019 5:49	1/8/2019 7:24	5736	95 ME - MEDICAL EMERGENCY	9125 E RL THORNTON SERV WB	Dallas	TIGER CABARET	-96.867311	32.797453	48-G	1	8	5	5	2019
11	33638361	2019005852	1/8/2019 9:49	1/8/2019 9:57	502	8 44 - WEAPON CHECK	9109 JOHN W CARPENTER SERV 5B	Dallas	ADULT STORE	-96.889376	32.825786	33-P	1	8	9	20	2019
12	33658316	2019007933	1/10/2019 23:52	1/10/2019 23:52	1183	78 ME - MEDICAL EMERGENCY	10310 TECHNOLOGY BLVD E	Dallas	COMBOYS RED RIVER	-96.872758	32.86265	22-Z	1	10	23	3	2019
13	33659439	2019007925	1/11/2019 3:27	1/11/2019 4:45	4627	20 AA - AGGRAVATED ASSAULT	8550 N STEMMONS SERV NB	Dallas	CLUB XTTC (STEMMONS & VICEROY)	-96.872758	32.8286	33-P	1	11	3	3	2019
14	33665195	2019008585	1/11/2019 20:44	1/11/2019 21:11	1584	27 IP - INJURED PERSON	2150 CALIFORNIA CROSSING RD	Dallas	BUCKS CABARET	-96.907893	32.866655	22-U	1	11	20	20	2019
15	33665955	2019008669	1/11/2019 23:53	1/11/2019 23:05	769	12 42 - AUTOMATIC FIRE ALARM	2250 MANANA DR	Dallas	THE CLUBHOUSE	-96.904824	32.873893	122-Q	1	11	22	2	2019
16	33667230	2019008807	1/12/2019 3:37	1/12/2019 3:37	3278	55 DELTA - DELTA MEDICAL	3911 CEDAR SPRINGS RD	Dallas	STATION 4 CLUB	-96.810482	32.810436	35-W	1	12	14	2	2019
17	33670252	2019009115	1/12/2019 14:29	1/12/2019 15:59	5424	90 MH - MENTAL HEALTH	910 W MOCKINGBIRD LN	Dallas	THE EXPOSED ADULT VIDEO STORE	-96.873562	32.813667	33-X	1	12	14	14	2019
18	33711725	2019013244	1/18/2019 4:21	1/18/2019 4:59	2278	38 IP - INJURED PERSON	11560 HARRY HINES BLVD	Dallas	DALLAS CABARET NORTH (HARRY HINES & STEMMONS)	-96.893797	32.903267	22-D	1	18	4	2	2019
19	33719789	2019014044	1/19/2019 2:20	1/19/2019 2:42	1363	22 IP - INJURED PERSON	7501 N STEMMONS SERV 5B	Dallas	IBOMBHELL'S	-96.870105	32.8139	33-U	1	19	2	2	2019
20	33719892	2019014054	1/19/2019 2:36	1/19/2019 2:46	591	10 CP - CHEST PAIN	11118 HARRY HINES BLVD	Dallas	PARIS ADULT STORE	-96.897832	32.887025	23-J	1	19	2	2	2019
21	33727692	2019014877	1/20/2019 3:00	1/20/2019 3:00	3289	55 MW - MOTOR VEHICLE ACCIDENT	9125 E RL THORNTON SERV WB	Dallas	TIGER CABARET	-96.887311	32.797453	48-G	1	20	2	2	2019
22	33764191	2019018691	1/25/2019 2:07	1/25/2019 2:07	3353	56 SY - SYNCORE	3911 CEDAR SPRINGS RD	Dallas	COMBOYS RED RIVER	-96.810482	32.810436	35-W	1	25	3	1	2019
23	33772871	2019019595	1/26/2019 3:44	1/26/2019 5:10	5122	86 AA - AGGRAVATED ASSAULT	2444 WALNUT RIDGE ST	Dallas	DALLAS CABARET SOUTH	-96.895442	32.883613	122-M	1	26	3	2019	
24	33778771	2019020302	1/27/2019 0:23	1/27/2019 1:11	2879	48 CP - CHEST PAIN	2117 W NORTHWEST HWY	Dallas	DCS NIGHTCLUB (W NORTHWEST & LOMBARDY)	-96.904557	32.866097	22-U	1	27	7	7	2019
25	33780472	2019020498	1/27/2019 7:23	1/27/2019 7:55	1917	32 ME - MEDICAL EMERGENCY	910 W MOCKINGBIRD LN	Dallas	EXPOSED	-96.873562	32.813667	33-X	1	28	2	2	2019
26	33786655	2019021118	1/28/2019 2:55	1/28/2019 3:22	1594	27 IP - INJURED PERSON	910 W MOCKINGBIRD LN	Dallas	EXPOSED	-96.873562	32.813667	33-X	1	28	2	2	2019
27	33836884	2019026119	2/3/2019 15:30	2/3/2019 15:48	1083	18 ME - MEDICAL EMERGENCY	11044 HARRY HINES BLVD	Dallas	CHICA DOMITAS	-96.886541	32.884116	23-J	2	3	15	2	2019
28	33840013	2019027133	2/5/2019 1:33	2/5/2019 1:40	743	13 ME - MEDICAL EMERGENCY	8550 N STEMMONS SERV NB	Dallas	CLUB XTTC (STEMMONS & VICEROY)	-96.872758	32.8286	33-P	2	5	1	2019	
29	33849471	2019027367	2/5/2019 3:22	2/5/2019 3:45	1405	23 AA - AGGRAVATED ASSAULT	8550 N STEMMONS SERV NB	Dallas	CLUB XTTC (STEMMONS & VICEROY)	-96.872758	32.8286	33-P	2	5	3	3	2019
30	33850238	2019027455	2/5/2019 7:25	2/5/2019 7:42	1041	19 IP - INJURED PERSON	2444 WALNUT RIDGE ST	Dallas	ADULT THEATRE	-96.873562	32.813667	33-X	2	5	7	7	2019
31	33857947	2019028237	2/6/2019 4:24	2/6/2019 4:43	1156	8 UP - UNCONSCIOUS PERSON	3014 THROCKMORTON ST	Dallas	DALLAS CABARET SOUTH	-96.811953	32.810047	35-W	2	6	4	4	2019
32	33858141	2019028255	2/6/2019 5:48	2/6/2019 5:56	472	17 CP - CHEST PAIN	10310 TECHNOLOGY BLVD E	Dallas	COMBOYS RED RIVER	-96.897126	32.86265	22-Z	2	6	5	5	2019
33	33864077	2019029112	2/6/2019 21:35	2/6/2019 21:32	982	63 ME - MEDICAL EMERGENCY	10310 TECHNOLOGY BLVD E	Dallas	COMBOYS RED RIVER	-96.870455	32.88294	28-E	2	8	0	0	2019
34	33873198	2019029842	2/8/2019 1:43	2/8/2019 1:43	3786	23 FV - FALL VICTIM	3911 CEDAR SPRINGS RD	Dallas	PT GENTLEMEN CLUB	-96.810482	32.810436	35-W	2	9	2	2	2019
35	33882384	2019030769	2/9/2019 2:41	2/9/2019 3:04	1386	29 IP - INJURED PERSON	10601 PLANO RD	Dallas	DCS NIGHTCLUB (W NORTHWEST & LOMBARDY)	-96.700455	32.89294	28-E	2	10	18	23	2019
36	33895078	2019032827	2/10/2019 18:28	2/10/2019 18:57	1771	28 IP - INJURED PERSON	10601 PLANO RD	Dallas	DCS NIGHTCLUB (W NORTHWEST & LOMBARDY)	-96.700455	32.89294	28-E	2	10	18	23	2019
37	33901083	2019032932	2/11/2019 14:16	2/11/2019 14:39	1366	23 06 - FUEL SPILL	10310 TECHNOLOGY BLVD W	Dallas	COMBOYS RED RIVER	-96.897126	32.86265	22-Z	2	11	14	22	2019
38	33926840	2019035542	2/14/2019 22:36	2/14/2019 23:02	1516	26 AA - AGGRAVATED ASSAULT	10310 TECHNOLOGY BLVD W	Dallas	COMBOYS RED RIVER	-96.895442	32.86265	22-Z	2	14	22	20	2019
39	33927466	2019035586	2/15/2019 0:16	2/15/2019 0:45	1718	20 ME - MEDICAL EMERGENCY	10310 TECHNOLOGY BLVD W	Dallas	COWBOY CLUB	-96.895442	32.86265	22-Z	2	15	0	0	2019
40	33930770	2019039513	2/15/2019 12:21	2/15/2019 13:31	4188	70 ME - MEDICAL EMERGENCY	2117 W NORTHWEST HWY	Dallas	DCS NIGHTCLUB (W NORTHWEST & LOMBARDY)	-96.904557	32.866097	22-U	2	15	12	20	2019
41	33942428	2019037043	2/16/2019 22:13	2/16/2019 22:13	2571	43 MW - MOTOR VEHICLE ACCIDENT	1966 W NORTHWEST HWY	Dallas	DCS NIGHTCLUB (W NORTHWEST & LOMBARDY)	-96.910922	32.868054	22-T	2	16	21	21	2019
42	33943475	2019037133	2/16/2019 23:48	2/16/2019 23:54	354	6 ME - MEDICAL EMERGENCY	2443 WALNUT HILL LN	Dallas	PARIS ADULT BOOK STORE	-96.895936	32.881141	122-R	2	16	23	23	2019
43	33957620	2019038513	2/18/2019 22:43	2/18/2019 23:23	2368	40 AA - AGGRAVATED ASSAULT	11118 HARRY HINES BLVD	Dallas	DALLAS CABARET SOUTH	-96.887832	32.887025	23-J	2	18	22	20	2019
44	33958592	2019039866	2/20/2019 1:45	2/20/2019 2:05	1225	20 AA - AGGRAVATED ASSAULT	2444 WALNUT RIDGE ST	Dallas	DALLAS CABARET SOUTH	-96.895442	32.886517	123-M	2	20	1	2019	
45	33972398	2019039998	2/20/2019 20:54	2/20/2019 21:58	3830	64 MP - MOTOR/PEDESTRIAN ACCIDENT	10250 SHADY TRL	Dallas	BAR DOLLS (SHADY TRL & W NORTHWEST)	-96.890527	32.862557	123-W	2	20	20	20	2019
46	33980375	2019040713	2/21/2019 21:26	2/21/2019 21:30	225	4 22 - AUTOMATIC FIRE ALARM	10601 PLANO RD	Dallas	PT 5	-96.700455	32.89294	28-E	2	21	21	21	2019
47	33980770	2019040754	2/21/2019 22:34	2/21/2019 0:09	5736	95 ME - MEDICAL EMERGENCY	7501 N STEMMONS SERV 5B	Dallas	IBOMBHELL'S	-96.870105	32.8139	33-U	2	21	22	20	2019
48	33989397	2019042487	2/24/2019 0:23	2/24/2019 1:17	3213	54 IP - INJURED PERSON	10333 TECHNOLOGY BLVD W	Dallas	NULL	-96.889543	32.862656	22-Z	2	24	0	0	2019
49	34000035	2019042629	2/24/2019 5:31	2/24/2019 6:28	3411	57 AA - AGGRAVATED ASSAULT	9125 E RL THORNTON SERV WB	Dallas	TIGER CABARET	-96.887832	32.797453	48-G	2	24	5	5	2019
50	34001508	2019042633	2/24/2019 11:49	2/24/2019 11:54	279	5 22 - AUTOMATIC FIRE ALARM	2117 W NORTHWEST HWY	Dallas	DCS NIGHTCLUB (W NORTHWEST & LOMBARDY)	-96.904557	32.866097	22-U	2	24	11	5	2019
51	34008496	2019045521	2/25/2019 10:08	2/25/2019 11:10	3725	65 ME - MEDICAL EMERGENCY	7055 JOHN W CARPENTER FHW 5B	Dallas	THE LODGE	-96.872743	32.814289	33-U	2	25	10	10	2019
52	34010134	2019043695	2/25/2019 14:22	2/25/2019 15:17	3324	55 DELTA - DELTA MEDICAL	10350 SPANGLEN RD	Dallas	PARIS ADULT BOOK STORE	-96.913064	32.869371	122-T	2	25	14	14	2019
53	34023278	2019044895	2/27/2019 5:39	2/27/2019 6:05	1558	26 DELTA - DELTA MEDICAL	11118 HARRY HINES BLVD	Dallas	PARIS ADULT BOOK STORE	-96.887832	32.887025	23-J	3	1	13	5	2019
54	34041506	2019046741	3/1/2019 13:25	3/1/2019 14:20	3257	55 OD - OVERDOSE	2443 WALNUT HILL LN	Dallas	PARIS ADULT BOOKSTORE	-96.895936	32.881141	122-R	3	2	1	2	2019
55	34047422	2019047201	3/2/2019 2:29	3/2/2019 2:29	340	6 IP - INJURED PERSON	2443 WALNUT HILL LN	Dallas	BUCKS WILD	-96.896022	32.893742	122-H	3	2	2	2	2019
56	34065303	2019048111	3/3/2019 2:55	3/3/2019 4:41	6350	106 FV - FALL VICTIM	1720 W MOCKINGBIRD LN	Dallas	NEW FINE ARTS ALTERNATIVES	-96.856417	32.82123	33-V	3	3	3	19	2019
57	34061176	2019048612	3/3/2019 19:54	3/3/2019 21:02	4071	68 UP - UNCONSCIOUS PERSON	10333 TECHNOLOGY BLVD W	Dallas	ALTERNATIVES OF NEW FINE ARTS	-96.885863	32.862656	22-Z	3	5	23	3	2019
58	34077772	2019050622	3/9/2019 0:21	3/9/2019 14:10	4428	74 IP - INJURED PERSON	1720 W MOCKINGBIRD LN	Dallas	PARIS ADULT STORE	-96.856417	32.82123	33-V	3	9	9	12	2019
59	34107978	2019050532	3/9/2019 12:53	3/9/2019 12:02	4804	77 ME - MEDICAL EMERGENCY	11118 HARRY HINES BLVD	Dallas	PARIS ADULT STORE	-96.887832	32.887025	23-J	3	9	20	20	2019
60	34111736	2019053732	3/9/2019 22:02	3/9/2019 22:02	4804	77 ME - MEDICAL EMERGENCY	11118 HARRY HINES BLVD	Dallas	CLUB XTTC (STEMMONS & VICEROY)	-96.887832	32.887025	23-J	3	9	20	20	2019
61	34114966	2019054011	3/10/2019 5:53	3/10/2019 5:02	5												



R	S	T	U	V	W	X	Y	Z	AA	AB	AC	AD	AE	AF	AG	AH	AI	AJ	AK	AL
Category	Time Period	Status	Score	Match Type	Match Addr	Addr	AddNum	AddNumFrom	AddNumTo	Side	StreDir	StreType	StName	StType	StDir	StAddr	City	County	State	StateAbbr
1 Medical Emergency	Common Hours	M	100 A		3911 CEDAR SPRINGS RD, DALLAS, 75219	StreetAddress	3911		3901	3999 L			CEDAR SPRINGS	RD		3911 CEDAR SPRINGS RD	DALLAS		TX	
2 Fire (Other)	Common Hours	M	100 A		2250 MANANA DR, DALLAS, 75220	StreetAddress	2250		2242	2298 R			MANANA	DR		2250 MANANA DR	DALLAS		TX	
3 Medical Emergency	Common Hours	M	90.92 A		3014 THROCKMORTON ST, DALLAS, 75219	StreetAddress	3014		3000	3098 R			THROCKMORTON	ST		3014 THROCKMORTON ST	DALLAS		TX	
4 Medical Emergency	Common Hours	M	100 A		11118 HARRY HINES BLVD, DALLAS, 75229	StreetAddress	11118		11100	11148 R			HARRY HINES	BLVD		11118 HARRY HINES BLVD	DALLAS		TX	
5 Medical Emergency	After Hours (0200am - 0600am)	M	100 A		11327 REEDER RD, DALLAS, 75229	StreetAddress	11327		11301	11399 L			REEDER	RD		11327 REEDER RD	DALLAS		TX	
6 Medical Emergency	Common Hours	M	100 A		8550 N STEAMONS Fwy NB, DALLAS, 75247	StreetAddress	8550		8524	8798 R	N		STEAMONS	Fwy		8550 N STEAMONS Fwy NB	DALLAS		TX	
7 Structure fire	Common Hours	M	100 A		3911 CEDAR SPRINGS RD, DALLAS, 75219	StreetAddress	3911		3901	3999 L			CEDAR SPRINGS	RD		3911 CEDAR SPRINGS RD	DALLAS		TX	
8 Medical Emergency	After Hours (0200am - 0600am)	M	100 A		11327 REEDER RD, DALLAS, 75229	StreetAddress	11327		11301	11399 L			REEDER	RD		11327 REEDER RD	DALLAS		TX	
9 Medical Emergency	After Hours (0200am - 0600am)	M	98.96 A		9125 E R L THORNTON Fwy WB, DALLAS, 75228	StreetAddress	9125		9101	9191 L	E		JOHN W CARPENTER	Fwy		9125 E R L THORNTON Fwy WB	DALLAS		TX	
10 Medical Emergency	After Hours (0200am - 0600am)	M	90.92 M		9109 JOHN W CARPENTER Fwy SB, DALLAS, 75247	StreetAddress	9109		9101	9191 L			JOHN W CARPENTER	BLVD	W	9109 JOHN W CARPENTER Fwy SB	DALLAS		TX	
11 Medical Emergency	Common Hours	T	92.43 A		10310 TECHNOLOGY BLVD W, DALLAS, 75220	StreetAddress	10310		10300	10388 R			TECHNOLOGY	BLVD		10310 TECHNOLOGY BLVD W	DALLAS		TX	
12 Medical Emergency	Common Hours	M	100 A		8550 N STEAMONS Fwy NB, DALLAS, 75247	StreetAddress	8550		8524	8798 R	N		STEAMONS	Fwy		8550 N STEAMONS Fwy NB	DALLAS		TX	
13 Medical Emergency	After Hours (0200am - 0600am)	M	100 A		2150 CALIFORNIA CROSSING RD, DALLAS, 75220	StreetAddress	2150		2150	2198 R			CALIFORNIA CROSSING	RD		2150 CALIFORNIA CROSSING RD	DALLAS		TX	
14 Medical Emergency	Common Hours	M	100 A		2250 MANANA DR, DALLAS, 75220	StreetAddress	2250		2242	2298 R			MANANA	DR		2250 MANANA DR	DALLAS		TX	
15 Fire (Other)	After Hours (0200am - 0600am)	M	100 A		3911 CEDAR SPRINGS RD, DALLAS, 75219	StreetAddress	3911		3901	3999 L			CEDAR SPRINGS	RD		3911 CEDAR SPRINGS RD	DALLAS		TX	
16 Medical Emergency	Common Hours	M	100 A		910 W MOCKINGBIRD LN, DALLAS, 75247	StreetAddress	910		900	938 R	W		MOCKINGBIRD	LN		910 W MOCKINGBIRD LN	DALLAS		TX	
17 Non-Emergency	Common Hours	M	100 A		11560 HARRY HINES BLVD, DALLAS, 75229	StreetAddress	11560		11557	11599 L			HARRY HINES	BLVD		11560 HARRY HINES BLVD	DALLAS		TX	
18 Medical Emergency	After Hours (0200am - 0600am)	M	100 A		7501 N STEAMONS Fwy SB, DALLAS, 75247	StreetAddress	7501		7501	7591 L	N		STEAMONS	Fwy		7501 N STEAMONS Fwy SB	DALLAS		TX	
19 Medical Emergency	After Hours (0200am - 0600am)	M	100 A		11118 HARRY HINES BLVD, DALLAS, 75229	StreetAddress	11118		11100	11148 R			HARRY HINES	BLVD		11118 HARRY HINES BLVD	DALLAS		TX	
20 Medical Emergency	After Hours (0200am - 0600am)	M	98.96 A		9125 E R L THORNTON Fwy WB, DALLAS, 75228	StreetAddress	9125		9101	9191 L	E		R L THORNTON	Fwy		9125 E R L THORNTON Fwy WB	DALLAS		TX	
21 Medical Emergency	After Hours (0200am - 0600am)	M	100 A		3911 CEDAR SPRINGS RD, DALLAS, 75219	StreetAddress	3911		3901	3999 L			CEDAR SPRINGS	RD		3911 CEDAR SPRINGS RD	DALLAS		TX	
22 Medical Emergency	Common Hours	M	100 A		2444 WALNUT RIDGE ST, DALLAS, 75229	StreetAddress	2444		2400	2498 R			WALNUT RIDGE	ST		2444 WALNUT RIDGE ST	DALLAS		TX	
23 Medical Emergency	After Hours (0200am - 0600am)	M	100 A		2117 W NORTHWEST HWY, DALLAS, 75220	StreetAddress	2117		2115	2121 L	W		NORTHWEST	Hwy		2117 W NORTHWEST HWY	DALLAS		TX	
24 Medical Emergency	Common Hours	M	100 A		910 W MOCKINGBIRD LN, DALLAS, 75247	StreetAddress	910		900	938 R	W		MOCKINGBIRD	LN		910 W MOCKINGBIRD LN	DALLAS		TX	
25 Medical Emergency	After Hours (0200am - 0600am)	M	100 A		11044 HARRY HINES BLVD, DALLAS, 75229	StreetAddress	11044		11040	11058 R			HARRY HINES	BLVD		11044 HARRY HINES BLVD	DALLAS		TX	
26 Medical Emergency	Common Hours	M	100 A		8550 N STEAMONS Fwy NB, DALLAS, 75247	StreetAddress	8550		8524	8798 R	N		STEAMONS	Fwy		8550 N STEAMONS Fwy NB	DALLAS		TX	
27 Medical Emergency	Common Hours	M	100 A		910 W MOCKINGBIRD LN, DALLAS, 75247	StreetAddress	910		900	938 R	W		MOCKINGBIRD	LN		910 W MOCKINGBIRD LN	DALLAS		TX	
28 Medical Emergency	Common Hours	M	100 A		11044 HARRY HINES BLVD, DALLAS, 75229	StreetAddress	11044		11040	11058 R			HARRY HINES	BLVD		11044 HARRY HINES BLVD	DALLAS		TX	
29 Medical Emergency	After Hours (0200am - 0600am)	M	100 A		8550 N STEAMONS Fwy NB, DALLAS, 75247	StreetAddress	8550		8524	8798 R	N		STEAMONS	Fwy		8550 N STEAMONS Fwy NB	DALLAS		TX	
30 Medical Emergency	Common Hours	M	100 A		2444 WALNUT RIDGE ST, DALLAS, 75229	StreetAddress	2444		2400	2498 R	W		WALNUT RIDGE	ST		2444 WALNUT RIDGE ST	DALLAS		TX	
31 Medical Emergency	After Hours (0200am - 0600am)	M	90.92 A		3014 THROCKMORTON ST, DALLAS, 75219	StreetAddress	3014		3000	3098 R			THROCKMORTON	ST	W	3014 THROCKMORTON ST	DALLAS		TX	
32 Medical Emergency	After Hours (0200am - 0600am)	T	92.43 A		10310 TECHNOLOGY BLVD W, DALLAS, 75220	StreetAddress	10310		10300	10388 R			TECHNOLOGY	BLVD	W	10310 TECHNOLOGY BLVD W	DALLAS		TX	
33 Medical Emergency	Common Hours	T	92.43 A		3911 CEDAR SPRINGS RD, DALLAS, 75219	StreetAddress	3911		3901	3999 L			CEDAR SPRINGS	RD		3911 CEDAR SPRINGS RD	DALLAS		TX	
34 Medical Emergency	Common Hours	M	100 A		10601 PLANO RD, DALLAS, 75238	StreetAddress	10601		10601	10609 L			PLANO	RD		10601 PLANO RD	DALLAS		TX	
35 Medical Emergency	Common Hours	M	100 A		2117 W NORTHWEST HWY, DALLAS, 75220	StreetAddress	2117		2115	2121 L	W		NORTHWEST	Hwy		2117 W NORTHWEST HWY	DALLAS		TX	
36 Fire (Other)	Common Hours	T	92.43 A		10310 TECHNOLOGY BLVD W, DALLAS, 75220	StreetAddress	10310		10300	10388 R			TECHNOLOGY	BLVD	W	10310 TECHNOLOGY BLVD W	DALLAS		TX	
37 Medical Emergency	Common Hours	T	92.43 A		10310 TECHNOLOGY BLVD W, DALLAS, 75220	StreetAddress	10310		10300	10388 R			TECHNOLOGY	BLVD	W	10310 TECHNOLOGY BLVD W	DALLAS		TX	
38 Medical Emergency	Common Hours	T	92.43 A		2117 W NORTHWEST HWY, DALLAS, 75220	StreetAddress	2117		2115	2121 L	W		NORTHWEST	Hwy		2117 W NORTHWEST HWY	DALLAS		TX	
39 Medical Emergency	Common Hours	M	100 A		1966 W NORTHWEST HWY, DALLAS, 75220	StreetAddress	1966		1950	1968 R	W		NORTHWEST	Hwy		1966 W NORTHWEST HWY	DALLAS		TX	
40 Medical Emergency	Common Hours	M	100 A		2443 WALNUT HILL LN, DALLAS, 75229	StreetAddress	2443		2441	2499 L			WALNUT HILL	LN		2443 WALNUT HILL LN	DALLAS		TX	
41 Medical Emergency	Common Hours	M	98.96 A		11118 HARRY HINES BLVD, DALLAS, 75229	StreetAddress	11118		11100	11148 R			HARRY HINES	BLVD		11118 HARRY HINES BLVD	DALLAS		TX	
42 Medical Emergency	Common Hours	M	100 A		2444 WALNUT RIDGE ST, DALLAS, 75229	StreetAddress	2444		2400	2498 R			WALNUT RIDGE	ST		2444 WALNUT RIDGE ST	DALLAS		TX	
43 Medical Emergency	Common Hours	M	100 A		10250 SHADY TRL, DALLAS, 75220	StreetAddress	10250		10220	10298 L			SHADY	TRL		10250 SHADY TRL	DALLAS		TX	
44 Medical Emergency	Common Hours	M	100 A		10601 PLANO RD, DALLAS, 75238	StreetAddress	10601		10601	10609 L			PLANO	RD		10601 PLANO RD	DALLAS		TX	
45 Medical Emergency	Common Hours	M	100 A		7501 N STEAMONS Fwy SB, DALLAS, 75247	StreetAddress	7501		7501	7591 L	N		STEAMONS	Fwy		7501 N STEAMONS Fwy SB	DALLAS		TX	
46 Fire (Other)	Common Hours	M	83.35 M		10333 TECHNOLOGY BLVD E, DALLAS, 75220	StreetAddress	10333		10301	10399 L			TECHNOLOGY	BLVD	E	10333 TECHNOLOGY BLVD E	DALLAS		TX	
47 Medical Emergency	After Hours (0200am - 0600am)	M	98.96 A		9125 E R L THORNTON Fwy WB, DALLAS, 75228	StreetAddress	9125		9101	9191 L	E		R L THORNTON	Fwy		9125 E R L THORNTON Fwy WB	DALLAS		TX	
48 Medical Emergency	Common Hours	M	100 A		2117 W NORTHWEST HWY, DALLAS, 75220	StreetAddress	2117		2115	2121 L	W		NORTHWEST	Hwy		2117 W NORTHWEST HWY	DALLAS		TX	
49 Medical Emergency	Common Hours	M	90.49 A		7035 JOHN W CARPENTER Fwy SB, DALLAS, 75247	StreetAddress	7035		7001	7099 L			JOHN W CARPENTER	Fwy		7035 JOHN W CARPENTER Fwy SB	DALLAS		TX	
50 Fire (Other)	Common Hours	M	100 A		10330 SPANGLER RD, DALLAS, 75220	StreetAddress	10330		10522	10598 R			SPANGLER	RD		10330 SPANGLER RD	DALLAS		TX	
51 Medical Emergency	Common Hours	M	100 A		11118 HARRY HINES BLVD, DALLAS, 75229	StreetAddress	11118		11100	11148 R			HARRY HINES	BLVD		11118 HARRY HINES BLVD	DALLAS		TX	
52 Medical Emergency	After Hours (0200am - 0600am)	M	100 A		11118 HARRY HINES BLVD, DALLAS, 75229	StreetAddress	11118		11100	11148 R			HARRY HINES	BLVD		11118 HARRY HINES BLVD	DALLAS		TX	
53 Medical Emergency	Common Hours	M	100 A		2443 WALNUT HILL LN, DALLAS, 75229	StreetAddress	2443		2441	2499 L			WALNUT HILL	LN		2443 WALNUT HILL LN	DALLAS		TX	
54 Medical Emergency	After Hours (0200am - 0600am)	M	98.96 A		11327 REEDER RD, DALLAS, 75229	StreetAddress	11327		11301	11399 L			REEDER	RD		11327 REEDER RD	DALLAS		TX	
55 Medical Emergency	Common Hours	M	100 A		1720 W MOCKINGBIRD LN, DALLAS, 75235	StreetAddress	1720		1700	1798 R	W		MOCKINGBIRD	LN		1720 W MOCKINGBIRD LN	DALLAS		TX	
56 Medical Emergency	Common Hours	M	83.35 M		10333 TECHNOLOGY BLVD E, DALLAS, 75220	StreetAddress	10333		10301	10399 L			TECHNOLOGY	BLVD	E	10333 TECHNOLOGY BLVD E	DALLAS		TX	
57 Medical Emergency	Common Hours	M	100 A		1720 W MOCKINGBIRD LN, DALLAS, 75235	StreetAddress	1720		1700	1798 R	W		MOCKINGBIRD	LN		1720 W MOCKINGBIRD LN	DALLAS		TX	
58 Medical Emergency	Common Hours	M	100 A		11118 HARRY HINES BLVD, DALLAS, 75229	StreetAddress	11118		11100	11148 R			HARRY HINES	BLVD		11118 HARRY HINES BLVD	DALLAS		TX	
59 Medical Emergency	Common Hours	M	100 A		8550 N STEAMONS Fwy NB, DALLAS, 75247	StreetAddress	8550		8524	8798 R	N		STEAMONS	Fwy		8550 N STEAMONS Fwy NB	DALLAS		TX	
60 Medical Emergency	Common Hours	M	100 A		11560 HARRY HINES BLVD, DALLAS, 75229	StreetAddress	11560		11557	11599 L			HARRY HINES	BLVD		11560 HARRY HINES BLVD	DALLAS		TX	
61 Fire (Other)	After Hours (0200am - 0600am)	M	90.92 M		9109 JOHN W CARPENTER Fwy SB, DALLAS, 75247	StreetAddress	9109		9101	9191 L			JOHN W CARPENTER	Fwy		9109 JOHN W CARPENTER Fwy SB	DALLAS		TX	
62 Medical Emergency	Common Hours	M	90.92 M		5610 BOTHAM JEAN BLVD, DALLAS, 75215	StreetAddress	5610		5600	5648 L			BOTHAM JEAN	BLVD		5610 BOTHAM JEAN BLVD	DALLAS		TX	
63 Medical Emergency	After Hours (0200am - 0600am)	M	90.92 M		3014 THROCKMORTON ST, DALLAS, 75219	StreetAddress	3014		3000	3098 R			THROCKMORTON	ST		3014 THROCKMORTON ST	DALLAS		TX	
64 Medical Emergency	Common Hours	M	90.92 A		12045 SHILOH RD, DALLAS, 75228	StreetAddress	12045		12041	12099 L			SHILOH	RD		12045 SHILOH RD	DALLAS		TX	
65 Medical Emergency	Common Hours	M	100 A			StreetAddress														
66 Medical Emergency	Common Hours	M	100 A			StreetAddress														
67 Medical Emergency	Common Hours	M	100 A			StreetAddress														



	AM	AN	AO	AP	AP	AP	AS	AT	AT	AT	AV	AV	AV	AX	AV	AZ	BA	BB	BC	BD	BE					
1	Region	Abbr	ZIP	Country	LangCode	Distance	X	Y	AR	AS	AT	StreamID	User ID	IN-Street	AV	IN-City	IN-ZIP	USER-Field1	USER-Field2	USER-DISTRICT	USER-NAME-OF-BUSINESS	USER-STREET-ADDRESS	USER-TYPE-OF-LICENSE	USER-STATUS	RENEWAL	NEW
2	75219	USA				0	2487514.413	6981947.434	113035	113035	DALLAS	3911 CEDAR SPRINGS RD	DALLAS	19 06	7 02	STATION 4	3911 CEDAR SPRINGS RD	DH/A LH	RENEWAL							
3	75220	USA				0	2458253.36	7004567.198	102542	102542	DALLAS	2250 MANANA DR	DALLAS	19 06	9 02	SUE ELLEN'S	2250 MANANA DR	DH/A LH	RENEWAL							
4	75219	USA				0	2487104.877	6981946.557	113168	113168	DALLAS	3014 THROCKMORTON	DALLAS	32 06	9 02	PARIS ADULT BOOKSTORE	11118 HARRY HINES BLVD	DH/A LH	RENEWAL							
5	75229	USA				0	2463564.208	7009452.813	421084	421084	DALLAS	11327 REEDER RD	DALLAS	36 06	12 02	BUCKS WILD	11327 REEDER RD	DH/A LH	RENEWAL							
6	75229	USA				0	2460775.321	7011884.266	100603	100603	DALLAS	8550 N STEMMONS FRWY	DALLAS	12 02	7 02	XTC CABARET	8550 N STEMMONS FRWY	DH/A LH	RENEWAL							
7	75247	USA				0	2468107.173	6988576.468	109659	109659	DALLAS	3911 CEDAR SPRINGS RD	DALLAS	36 06	7 02	STATION 4	3911 CEDAR SPRINGS RD	DH/A LH	RENEWAL							
8	75219	USA				0	2487514.413	6981947.434	113035	113035	DALLAS	11327 REEDER RD	DALLAS	36 06	7 02	BUCKS WILD	11327 REEDER RD	DH/A LH	RENEWAL							
9	75229	USA				0	2460775.321	7011884.266	100603	100603	DALLAS	11327 REEDER RD	DALLAS	36 06	7 02	BUCKS WILD	11327 REEDER RD	DH/A LH	RENEWAL							
10	75247	USA				0	2525294.132	6977659.121	510672	510672	DALLAS	COASTER CLUB INC. TIGER CABARET	DALLAS	27 06	4 07	COASTER CLUB INC. TIGER CABARET	9125 E RL THORNTON FRWY	DH/A LH	RENEWAL							
11	75229	USA				0	2464859.11	6987230.384	100185	100185	DALLAS	9109 JOHN CARPENTER	DALLAS	33 06	3 06	BLISS ARCADE THEATER CLUB	9109 JOHN CARPENTER	DH/A LH	RENEWAL							
12	75220	USA				0	2460056.093	7000398.24	357958	357958	DALLAS	10310 W MOCKINGBIRD BLVD	DALLAS	12 02	3 06	COMBOWS RED RIVER	8550 N STEMMONS FRWY	DH/A LH	RENEWAL							
13	75247	USA				0	2468107.173	6988576.468	109659	109659	DALLAS	8550 N STEMMONS FRWY	DALLAS	12 02	3 06	XTC CABARET	8550 N STEMMONS FRWY	DH/A LH	RENEWAL							
14	75220	USA				0	2468159.406	6982841.213	146618	146618	DALLAS	910 W MOCKINGBIRD LN	DALLAS	26 06	14 06	XPOSED ADULT THEATER	910 W MOCKINGBIRD LN	DH/A LH	RENEWAL							
15	75247	USA				0	2468159.406	6982841.213	146618	146618	DALLAS	910 W MOCKINGBIRD LN	DALLAS	26 06	14 06	XTC CABARET	910 W MOCKINGBIRD LN	DH/A LH	RENEWAL							
16	75247	USA				0	2468159.406	6982841.213	146618	146618	DALLAS	910 W MOCKINGBIRD LN	DALLAS	26 06	14 06	XTC CABARET	910 W MOCKINGBIRD LN	DH/A LH	RENEWAL							
17	75229	USA				0	2487104.877	6981946.557	113168	113168	DALLAS	3014 THROCKMORTON	DALLAS	32 06	9 02	SUE ELLEN'S	3014 THROCKMORTON	DH/A LH	RENEWAL							
18	75220	USA				0	2460056.093	7000398.24	357958	357958	DALLAS	10310 W TECHNOLOGY BLVD	DALLAS	33 06	3 06	COMBOWS RED RIVER	10310 W TECHNOLOGY BLVD	DH/A LH	RENEWAL							
19	75220	USA				0	2460056.093	7000398.24	357958	357958	DALLAS	10310 W TECHNOLOGY BLVD	DALLAS	33 06	3 06	COMBOWS RED RIVER	10310 W TECHNOLOGY BLVD	DH/A LH	RENEWAL							
20	75219	USA				0	2487514.413	6981947.434	113035	113035	DALLAS	3911 CEDAR SPRINGS RD	DALLAS	32 06	7 02	STATION 4	3911 CEDAR SPRINGS RD	DH/A LH	RENEWAL							
21	75229	USA				0	2520798.309	7012558.119	433378	433378	DALLAS	10601 PLANO RD	DALLAS	45 06	15 06	PTS MENS CLUB	2117 W NORTHWEST HWY	DH/A LH	RENEWAL							
22	75220	USA				0	2460056.093	7000398.24	357958	357958	DALLAS	10310 W TECHNOLOGY BLVD	DALLAS	33 06	3 06	COMBOWS RED RIVER	10310 W TECHNOLOGY BLVD	DH/A LH	RENEWAL							
23	75220	USA				0	2460056.093	7000398.24	357958	357958	DALLAS	10310 W TECHNOLOGY BLVD	DALLAS	33 06	3 06	COMBOWS RED RIVER	10310 W TECHNOLOGY BLVD	DH/A LH	RENEWAL							
24	75219	USA				0	2487514.413	6981947.434	113035	113035	DALLAS	3911 CEDAR SPRINGS RD	DALLAS	32 06	7 02	STATION 4	3911 CEDAR SPRINGS RD	DH/A LH	RENEWAL							
25	75238	USA				0	2520798.309	7012558.119	433378	433378	DALLAS	10601 PLANO RD	DALLAS	45 06	15 06	PTS MENS CLUB	2117 W NORTHWEST HWY	DH/A LH	RENEWAL							
26	75220	USA				0	2460056.093	7000398.24	357958	357958	DALLAS	10310 W TECHNOLOGY BLVD	DALLAS	33 06	3 06	COMBOWS RED RIVER	10310 W TECHNOLOGY BLVD	DH/A LH	RENEWAL							
27	75220	USA				0	2460056.093	7000398.24	357958	357958	DALLAS	10310 W TECHNOLOGY BLVD	DALLAS	33 06	3 06	COMBOWS RED RIVER	10310 W TECHNOLOGY BLVD	DH/A LH	RENEWAL							
28	75247	USA				0	2468107.173	6988576.468	109659	109659	DALLAS	8550 N STEMMONS FRWY	DALLAS	12 02	3 06	XTC CABARET	8550 N STEMMONS FRWY	DH/A LH	RENEWAL							
29	75247	USA				0	2468107.173	6988576.468	109659	109659	DALLAS	8550 N STEMMONS FRWY	DALLAS	12 02	3 06	XTC CABARET	8550 N STEMMONS FRWY	DH/A LH	RENEWAL							
30	75247	USA				0	2468159.406	6982841.213	146618	146618	DALLAS	910 W MOCKINGBIRD LN	DALLAS	26 06	14 06	XPOSED ADULT THEATER	910 W MOCKINGBIRD LN	DH/A LH	RENEWAL							
31	75229	USA				0	2460856.633	7008256.851	101505	101505	DALLAS	1244 WALNUT RIDGE ST	DALLAS	37 06	9 02	SUE ELLEN'S	DALLAS CABARET SOUTH (ADDENDUM)	DH/A LH	RENEWAL							
32	75219	USA				0	2487104.877	6981946.557	113168	113168	DALLAS	3014 THROCKMORTON	DALLAS	32 06	9 02	SUE ELLEN'S	3014 THROCKMORTON	DH/A LH	RENEWAL							
33	75220	USA				0	2460056.093	7000398.24	357958	357958	DALLAS	10310 W TECHNOLOGY BLVD	DALLAS	33 06	3 06	COMBOWS RED RIVER	10310 W TECHNOLOGY BLVD	DH/A LH	RENEWAL							
34	75220	USA				0	2460056.093	7000398.24	357958	357958	DALLAS	10310 W TECHNOLOGY BLVD	DALLAS	33 06	3 06	COMBOWS RED RIVER	10310 W TECHNOLOGY BLVD	DH/A LH	RENEWAL							
35	75219	USA				0	2487514.413	6981947.434	113035	113035	DALLAS	3911 CEDAR SPRINGS RD	DALLAS	32 06	7 02	STATION 4	3911 CEDAR SPRINGS RD	DH/A LH	RENEWAL							
36	75238	USA				0	2520798.309	7012558.119	433378	433378	DALLAS	10601 PLANO RD	DALLAS	45 06	15 06	PTS MENS CLUB	2117 W NORTHWEST HWY	DH/A LH	RENEWAL							
37	75220	USA				0	2460056.093	7000398.24	357958	357958	DALLAS	10310 W TECHNOLOGY BLVD	DALLAS	33 06	3 06	COMBOWS RED RIVER	10310 W TECHNOLOGY BLVD	DH/A LH	RENEWAL							
38	75220	USA				0	2460056.093	7000398.24	357958	357958	DALLAS	10310 W TECHNOLOGY BLVD	DALLAS	33 06	3 06	COMBOWS RED RIVER	10310 W TECHNOLOGY BLVD	DH/A LH	RENEWAL							
39	75220	USA				0	2460056.093	7000398.24	357958	357958	DALLAS	10310 W TECHNOLOGY BLVD	DALLAS	33 06	3 06	COMBOWS RED RIVER	10310 W TECHNOLOGY BLVD	DH/A LH	RENEWAL							
40	75220	USA				0	2468339.042	7001769.301	357950	357950	DALLAS	2117 W NORTHWEST HWY	DALLAS	15 06	15 06	DG5 A GENTLEMANS CLUB	2117 W NORTHWEST HWY	DH/A LH	RENEWAL							
41	75220	USA				0	2468339.042	7001769.301	357950	357950	DALLAS	2117 W NORTHWEST HWY	DALLAS	15 06	15 06	DG5 A GENTLEMANS CLUB	2117 W NORTHWEST HWY	DH/A LH	RENEWAL							
42	75220	USA				0	2468339.042	7001769.301	357950	357950	DALLAS	2117 W NORTHWEST HWY	DALLAS	15 06	15 06	DG5 A GENTLEMANS CLUB	2117 W NORTHWEST HWY	DH/A LH	RENEWAL							
43	75229	USA				0	2460856.633	7008256.851	101505	101505	DALLAS	1244 WALNUT RIDGE ST	DALLAS	37 06	9 02	SUE ELLEN'S	DALLAS CABARET SOUTH (ADDENDUM)	DH/A LH	RENEWAL							
44	75229	USA				0	2460856.633	7008256.851	101505	101505	DALLAS	1244 WALNUT RIDGE ST	DALLAS	37 06	9 02	SUE ELLEN'S	DALLAS CABARET SOUTH (ADDENDUM)	DH/A LH	RENEWAL							
45	75220	USA				0	2462675.701	7000530.028	420590	420590	DALLAS	10250 SHADY TRAIL	DALLAS	23 06	45 06	BARB DOLLS TOPLESS SALOON	10250 SHADY TRAIL	DH/A LH	RENEWAL							
46	75238	USA				0	2520798.309	7012558.119	433378	433378	DALLAS	10601 PLANO RD	DALLAS	45 06	15 06	PTS MENS CLUB	2117 W NORTHWEST HWY	DH/A LH	RENEWAL							
47	75247	USA				0	2469193.13	6985270.06	111640	111640	DALLAS	11118 HARRY HINES BLVD	DALLAS	29 06	2 06	PARIS ADULT BOOKSTORE	11118 HARRY HINES BLVD	DH/A LH	RENEWAL							
48	75220	USA				0	2460506.627	7000600.296	104045	104045	DALLAS	10333 TECHNOLOGY	DALLAS	28 06	4 07	COASTER CLUB INC. TIGER CABARET	10333 E TECHNOLOGY	DH/A LH	RENEWAL							
49	75220	USA				0	2525294.132	6977659.121	510672	510672	DALLAS	9125 E RL THORNTON FRWY	DALLAS	15 06	15 06	DG5 A GENTLEMANS CLUB	2117 W NORTHWEST HWY	DH/A LH	RENEWAL							
50	75220	USA				0	2468339.042	7001769.301	357950	357950	DALLAS	2117 W NORTHWEST HWY	DALLAS	15 06	15 06	DG5 A GENTLEMANS CLUB	2117 W NORTHWEST HWY	DH/A LH	RENEWAL							
51	75247	USA				0	2470057.613	6983252.002	112598	112598	DALLAS	7035 JOHN W CARPENTAR FRWY	DALLAS	39 06	4 07	LUDO ADULT THEATER	7035 JOHN W CARPENTAR FRWY	DH/A LH	RENEWAL							
52	75220	USA				0	2465972.61	7002923.188	145766	145766	DALLAS	10530 SPANGLER RD	DALLAS	41 06	3 06	THE LODGE BAR AND GRILL	10530 SPANGLER RD	DH/A LH	RENEWAL							
53	75229	USA				0	2463564.208	7009452.813	421084	421084	DALLAS	11118 HARRY HINES BLVD	DALLAS	32 06	3 06	PARIS ADULT BOOKSTORE	11118 HARRY HINES BLVD	DH/A LH	RENEWAL							
54	75229	USA				0	2463564.208	7009452.813	421084	421084	DALLAS	11118 HARRY HINES BLVD	DALLAS	32 06	3 06	PARIS ADULT BOOKSTORE	11118 HARRY HINES BLVD	DH/A LH	RENEWAL							
55	75229	USA				0	2463564.208	7009452.813	421084	421084	DALLAS	11118 HARRY HINES BLVD	DALLAS	32 06	3 06	PARIS ADULT BOOKSTORE	11118 HARRY HINES BLVD	DH/A LH	RENEWAL							
56	75229	USA				0	2469500.926	7007284.594	101702	101702	DALLAS	2443 WALNUT HILLS LN	DALLAS	17 06	17 06	PARK AVENUE	2443 WALNUT HILLS LN	DH/A LH	RENEWAL							
57	75229	USA				0	2460775.321	7011884.266	100603	100603	DALLAS	11327 REEDER RD	DALLAS	36 06	0 02	BUCKS WILD	1									

COD\_007444



	BF	BG	BH	BI
	USER_APLICANT_NAME	USER_CITY	USER_EDITED_ADDRESS	USER_ADDR_POST
1	USER_APLICANT_NAME			
2	GREGORY KILHOFFER	DALLAS	3911 CEDAR SPRINGS RD	
3	ICE BERKMAN	DALLAS	1250 MANANA DR	
4	GREGORY KILHOFFER	DALLAS	3014 THROCKMORTON	
5	PAUL RADNITZ	DALLAS	11118 HARRY HINES BLVD	
6	CURTIS WISE	DALLAS	11327 REEDER RD	
7	ERIC LANGAN	DALLAS	8550 N STEAMONS FRWY	
8	GREGORY KILHOFFER	DALLAS	3911 CEDAR SPRINGS RD	
9	CURTIS WISE	DALLAS	11327 REEDER RD	
10		DALLAS	9125 E RL THORNTON FRWY	
11	JAMES RICHARD BRIGHT	DALLAS	9109 J CARPENTAR FRWY	
12	MICHAEL JAY MURPHY	DALLAS	10310 W TECHNOLOGY BLVD	
13	ERIC LANGAN	DALLAS	8550 N STEAMONS FRWY	
14	CURTIS WISE	DALLAS	2150 CALIFORNIA CROSSING	
15	ICE BERKMAN	DALLAS	1250 MANANA DR	
16	GREGORY KILHOFFER	DALLAS	3911 CEDAR SPRINGS RD	
17	VIHAT SABIR BHATT	DALLAS	910 W MOCKINGBIRD LN	
18	ERNEST DOUGLAS	DALLAS	11569 HARRY HINES BLVD	
19	ERIC LANGAN	DALLAS	7501 N STEAMONS FRWY	STE A
20	PAUL RADNITZ	DALLAS	11118 HARRY HINES BLVD	
21		DALLAS	9125 E RL THORNTON FRWY	
22	GREGORY KILHOFFER	DALLAS	3911 CEDAR SPRINGS RD	
23	DOUGLAS ERNEST	DALLAS	2444 WALNUT RIDGE ST	
24	TROY H. BIESSEL	DALLAS	2117 W NORTHWEST HWY	
25	VIHAT SABIR BHATT	DALLAS	910 W MOCKINGBIRD LN	
26	VIHAT SABIR BHATT	DALLAS	910 W MOCKINGBIRD LN	
27	DALLAS HALE	DALLAS	11044 HARRY HINES BLVD	
28	ERIC LANGAN	DALLAS	8550 N STEAMONS FRWY	
29	ERIC LANGAN	DALLAS	8550 N STEAMONS FRWY	
30	VIHAT SABIR BHATT	DALLAS	910 W MOCKINGBIRD LN	
31	DOUGLAS ERNEST	DALLAS	2444 WALNUT RIDGE ST	
32	GREGORY KILHOFFER	DALLAS	3014 THROCKMORTON	
33	MICHAEL JAY MURPHY	DALLAS	10310 W TECHNOLOGY BLVD	
34	MICHAEL JAY MURPHY	DALLAS	10310 W TECHNOLOGY BLVD	
35	GREGORY KILHOFFER	DALLAS	3911 CEDAR SPRINGS RD	
36	NICK MEHMETI	DALLAS	10601 PLANO RD	
37	TROY H. BIESSEL	DALLAS	2117 W NORTHWEST HWY	
38	MICHAEL JAY MURPHY	DALLAS	10310 W TECHNOLOGY BLVD	
39	MICHAEL JAY MURPHY	DALLAS	10310 W TECHNOLOGY BLVD	
40	TROY H. BIESSEL	DALLAS	2117 W NORTHWEST HWY	
41	PAUL RADNITZ	DALLAS	1966 W NORTHWEST HWY	
42	DARYUSH FERDOWS	DALLAS	2443 WALNUT HILLS LN	
43	PAUL RADNITZ	DALLAS	11118 HARRY HINES BLVD	
44	DOUGLAS ERNEST	DALLAS	2444 WALNUT RIDGE ST	
45	STEVEN CRAFT	DALLAS	10250 SHADY TRAIL	
46	NICK MEHMETI	DALLAS	10601 PLANO RD	
47	ERIC LANGAN	DALLAS	7501 N STEAMONS FRWY	STE A
48	CHRISTOPHER POUX	DALLAS	10333 E TECHNOLOGY	
49		DALLAS	9125 E RL THORNTON FRWY	
50	TROY H. BIESSEL	DALLAS	2117 W NORTHWEST HWY	
51	DARBY AUSTIN TAYLOR	DALLAS	7035 JOHN W CARPENTAR FRWY	
52	DAWN MICKY	DALLAS	10530 SPANGLER RD	
53	PAUL RADNITZ	DALLAS	11118 HARRY HINES BLVD	
54	PAUL RADNITZ	DALLAS	2443 WALNUT HILLS LN	
55	DARYUSH FERDOWS	DALLAS	11327 REEDER RD	
56	CURTIS WISE	DALLAS	11327 REEDER RD	
57	PAUL RADNITZ	DALLAS	1720 W MOCKINGBIRD LN	
58	CHRISTOPHER POUX	DALLAS	10333 E TECHNOLOGY	
59	PAUL RADNITZ	DALLAS	1720 W MOCKINGBIRD LN	
60	PAUL RADNITZ	DALLAS	11118 HARRY HINES BLVD	
61	ERIC LANGAN	DALLAS	8550 N STEAMONS FRWY	
62	ERNEST DOUGLAS	DALLAS	11569 HARRY HINES BLVD	
63	JAMES RICHARD BRIGHT	DALLAS	9109 J CARPENTAR FRWY	
64	STANDARD HODGES	DALLAS	56105 LAMAR ST	
65	GREGORY KILHOFFER	DALLAS	3014 THROCKMORTON	
66	GREGORY KILHOFFER	DALLAS	3014 THROCKMORTON	
67		DALLAS	12045 SHILOH RD	



A		B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K
ID	Master Incident Number	Response Date	Column2	Column3	Incident Date	Incident Time	Incident Time - Second	Incident Time - Minutes	Problem	Address	City
2	41876424 2021234716	9/12/2021 0:01	1/0/1900 0:01	10p-2a	9/12/2021 0:24	1402			23 AA - AGGRAVATED ASSAULT	3014 THROCKMORTON ST	Dallas
3	41876435 2021234718	9/12/2021 0:02	1/0/1900 0:02	10p-2a	9/12/2021 0:24	1314			22 AA - AGGRAVATED ASSAULT	10601 PLANO RD	Dallas
4	40966155 2021138174	6/5/2021 0:02	1/0/1900 0:02	10p-2a	6/5/2021 1:00	3488			58 ECHO - UNCONSCIOUS PERSON	10550 SPANGLER RD	Dallas
5	33971098 201937417	10/4/2019 0:02	1/0/1900 0:02	10p-2a	10/4/2019 1:29	5216			87 CP - CHEST PAIN	1676 REGAL ROW	Dallas
6	40975493 2021339101	6/6/2021 0:03	1/0/1900 0:03	10p-2a	6/6/2021 0:52	2906			49 10W - HIGH WATER INCIDENT	11044 HARRY HINES BLVD	Dallas
7	4130468 2021154779	6/23/2021 0:05	1/0/1900 0:05	10p-2a	6/23/2021 0:58	3194			53 ST - STABBING	11104 HARRY HINES BLVD	Dallas
8	41741597 2021219814	8/28/2021 0:07	1/0/1900 0:07	10p-2a	8/28/2021 0:15	474			8 42 - AUTOMATIC FIRE ALARM	2117 W NORTHWEST HWY	Dallas
9	40206959 2021061991	3/7/2021 0:08	1/0/1900 0:08	10p-2a	3/7/2021 0:38	1807			30 IP - INJURED PERSON	10310 TECHNOLOGY BLVD E	Dallas
10	35032943 2019145932	6/21/2019 0:08	1/0/1900 0:08	10p-2a	6/21/2019 1:03	3250			55 ME - MEDICAL EMERGENCY	11505 NAAHEIM DR	Dallas
11	42131121 2021256044	10/10/2021 0:10	1/0/1900 0:10	10p-2a	10/10/2021 0:35	1480			25 IP - INJURED PERSON	10310 TECHNOLOGY BLVD W	Dallas
12	37277814 2020053798	3/8/2020 0:12	1/0/1900 0:12	10p-2a	3/8/2020 0:19	389			7 AA - AGGRAVATED ASSAULT	3911 CEDAR SPRINGS RD	Dallas
13	38651572 2020181718	8/4/2020 0:12	1/0/1900 0:12	10p-2a	8/4/2020 1:11	3512			59 ALPHA - ABDOMINAL PAIN	10550 SPANGLER RD	Dallas
14	38843182 2020159569	7/18/2020 0:14	1/0/1900 0:14	10p-2a	7/18/2020 0:41	1616			26 AA - AGGRAVATED ASSAULT	11044 HARRY HINES BLVD	Dallas
15	42256914 2021770717	10/24/2021 0:14	1/0/1900 0:14	10p-2a	10/24/2021 0:20	363			6 44 - WELFARE CHECK	3911 CEDAR SPRINGS RD	Dallas
16	41751434 2021220912	8/29/2021 0:14	1/0/1900 0:14	10p-2a	8/29/2021 0:47	2000			33 DELTA - CHEST PAIN	1966 W NORTHWEST HWY	Dallas
17	41427542 2021184803	7/24/2021 0:14	1/0/1900 0:14	10p-2a	7/24/2021 1:36	4911			82 ALPHA - FALL VICTIM	10859 HARRY HINES BLVD	Dallas
18	41995554 2021247368	9/25/2021 0:14	1/0/1900 0:14	10p-2a	9/25/2021 2:45	9048			151 DELTA - MEDICAL EMERGENCY	7501 N STEMMONS SERV SB	Dallas
19	41329479 2021248411	9/18/2021 0:16	1/0/1900 0:16	10p-2a	9/18/2021 1:09	3175			53 DELTA - UNCONSCIOUS PERSON	3911 CEDAR SPRINGS RD	Dallas
20	36820774 2020009718	1/13/2020 0:16	1/0/1900 0:16	10p-2a	1/13/2020 0:25	531			9 42 - AUTOMATIC FIRE ALARM	10333 TECHNOLOGY BLVD E	Dallas
21	33927466 2019035586	2/15/2019 0:16	1/0/1900 0:16	10p-2a	2/15/2019 0:45	1718			29 ME - MEDICAL EMERGENCY	10310 TECHNOLOGY BLVD W	Dallas
22	42320743 2021281817	10/31/2021 0:17	1/0/1900 0:17	10p-2a	10/31/2021 0:53	2152			36 IP - INJURED PERSON	10310 TECHNOLOGY BLVD W	Dallas
23	38581771 2020161096	7/20/2020 0:17	1/0/1900 0:17	10p-2a	7/20/2020 1:14	3417			57 CHARLIE - SEIZURE	8550 N STEMMONS SERV NB	Dallas
24	39762822 2021007291	1/20/2021 0:19	1/0/1900 0:19	10p-2a	1/20/2021 0:36	1042			17 BRAVO - SEIZURE	2444 WALNUT RIDGE ST	Dallas
25	36282664 2019169233	11/9/2019 0:19	1/0/1900 0:19	10p-2a	11/9/2019 1:01	2513			42 ME - MEDICAL EMERGENCY	10333 TECHNOLOGY BLVD W	Dallas
26	41251220 2021166726	7/5/2021 0:20	1/0/1900 0:20	10p-2a	7/5/2021 0:44	1475			24 UP - UNCONSCIOUS PERSON	8550 N STEMMONS SERV NB	Dallas
27	38623287 2020188673	8/22/2020 0:20	1/0/1900 0:20	10p-2a	8/22/2020 1:28	4089			68 DE - DIABETIC EMERGENCY	7501 N STEMMONS SERV SB	Dallas
28	38620097 2019004089	1/6/2019 0:20	1/0/1900 0:20	10p-2a	1/6/2019 0:36	1008			16 98 - STRUCTURE FIRE REPORTED	8550 N STEMMONS SERV NB	Dallas
29	34455858 2019087697	4/19/2019 0:20	1/0/1900 0:20	10p-2a	4/19/2019 0:32	723			12 IP - INJURED PERSON	10965 COMPOSITE DR	Dallas
30	40547152 2021096459	4/17/2021 0:20	1/0/1900 0:20	10p-2a	4/17/2021 0:50	1793			30 ME - MEDICAL EMERGENCY	10310 TECHNOLOGY BLVD E	Dallas
31	35115680 2019154204	6/30/2019 0:20	1/0/1900 0:20	10p-2a	6/30/2019 0:24	245			4 42 - AUTOMATIC FIRE ALARM	2117 W NORTHWEST HWY	Dallas
32	40321569 2021073281	3/21/2021 0:21	1/0/1900 0:21	10p-2a	3/21/2021 1:08	2803			47 AA - AGGRAVATED ASSAULT	9125 E R L THORNTON SERV WB	Dallas
33	41563460 2021199766	8/8/2021 0:22	1/0/1900 0:22	10p-2a	8/8/2021 1:28	3952			66 OD - OVERDOSE	7501 N STEMMONS SERV SB	Dallas
34	39737535 2021004958	1/7/2021 0:22	1/0/1900 0:22	10p-2a	1/7/2021 0:41	1127			19 IP - INJURED PERSON	11327 REEDER RD	Dallas
35	33778771 2021023902	1/27/2021 0:22	1/0/1900 0:23	10p-2a	1/27/2021 1:11	2879			48 CP - CHEST PAIN	2117 W NORTHWEST HWY	Dallas
36	42001996 2021248326	9/26/2021 0:23	1/0/1900 0:23	10p-2a	9/26/2021 0:49	1556			26 44 - WELFARE CHECK	10250 SHADY TRL	Dallas
37	33998397 2019042487	2/24/2019 0:23	1/0/1900 0:23	10p-2a	2/24/2019 1:17	3213			54 IP - INJURED PERSON	10333 TECHNOLOGY BLVD W	Dallas
38	39974890 2021029558	2/17/2021 0:24	1/0/1900 0:24	10p-2a	2/17/2021 0:41	1025			17 MW - MOTOR VEHICLE ACCIDENT	11327 REEDER RD	Dallas
39	35998877 2019240251	10/7/2019 0:25	1/0/1900 0:25	10p-2a	10/7/2019 1:51	5166			86 IP - INJURED PERSON	7501 N STEMMONS SERV SB	Dallas
40	36231825 2019264711	11/3/2019 0:27	1/0/1900 0:27	10p-2a	11/3/2019 0:53	1500			26 ME - MEDICAL EMERGENCY	10310 TECHNOLOGY BLVD E	Dallas
41	35907378 201931181	9/7/2019 0:27	1/0/1900 0:27	10p-2a	9/7/2019 2:01	5663			94 UP - UNCONSCIOUS PERSON	11044 HARRY HINES BLVD	Dallas
42	37354025 2020061294	3/17/2020 0:28	1/0/1900 0:28	10p-2a	3/17/2020 0:39	660			11 MW - MOTOR VEHICLE ACCIDENT	10601 PLANO RD	Dallas
43	33927484 201933165	9/29/2019 0:28	1/0/1900 0:28	10p-2a	9/29/2019 1:01	5506			92 ME - MEDICAL EMERGENCY	10333 TECHNOLOGY BLVD E	Dallas
44	41992648 2021247280	9/25/2021 0:28	1/0/1900 0:28	10p-2a	9/25/2021 0:43	861			15 MW - MOTOR VEHICLE ACCIDENT	9009 SOVEREIGN ROW	Dallas
45	41902249 2021237607	9/15/2021 0:29	1/0/1900 0:29	10p-2a	9/15/2021 0:33	208			4 22 - AUTOMATIC FIRE ALARM	2117 W NORTHWEST HWY	Dallas
46	36863257 2020013953	1/18/2020 0:30	1/0/1900 0:30	10p-2a	1/18/2020 0:34	228			4 DELTA - DELTA MEDICAL	3911 CEDAR SPRINGS RD	Dallas
47	34285763 2019070884	3/30/2019 0:31	1/0/1900 0:31	10p-2a	3/30/2019 0:40	520			9 UP - UNCONSCIOUS PERSON	10333 TECHNOLOGY BLVD E	Dallas
48	38817747 2020207389	9/14/2020 0:34	1/0/1900 0:34	10p-2a	9/14/2020 1:37	3774			62 ME - MEDICAL EMERGENCY	9125 E R L THORNTON SERV WB	Dallas
49	40151519 2021053931	2/28/2021 0:34	1/0/1900 0:34	10p-2a	2/28/2021 2:00	5149			86 IP - INJURED PERSON	10250 SHADY TRL	Dallas
50	34539985 2019095318	4/28/2019 0:34	1/0/1900 0:34	10p-2a	4/28/2019 0:53	1116			19 20 - RESCUE TRAPPED PET	10310 TECHNOLOGY BLVD W	Dallas
51	3666384 2019288116	12/1/2019 0:34	1/0/1900 0:34	10p-2a	12/1/2019 1:09	2086			35 UP - UNCONSCIOUS PERSON	11118 HARRY HINES BLVD	Dallas
52	39079977 2020235263	10/16/2020 0:35	1/0/1900 0:35	10p-2a	10/16/2020 1:41	3951			66 AP - ABDOMINAL PAIN	2150 CALIFORNIA CROSSING RD	Dallas
53	41082730 2021150000	6/18/2021 0:35	1/0/1900 0:35	10p-2a	6/18/2021 0:51	920			16 ST - STABBING	2150 CALIFORNIA CROSSING RD	Dallas
54	41572576 2021200688	8/9/2021 0:36	1/0/1900 0:36	10p-2a	8/9/2021 1:01	1523			25 ME - MEDICAL EMERGENCY	7501 N STEMMONS SERV SB	Dallas
55	3662523 2019039955	12/20/2020 0:36	1/0/1900 0:36	10p-2a	12/20/2020 1:01	964			16 AA - AGGRAVATED ASSAULT	11569 HARRY HINES BLVD	Dallas
56	37768527 2020050113	3/7/2020 0:37	1/0/1900 0:37	10p-2a	3/7/2020 1:33	3378			56 IP - INJURED PERSON	3014 THROCKMORTON ST	Dallas
57	37226784 2020048895	3/12/2020 0:40	1/0/1900 0:40	10p-2a	3/12/2020 1:49	4143			69 OD - OVERDOSE	11118 HARRY HINES BLVD	Dallas
58	33873198 2019029842	2/8/2019 0:40	1/0/1900 0:40	10p-2a	2/8/2019 1:43	3786			63 ME - MEDICAL EMERGENCY	10310 TECHNOLOGY BLVD E	Dallas
59	34361150 2019078933	4/8/2019 0:41	1/0/1900 0:41	10p-2a	4/8/2019 1:36	3335			56 DELTA - DELTA MEDICAL	10965 COMPOSITE DR	Dallas
60	40791948 2021120818	5/16/2021 0:41	1/0/1900 0:41	10p-2a	5/16/2021 1:18	2249			37 AA - AGGRAVATED ASSAULT	3911 CEDAR SPRINGS RD	Dallas
61	35053374 2019604780	6/23/2019 0:42	1/0/1900 0:42	10p-2a	6/23/2019 2:24	6079			102 UP - UNCONSCIOUS PERSON	1676 REGAL ROW	Dallas
62	33581420 2019600049	1/1/2020 0:45	1/0/1900 0:45	10p-2a	1/1/2020 1:05	1224			20 IP - INJURED PERSON	3911 CEDAR SPRINGS RD	Dallas
63	42037454 2021252178	9/30/2021 0:46	1/0/1900 0:46	10p-2a	9/30/2021 1:03	1046			17 IP - INJURED PERSON	9109 JOHN W CARPENTER FWY SB	Dallas
64	33596974 2019200394	8/23/2019 0:46	1/0/1900 0:46	10p-2a	8/23/2019 1:44	3490			58 ME - MEDICAL EMERGENCY	10310 TECHNOLOGY BLVD E	Dallas
65	37084929 2020035298	2/14/2020 0:46	1/0/1900 0:46	10p-2a	2/14/2020 1:22	2157			36 IP - INJURED PERSON	10310 TECHNOLOGY BLVD E	Dallas
66	33581464 2019000053	1/1/2019 0:47	1/0/1900 0:47	10p-2a	1/1/2019 1:05	1095			18 42 - AUTOMATIC FIRE ALARM	2250 MANANA DR	Dallas
67	35687172 2019209250	9/12/2019 0:47	1/0/1900 0:47	10p-2a	9/12/2019 2:21	5672			94 DELTA - DELTA MEDICAL	3911 CEDAR SPRINGS RD	Dallas

COD\_007474



	L		M		N		O		P		Q		R		S		T		U		V		W		X	
	CAD Location Name	Longitude	Latitude	Map Info	Month	Day	Hour	Year	Category	Time Period	USER TYPE OF LICENSE	USER DISTRICT	USER NAME OF BUSINESS													
1	CADEN ENTERPRISES	-96.811953	32.810447 35-W		9	12	0	2021	Medical Emergency	Common Hours	DH/A LH	STATION 4	STATION 4													
2	CAVEN ENTERPRISES	-96.700455	32.89234 28-E		9	12	0	2021	Medical Emergency	Common Hours	SOB	THE CLUBHOUSE	THE CLUBHOUSE													
3	PTS MEN CLUB	-96.913084	32.889371 22-T		6	5	0	2021	Medical Emergency	Common Hours	DH CLASS A LH	SUE ELLENS	SUE ELLENS													
4	THE LODGE	-96.873269	32.836367 33-K		10	4	0	2019	Medical Emergency	Common Hours	SOB-ARCADE	PARIS ADULT BOOKSTORE	PARIS ADULT BOOKSTORE													
5	ZONA ROSA CABARET   (REGAL ROW & STEMMONS)	-96.886541	32.884116 23-J		6	6	0	2021	Fire (Other)	Common Hours	SOB	BUCKS WILD	BUCKS WILD													
6	CHICKS BONITAS	-96.886541	32.884116 23-J		6	23	0	2021	Medical Emergency	Common Hours	SOB	XTC CABARET	XTC CABARET													
7	DG'S NIGHTCLUB   (W NORTHWEST & LOMBARDY)	-96.904557	32.866097 22-U		3	8	28	0	2021	Fire (Other)	DH/A LH	STATION 4	STATION 4													
8	COBBOYS RED RIVER	-96.897126	32.86265 22-Z		3	7	0	2021	Medical Emergency	Common Hours	SOB	BUCKS WILD	BUCKS WILD													
9	VIDEO STORE	-96.890509	32.907294 23-A		6	21	0	2019	Medical Emergency	Common Hours	SOB	COASTER CLUB INC. TIGER CABARET	COASTER CLUB INC. TIGER CABARET													
10	STATION 4 NIGHT CLUB	-96.89065	32.86234 22-Z		10	10	0	2021	Medical Emergency	Common Hours	DH CLASS A LH	BUSS ARCADE THEATER CLUB	BUSS ARCADE THEATER CLUB													
11	THE LODGE	-96.810482	32.810436 35-W		3	8	0	2020	Medical Emergency	Common Hours	SOB	COBBOYS RED RIVER	COBBOYS RED RIVER													
12	CHICKS BONITAS	-96.913084	32.889371 22-T		8	14	0	2020	Medical Emergency	Common Hours	SOB	XTC CABARET	XTC CABARET													
13	NEW FINE ARTS	-96.886541	32.884116 23-J		7	18	0	2020	Medical Emergency	Common Hours	SOB	BUCKS CABARET	BUCKS CABARET													
14	LUSTICK MENS CLUB	-96.810482	32.810436 35-W		10	24	0	2021	Medical Emergency	Common Hours	DH/A LH	STATION 4	STATION 4													
15	SILVER CITY CABARET	-96.910922	32.868054 22-T		8	29	0	2021	Medical Emergency	Common Hours	SOB (ADULT VIDEO)	XPOSED ADULT THEATER	XPOSED ADULT THEATER													
16	STATION 04	-96.870105	32.8199 33-U		9	25	0	2021	Medical Emergency	Common Hours	SOB	DALLAS CABARET NORTH	DALLAS CABARET NORTH													
17	PRIMEBAR	-96.884509	32.876624 23-N		7	24	0	2021	Medical Emergency	Common Hours	SOB	SILVER CITY CABARET	SILVER CITY CABARET													
18	COBBOY CLUB	-96.810482	32.810436 35-W		19	18	0	2021	Medical Emergency	Common Hours	SOB-ARCADE	PARIS ADULT BOOKSTORE	PARIS ADULT BOOKSTORE													
19	DG'S NIGHTCLUB   (W NORTHWEST & LOMBARDY)	-96.897313	32.862845 22-Z		1	13	0	2020	Fire (Other)	Common Hours	SOB	COASTER CLUB INC. TIGER CABARET	COASTER CLUB INC. TIGER CABARET													
20	CLUB XTC   (STEMMONS & VICEROY)	-96.89065	32.86234 22-Z		2	15	0	2019	Medical Emergency	Common Hours	DH/A LH	STATION 4	STATION 4													
21	DALLAS CABARET SOUTH	-96.872758	32.86234 22-Z		10	31	0	2021	Medical Emergency	Common Hours	SOB	DG'S A GENTLEMAN'S CLUB	DG'S A GENTLEMAN'S CLUB													
22	CLUB XTC   (STEMMONS & VICEROY)	-96.899065	32.86234 22-Z		7	20	0	2020	Medical Emergency	Common Hours	SOB	XPOSED ADULT THEATER	XPOSED ADULT THEATER													
23	DALLAS CABARET SOUTH	-96.895442	32.883613 22-M		1	10	0	2021	Medical Emergency	Common Hours	SOB	DG'S A GENTLEMAN'S CLUB	DG'S A GENTLEMAN'S CLUB													
24	BOMBHELLS	-96.885634	32.862696 22-Z		11	9	0	2019	Medical Emergency	Common Hours	SOB (ADULT VIDEO)	XPOSED ADULT THEATER	XPOSED ADULT THEATER													
25	CLUB XTC   (STEMMONS & VICEROY)	-96.872758	32.87286 33-P		7	5	0	2021	Medical Emergency	Common Hours	SOB (ADULT VIDEO)	XPOSED ADULT THEATER	XPOSED ADULT THEATER													
26	BOMBHELLS	-96.870105	32.8199 33-U		8	22	0	2020	Medical Emergency	Common Hours	SOB	CHICA BONITAS	CHICA BONITAS													
27	CLUB XTC   (STEMMONS & VICEROY)	-96.872758	32.87286 33-P		1	6	0	2019	Structure Fire	Common Hours	SOB	XTC CABARET	XTC CABARET													
28	CLUB XTC   (STEMMONS & VICEROY)	-96.895984	32.880294 22-R		4	19	0	2019	Medical Emergency	Common Hours	SOB	XPOSED ADULT THEATER	XPOSED ADULT THEATER													
29	SPEARMENT RHINO GENTLEMEN'S CLUB	-96.897126	32.86265 22-Z		4	17	0	2021	Medical Emergency	Common Hours	SOB (ADULT VIDEO)	DALLAS CABARET SOUTH (ADDENDUM)	DALLAS CABARET SOUTH (ADDENDUM)													
30	COBBOYS RED RIVER	-96.896022	32.893742 22-H		6	30	0	2019	Fire (Other)	Common Hours	SOB	SUE ELLENS	SUE ELLENS													
31	DG'S NIGHTCLUB   (W NORTHWEST & LOMBARDY)	-96.687311	32.797453 48-G		3	21	0	2021	Medical Emergency	Common Hours	DH CLASS A LH	COBBOYS RED RIVER	COBBOYS RED RIVER													
32	TIGER CABARET	-96.870105	32.8199 33-U		8	8	0	2021	Medical Emergency	Common Hours	DH CLASS A LH	COBBOYS RED RIVER	COBBOYS RED RIVER													
33	SILVER CITY CABARET	-96.896022	32.893742 22-H		1	7	0	2021	Medical Emergency	Common Hours	DH/A LH	STATION 4	STATION 4													
34	DG'S NIGHTCLUB   (W NORTHWEST & LOMBARDY)	-96.904557	32.866097 22-U		9	26	0	2019	Medical Emergency	Common Hours	SOB	PT'S MENS CLUB	PT'S MENS CLUB													
35	COBBOYS RED RIVER	-96.890527	32.86257 23-W		2	24	0	2019	Medical Emergency	Common Hours	SOB	DG'S A GENTLEMAN'S CLUB	DG'S A GENTLEMAN'S CLUB													
36	BABY DOLLS   (SHADY TRL & W NORTHWEST)	-96.898563	32.862845 22-Z		2	7	0	2021	Medical Emergency	Common Hours	DH CLASS A LH	COBBOYS RED RIVER	COBBOYS RED RIVER													
37	NULL	-96.896022	32.893742 22-H		2	7	0	2021	Medical Emergency	Common Hours	SOB	DG'S A GENTLEMAN'S CLUB	DG'S A GENTLEMAN'S CLUB													
38	SILVER CITY CABARET	-96.870105	32.8199 33-U		10	7	0	2019	Medical Emergency	Common Hours	SOB	NEW FINE ARTS - WEST	NEW FINE ARTS - WEST													
39	COBBOYS RED RIVER	-96.897126	32.86265 22-Z		11	3	0	2019	Medical Emergency	Common Hours	SOB-ARCADE	PARIS ADULT BOOKSTORE	PARIS ADULT BOOKSTORE													
40	COBBOYS RED RIVER	-96.886541	32.884116 23-J		9	27	0	2020	Medical Emergency	Common Hours	DH/A LH	PARIS ADULT BOOKSTORE	PARIS ADULT BOOKSTORE													
41	JACK OF DIAMONDS NIGHT CLUB	-96.700455	32.89294 28-E		3	17	0	2020	Medical Emergency	Common Hours	SOB-ARCADE	COASTER CLUB INC. TIGER CABARET	COASTER CLUB INC. TIGER CABARET													
42	DG'S NIGHTCLUB   (W NORTHWEST & LOMBARDY)	-96.897313	32.862845 22-Z		9	29	0	2019	Medical Emergency	Common Hours	SOB-ARCADE	DALLAS CABARET SOUTH (ADDENDUM)	DALLAS CABARET SOUTH (ADDENDUM)													
43	COBBOYS RED RIVER	-96.887241	32.820711 33-S		9	25	0	2021	Medical Emergency	Common Hours	SOB	PARIS ADULT BOOKSTORE	PARIS ADULT BOOKSTORE													
44	COBBOYS RED RIVER	-96.904557	32.866097 22-U		15	0	2021	Fire (Other)	Common Hours	Common Hours	SOB	PT'S MENS CLUB	PT'S MENS CLUB													
45	DG'S NIGHTCLUB   (W NORTHWEST & LOMBARDY)	-96.810482	32.810436 35-W		1	18	0	2020	Medical Emergency	Common Hours	SOB	SILVER CITY CABARET	SILVER CITY CABARET													
46	COBBOYS RED RIVER	-96.897313	32.862845 22-Z		3	30	0	2019	Medical Emergency	Common Hours	DH/A LH	TYCOON COMMISSION LLC ""Prime Bar""	TYCOON COMMISSION LLC ""Prime Bar""													
47	TIGER CABARET	-96.687311	32.797453 48-G		9	14	0	2020	Medical Emergency	Common Hours	SOB	COASTER CLUB INC. TIGER CABARET	COASTER CLUB INC. TIGER CABARET													
48	BABY DOLLS   (SHADY TRL & W NORTHWEST)	-96.890527	32.86257 23-W		12	28	0	2021	Medical Emergency	Common Hours	SOB	DG'S A GENTLEMAN'S CLUB	DG'S A GENTLEMAN'S CLUB													
49	COBBOYS RED RIVER	-96.89065	32.86234 22-Z		4	28	0	2019	Non-Emergency	Common Hours	SOB-ARCADE	LIDO ADULT THEATER	LIDO ADULT THEATER													
50	COBBOYS RED RIVER	-96.887832	32.886097 22-U		12	1	0	2019	Medical Emergency	Common Hours	SOB DH/A	THE LODGE BAR AND GRILL	THE LODGE BAR AND GRILL													
51	PARIS ADULT VIDEO	-96.907893	32.866655 22-U		10	16	0	2020	Medical Emergency	Common Hours	SOB-ARCADE	PARIS ADULT BOOKSTORE	PARIS ADULT BOOKSTORE													
52	BUCKS CABARET	-96.507893	32.866655 22-U		6	18	0	2021	Medical Emergency	Common Hours	SOB-ARCADE	PARIS ADULT BOOKSTORE	PARIS ADULT BOOKSTORE													
53	BOMBHELLS	-96.870105	32.8199 33-U		8	9	0	2021	Medical Emergency	Common Hours	DH/A LH	PARK AVENUE	PARK AVENUE													
54	DALLAS CABARET NORTH   (HARRY HINES & STEMMONS)	-96.893797	32.903297 22-D		12	20	0	2019	Medical Emergency	Common Hours	SOB-ARCADE	NEW FINE ARTS - MOCKINGBIRD	NEW FINE ARTS - MOCKINGBIRD													
55	COBBOYS RED RIVER	-96.811953	32.810447 35-W		3	7	0	2020	Medical Emergency	Common Hours	DH/A LH	TYCOON COMMISSION LLC ""Prime Bar""	TYCOON COMMISSION LLC ""Prime Bar""													
56	SUE ELLENS	-96.887832	32.887025 23-J		3	2	0	2020	Medical Emergency	Common Hours	SOB-ARCADE	NEW FINE ARTS - MOCKINGBIRD	NEW FINE ARTS - MOCKINGBIRD													
57	COBBOYS RED RIVER	-96.897126	32.86265 22-Z		2	8	0	2019	Medical Emergency	Common Hours	SOB-ARCADE	PARIS ADULT BOOKSTORE	PARIS ADULT BOOKSTORE													
58	COBBOYS RED RIVER	-96.895984	32.880294 22-R		4	8	0	2019	Medical Emergency	Common Hours	SOB-ARCADE	XTC CABARET	XTC CABARET													
59	SPEARMENT RHINO	-96.810482	32.810436 35-W		5	16	0	2021	Medical Emergency	Common Hours	SOB	DALLAS CABARET NORTH	DALLAS CABARET NORTH													
60	STATION 4	-96.873269	32.836367 33-K		6	23	0	2019	Medical Emergency	Common Hours	SOB	UNDER THE BRIDGE	UNDER THE BRIDGE													
61	ZONA ROSA CABARET   (REGAL ROW & STEMMONS)	-96.810482	32.810436 35-W		1	1	0	2019	Medical Emergency	Common Hours	SOB	SUE ELLENS	SUE ELLENS													
62	STATION 4	-96.883994	32.825954 33-N		9	30	0	2021	Medical Emergency	Common Hours	DH/A LH	NEW FINE ARTS - SHILOH RD	NEW FINE ARTS - SHILOH RD													
63	BLISS	-96.897126	32.86265 22-Z		8	23	0	2019	Medical Emergency	Common Hours	DH CLASS A LH	STATION 4	STATION 4													
64	COBBOYS RED RIVER	-96.897126	32.86265 22-Z		2	14	0	2020	Medical Emergency	Common Hours	DH CLASS A LH	STATION 4	STATION 4													
65	COBBOYS RED RIVER	-96.904824	32.873893 22-Q		1	1	0	2019	Fire (Other)	Common Hours	DH CLASS A LH	STATION 4	STATION 4													
66	STATION 04	-96.810482	32.810436 35-W		9	2	0	2019	Medical Emergency	Common Hours	SOB-ARCADE	STATION 4	STATION 4													

COD\_007489



**Report of Daniel Linz, Ph.D.**

**Opinions Concerning the City of Dallas Ordinance amending**

**Chapter 41A requiring sexually oriented businesses**

**to close each day between the hours of 2:00 a.m. and 6:00 a.m.**

**March 2, 2022**

1. I am currently a professor in the Department of Communication at the University of California Santa Barbara. I am a tenured professor and have been at this position since 1988. I received bachelor degrees in psychology and sociology from Northern Kentucky University in 1978, and a master's degree in psychology and sociology and a Ph.D. in psychology from the University of Wisconsin, Madison. My research focus has been on the effects of sexually oriented and violent entertainment upon human psychology and behavior, and on the alleged secondary effects of adult entertainment. I have been qualified as an expert witness on the question of alleged secondary effects from adult entertainment and the effects of such entertainment on human behavior in numerous federal courts. I am also a published author in peer-reviewed journals on these above subjects. My curriculum vitae is attached hereto as **Exhibit 1**, and accurately reflects my teaching positions, the honors and awards that I have received, the papers that I have either authored or co-authored which have been published in peer-reviewed journals, my professional activities, research grants that I have received, professional conferences where I have presented various papers, invited addresses where I have spoken, and public testimony that I have given.

2. I have been asked by attorney Michael Murray to render an opinion in this case in regard to the hours of operation restriction in an Ordinance amending Chapter 41A by, among other things, requiring sexually oriented businesses to close each day between the



hours of 2:00 a.m. and 6:00 a.m. The Dallas City Council adopted this ordinance on January 26, 2022. I am being compensated at a rate of \$450.00 per hour for my services.

3. I reviewed the City Council Briefing of January 5th (Plaintiff's Exhibit 2). I also reviewed the Memorandum of January 14, 2022 (Plaintiff's exhibit 3) and the attachments of graphs displaying data related to violent crime, arrests for violent offenses and priority 1 (emergency class); graphs displaying data related to all offenses, arrests, and calls for service; and charts comparing race of crime victims and arrested persons. I also reviewed the oral deposition of Samuel Sarmiento (ORAL DEPOSITION OF SAMUEL SARMIENTO TUESDAY, FEBRUARY 22, 2022), the oral deposition of Rick Watson (ORAL DEPOSITION OF RICK WATSON, TUESDAY, FEBRUARY 22, 2022) and the oral deposition of Stephen Arthur Bishop (ORAL DEPOSITION OF STEPHEN ARTHUR BISHOPP FEBRUARY 23, 2022).

4. From the Memorandums, the exhibits, the attachments and the depositions it is my opinion that the City of Dallas' own crime data as presented in these materials, on their face, show there is lack of scientifically valid evidence of adverse secondary crime effects due to the presence of adult businesses in the City. Further, in my opinion the city's own report and charts indicate that the time regulations that are required by the ordinance are not justified. All opinions expressed in this report are expressed to a reasonable degree of scientific certainty. I elaborate on my opinions below.

5. As shown below in COD-022 total reported violent and property crimes **decreased in the 2am to 6am period** compared to the 10pm to 2am period. This decrease would indicate fewer secondary crime effects during the 2am-6am period and the need for fewer rather than more police resources in the 2am to 6am period.



## Comparison Crimes Reported



COD-022

### ORAL DEPOSITION OF STEPHEN ARTHUR BISHOPP FEBRUARY 23, 2022

Q. Okay. So let now take a look at page 22. By  
19 the way, I should have let you know. If at any time you  
20 need a break, you just let us know, and we'll take one.  
21 And, of course, our court reporter, whenever you need a  
Page 51

1 for 2019.

2 Q. (BY MR. MURRAY) And I want to make sure I  
3 haven't misstated it. Tell me exactly what that means.  
4 There were 124 reported incidents of either violent or  
5 property crime in the 500 foot radius of the 35  
6 locations?

7 A. Yes, sir.

8 Q. Okay. And between two a.m. and six a.m.,  
9 however, in 2019 there were fewer violent and property  
10 crimes reported within 500 foot radius of those 35  
11 locations, correct?

12 A. Yes, sir.

13 Q. Okay. And that number was 107, correct?

14 A. Yes, sir. 22 break, please let us know.

23 A. Thank you.

24 Q. So when we go to page 22 -- and I guess I'm  
25 talking about COD-022, and it's also page 16 of the

Page 52

25 Q. Now, if you then go to 2020, what we see is

Page 53

1 there were 161 reported violent or property crimes during

2 the 10 p.m. to two a.m.

3 A. Yes, sir.

4 Q. And then a smaller number of reported incidents

5 of violent or property crime occurred in 2020 between the

6 two a.m. to six a.m. hours, correct?

7 A. Yes, sir.

8 Q. It went from 161 down to 132.


9 A. Yes, sir.

10 Q. And then in 2021 there were 165 reported during

11 the 10 p.m. to two a.m., and it went down a few to 160

12 between two a.m. and six a.m.

7. In my opinion the city's own report and charts showing arrests indicate that the time regulations that are required by the ordinance are not justified. As shown below in COD-025 there were **fewer arrests at the adult locations from 2am to 6am** (772) compared with the 10pm to 2am time period (831). This decrease would suggest the need for fewer rather than more police resources in the 2am to 6am period.



8. In my opinion the City's own report and charts indicate that there is no difference between sexually oriented businesses and the entertainment districts in arrests for either the




10pm to 2am time period or the 2am to 6am period (see COD-027). This would suggest that there is no need for greater police resources in the 2am to 6am period.

ORAL DEPOSITION OF  
STEPHEN ARTHUR BISHOPP  
FEBRUARY 23, 2022  
Page 67

20 Q. Okay. Let's go to page 21 or COD-027. Now we  
21 do the comparison between the 500 foot radius surrounding  
22 the locations of licensed sexually oriented businesses  
23 compared to the entertainment district's arrests,  
24 correct?  
25 A. Correct.

Page 68

1 Q. And there is virtually no difference between  
2 sexually oriented businesses and the entertainment  
3 districts, wouldn't you agree?  
4 A. I would agree with that.  
5 Q. That's true both in the 10 p.m. to two a.m. time  
6 frame and the two a.m. and six a.m. time frame.  
7 A. That's correct.



15 Q. And we're still talking about arrests that

16 occurred within a 500 foot radius of those 35 locations?

17 A. Yes, sir.

18 Q. Okay. And then you give the -- and that would

19 include traffic stops that resulted in arrests?

20 A. Yes, sir.

21 Q. Outstanding warrants?

22 A. Yes, sir.

23 Q. Misdemeanor offenses?

24 A. Yes, sir.

9. There was no attempt to determine with a statistical test whether the difference in calls for service between the time periods of 10pm to 2am and 2am to 6am was statistically significant. Further, according to the City's own data the vast majority of calls for service do not occur within the 2am to 6 am period (see COD-028).

ORAL DEPOSITION OF  
STEPHEN ARTHUR BISHOPP  
FEBRUARY 23, 2022

Page 73

5 Q. Okay. So here's what I'm trying to find out, to  
6 determine. So my question was designed to elicit whether  
7 the difference between the 2171 and the 2396 over the  
8 course of the three year period, the difference in those  
9 two numbers was statistically significant?

10 A. I didn't test that.


11 Q. Okay. And just so I understand correctly, also  
12 the 2396 that occurred between two a.m. and six a.m.,  
13 that would be just slightly less than 20 percent of the  
14 total number of calls?

15 A. That number looks roughly correct, eyeballing  
16 it.

17 Q. So 80 percent of the calls for service occurred  
18 outside of that four hour period?

19 A. Yes, sir.





10. In my opinion the City's own report and charts indicate that the areas around adult bookstores show essentially no violent crime offenses by the City's calculations (see COD 041 and 042). Further, the City's analysis shows that violent crime arrests decreased in the 2am to 6am hours. In fact, the City's own data show that the areas surrounding the adult bookstores had only 2 arrests during the entire study in the 2am to 6am time period. This pattern of findings do not justify the imposition of time of operation restrictions on these businesses.

11 Okay. So let's talk about this bar chart on

12 page COD-041.

13 A. Okay.

14 Q. And it's a chart of violent crime offenses for

15 the three year period 2019 through 2021, correct?

16 A. Correct.

17 Q. And you have at the bottom there -- and we'll

18 talk about the bars themselves. But you say at the

19 bottom, "From 2019 to 2021 book stores accounted for five

20 percent of violent crime offenses from 10 p.m. to two


21 p.m. and five percent of offenses from two a.m. to six

22 a.m." Do you see that?


23 A. Yes.

24 Q. Okay. Are those your words?

25 A. Yes, sir.



From 2019 through 2021, Bookstores accounted for 5% of violent crime offenses from 10pm to 2am and 5% of offenses from 2am to 6am.  
 From 2019 through 2021, Full Nudes Cabarets accounted for 9% of violent crime offenses from 10pm to 2am and 33% of offenses from 2am to 6am.  
 From 2019 through 2021, Topless Cabarets accounted for 15% of violent crime offenses from 10pm to 2am and 22% of offenses from 2am to 6am.  
 From 2019 through 2021, Non-Operational SOB's accounted for 3% of violent crime offenses from 10pm to 2am and 6% of offenses from 2am to 6am.



From 2019 through 2021, Bookstores accounted for 12% of Violent Crime Arrests from 10pm to 2am and 5% of Arrests from 2am to 6am.  
 From 2019 through 2021, Full Nudes Cabarets accounted for 15% of Violent Crime Arrests from 10pm to 2am and 28% of Arrests from 2am to 6am.  
 From 2019 through 2021, Topless Cabarets accounted for 15% of Violent Crime Arrests from 10pm to 2am and 18% of Arrests from 2am to 6am.  
 From 2019 through 2021, Non-Operational SOB's accounted for 2% of Violent Crime Arrests from 10pm to 2am and 2% of Arrests from 2am to 6am.

11. In my opinion the City's own report and charts indicate that the areas around closed adult establishments had an increase in crime across the study period. This finding is, in my opinion, counter to the City's claimed justification for limiting the hours of operation of open adult businesses.

Page 83

1 Okay. And the other thing that's interesting is  
 2 the clubs that were closed actually had an increase from  
 3 seven to 13 in the number of violent offenses that were  
 4 reported within 500 feet of those closed locations,  
 5 correct?  
 6 MS. JORDAN: Objection. Mischaracterizes the  
 7 evidence.  
 8 A. No, sir. In fact, I don't know if they were a  
 9 business that was operating that had a license, but not  
 10 functioning as an SOB. That could explain it. I don't  
 11 know where they come from. The vacant lot versus an open  
 12 business just has an SOB and not using it. But they're  
 13 listed as nonoperational, so either way they were not  
 14 functioning -- they were one of the clubs not functioning  
 15 as an SOB, but had an SOB license.



16 Q. Right. But going back to the list on the  
17 preceding page, there was a description that showed that  
18 the first two were closed down. The third was not  
19 operating. The fourth was not operating. The fifth was  
20 a vacant strip mall. The six was an empty lot, and the  
21 seventh was not operating. That's what your list showed,  
22 correct?  
23 A. Correct.  
24 Q. So the only information

12. I will testify that on the basis of my past research and peer reviewed publications I have established the following criteria for conducting a valid secondary effects study of crime when attempting to determine if adult businesses in a community or region are associated with criminogenic activity. Several of these principles have been validated by Court of Appeals in Annex Books v. City of Indianapolis, 740 F.3d 1136 (7th Cir. 2014), cert. denied 135 S. Ct. 99 (2014). Without adherence to these standards, the Court of Appeals has opined, a city or municipality may not assume adult businesses are related to crime events within its jurisdiction.

13. It is my opinion that:

**a. A valid secondary effects study must establish a defined zone around the business(es) in question. For example, a 500 or 1000 feet zone could be established. Crime incidents must be counted within that zone. A valid study should also take into account all crime incidents within the 500 zone across all "time periods."**

**b. "Control zones" around other possibly criminogenic businesses must be established for comparison purposes. These controls should also be matched to the adult site in terms of demographic characteristics, population size and business composition.**

**c. A comparison should be made between the adult business areas and the properly constructed control areas to determine if the area around the adult business has a greater frequency of crime than the control area.**

**d. When such a comparison is made a multiple regression (or similar multivariate statistical technique) must be undertaken to control for other variables related to crime.**

**e. Police procedures such as stepped-up enforcement in the adult area must be considered. Stepped enforcement may result in the detection of more crime activity, or may be targeting one or another demographic group for special vigilance.**

**f. When engaging in time crime comparisons across years, multiple years are needed to eliminate the possibility that one time crime fluctuations are occurring.**

14. In my opinion the City of Dallas in one form or another has failed to meet all of these standards in assessing the secondary effects of adult businesses within the city and therefore cannot come to any valid conclusions regarding the criminogenic effects of adult businesses in the City of Dallas. I will elaborate on the City's failures below. In doing so I reference the oral deposition of Stephen Arthur Bishopp taken by attorney J. Michael Murray on February 23, 2022.

**15, A valid study must establish a defined zone around the business(es) in question. For example, a 500 feet zone. Crime incidents must be counted within that zone. The business must be open and operating for some period of time under which the crime count is undertaken. A valid study should also take into account all crime incidents within the 500 zone across all "time periods."**

16. The city included in its study of adult businesses, business addresses which according to the City's own records were no longer operating as adult locations.

17. The City established a 500-foot zone around "adult" locations but they failed to account for adult businesses that were closed during part or all of the study period.

ORAL DEPOSITION OF

STEPHEN ARTHUR BISHOPP FEBRUARY 23, 2022

Page 34

Q. And it says, "The following nine slides are data  
12 related to sexually oriented business locations." Do you

13 see that?

14 A. Yes, sir.

15 Q. And what does related mean in this context?

16 A. Our data that were pulled, whether it was crime

17 data or call data or arrest data that were pulled from



18 SOB locations or within a 500 foot bumper around the  
19 sexually oriented business.

20 Q. A 500 foot radius?

21 A. Yes, sir.

22 Q. So when this refers to data related to sexually  
23 oriented business locations, we're talking about arrests  
24 that occurred within a 500 foot radius of a sexually  
25 oriented business?

18. Many of the 500 feet zones did not contain an operating adult establishment.

ORAL DEPOSITION OF  
STEPHEN ARTHUR BISHOPP FEBRUARY 23, 2022

Page 25, 26

Q. Okay. The last column indicates "operating as,"  
18 correct? Do you see that, to the far right?

19 A. I see.

20 Q. So we can -- according to the information that  
21 you compiled, number 29 is closed down, correct?

22 A. Yes, sir.

23 Q. Number 30 is closed down, correct?

24 A. Yes, sir.

25 Q. Number 31 is not operating, correct?

Page 27

1 Q. Okay. And there are no adult book stores in  
2 Beat 521, correct?

3 A. Correct.

4 Q. No adult arcades or theaters in Beat 521,  
5 correct?

6 A. No, that's correct.

7 Q. Okay. Topless cabarets, it looks like there are  
8 two. The Men's Club of Dallas and Baby Dolls Saloon  
9 West, correct?

10 A. Correct.

11 Q. And then full nude cabarets, there are none  
12 correct?

13 A. Correct.

14 Q. In the not operating column there was one that  
15 was in Beat 521, but it's no longer operating, correct?

16 A. Correct.

17 Q. Okay. So as of this date there were actually  
18 only two sexually oriented businesses that were operating  
19 in Beat 521.

20 A. Yes, sir. According to this, yes, sir.

21 Q. Okay. Now, going back to the Plaintiff's

22 Exhibit 2 -- and we'll hold on to that list which is  
23 Plaintiff's Exhibit 3 -- there were supposed to be 10  
24 sexually oriented businesses operating in the 534 beat,  
25 correct?

Page 26

1 A. Correct.

2 Q. Number 32 is holding license but not operating,  
3 correct?

4 A. Correct.

5 Q. Number 33 is an active license, but it's a  
6 vacant strip mall, correct?

7 A. Yes, sir.

8 Q. And number 34 is an active license unknown at  
9 present. It's an empty lot, correct?

10 A. Correct.

11 Q. Okay. Then number 35 says it's not operating,  
12 correct?

13 A. Correct.

14 Q. Okay. So these are seven businesses that held  
15 sexually oriented business licenses that are not  
16 operating, correct?

19. In my opinion it is, therefore, impossible to come to a valid conclusion about the level of crime associated with these businesses when many of locations included in the count do not have an operating adult business during the study period. Further, the City's own data suggest that 500 foot areas used in their study that contained closed businesses experienced increases in crime. (see paragraph 11 above.) The inclusion of these locations in the City's study may well artificially inflate crime levels in the misidentified areas. This artificial crime inflation would lead the City to believe that it had justification for its hour of operation ordinance when, in fact, it did not.

20. Relatedly, the City purports to do a "hours of operation study" but has not taken account the crime incidents for the adult business zones for the entire 24 hour period.

ORAL DEPOSITION OF  
STEPHEN ARTHUR BISHOPP FEBRUARY 23, 2022

Page 21



17 Q. Apparently, at least for these purposes, there  
18 was not a calculation done to determine what the  
19 percentage of aggravated assaults were during the four  
20 hour period between six a.m. and 10 a.m., correct?

21 A. Correct.

22 Q. And no one determined what the percentage of  
23 aggravated assaults were between 10 a.m. and two p.m.

24 A. Correct.

25 Q. Or between two p.m. and six p.m.

Page 22

1 A. That is correct.

2 Q. Or between six p.m. and 10 p.m.

3 A. Also correct.

4 Q. So we have no idea, at least from this  
5 presentation on this page, which four hour block during  
6 the 24 hour period had the highest percentage of  
7 aggravated assaults, do we?

8 A. No, sir.

9 Q. Or for that matter we don't know from this data  
10 which four hour block had the lowest percentage of  
11 aggravated assaults.

12 A. Correct.

21. Because the City failed to measure crime for the entire 24 hour period it is not possible to come to a valid conclusion about the level of crime associated with the adult businesses during the mandated closing hours. There is no way of knowing if the City has a special crime problem during the mandated closing hours without at least a rudimentary comparison to additional time periods during the day.

22. "Control zones" around other possibly criminogenic businesses must be established for comparison purposes.

23. In my opinion the City did not establish proper "control zones" around other possibly criminogenic businesses.

ORAL DEPOSITION OF  
STEPHEN ARTHUR BISHOPP FEBRUARY 23, 2022

Page 40

3 Q. Now, we know that there are other businesses

4 besides sexually oriented businesses that are open  
5 between the hours of 10 p.m. and six a.m.

6 A. Yes, sir.

7 Q. We know gas stations, for example?

8 A. Yes, sir.

9 Q. Convenience stores?

10 A. Yes, sir.

11 Q. Some drug stores?

12 A. I would assume so, yes, sir.

13 Q. Some nonadult nightclubs can be open until four  
14 a.m.

15 A. Yes, sir.

16 Q. Some grocery stores?

17 A. Certainly.

18 Q. Certainly fast food restaurants are open during  
19 those hours?

20 A. Yes, sir.

21 Q. Other restaurants like, for example,

22 International House of Pancakes, that type of a  
23 restaurant?

24 A. Yes, sir.

25 Q. Okay. Motels, hotels?

Page 41

1 A. Yes, sir.

2 Q. Okay. Other retail outlets apart from adult  
3 book stores?

4 A. Yes, sir.

5 Q. Now, you did not determine or make any attempt  
6 to study what the percentage -- how many violent crimes  
7 were reported within a 500 foot radius of any of those  
8 businesses, did you?

9 A. No, sir.

10 Q. And many of those businesses can be within the  
11 same 500 foot radius of the locations of the sexually  
12 oriented businesses, correct?

13 A. Yes, sir.

14 Q. Or for that matter there might be some overlap.

15 Let's take one of those businesses, a fast food  
16 restaurant, just as an example that is 800 feet away from  
17 the location of a sexually oriented business, okay? You  
18 with me on that?

19 A. Yes, sir.

20 Q. So if a violent crime occurred 400 feet from the  
21 sexually oriented business, it also occurred 400 feet  
22 from the fast food restaurant?

23 A. Yes, sir.



24 Q. But you never compared how many crimes were  
25 committed or reported within 500 feet of the fast food  
Page 42

1 restaurant. You only did it with respect to the sexually  
2 oriented business; isn't that correct?  
3 A. That's correct.

**24. These controls should also be matched to the adult site in terms of demographic characteristics, population size and business composition.**

25. The City did not establish "control zones" around other possibly criminogenic  
businesses matched in terms of demographic, population size and business composition.

ORAL DEPOSITION OF  
STEPHEN ARTHUR BISHOPP  
FEBRUARY 23, 2022

Page 43

1 the time they're open or the type of people that go.  
2 So the proper way to come up with a control site  
3 is you have to find one preferably in another part of the  
4 city with approximately the same economic, socioeconomic  
5 development with approximately the same population with  
6 business that's open during the same hours, same crime  
7 rate, as best you can.

8 Q. Right.

9 A. We never developed that any further.

10 Q. And that's exactly what a control site would be.

11 You want to make sure that it had a similar population, a  
12 similar demographics.

13 A. Yes, sir.

14 Q. Similar hours of operation, similar economic  
15 neighborhoods.

16 A. It has to be similar -- sorry.

17 Q. Correct?

18 A. Yes, that's correct.

19 Q. And then if you did that and you picked a  
20 control site, you could theoretically pick a control site  
21 for each one of the let's call them 28 sexually oriented  
22 businesses that are open, correct? You could do that?

23 A. Yes, sir.

24 Q. Okay. In fact, studying like that, not  
25 necessarily on this particular subject, but there are

Page 44

1 published studies that have done similar type analysis?

2 A. Yes, sir.

3 Q. Okay. And then if you did that and you picked a  
4 control site that had those characteristics and you  
5 compared it with one of the sexually oriented businesses,  
6 you could actually determine whether it would be -- you  
7 could conclude that whether the control site or the  
8 sexually oriented business site was more criminogenic;  
9 isn't that true?

10 A. With the proper methodology, you could. You  
11 could conclude that.

12 Q. But you didn't do that in this?

13 A. I did not.

14 Q. Okay. So you have no way of knowing as you sit  
15 here today whether, in fact -- whatever all these  
16 statistics show, whether, in fact, crime within 500 feet  
17 of sexually oriented businesses is less, more, or the  
18 same as crime within 500 foot radius of proper control  
19 sites; is that right?

20 A. That's true.

**26. A comparison should be made between the adult business areas and the properly constructed control areas to determine if the area around the adult business has a greater frequency of crime than the control area.**

27. Because the City by their own admission did not choose the proper control sites they could not validly compare adult business areas to control areas to determine if the area around the adult business has a greater or lesser frequency of crime than the designated control sites.

28. The City maintains that they employed the so called "entertainment districts" as control sites but there was no attempt made to match demographic, population size and business composition within the entertainment districts to the adult business 500-foot areas.

ORAL DEPOSITION OF  
STEPHEN ARTHUR BISHOPP FEBRUARY 23, 2022

Page 42

8 Q. We also know you never developed any control  
9 sites. Do you know what a control site would be in a  
10 study like this?

11 A. Yes, sir, and we did.

12 Q. I'm sorry?



13 A. We did.

14 Q. Okay. I think the one control that you focused

15 on was something called an entertainment district?

16 A. Yes, sir.

17 Q. Apart from an entertainment district -- and

18 we'll talk about that later on -- did you investigate any

19 other control sites?

20 A. We did. We looked at or we're going to review

21 the TABC licensed areas. But that was back and forth

22 between UT San Antonio and myself and Chief Garcia. The

23 problem is the proper control sites, there's some

24 literature out there that relates a McDonald's or a

25 7-Eleven to a sexually oriented business in reference to

Page 57

1 Q. Okay. So then it says that there's a comparison

2 between sexually oriented businesses and entertainment

3 districts. Do you see that?

4 A. Yes, sir.

5 Q. Okay. Now, first of all, are we still talking

6 about a 500 foot radius?

7 A. We are, yes, sir.

8 Q. So all of these statistics depend upon a 500

9 foot radius surrounding various locations?

10 A. Let me correct myself. Only for the SOB

11 locations. For the entertainment districts, there were

12 large areas it doesn't make sense because it's not a

13 business per se. It's a district. They just took the

14 area within that district.

15 So the SOBs are still -- that data is still the

16 500 foot radius as we have been discussing, but the

17 entertainment districts are just the districts

18 themselves.

29. In my opinion no valid information can be obtained from a comparison of the areas 500 feet areas surrounding the adult businesses to the “entertainment districts” used as “control” site. Crime counts vary considerably across municipal areas and crime events are associated with certain demographic, population and business characteristics of an area. These features must be matched between the adult business site and the cool site in order to make valid comparisons. There is no indication that the entertainment districts are suitably matched to the adult business

areas. In my opinion without such matching no conclusions can be reached concerning the secondary crime effects of adult businesses in the City.

**30. When such a comparison is made a multiple regression (or similar multivariate statistical technique) must be undertaken to control for other variables related to crime.**

ORAL DEPOSITION OF  
STEPHEN ARTHUR BISHOPP FEBRUARY 23, 2022

Page 42

4 Q. So we know you never did a multivariate

5 regression analysis; isn't that true?

6 A. I did no regressions by variate or multivariate

7 No, sir.

31. In my opinion because the City failed to conduct a multiple regression (or similar multivariate technique) to control for the business and demographic features of an area no valid crime comparisons can be undertaken. Without the use of such a statistical technique no conclusions can be reached concerning the secondary crime effects of adult businesses in the City.

**32. Police procedures such as stepped-up enforcement in the adult area must be considered. Stepped enforcement may result in the detection of more crime activity, or may be targeting one or another demographic group for special vigilance.**

33. The City employed a "Special Task Force." This special force patrolled the area for possible criminal activity. This stepped-up enforcement occurred primarily through police car patrols. These patrols resulted in persons in the area of the adult business being pulled over for traffic offenses or other vehicle violations. Once stopped, the police conducted further examinations of the driver and thereby discovered outstanding arrest warrants or other indications of criminal activity which did not occur in the vicinity of the adult business. These were counted as crime incidents in the adult area despite the fact that they occurred nowhere near



the adult business location or for that matter during the crime counting period. In my opinion the Northwest Club Task Force created by the City of Dallas Police is an example of what I refer to as “stepped up enforcement.” The police of the special task force were tasked with monitoring the areas in the vicinity of adult businesses. This stepped up activity will generate more arrests than would normally occur under usual enforcement procedures and will result in invalid crime comparisons between the stepped up vigilance in the adult business areas and comparison areas.


34. In my opinion, and in summary, the City of Dallas engaged in a shoddy study, misinterpreted their own data as evidence for adverse secondary crime effects and as justification for the the regulation of adult businesses during the hours of 2am to 6am.

34. I have also reviewed Plaintiff’s Exhibit 2, COD-32, 33, and 34 in which the City presents information from previous studies of adverse secondary effects. I am familiar with the studies and reports referenced on those pages. I have reviewed these reports and studies and I conclude that due to methodological limitations they do not support the proposition that adult businesses are associated with secondary crime effects. Further, none of these reports or studies specifically address the presence or absence of criminal activity and hours of operation or mandated closing hours.

35. I have conducted several secondary effects studies across multiple municipalities examining crime and its association with adult businesses. I have also attached the peer reviewed article entitled: “A Legal and Empirical Perspective on Crime and Adult Establishments: A Secondary Effects Study in San Antonio Texas” by Roger Enriquez, Jeffery Cancino and Sean Varano. These studies have been peer-reviewed and published in scientific and legal journals. The peer reviewed published papers have been attached to this report as **Exhibit 2**. From these

studies I conclude that there is no valid evidence that adult businesses when properly matched with control areas are a special source of crime.

March 2, 2022

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "Daniel Linz". The signature is written in a cursive, flowing style.

Daniel Linz



EXHIBIT 1: Curriculum vita

CURRICULUM VITAE

October, 2021

DANIEL G. LINZ

Department of Communication  
University of California, Santa Barbara  
Santa Barbara, California 93106  
linz@comm.ucsb.edu

EDUCATION

Degree Earned	Institution	Year
Bachelor of Science Sociology	Northern Kentucky University	1978
Bachelor of Science Psychology	Northern Kentucky University	1978
Master of Science Sociology	University of Wisconsin-Madison	1981
Doctor of Philosophy Psychology	University of Wisconsin-Madison	1985

CURRENT EMPLOYMENT AND PREVIOUS EXPERIENCE

2011-pres.	Adjunct Professor, Black Studies Department University of California, Santa Barbara.
1994-pres.	Professor, Department of Communication University of California, Santa Barbara.
1994-2010	Professor, Law and Society Program University of California, Santa Barbara.
2005-2008.	Graduate Director Department of Communication University of California, Santa Barbara.
2002-2003	Assistant Executive Director: Center On Police Practices And Community (COPPAC)
2000-2002.	Director University of California Santa Barbara Survey Research Center
1994-2000.	Program Chair Law and Society Program University of California, Santa Barbara.



1990-1994 Associate Professor  
Department of Communication and Law and Society Program  
University of California, Santa Barbara.

1990 Visiting professor  
Department of Law and International Politics, University of Beijing,  
China.

1988-1990 Assistant Professor  
Communication Studies Program, University of California, Santa Barbara.

1987-1988 Research Psychologist  
Communication Studies Program, University of California, Santa Barbara.

1987-1988 Lecturer  
Department of Psychology and the Communication Studies Program,  
University of California, Los Angeles.

#### CURRENT TEACHING AND PAST TEACHING EXPERIENCE

University of California, Santa Barbara, Department of Communication

Comm. 127 Communication, Gender and Leadership.  
Comm. 132 Communication, psychology, electronic media policy and regulation.  
Comm. 176 Forensic Communication.  
Comm. 180 Senior Honors Thesis.  
Comm. 113 Mass Media and the Individual.  
Comm. 170 Communication Law.  
Comm. 175sx Sex and the Judiciary.  
Comm. 175cc Communication and Sexual Consent  
Comm. 194S. The Design and Analysis of Surveys  
Comm. 181a,b,c Senior Honors Program

University of California, Santa Barbara, Law and Society Program

Interpreting Socio-legal Research.  
Law and Social Science.  
Media Law.  
Psychology and the Legal System.  
Seminar in Speech and Violence.  
Juries and Justice.

Graduate Seminars:

Research Methods in Communication.  
Applications in Advanced Research Methods.

Law and Policy Issues in Justice in Communication.

University of California, Los Angeles, Department of Psychology

Social Psychology Laboratory.

Social Psychology and the Law.

University of California, Los Angeles, Communication Studies Program

Mass Media and Aggression Against Women.

Freedom of Communication: Mass Media and the Law.

The Effects of Mass Media.

Communication Theory.

#### HONORS AND AWARDS

2012 International Communication Association: Top Papers in Intergroup Communication: Immigration Issues in Applied Contexts. Paper Title: "Intergroup Accommodations in Traffic Stops: Ethnicity, Accent and Extensive Policing."

2011 National Communication Association: Top Paper - Communication and Law. Paper Title: "Indecency in the 21st Century: Revisiting the Assumptions of the Regulation of Indecent Broadcasting."

2011 International Communication Association, Communication Law & Policy Division: Best Paper Award. Paper Title: "The Secondary Effects Doctrine Since Alameda: An Empirical Re-examination of the Justifications for Laws Limiting First Amendment Protection."

2009 National Communication Association: Top Scholarship in Freedom of Expression. Paper Title: "Erotic Dancing, Liquor, and Crime: An Empirical Critique of Virginia Statute Changes Restricting Liquor Service and Adult Entertainment."

2007 National Communication Association: Top Freedom of Expression Scholarship. Paper Title: "Evaluating the Potential Secondary Effects of Adult Video/Bookstores in Indianapolis, IN."

2006 National Communication Association: Top Four Refereed Papers in Freedom of Expression. Paper Title: "Testing Supreme Court Assumptions in California v. la Rue: Is There Justification for Prohibiting Sexually Explicit Messages in Establishments that Sell Liquor?"



2006 National Communication Association: Top Three Refereed Papers in Mass Communication. "Video game play and the role of frustration: How playing non-violent video games can lead to aggressive effects."

2006 International Communication Association: Top Three Refereed Papers in Games and Communication. Paper Title: "Sexual Priming, Gender Stereotyping, and Likelihood to Sexually Harass: Examining the Effects of Playing a Sexually Explicit Video Game."

2002 International Communication Association: Top Four Refereed Papers in Communication, Law and Policy. Paper Title: "Testing Assumptions Made by the Supreme Court Concerning the Negative Secondary Effects of Adult Businesses: A Quasi-Experimental Approach to a First Amendment Issue."

2002 Center for Successful Parenting Award. Recognition of outstanding research in the area of media violence. Paper Title: "Violence in children's television programming: Assessing the risks."

2000 International Communication Association: Top Three Refereed Papers in Communication, Law and Policy. Paper Title: "Government regulation of "adult" businesses through zoning and anti-nudity ordinances: Debunking the legal myth of negative secondary effects."

1999 National Communication Association: Top Three Refereed Papers in Mass Communication Division. Paper Title: "Race and the Misrepresentation of Victimization on Local Television News."

1992 International Communication Association: Top Three Refereed Papers in Communication Law and Policy. Paper Title: "Defining the limits of public tolerance for sexually explicit and sexually violent materials: A field experiment."

1990-91 UCSB Plous Memorial Award. Presented to an Assistant professor in the college of Letters and Science "who has demonstrated outstanding performance by creative action or contribution to the intellectual life of the college community."

1990 International Communication Association. Top Ten Paper in Mass Communication. Paper Title: Applying social science to film ratings: A shift from what is considered offensive to what is harmful to children.

1986 Wisconsin Psychological Association Margaret Bernauer Psychology Research Award. Paper Title: Individual differences in hostility and psychoticism, exposure to sexual violence in the media and reactions to a rape victim.

1985 First prize: American Psychological Association Division 41 (American Psychology-Law Society, AP-LS) Dissertation Award. Title: Sexual Violence in the Media: Effects on Male Viewers and Implications for Society

1985 First prize: American Psychological Association Division 9 (Society for the Psychological Study of Social Issues, SPSSI) Dissertation Award. Title: Sexual Violence in the Media: Effects on Male Viewers and Implications for Society.

#### SCHOLARLY PUBLICATIONS

Whitestone, S. B., Giles, H., Linz, D. (2020). Overcoming ungrievability: Transgender expectations for authentic expression after death. *Sociological Inquiry*, 9 (2): 316-338. <https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1111/soin.12357>

Huppin M., Malamuth N.M., Linz D. (2019) An Evolutionary Perspective on Sexual Assault and Implications for Interventions. In: O'Donohue W., Schewe P. (eds) *Handbook of Sexual Assault and Sexual Assault Prevention*. Springer, Cham. [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-23645-8\\_2](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-23645-8_2)

Malamuth, N.M. Huppin, M. and Linz, D. (2018). Sexual assault interventions may be doing more harm than good with high-risk males. *Aggression and Violent Behavior* 41 (2018) 20–24.

Seaman C. & Linz, D. (2014). Are Adult Businesses Crime Hotspots? Comparing Adult Businesses to Other Locations in Three Cities. *Journal of Criminology*. Volume 2014, Article ID 783461, 14 pages <http://dx.doi.org/10.1155/2014/783461> Hindawi Publishing Corporation

Hald, GM, Seaman, C & Linz, D. (2014), Sexuality and Pornography. in D Tolman, L Diamond, J Bauermeister, W George, J Pfaus & M Ward (eds), *APA Handbook of Sexuality and Psychology: Contextual Approaches*. vol. 2, American Psychological Association, Washington, DC, pp. 3-35. DOI: [10.1037/14194-000](https://doi.org/10.1037/14194-000)

Malamuth, N.M., Linz, D., & Weber, R. (2013). Chapter 7: The Internet and Aggression: Motivation, Disinhibitory and Opportunity Aspects. In Yair Amichai-Hamburger, Y. (Ed.), *The Social Net: The Social Psychology of the Internet*.

Giles, H., Linz, D., Bonilla, D. & Gomez, M.L. (2013). Police Stops of and Interactions with Latino and White (Non-Latino) drivers: Extensive Policing and Communication Accommodation. *Communication Monographs*.

Byrne, S., Lee, T., Katz, S.J., Linz, D. & McIlrath, M. (2013). Predators, Porn and Peers: Predicting Parent Underestimation of their Children's Risky Internet Experiences. *Journal of Computer Mediated Communication*.



Linz, D. (2012). Effects of Sexually Oriented Messages on Individuals and Communities: A History of Challenging Assumptions in the Courtroom. In: Motley, M.T. (Ed.). *Forensic Communication: Application of Communication Science to Courtroom Litigation*. New Jersey, Hampton Press.

Riddle, K., Potter, J.W., Metzger, M., Nabi, R.L., and Linz, D.G. (2011). Beyond Cultivation: Exploring the Effects of Frequency, Recency, and Vivid Autobiographical Memories for Violent Media. *Media Psychology*, 14 (2) 168-191.

Seaman, C. & Linz, D. (2011). Indecency in the 21<sup>st</sup> Century: Revisiting the Assumptions Underlying the Regulation of Indecent Broadcasting in Light of Empirical Evidence. *Free Speech Yearbook*, Vol. 45, 67-80.

Rudy, R. M. , Popova, L. and Linz, D. G. (2011). Contributions to the Content Analysis of Gender Roles: An Introduction to a Special Issue. *Sex Roles*, 64: 151-159.

Seaman, C. & Linz, D. (2010). "The Secondary Effects Doctrine since Alameda: An Empirical Re-examination of the Justifications for Laws Limiting First Amendment Protection." *Journal of Media Law & Ethics*, Volume 2, Numbers 3/4 (Summer/Fall), 192-214.

Rudy, R. M., Popova, L. & Linz, D. (2010). The Context of Current Content Analysis of Gender Roles: An Introduction to a Special Issue. *Sex Roles*, 62: 705–720.

Yao, M. Z., Mahood, C. & Linz, D. (2010). Sexual Priming, Gender Stereotyping, and Likelihood to Sexually Harass: Examining the Effects of Playing a Sexually-Explicit Video Game. *Sex Roles*, 62: 77–88.

Byrne, S., Linz, D., & Potter, W. J. (2009). A test of competing cognitive explanations for the boomerang effect in response to the deliberate disruption of media-induced aggression. *Media Psychology*, 12, 1-23.

Linz, D. (2009). Pornography is not addictive and does not lead to violence against women / Daniel Linz. In: *Addiction (Opposing Viewpoints)* by Christina Fisanick. Detroit, MI: Greenhaven Press.

Yao, M. Z. & Linz, D. (2008). Predicting self-protections of online privacy. *CyberPsychology and Behavior*. Volume 11, Number 5, 615-617.

Moyer-Guse, E., Giles, H. & Linz, D. (2008). Communication Studies, Overview Communal Violence. In Lester Kurtz (Ed.) Vol.1 (1) *Encyclopedia of Violence Peace & Conflict*. Volumes 1-3, Oxford: Elsevier, 368-379.

Rudy, R. M., & Linz, D. G. (2008). Desensitization. In W. Donsbach (Ed.), *The*

*International Encyclopedia of Communication* (Vol. 3, pp. 1211-1213). Oxford, United Kingdom: Wiley-Blackwell.

Paul, B. & Linz D. G. (2008). The Effects of Exposure to Virtual Child Pornography on Viewer Cognitions and Attitudes Toward Deviant Sexual Behavior. *Communication Research*, 35(1), 3-38.

Linz, D. (2007). Media violence and behavior. In Brian L. Cutler (Ed.), *Encyclopedia of Psychology and Law*. Newbury Park, Sage Publications, Inc.

Linz, D. (2007). Pornography, Effects of exposure to. In Brian L. Cutler (Ed.), *Encyclopedia of Psychology and Law*. Newbury Park, Sage Publications, Inc.

Linz, D., Yao, M., & Byrne, S. (2007). Testing Supreme Court assumptions in *California v. la Rue*: Is there justification for prohibiting sexually explicit messages in establishments that sell liquor? *Communication Law Review*. 7(1), 23-53.

Zwarun, L., Linz, D., Metzger, M., & Kunkel, D. (2006). Effects of showing risk in beer commercials to young drinkers. *Journal of Broadcasting & Electronic Media*, 50(1), 2006, pp. 52-77.

Linz, D., Paul, B. & Yao, M. Z. (2006). Peep Show Establishments, Police Activity, Public Place and Time: A Study of Secondary Effects in San Diego, California. *Journal of Sex Research*, Volume 43, Number 2. 182-193.

Linz, D., Paul, B. & Yao, M. Z. (2006). Peep Show Establishments, Police Activity, Public Place, and Time: A Response to McCleary and Meeker. *Journal of Sex Research*, Volume 43, Number 2. 197-201.

Malamuth, N. Linz, D. and Yao., M. (2005). Aggression and the Internet. In Yair Amichai-Hamburger, Y. (Ed.), *The social net: The social psychology of the Internet*. 163-190.

Linz, D., Land, K., Williams, J. Ezell, M. & Paul, B. (2004). An examination of the assumption that adult businesses are associated with crime in surrounding areas: A secondary effects study in Charlotte, North Carolina. *Law and Society Review*, Volume 38, Number 1, 69-101.

Anderson, C., Berkowitz, Donnerstein, E., Huesmann, L.R., Johnson, J., Linz, D., Malamuth, N.M. and Wartella, E. (2003). The influence of media violence on youth. *Psychological Science in the Public Interest*, 4 (3), 81-110.

Mulac, A., Jansma, L.G., & Linz, D. (2002). Men's behavior toward women after viewing sexually explicit films: Degradation makes a difference. *Communication Monographs*, 69(4), 311-328.



Dixon, T. & Linz, D. (2002). Television news, prejudicial pretrial publicity and the depiction of race. *Journal of Broadcasting and Electronic Media*, 46(1), pp. 112-136.

Wilson, B., Smith, S., Potter, W.J., Kunkel, D., Linz, D., Colvin, C., & Donnerstein, E. (2002). Violence in children's television programming: Assessing the risks. *Journal of Communication*, 52 (1), 5-34.

Linz, D. (2001). Desensitization. In the *Encyclopedia of Communication and Information*. Macmillan Reference, New York, NY.

Paul, B., Linz, D. & Shafer, B.J. (2001). Government regulation of adult businesses through zoning and anti-nudity ordinances: Debunking the legal myth of negative secondary effects. *Communication Law and Policy*, 6. 2, 355-391.

Linz, D. (2001). Child Pornography. In: S.O. White, (Ed). *Handbook of Law and Social Science: Youth and Justice*. Kluwer Academic/Plenum Press, 79-111.

Dixon, T. & Linz, D. (2000). Race and the misrepresentation of victimization on local television news. *Communication Research*, 27, 5, 547-573.

Linz, D., Blumenthal, E., Donnerstein, E., Kunkel, D. Shafer, B.J. & Lichtenstein, A. (2000). Testing Legal Assumptions Regarding the Effects of Dancer Nudity and Proximity to Patron on Erotic Expression. *Law and Human Behavior*, 24, 5, 507-533.

Dixon, T.L. & Linz, D.L. (2000). Overrepresentation and underrepresentation of African Americans and Latinos as lawbreakers on television news. *Journal of Communication*, 50, 2, 131-154.

Wilson, B., Linz, D., Federman, J., Smith, S., Paul, B., Nathanson, A., Donnerstein, E. & Lingsweiler, R. (1999). *The Choices and Consequences Evaluation: A Study of Court TV's Anti-Violence Curriculum*. Center for Communication and Social Policy, Institute for Social, Behavioral and Economic Research (ISBER), University of California, Santa Barbara.

Smith, S.L., Wilson, B.J., Kunkel, D., Linz, D., Potter, J., Donnerstein, E. and Colvin, C. (1998). Violence in Television Programming Overall; University of California, Santa Barbara Study. In: *National Television Violence Study: Volume 3*. Sage Publications, Thousand Oaks, CA.

Wilson, B., Kunkel, D. Linz, D., Potter, J., Donnerstein, E., Smith, S., Blumenthal, E., & Berry, M. (1998). The nature and context of violence on American television. In Carlsson & von Feilitzen (Eds.), *Children and media violence*. Goteborg, Sweden: UNESCO. (pp. 63-79).

Donnerstein, E., & Linz, D. (1998). Mass media: A general view. In L. Kurtz (Ed). *Encyclopedia of Violence, Peace, and Conflict*. New York: Academic Press.

Wilson, B., Donnerstein, E., Linz, D., Kunkel, D., Potter, J., Smith, S., Blumenthal, E., & Grey, T. (1998). Content analysis of entertainment television: The importance of context. In J. Hamilton (Ed). *Television Violence and Public Policy*. Ann Arbor, MI: University of Michigan Press.

Potter, J., Linz, D., Wilson, B., Donnerstein, E., Kunkel, D., Smith, S., Blumenthal, E., & Grey, T. (1998). Content analysis of entertainment television: New methodological developments. In J. Hamilton (Ed). *Television Violence and Public Policy*. Ann Arbor, MI: University of Michigan Press.

Wilson, B., Potter, J., Linz, D., Donnerstein, E., Kunkel, D., Smith, S., Blumenthal, E., & Grey, T. (1998). Content analysis of entertainment television: Results for 1994-95. In J. Hamilton (Ed). *Television Violence and Public Policy*. Ann Arbor, MI: University of Michigan Press.

Kunkel, D., Wilson, B., Potter, J., Linz, D., Donnerstein, E., Smith, S., Blumenthal, E., & Grey, T. (1998). Content analysis of entertainment television: Implications for public policy. In J. Hamilton (Ed). *Television Violence and Public Policy*. Ann Arbor, MI: University of Michigan Press.

Wilson, B.J., Kunkel, D., Linz, D., Potter, J., Donnerstein, E. Smith, S.L., Blumenthal, E. & Gray, T. (1998). Violence in Television Programming Overall; University of California, Santa Barbara Study. In: *National Television Violence Study: Volume 2*. Sage Publications, Thousand Oaks, CA, 3-267.

Dexter, H.R., Penrod, S., Linz, D. and Saunders, D. (1997). Attributing responsibility to female victims after exposure to sexually violent films. *Journal of Applied Social Psychology*, 27 (24), 2149-2171.

Dixon, T. L. & Linz, D. (1997). Obscenity law and rap music: Understanding the effects of sex, attitudes and beliefs. *Journal of Applied Communication Research*, 25, 217-241.

Krafka, C., Linz, D., Donnerstein, E. & Penrod, S. (1997). Women's reactions to sexually aggressive mass media depictions. *Violence Against Women*, 3,2, 149-181.

Wilson, B.J., Kunkel, D., Linz, D., Potter, J., Donnerstein, E. Smith, S.L., Blumenthal, E. & Gray, T. (1997). Violence in Television Programming Overall; University of California, Santa Barbara Study. In: *National Television Violence Study: Volume 1*. Sage Publications, Thousand Oaks, CA, 3-267.

Jansma, L., Linz, D., Mulac, A. & Imrich, D. (1997). Men's interactions with women after viewing sexually explicit films: Does degradation make a difference? *Communication Monographs*, 64, 1, March, 1-24.



Malamuth, N., Heavy, C & Linz, D. (1996). The confluence model of sexual aggression: Combining hostile masculinity and impersonal sex. In *Sex offender treatment: Biological dysfunction, intrapsychic conflict, interpersonal violence*, 13-37.

Mullin, C., Imrich, D. & Linz, D. (1996). The impact of acquaintance rape stories and case-specific pretrial publicity on juror decision making. *Communication Research*, 23, 1, 100-135.

Mullin, C & Linz, D. (1995). Desensitization and resensitization to sexualized violence: The effects of exposure to sexually violent films on judgments of domestic violence victims. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 69, 3. 449-459.

Kunkel, D., Wilson, B., Donnerstein, E., Linz, D., Smith, S., Gray, T., Blumenthal, E. & Potter, J. (1995). Measuring television violence: The importance of context. *Journal of Broadcasting and Electronic Media*, 39, 2, 284-291.

Malamuth, N., Linz, D., & Heavy, C., Barnes, G. & Acker, M. (1995). Using the confluence model of sexual aggression to predict men's conflict with women: A ten year follow-up study. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 69, 2, 353-369.

Donnerstein, E. & Linz, D. (1995). The Mass Media: A Role in Injury Causation and Prevention. *Adolescent Medicine*, 6, 2, 271-284.

Imrich, D. Mullin, C. & Linz, D. (1995). Measuring the Extent of Pretrial Publicity in Major American Newspapers: A Content Analysis. *Journal of Communication*, 45, 3, 94-117.

Linz, D., E. Donnerstein, K. Land, P. McCall, B.J. Shafer, & A. Graesner. (1995). Measuring community standards for sex and violence: An empirical challenge to assumptions in obscenity law. *Law and Society Review*, 29, 1, 127-168.

Donnerstein, E. & Linz, D. (1995) Media. In J.Q. Wilson & J. Petersilia (Eds). *Crime: Twenty-eight leading experts look at the most pressing problem of our time*. Institute for Contemporary Studies, 237-266.

Donnerstein, E., Wilson B.J. & Linz, D. (1995). Mass media violence and film ratings: Redressing shortcomings in the current system. In: *Proceedings of the House of Delegates*, 143rd Annual Meeting. Chicago, Ill: American Medical Association, 78-89.

Donnerstein, E. & Linz, D. (1994). Sexual violence in the mass media. In S. Oskamp and M. Costanzo (Eds). *Violence and the law*. Sage Publications, Newbury Park, CA, 9-36.

Linz, D. & Donnerstein, E. (1994). Sex and Violence in slasher films: A reinterpretation. *Journal of Broadcasting and Electronic Media*, 38, 2, 243-246.

Linz, D. & Malamuth, N.M. (1993). Communication Concepts 5: Pornography. In S. Chaffee (Ed.) *Communication Concepts Series*, Sage Publications, Newbury Park, CA.

Malamuth, N. M., Heavey, C.L. & Linz, D. (1993). Predicting men's antisocial behavior against women: The interaction model of sexual aggression. In Hall, G. C. N., R. Hirschman, J. Graham & M. Zaragoza (Eds.) *Sexual Aggression: Issues in etiology, assessment and treatment*. Washington, D.C.: Hemisphere, 63-97.

Linz, D. & Donnerstein, E. (Sept. 1992). Research can help us explain violence and pornography. *The Chronicle of Higher Education*, 39, (6) A33-34.

Wilson, B.J., Linz, D., E. Donnerstein & H. Stipp (1992). The impact of social issue television programming on attitudes toward rape. *Human Communication Research*, 19, (2) 179-208.

Donnerstein, E., Wilson, B. J., & Linz, D. (1992). On the regulation of broadcast indecency to protect children. *Journal of Broadcasting and Electronic Media*. 36 (2) 111-117.

Linz, D., Wilson, B.J. & Donnerstein, E. (1992). Sexual violence in the mass media: Legal solutions, warnings, and mitigation through education. *The Journal of Social Issues*, 48, 1, 145-172.

Linz, D. & Malamuth, N. & Beckett, C. (1992). Civil Liberties and Research on the Effects of Pornography. In P. Suedfeld and P. Tetlock (Eds.), *Psychology and Social Policy*. New York: Hemisphere, 149-162.

Linz, D. & Penrod, S. (1991). Exploring the First and Sixth Amendments: Pretrial Publicity and Jury Decision-making. In Kagehiro, D. K., & Laufer, W.S. (Eds.). *Handbook of Psychology and Law*. New York: Springer-Verlag.

Linz, D., Donnerstein, E., Land, K., McCall, P., Scott, J., Klein, L. J., Shafer, B.J. & Lance, L. (1991). Estimating community tolerance for obscenity: The use of social science evidence. *Public Opinion Quarterly*, Spring, 80-112.

Linz, D. & Donnerstein, E. (1990). The role of social scientists in policy decision making about pornography: A reply to Page. *Canadian Psychology*, 31 (4), 368-371.

Linz, D. & Donnerstein, E. (1990). The relationship between exposure to pornography and anti-social behavior. *The Expert Witness, the Trial Attorney and the Trial Judge*. 5, (Summer), 26-30.

Imrich, D., Mullin, C. & Linz, D. (1990). Sexually violent media and criminal justice policy. In R. Surette (Ed.), *The Media and criminal justice policy: Recent research and social effects*. Springfield, IL: C. C. Thomas, 103-128.



Wilson, B.J., Linz, D. & Randall, B. (1990) Applying social science research to film ratings: A shift from what is considered offensive to what is considered harmful to children. *Journal of Broadcasting and Electronic Media*, 34:(4) 443-468.

Linz, D., Arluk, I. & Donnerstein, E. (1990). Mitigating the negative effects of sexually violent mass media through pre-exposure briefings. *Communication Research*. 17 (5) 641-674.

Yang, N. & Linz, D. (1990). Movie Ratings and the Content of Adult Videos: The Sex Violence Ratio. *Journal of Communication*, 40 (2), Spring, 28-42.

Linz, D. & Donnerstein, E. (1990). Sexual violence in the media. *World Health*, April-May, 26-27.

Hurley, J., Linz, D. (1990). Assessing the effects of the Medicare prospective payment system on the demand for VA inpatient services: An examination of transfers and discharges of problem patients. *Health Services Research*, Vol. 25,(1), 239-255.

Linz, D., Donnerstein, E. & Adams, S. (1989). Physiological desensitization and judgments about female victims of violence. *Human Communication Research*, 15, 4, pp. 509-522.

Linz, D. & Donnerstein, E. (1989). The effects of violent messages in the mass media: Contemporary theory and research. In J. E. Bradac (Ed.), *Messages in communication science: Contemporary approaches to the study of effects*, Vol. 17 in Sage Annual Review of Communication Research. Newbury Park, CA: Sage.

Linz, D., & Donnerstein, E. (1989). Effects of counter-information on the acceptance of rape myths. In D. Zillmann & J. Bryant (Eds.), *Pornography: Recent research, interpretations, and policy considerations*. Hillsdale, NJ: Erlbaum, 259-288.

Linz, D. (1989). Exposure to sexually explicit materials and attitudes towards rape: A comparison of study results. *The Journal of Sex Research*, 26, 1, 50-84.

Linz, D., & Donnerstein, E. (1988). Methodological issues in the content analysis of pornography. *University of Michigan Journal of Law Reform*. 21, 1-2, 47-54.

Linz, D., Donnerstein, E. & Penrod, S. (1988). Long-term exposure to violent and sexually degrading depictions of women. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 55(5), 758-768.

Donnerstein, E. & Linz, D. (1988). A critical analysis of "a critical analysis of recent research on violent erotica." *The Journal of Sex Research*, 24, 348-352.

Linz, D., & Donnerstein, E. (1988). The methods and merits of pornography research. *Journal of Communication*, 38(2), 180-184.

Linz, D., Penrod, S., & Donnerstein, E. (1987). The Attorney General's Commission on Pornography: The gap between "findings" and facts. *The American Bar Foundation Research Journal*, 1987(4), 301-324.

Linz, D., Donnerstein, E., & Penrod, S. (1987). Sexual violence in the mass media: Social psychological implications. In P. Shaver & C. Hendrick (Eds.), *Review of personality and social psychology* (Vol. 7) (pp. 135-175). Newbury Park, CA: Sage.

Saunders, D. G., Lynch, A. B., Grayson, M., & Linz, D. (1987). The inventory of beliefs about wife beating: The construction and initial validation of a measure of beliefs and attitudes. *Violence and Victims*, 2 (1), 39-57.

Linz, D., Donnerstein, E., & Penrod, S. (1987). The findings and recommendations of the Attorney General's Commission on Pornography: Do the psychological facts fit the political fury? *American Psychologist*, 42(10), 946-953.

Donnerstein, E., Linz, D., & Penrod, S. (1987). *The question of pornography: Research findings and policy implications*. New York: Free Press.

Linz, D., Penrod, S., & Donnerstein, E. (1986). Issues bearing on the legal regulation of violent and sexually violent media. *Journal of Social Issues*, 42(3), 171-193.

Linz, D., Penrod, S., & McDonald, E. (1986). Attorney communication in the courtroom: Views from off the bench. *Law and Human Behavior*, 10(4), 281-302.

Linz, D., Donnerstein, E., Bross, M., & Chapin, M. (1986). Mitigating the influence of violence on television and sexual violence in the media. In R. Blanchard (Ed.), *Advances in the study of aggression* (Vol. 2) (pp. 165-194). New York: Academic Press.

Donnerstein, E., & Linz, D. (1986). Mass media sexual violence and male viewers: Current theory and research. *American Behavioral Scientist*, 29(5), 601-18.

Donnerstein, E. I., & Linz, D. G. (1986, December). The question of pornography: It is not sex, but violence that is an obscenity in our society. *Psychology Today*, 20(12), 56-59.

Penrod, S., & Linz, D. (1985). Voir dire: Uses and abuses. In M. F. Kaplan (Ed.), *Impact of social psychology on procedural justice*. Springfield, IL: C. C. Thomas.

Linz, D., & Penrod, S. (1984). Increasing attorney persuasiveness in the courtroom. *Law and Psychology Review*, 8, 1-47.



Linz, D., Donnerstein, E., & Penrod, S. (1984). The effects of multiple exposures to filmed violence against women. *Journal of Communication*, 34 (3), 130-147

Donnerstein, E., & Linz, D. (1984, January). Sexual violence in the media: A warning. *Psychology Today*, pp. 14-15.

Linz, D., Turner, C., Hesse, B., & Penrod, S. (1984). Bases of liability for injuries produced by media portrayals of violent pornography. In N. Malamuth & E. Donnerstein (Eds.), *Pornography and sexual aggression* (pp. 277-302). New York: Academic Press.

Penrod, S., & Linz, D. (1984). Using psychological research on violent pornography to inform legal change. In N. Malamuth & E. Donnerstein (Eds.), *Pornography and sexual aggression* (pp. 247-273). New York: Academic Press.

Linz, D., & Heberlein, T. A. (1984). Development of a personal obligation to shift electricity use: Initial determinants and maintenance over time. *Energy: The International Journal*, 9, 255-263.

Penrod, S., Linz, D., Heuer, L. Coates, D., Atkinson, M. & Herzberg, S. (1983). The implications of social science research for trial practice attorneys. In D. J. Muller, D. G. Blackman, & A. J. Chapman (Eds.), *Perspectives in psychology and law* (pp. 435-455). London: Wiley.

Penrod, S. E. Donnerstein & Linz D. (1982). Scientific research on pornography and violence: The implications for American law. *Bulletin of the British Psychological Society*, 35, A100 (Abstract).

Penrod, S, Linz, D. Coates, D. & Herzberg, S. (1982). The implications of social science research for trial practice attorneys. *Bulletin of the British Psychological Society*, 35, A100 (Abstract).

Heberlein, T. A., Linz, D., & Ortiz, B. (1982). Satisfaction, commitment, and knowledge of customers on a mandatory participation time-of-day electricity pricing experiment. *Journal of Consumer Research*, 9, 106-114.

Heberlein, T. A., Linz, D., & Ortiz, B. (1981). Time-of-day electricity pricing. In J. D. Claxton, C. D. Anderson, J. R. B. Ritchie, & H. G. McDougall (Eds.), *Consumers and energy conservation: International perspective on research and policy options*. New York: Praeger.

#### Scholarly Publications (reprinted)

Paul, B., Linz, D. & Shafer, B.J. (2002). Government regulation of adult businesses through zoning and anti-nudity ordinances: Debunking the legal myth of negative secondary effects. *Communication Law and Policy*, 6, 2, 355-391. In "2002 Zoning and Planning Law Handbook" (West Group).

Donnerstein E, Linz D. Mass media, violence and the male viewer. In: Oden ME, ClayWarner J, editors. Wilmington (DE)7 SR Books/Scholarly Resources; 1998. p. 181–98.

Linz, D., Donnerstein, E., Land, K., McCall, P., Scott, J., Klein, L. J., Shafer, B.J. & Lance, L. (1991). Estimating community tolerance for obscenity: The use of social science evidence. *Public Opinion Quarterly*, Spring, 80-112. In: Monahan, J. & Walker, L. *Social Science in Law*, (Fourth Edition), The University of Chicago Press (1998).

Linz, D., Penrod, S., & Donnerstein, E. (1987). The Attorney General's Commission on Pornography: The gap between "findings" and facts. *The American Bar Foundation Research Journal*, 1987(4), 301-324. In: Monahan, J. & Walker, L. *Social Science in Law*, (Fourth Edition), The University of Chicago Press (1998).

Donnerstein, E., & Linz, D. (1986). Mass-media sexual violence and male viewers: Current theory and research. *American Behavioral Scientist*, 29(5), 601-618. In: Disch, E. *Reconstructing Gender*, Mayfield Publishing Company (1997).

Donnerstein, E., & Linz, D. (1986). Mass-media sexual violence and male viewers: Current theory and research. *American Behavioral Scientist*, 29(5), 601-618. In: *Men confronting pornography*

Donnerstein, E., & Linz, D. (1986). Mass-media sexual violence and male viewers: Current theory and research. *American Behavioral Scientist*, 29(5), 601-618. In M.S. Kimmel & M.I. Messner (Eds.), *Men's Lives*. (1989), New York, NY, Macmillan.

Donnerstein, E. I., & Linz, D. G. (1986, December). The question of pornography: It is not sex, but violence that is an obscenity in our society. *Psychology Today*, 20(12), 56-59. In O. Pocs (Ed.) *Human Sexuality 89/90*. Guilford, CT: Dushkin Publishing Group.

Donnerstein, E., & Linz, D. (1986). Mass-media sexual violence and male viewers: Current theory and research. *American Behavioral Scientist*, 29(5), 601-618. In M. Kimmel (Ed.), *Changing men: New directions in research on men and masculinity*. (1987), Newbury Park, CA: Sage.

Linz, D., Donnerstein, E., & Penrod, S. (1984). The effects of long term exposure to violence against women. *Journal of Communication*, 34, 130-147. In K. Deming & S. Becker (Eds.), *Media in society: Readings in mass communication*. Evansville, IL: Scott-Foresman (1988).

Donnerstein, E., & Linz, D. (1984, January). Sexual violence in the media: A warning. *Psychology Today*, pp. 14-15. In A. Wells (Ed.) *Mass Media and Society*. D.C. Heath and Company: Lexington MA (1987).



## PROFESSIONAL ACTIVITIES

### Research Grants (submitted)

President's Task Force on Preventing and Responding to Sexual Violence and Sexual Assault: Evaluation Research Group Proposal. UC Office of the President. Year 1: \$904,000, (May, 2016).

Linz, D. Identifying barriers to victim reports of sexual assault to the police and developing a mass media campaign to increase reporting. Submitted to the National Institute of Justice. \$196,541 (10/1/98-3/31/00).

### Research Grants (funded)

Campus Sexual Misconduct: Using Perpetrator Risk Assessment and Tailored Treatment to Individualize Sanctioning. Department of Justice, SMART FY14 Campus Sexual Assault Perpetrator Treatment Program. \$3,000 (10/2014-9/2017).

Linz, D. & O'Connor, J. Evaluation of a multi-mode intervention (SHARe--The Word) designed to modify adolescent attitudes about dating violence. California Public Health Department. \$18,600 (10/00-10/03).

Wilson, B., Linz D., & E. Donnerstein. Evaluation of the "Choices and Consequences" Initiative. Court TV. \$120,635 (6/98-6/99).

Donnerstein, E., Wilson. B., Kunkel, D. & D. Linz. National television violence study. National Cable Television Association. \$3,326,449 (6/94-1/98).

Malamuth, N., & Linz, D. Predicting Sexual Coercion and Antisocial Behavior Against Women. (\$414,284, National Institute of Mental Health, 06/01/89-5/31/91).

Linz, D. Pretrial exposure to mass media and legal decision-making. (\$41,804, National Science Foundation, 7/1/88-10/1/89).

Malamuth, N. & Linz, D. Predicting sexual coercion and antisocial behaviors against women: An eight year follow-up. (\$2000, Small Research Grant Program, UCLA Center for the Study of Women, 1/1/88-10/1/88).

Linz, D. Home Box Office presentations of sexual violence and female homicide. (\$1880, Small Research Grant Program, UCLA Center for the Study of Women, 3/1/87-10/1/87).

Spear, M., Linz, D., & Wolfe, B. Effect of Medicare's Prospective Payment on Use of VA Inpatient Services. (\$147,524, Veteran's Administration Health Systems Research and Development, 10/1/87 to 9/30/89).

Donnerstein, E., Penrod, S., & Linz, D. Sexually violent media and social behavior. (\$347,104, National Institute of Mental Health, 7/15/86-6/30/89).

Linz, D., Eichelman, B., & Saunders, D. The frequency of violent acts among inpatients and the incidence of domestic violence among outpatients in VA Medical District 16. (\$10,000, Veteran's Administration Health systems Research and Development, 1/1/86-12/31/86).

### **Professional Conference Presentations**

Whitestone, S. B., Chuk, K. A., Linz, D. (2021, November). *Marginalized posthumous identities: An innovative application of the communication theory of identity*. Annual Conference of the National Communication Association, Seattle.

Whitestone, S. B., Giles, H., Linz, D. (2019, November). Transgender identities after death: Authenticity in eternity. Paper submitted to the Annual Conference of the National Communication Association, Baltimore, MD.

Whitestone, S. B., Linz, D. (2019, May) Trans porn realness: Transgender pornography as a provider of both sex education and identity affirmation among transgender adults. *Rethinking (and Retheorizing) Transgender Media Representation*. 69th Annual Conference of International Communication Association, San Diego, CA.

Stamps, D. & Linz, D. (2019, February). I Don't See Color, But I See Myself Everywhere: Perceptions of Race and Support for Diversity at a Predominately White Institution. Paper presented at the Western States Communication Association annual conference, Seattle, WA.

Yao, M. Z., Zou, Y. X., Linz, D., & Jaoude, P. (2017, June). *The impacts of privacy hypocrisy, victim characterization, gender, and context on bystanders' guilt attribution in a cyberbullying suicide*. 67th Annual Conference of International Communication Association, San Diego, USA.

Whitestone, S. B. & Linz, D. (2017, May). *Quantifying stereotypes of transgender characters on television*. Round table participant at the 67th Annual Conference International Communication Association, San Diego, CA.

Zamanzadeh, N. N., & Linz, D. (2016, June). *The mood regulatory function of media multitasking*. Paper presented at the 66th Annual Conference of International Communication Association, Fukuoka, Japan.



Yao, M. Z. & Linz, D. (2016, June). *"You have the right to privacy, unless you have something to hide!" – Examining the impacts of a perceptual difference between privacy and secrecy on online privacy concerns and bystander apathy.* Paper presented at the 66th Annual Conference of International Communication Association, Fukuoka, Japan.

Zamanzadeh, N.N., Dixon, T.L., Linz, D.G. (2015, November). *"Is It Me You Are Looking For" Nonresponse as Online Aggression.* Paper presented at National Communication Association, Las Vegas.

Seaman, C.S. & Linz D. (2012). *Are Adult Businesses Crime Hotspots? Comparing Adult Businesses to Other Locations in Three Cities.* Paper presented at the annual meeting of the International Communication Association in Phoenix, AZ.

Giles, H. Bonilla, D, Linz, D. Gomez, M. (2012). "Intergroup Accommodations in Traffic Stops: Ethnicity, Accent and Extensive Policing." Paper presented at the International Communication Association: Top Papers in Intergroup Communication: Immigration Issues in Applied Contexts (Phoenix, AZ).

Seaman, C. and Linz, D. (2011). Indecency in the 21st Century: Revisiting the Assumptions of the Regulation of Indecent Broadcasting. Top Papers - Communication and Law Division. A paper presented at the annual conference of the National Communication Association, Division: Family Communication (New Orleans, LA).

Byrne, S., Lee, T., Katz, S. J., Linz, D. & McIrath, M. (2011). "Predators, peers, and porn: Predicting parent underestimation of children's risky Internet experiences." Paper presented at the annual conference of the National Communication Association, Division: Family Communication (New Orleans, LA).

Seaman, C. and Linz, D. (2011). "The Secondary Effects Doctrine Since Alameda: An Empirical Re-examination of the Justifications for Laws Limiting First Amendment Protection," Paper presented at the Annual Meeting of the International Communication Association "Communication @ the Center," (Boston, MA).

Popova, L., Rudy, R. and Linz, D. (2010). Content Analysis Research on Sex Roles: Past, Present, and Future. Paper presented at the 96th Annual Convention of the National Communication Association, November, San Francisco.

Linz, D., Paul, B., Seaman, C. (2010). Empirical Jurisprudence Applied to Speech Regulations: A Longitudinal Investigation of the Secondary Effects of Adult Businesses in Indianapolis. Paper presented at the 96th Annual Convention of the National Communication Association, November, San Francisco.

Linz, D. (2009, November). Effects of Sexually Oriented Messages on Individuals and Communities. Communication and the Law Division. Presentation at the 95th Annual Convention of the National Communication Association, November 12-15, Chicago.

Seaman, C. & Linz, D. (2009, November). "Erotic Dancing, Liquor, and Crime: An Empirical Critique of Virginia Statute Changes Restricting Liquor Service and Adult Entertainment." Top Scholarship in Freedom of Expression: The Driving Force for Stability and Change. Presentation at the 95th Annual Convention of the National Communication Association, November 12-15, Chicago.

Rudy, R. & Linz, D. (2008, November). Sex Differences in Media Desensitization: Evidence and Theory. Panel Title: New directions in research on gender differences and the media. Paper presented at the meeting of the National Communication Association, San Diego.

Byrne, S. & Linz, D. (2008, May). "Investigating the Boomerang Effect in Anti-Aggression Media Literacy Interventions." Panel presentation at the Annual Meeting of the International Communication Association in Montreal, Canada.

Rudy, R., & Linz, D. (2007, November). "Domains of Media Desensitization: A Model of the Relationships Among Cognitive, Emotional, Physiological, and Behavioral Response Systems." Paper presented at the meeting of the National Communication Association, Chicago, IL.

Byrne, S. & Linz, D. (2007, November). "I Might Not Hit, but I Can Still Be Mean: Media Interventions and Gender Differences in Overt and Relational Aggression." Paper presentation at the meeting of the National Communication Association, Chicago, IL.

Paul, B., Linz, D., & Yao, M. (November, 2007). Top Freedom of Expression Scholarship. Paper Title: Evaluating the Potential Secondary Effects of Adult Video/Bookstores in Indianapolis, IN." Paper presented in Freedom of Expression Division of the National Communication Association, (Chicago, IL).

Tajima, S., Suzuki, K., Sado, M., Hasegawa, M., Horiuchi, Y., Sakamoto, A., Linz, D., Smith, S. L., & Donnerstein, E. (2007) Content analysis of violent images in Japanese TV commercials. Paper presented at the 7th Biennial Conference of Asian Association of Social Psychology, Kota Kinabalu, Malaysia.

Fisher, R. D., Linz, D., Seaman, C. (2007). *Viewer Judgments Concerning the Sexually Explicit DVD American Bukkake #14*. Paper presented at the annual meeting of the Society for the Scientific Study of Sexuality.



Horiuchi, Y., Sado, M., Suzuki, K., Hasegawa, M., Sakamoto, A., Isshiki, N., Hattori, H., Linz, D., Smith, S. L., & Donnerstein, E. (2006). Content analysis of violence appearing in Japanese news programs: Its characteristic features compared to the real world and to other TV genres, 26th International Congress of Applied Psychology, Athens, Greece.

Sado, M., Suzuki, K., Horiuchi, Y., Hasegawa, M., Sakamoto, A., Isshiki, N., Hattori, H., Linz, D., Smith, S. L., & Donnerstein, E. (2006). What types of violence are Japanese children exposed to through daily TV viewing?: Content analysis of TV programs broadcasted in 2004, 26th International Congress of Applied Psychology, Athens, Greece.

Linz, D., (November, 2006) Creating Connections in Graduate Education: What is the Relationship between MA-only and Doctoral Programs? National Communication Association, (San Antonio, TX).

Linz, D., Yao, M., Byrne, S. & Lichtenstein, A. (November, 2006). Testing Supreme Court Assumptions in California v. la Rue: Is There Justification for Prohibiting Sexually Explicit Messages in Establishments that Sell Liquor? Freedom of Expression Division of the National Communication Association, (San Antonio, TX). Top Four Refereed Papers in Freedom of Expression.

Mahood, C. & Linz, D. G. (November, 2006). "Video game play and the role of frustration: How playing non-violent video games can lead to aggressive effects." Mass Communication Division of the National Communication Association, San Antonio, TX. Top Three Refereed Papers in Mass Communication.

Mahood, C., Yao, M. and Linz, D. (2006, May). Sexual Priming, Gender Stereotyping, and Likelihood to Sexually Harass: Examining the Effects of Playing a Sexually-Explicit Video Game. Panel presentation at the Annual Meeting of the International Communication Association in Dresden, Germany.

Yao, M, Daniel G. Linz, Bryant Paul (2004, November). A Multi-city Investigation of Adverse Secondary Effects of Adult Oriented Business on Sex Crimes. Sexual Science and Politics: Mutual Interactions. Conference of the Society for the Scientific Study of Sexuality, Orlando, FL.

Linz, D. (2004, November). Symposium Chair: Empirical research on sex, media, and society. Annual meeting of the National Communication Association, Chicago, IL.

Yao, M. Daniel Linz (2004, November), "A Multi-city Investigation of Adverse Secondary Effects of Adult Oriented Business on Sex Crimes." Annual meeting of the National Communication Association, Chicago, IL.

Fisher, R.D., Linz, D. & Paul, B. (2004, May). "Examining the Link Between Sexual Entertainment and Sexual Aggression: The Presence of Adult Businesses and the Prediction of Rape Rates in Florida." Presentation to the Law and Policy Division at the 2004 annual meeting of the International Communication Association: New Orleans, LA.

Linz, D. & Paul, B. (2004, April). "A Secondary Effects Study of Peep Show Establishments in San Diego, California." "Sexuality Across Cultures: From the Brain Lab to the Bedroom." The Western Region Conference of the Society for the Scientific Study of Sexuality, San Diego.

Yao, M., Mahood, C., Paul, B., Bryne, S. and Linz, D. (2003, November). "The role of individual differences in the effects of video game violence on aggressive thoughts and self-reported arousal." Annual meeting of the National Communication Association, Miami, FL.

Paul, B., & Linz, D. (2003, November). "Sexual communication and the First Amendment: Using communication science to inform law and policy debates." Seminar 9, The Far Reaching Interdisciplinary Scholarship of HIV/AIDS, STD's & Sexual Behavior Research. Annual meeting of the National Communication Association, Miami, FL.

Zwarun, L. & Linz, D. (May 2003). "College Students' Expectancies about Drinking: Effects of Gender, Risk Taking, Identification with Television Characters, and Exposure to Beer Commercials," International Communication Association, San Diego, California.

Linz, D. (February, 2003). We write, judges cite: Communication scholars impact on the judiciary. Western States Speech Association Annual Meeting. Salt lake City, Utah.

Paul, B., & Linz, D. (May, 2002). Testing Assumptions Made by the Supreme Court Concerning the Negative Secondary Effects of Adult Businesses: A Quasi-Experimental Approach. International Communication Association: Communication Law and Policy. Seoul, Korea.

Paul, B., A. Fraser, D. Linz, B. J. Wilson, S. L. Smith (May, 2001). Reducing Harmful Risk Taking Among Adolescents Using a School-based Media Curriculum. Instructional and developmental Communication Division of the International Communication Association meeting. Washington, D.C.

Dixon, T., & Linz, D. (May, 2001). The Portrayal of Race and Crime on Network News. Mass Communication Division of the International Communication Association, Washington, D.C.

Dixon, T., & Linz, D. (May, 2000). Misrepresentation of African American and Latino Juvenile Lawbreakers on Local Television News. Mass Communication Division of the International Communication Association, Acapulco, Mexico.



Paul, B., Linz, D., Wilson, B., Smith, S., Federman, J., Nathanson, A., Lingsweiler R., & Donnerstein, E. (May, 2000). Reducing interpersonal violence and risk taking among adolescents by increasing awareness of consequences and empathy: An evaluation of a school-based media curriculum. Instructional and developmental Communication Division of the International Communication Association meeting. Acapulco, Mexico.

Linz, D., Paul, B. & Shafer, B.J. (May, 2000). Government regulation of adult businesses through zoning and anti-nudity ordinances: Debunking the legal myth of negative secondary effects. International Communication Association: Top Three Refereed Papers in Communication Law and Policy. Acapulco, Mexico.

Linz, D. (August, 1999). Methodological Analysis of Secondary Effects of Adult Businesses Studies Cited by Communities Across the Country. First Amendment Lawyers Association Annual meeting, San Francisco, CA.

Linz, D. (August, 1999). Testing Legal Assumptions Regarding the Effects of Dancer Nudity and Proximity on Erotic Expression.. First Amendment Lawyers Association Annual meeting, San Francisco, CA.

Dixon, T. & Linz, D. (August, 1999). The Portrayal of Race and Crime on Network News: An Exploratory Study. Association for Education in Journalism and Mass Communication. New Orleans, LA.

Dixon, T. & Linz, D. (May, 1999). Race and the Misrepresentation of Victimization on Local Television News. 1999 National Communication Association: Top Three Refereed Papers in Mass Communication Division. Chicago, IL.

Dixon, T. & Linz, D. (1999). Television news, prejudicial publicity and the depiction of race. International Communication Association Annual meeting. San Francisco, CA.

Mulac, A., Jansma, L. & Linz, D. (July, 1998). Interpersonal consequences of exposure to pornography: Does men's viewing of degrading, sexually explicit film affect their behavior toward women? International Communication Association annual meeting, Jerusalem, Israel.

Linz, D. (June, 1998). Predicting Sexual Aggression. A Symposium at the 1998 SPSSI Convention. Ann Arbor, MI.

Linz, D. & Blumenthal, E. (June, 1998). Testing legal assumptions regarding the effects of dancer nudity and proximity on erotic expression. Law and Society annual meeting, Aspen CO.

Linz, D., Donnerstein, E., Shafer, B.J., Blumenthal, E., Gray, T. & Kunkel, D. (February, 1996). Measuring the Communicative Aspects of Nude Dancing: An Experimental Field

Test of Social Psychological Assumptions in Barnes v. Glen Theatre. American Psychology Law Society Biannual meeting, Hilton Head SC.

Heuer, L. Scelfo, J., Gross, E., Penrod, S., Stroessner, S. & Linz, D. (June, 1995). A test of a contextual priming model of procedural fairness. Eighth Annual Conference of the International Association for Conflict Management, Lo-Skolen, Elsinore, Denmark.

Linz, D. (May, 1995). Violence on Television: A Panel Discussion. International Communication Association Annual Meeting, Albuquerque, NM.

Linz, D. (July, 1994). Examining parallels between the regulation of TV violence and broadcast indecency. International Communication Association Annual Meeting, Sydney, Australia.

Linz, D. (July, 1994). Children's exposure to and comprehension of sexually-oriented remarks in radio broadcasts: A case analysis of the Howard Stern Show. International Communication Association Annual Meeting, Sydney, Australia.

Linz, D. (October, 1993). Measuring standards for sex and violence: Misperceptions between self and community. Society of Experimental Social Psychology (SESP) Annual Meeting, Santa Barbara, CA.

Linz, D (August, 1993). Presidential Mini Convention on Sex Love and Psychology. The Future of Sex and Love: A Town Hall Meeting. American Psychological Association Annual Meeting, Toronto, Ontario, Canada.

Linz, D (August, 1993). Discussion: Patently Offensive: Porn under siege. Ad hoc Committee on Films and Other Media. American Psychological Association Annual Meeting, Toronto, Ontario, Canada.

Malamuth, N., Heavey, C.L., Linz, D. & Barnes, G. (May, 1993). The longitudinal prediction of men's antisocial behavior against women. International Communication Association, Washington D.C.

Linz, D., Imrich, D. Mullin, C., Eskenazi, J., & Weiss, A. (Sept., 1992). Assessing the incidence of pretrial publicity and strategies to offset its effects. The Third European Conference of Law and Psychology, Oxford, United Kingdom.

Linz, D. & Wilson, B.J. (August, 1992). Adolescents and sexual violence: Mitigating the effects of mass media. American Psychological Association Annual Meeting, Washington D.C.

Linz, D., E. Donnerstein, K. Land, P. McCall, J. Scott, L. J. Klein, B.J. Shafer, & A. Graesner (May, 1992). Defining the limits of public tolerance for sexually explicit and sexually violent materials: A field experiment. Top Three Refereed Papers in



Communication Law and Policy. Communication Law and Policy Interest Group, International Communication Association.

Donnerstein, E., Wilson, B. & Linz, D. (1992, May). Can we deal with sexual violence in the media:? Legal, self-regulatory, and educational solutions. Communication Law and Policy Interest Group, International Communication Association.

Wilson, B., D.Linz, E. Donnerstein & H. Stipp (1992, May). The Impact of social issue television programming on Attitudes Toward Rape. Mass Communication Division of the International Communication Association.

Linz, D. (1991, Aug.). The utilization of mass media research in obscenity trials. Symposium on the role of mass media research in public policy. American Psychological Association Annual Meeting, San Francisco.

Weiss, A. & Linz, D. (1991, Aug.) Impression formation and memory in the attribution of legal responsibility. American Psychological Association Annual Meeting, San Francisco.

Imrich, D., Mullin, C. & Linz, D. (1991, Aug.) Measuring the extent of prejudicial publicity in the United States newspapers: A content analysis. American Psychological Association Annual Meeting, San Francisco.

Eskenazi, J. & Linz, D. (1991, August). The ameliorating effect of jury deliberation on prejudicial pretrial publicity. American Psychological Association Annual Meeting, San Francisco.

Wilson, B.J., Linz, D. & Randall, B. (June, 1990) Applying Social Science Research to Film Ratings: A Shift From What is Considered Offensive to What is Considered Harmful to Children. International Communication Association, Dublin.

Linz, D. (November, 1989). Obscenity and the law: Psychological assessments of community standards concerning explicit sexual material. The American Society of Criminology Annual Meeting, Reno, NV.

Mullin, C., Imrich, D., & Linz, D. (August, 1989). The effects of date rape information and prejudicial and nonprejudicial pretrial publicity on jury decision making in a sexual assault case. American Psychological Association Annual Meeting, New Orleans.

Linz, D., Dexter, H., Penrod, S. & Donnerstein, E. (August, 1989). Exposure to mass media sexual violence and judgments about domestic abuse victims. American Psychological Association Annual Meeting, New Orleans.

Arluk, I., Linz, D. & Donnerstein, E. (August, 1989). Mitigating the negative effects of sexually violent media through pre-movie interventions. American Psychological Association Annual Meeting, New Orleans.

Linz, D. & Randall, B. (1989, June) R-rated movies and violence: Recent legal challenges to the MPAA rating system. Law and Society Annual Meeting, Madison, WI.

Linz, D. (August, 1988). Social Psychology and Social Advocacy: Research on pornography and violence. American Psychological Association Annual Meeting, Atlanta.

Donnerstein E. & Linz, D. (June 1988). Social science research and the Attorney General's and the Surgeon General's Commissions on Pornography. XIVth Annual Congress on Law and Mental Health, Montreal.

Malamuth, N. & Linz, D. (June, 1988). Legal debates in pornography: What social science can and cannot contribute. Law and Society Association Annual Meeting, Vale.

Dexter, H., Linz, D., Penrod, S. & Saunders, D. (March, 1988). Exposure to media violence and the blaming of assault victims. American Psychology and Law Society Biannual Meeting, Miami.

Donnerstein, E. & Linz, D. (1987, November). Uses and abuses of social science research in the final report of the Attorney General's Commission on Pornography. Dimension Series Presentation, Speech Communication Association Meeting, Boston.

Linz, D. (1987, August). The findings and recommendations of the U.S. Attorney General's Commission on pornography. American Psychological Association Annual Meeting, New York.

Linz, D. (1987, July). Desensitization to filmed violence and reactions to victims of battering. The Third National Family Violence Research Conference, Durham, NH.

Linz, D. (1986, July). Desensitization to media violence against women: Theory and findings. Biennial World Meeting of the International Society for Research on Aggression, Chicago.

Adams, S., Linz, D., Donnerstein, E., & Penrod, S. (1986, May). Physiological desensitization and changes in perception of violence after exposure to filmed violence against women. Annual meeting, Midwestern Psychological Association, Chicago.

Krafka, C., Linz, D., & Penrod, S. (1985, May). Male and female desensitization to graphic filmed violence against women. Annual Meeting of the Midwestern Psychological Association, Chicago.

Linz, D., Penrod, S., & Donnerstein, E. (1984, October). Sexual violence: Desensitization to filmed portrayals and callousness toward victims in other contexts. Annual Meeting of the Society for Experimental Social Psychology, Snowbird, UT.



Linz, D., Donnerstein, E., & Penrod, S. (1984, August). Desensitization to violence against women. Annual Meeting of the American Psychological Association, Toronto.

Linz, D., & Penrod, S. (1983, October). The effects of prior attitudes, victim photographs, and victim coping on jury decision making in a rape case. American Psychology and Law Society Convention, Chicago.

Donnerstein, E., Linz, D., & Penrod, S. (1983, October). Mitigating the influence of mass media influenced rape related attitudes and behavior. American Psychology and Law Society Convention, Chicago.

Krafka, C., Linz, D., & Penrod, S. (1983, October). Factor analysis and further validation of the Rape Myth Acceptance and Rape Empathy Scales. American Psychology and Law Society Convention, Chicago.

Penrod, S., Linz, D., & Rios, P. (1983, June). Juror questions during trial: A courtroom experiment. Law and Society Annual Meeting, Denver, Colorado.

Linz, D., Penrod, S., Bream, J., & Baltaxe, D. (1983, May). Impact of photographs in the courtroom: Memory availability or emotional arousal? Midwestern Psychological Association annual meeting, Chicago.

Zimmerman, R. S., Linz, D., Leventhal, H., & Penrod, S. (1983, May). Symptoms, coping, and lay models of hypertension. Annual Meeting of the Midwestern Psychological Association, Chicago.

Penrod, S., & Linz, D. (1982, October). Voir dire: Uses and abuses. Annual Meeting of the Society for Experimental Social Psychology, Nashville, Indiana.

Penrod, S., & Linz, D. (1982, August). Pornography and harmful behavior: A comparative legal perspective. Annual Meeting of the American Psychological Association, Washington D.C.

Linz, D., Siverhus, S., & Penrod, S. (1982, August). Chronicity, causation, severity, responsibility and emotion: The structure of illness cognition. Annual Meeting of the American Psychological Association, Washington D.C.

Linz, D., & Penrod, S. (1982, August). Using psychological research on violent pornography to inform legal change. Annual Meeting of the American Psychological Association, Washington D.C.

Penrod, S., Donnerstein, E., & Linz, D. (1982, July). Scientific research on pornography and violence: The implications for American law. International Conference on Psychology and Law, Swansea, Wales.

Penrod, S., Linz, D., Coates, D., & Herzberg, S. (1982, July). The implications of social science research for trial practice attorneys. International Conference on Psychology and Law, Swansea, Wales.

Penrod, S., Coates, D., Linz, D., Heuer, L., Atkinson, M., & Herzberg, S. (1982, July). Using social science to improve criminal trial advocacy. 20th International Congress of Applied Psychology, Edinburgh, Scotland.

Penrod, S., Linz, D., & Leventhal, H. (1982, July). Assessing patient- physician communication difficulties: Pinpointing differences in conceptions of disease. 20th International Congress of Applied Psychology, Edinburgh, Scotland.

Linz, D., Penrod, S., & Leventhal, H. (1982, July). The cognitive organization of disease among lay persons. 20th International Congress of Applied Psychology, Edinburgh, Scotland.

Coates, D., Atkinson, M., Heuer, L., Linz, D., Herzberg, S., & Penrod, S. (1982, July). Attorney inferences about jurors' trial perceptions: Fact or fantasy? 20th International Congress of Applied Psychology, Edinburgh, Scotland.

Linz, D. (1982, June). Assessing courtroom performance from the perspective of the social science observer, the trial practice attorney, and the "jury box." Annual Meeting of the Law and Society Association, Toronto.

Linz, D., & Penrod, S. (1982, May). Emotional versus rational appeals in closing statements. Panel at Annual Meeting of the Midwestern Psychological Association, Minneapolis, MN.

Linz, D., Penrod, S., Coates, D., Atkinson, M., Heuer, L., & Herzberg, S. (1982, March). The use of experts in the courtroom: Attorney judgments of expert witness credibility. Annual Meeting of the Academy of Criminal Justice Sciences.

Penrod, S., Linz, D., & Donnerstein, E. (1982, March). Scientific research on pornography and violence: The legal context. Annual Meeting of the Academy of Criminal Justice Sciences.

Donnerstein, E., Linz, D., & Penrod, S. (1982, March). Scientific research on pornography and violence: The empirical findings. Annual Meeting of the Academy of Criminal Justice Sciences.

Linz, D., & Penrod, S. (1982, March). A meta-analysis of the influence of research methodology on the outcomes of jury simulation studies. Annual Meeting, Academy of Criminal Justice Sciences.



Linz, D., Slack, A., Kaiser, K., & Penrod, S. (1981, October). Meta-analysis of defendant characteristics studies. Biennial convention of the American Psychology and Law Society, Cambridge, MA.

Heberlein, T., Linz, D., & Ortiz, B. (1980, September). Satisfaction, knowledge and contentment of customers on a mandatory participation time-of-day electricity pricing experiment. International Conference on Consumer Behavior and Energy Use, Banff, Canada.

Linz, D. (1977, December). Transportation problems of the elderly. Meeting of the National Science Foundation, Washington, D.C.

#### Invited Addresses

Linz, D. (2010). Effects of Sexually Oriented Messages on Individuals and Communities: A History of Challenging Assumptions in the Courtroom. Annual Barnard College, John Jay, CUNY, joint psychology and law seminar.

Linz, D. (2007). Increasing graduate student diversity: Views from the admission committee level. The 2nd Social, Behavioral and Economic Sciences Alliances for Graduate Education and the Professoriate (SBES/AGEP) Meeting. University of California, Santa Barbara.

Linz, D. (2004). Can Justice be Served? An Analysis of Issues Surrounding the Kobe Bryant Sexual Assault Trial and Pretrial Publicity. UCSB Women's Center.

Linz, D. (June, 1998). Undergraduate and graduate education in law and society at UCSB. Workshop on Undergraduate and Graduate Education in Law and Society. Law and Society annual meeting, Aspen CO.

Linz, D. (October, 1997). Violence in the media. Summit '97: Celebrating Community, Pro-Youth Coalition of Santa Barbara County.

Linz, D. (September, 1996). Plenary Speaker: The National Television Violence Study, USA. Fourth Annual International Film Regulators Conference, London, England.

Linz, D. (September, 1996). Plenary Speaker: Violence and the internet. Fourth Annual International Film Regulators Conference, London, England.

Potter, J., Linz, D., Wilson, B.J., Kunkel, D., Donnerstein, E., Smith, S.L., Blumenthal, E. & Gray, T. (June, 1996). Content analysis of entertainment television: New methodological developments. Duke Conference on Media Violence and Public Policy.

Wilson, B.J., Potter, J., Linz, D., Donnerstein, E., Kunkel, D., Smith, S.L., Blumenthal, E. & Gray, T. (June, 1996). Content analysis of entertainment television: Results for 1994-5. Duke Conference on Media Violence and Public Policy.

Wilson, B.J., Donnerstein, E., Linz, D., Kunkel, D., Potter, J., Smith, S.L., Blumenthal, E. & Gray, T. (June, 1996). Content analysis of entertainment television: The importance of context. Duke Conference on Media Violence and Public Policy.

Kunkel, D., Wilson, B.J., Potter, J., Linz, D., Donnerstein, E., Smith, S.L., Blumenthal, E. & Gray, T. (June, 1996). Content analysis of entertainment television: Implications for public policy. Duke Conference on Media Violence and Public Policy.

Linz, D. (August, 1993). Presidential Mini Convention on Sex Love and Psychology. Invited Dialogue on Obscenity and Pornography. American Psychological Association Annual Meeting, Toronto, Canada.

Linz, D. (March, 1992). Plenary Speaker: Research report on the effects of exposure to sexually explicit and sexually violent materials on human behavior. Third Annual International Film Regulators Conference, London, England.

Linz, D. (April, 1990). Sexual Violence in the Mass Media and Social Policy. Invited Address, Western Psychological Association Annual Meeting, Los Angeles CA.

Linz, D. (January, 1990). Expert Testimony in Obscenity Cases. Invited Address, First Amendment Lawyers Association, Winter Meeting, San Diego, CA.

Linz, D. (February, 1989). Panel Discussion: Pornography and the Law. 16th Annual Conference of the Western Society of Criminology, Orange, CA.

Linz, D. (1988, June). The use and misuse of social science research in reports on pornography by the U.S. Attorney General and U.S. Surgeon General. Invited presentation. Symposium on Pornography Social Science and the Common Law, International Congress of Law and Mental Health. Montreal, Canada.

Donnerstein, E., & Linz, D. (1985, November). Mass media effects on desensitization of sexual violence. Invited symposium presentation. American Society of Criminology, San Diego.

Donnerstein, E., & Linz, D. (1985, September). Desensitization to sexual mass media violence. Invited symposium presentation, International Academy of Sex Research, Seattle, WA.

Linz, D. (1985, May). Pornography: New approaches and justifications for regulating. Presentation at the American Association for the Advancement of Science Annual Meeting, Los Angeles, CA.



Linz, D., & Donnerstein, E. (1985, April 17). Effects of sexual violence in the media: Recent research. Seminar at the Department of Criminal Justice, Indiana University, Bloomington, IN.

Linz, D. (1984, August). Sexual violence and the constitution. Paper presented at the Project '87 seminar "Individual Rights and the First Amendment." University of Illinois-Chicago Circle.

Linz, D. (1984, September). Research report to the international conference of film regulators. Presented at the International Conference of Film Regulators, Toronto, Canada.

Linz, D., & Donnerstein, E. (1984, November). Pornography and sexual violence in the media: Effects on attitudes and behavior. Presented at the Annual Meetings of the American Society of Criminology, Cincinnati, OH.

#### PROFESSIONAL SERVICE

Editorial Board of *Media Psycholog*.

Special Issue Editor: Content analysis. Sex Roles: A Journal of Research (2009-2010)

Consulting Editor: 1991-93 Journal of Research in Personality, Academic Press.

#### Journal Refereeing

2001-pres. Media Psychology  
1989-pres. Human Communication Research  
1988-pres. Journal of Personality and Social Psychology  
1987-pres. Journal of Communication  
1989-pres. Communication Research  
1986-pres. Journal of Research in Personality  
1988-pres. Journal of Sex Research  
1987-pres. Journal of Experimental Psychology  
1988-pres. Law and Human Behavior  
1990-pres. Journal of Broadcasting and Electronic Media  
1990-pres. Law and Society Review

#### Professional Memberships

International Communication Association (ICA)  
Law and Society Association  
American Psychology-Law Society (APA Division 41)  
Society for the Psychological Study of Social Issues (APA Division 9)

#### UNIVERSITY AND PUBLIC SERVICE

## Communication Department

1991-2 Graduate Advisor/ Chair-Graduate Committee  
 1990-4. Member Graduate Committee  
 2004 Chair-Search Committee Media Communication Position  
 2005-2008. Graduate Advisor/Chair-Graduate Committee  
 2001-pres. QMSS Department representative

## Graduate Students Supervised (Directed\*/Committee Member)

1. Ilene Arluk	M.A.* 1988	
2. Cynthia Felando	M.A. 1989	
3. Barbara Randall	M.A. 1989	
4. Diana Stroyls	M.A.* 1990	
5. Audrey Weiss	M.A. 1990	Ph.D. 1994
6. Britt Andreato	M.A.* 1991	
7. Susan Fox	M.A. 1991	Ph.D. 1994
8. Wangner Diaz	M.A. 1991	
9. James Harwood	M.A. 1992	Ph.D. 1994
10. Jay Eskenazi	M.A.* 1992	
11. Dorothy Imrich	M.A.* 1992	Ph.D.* 1998
12. Laura Jansma	M.A.* 1992	Ph.D. 1996
13. Charles Mullin	M.A.* 1992	Ph.D.* 1996
14. Laura Leets	M.A. 1992	Ph.D. 1995
15. Denise Filotas	M.A. 1993	
16. Amy Tendrich	M.A. 1993	
17. Xixia Han	M.A. 1993	
18. Travis Dixon	M.A.* 1994	Ph.D.* 1998
19. Timothy Gray	M.A. 1996	
20. Sunwolf	M.A. 1997	
21. Carrie Colvin	M.A. 1999	
22. Stacey Smith		Ph.D. 1999
23. Laura Zwarun		Ph.D.* 2002
24. Bryant Paul		Ph.D.* 2003
25. Carolyn Shepard		Ph.D. 2004
26. Joan O'Connor		Ph.D.* 2005
27. Mike Yao	M.A. 2003	Ph.D.* 2006
28. Chad Mahood		Ph.D.* 2006
29. Sahara Byrne	M.A. 2005	Ph.D.* 2007

(K. Kyoon Hur Dissertation Award – International Communication Association, Mass Communication Division. 2009, Chicago Illinois.) (Outstanding Dissertation of the Year – International Communication Association.



Instructional & Developmental Communication Division.  
Montreal, Quebec, 2008. )

30. Karyn Riddle	M.A. 2005	Ph.D. 2007
31. Emily Moyer		Ph.D. 2007
32. Rena Rudy	M.A.* 2006	Ph.D.* In prog.
44. Heidi Kane (Psychology)		Ph.D. 2009
38. Elisia Sim	M.A. 2009	
34. Lyudmila Popova	M.A.* 2008	Ph.D. 2010
35. Paul Kang	M.A.* 2008	
38. Elisia Sim	M.A. 2009	
39. Rebecca Speer	M.A. 2010	
40. Mary Danis		Ph.D. 2010
41. Grace Anderson	M.A. 2009	Ph.D. 2011
42. Abby Prestin	M.A. 2009	Ph.D. 2012
43. Jiyeon So		Ph.D. 2013
44. Christopher Seaman	M.A.* 2010	Ph.D.* 2014
45. Theresa De Los Santos	M.A. 2010	Ph.D. 2014
46. Ryan Lingsweiler		Ph.D. 2014
47. Ryan Medders		Ph.D. 2014
45. Douglas Bonilla	M.A. 2011	
46. Amber Westcott Baker		Ph.D. 2012
47. Cynthia Bates		Ph.D. 2012
49. Debra Bunyan (Psychology)		Ph.D. 2012
50. K.K. Holland	M.A. 2011	
51. Rebekah Pure		Ph.D. 2013
52. Andrew Zhang		Ph.D. 2013
53. Lauren Keblusek	M.A. 2014	
54. Michael Mangus	M.A. 2013	PhD. 2015
55. Richard Huskey	M.A. 2014	Ph.D. 2016
56. Alex Sink	M.A.	PhD. 2019.
57. Benjamin K. Smith		PhD. 2019.
58. Nicole Neda Zamanzadeh	M.A. 2016	
59. Audrey N. Abeyta	M.A. 2015	
60. Jennifer Suh	M.A. 2016	
61. Charlotte A. Rushforth	M.A. 2016	
62. Chelsea Lonergan	M.A. 2016	
63. Stephenson Whitestone		PhD. in prog.

#### Academic Senate Service

Member: UCSB Lancaster Dissertation Prize Committee, 2010.

Member: UCSB Graduate Council, 2009-pres.

Member: DIGSSS Fellowship Committee, 2006-pres.

Plous Award Committee, 2005, Chair, 2010.

Member: Human Subjects Review Board, 1990-pres.

Creation and Coordinating Committee Member: Quantitative Methods in Social Science, 1999-2001.

Advisory Board Member: Social Sciences Survey Center, 1999-2002.

#### Campus-wide Addresses

Linz, D (2007). Increasing graduate student diversity: Views from the admission committee level. The 2nd Social, Behavioral and Economic Sciences Alliances for Graduate Education and the Professoriate (SBES/AGEP) Meeting. University of California, Santa Barbara.

(March 2002). National Security vs. Personal Liberty, Invited Address and Debate Moderator, panelists Nadine Strossen and William H. Webster, University of California, Santa Barbara.

(Oct. 1991). Obscenity and pornography: Can the Social Scientist Evaluate Contemporary Community Standards. Chancellor's Council Mini-Symposium Series 1991-92.

Faculty Address (Sept, 1991): Chancellor's Convocation for New Students.

Linz, D (June, 1991). Free speech and sensitivity to ethnic diversity. Commencement address, University of California, Santa Barbara.

#### COMMUNITY SERVICE

##### Public Testimony/Governmental and Technical Reports

2010. Testimony before the Missouri General Assembly. SB 586, Regulation of Sexually Oriented Businesses Legislation Proposed by the State of Missouri Senate.

2009. Testimony before the Missouri General Assembly. HB 321, SB 226, Regulation of Sexually Oriented Businesses Legislation Proposed by the State of Missouri General Assembly.

2008. State of New Jersey 213th Legislature, Senate, no. 945 an act concerning the regulation of sexually oriented businesses.

2008. Testimony before the California State Assembly, AB 2014: Impose a tax on gross receipts, as defined, of an adult entertainment venue, as defined, in this state at a rate of 25%.

2007. Testimony before the Sarasota county commission, Florida ordinance no. 2007-100-a an ordinance of Sarasota county, Florida, relating to sexually oriented



businesses, with the provisions of this ordinance establishing licensing requirements and regulations for sexually oriented businesses.

Linz, D. (October 5, 2005). Testimony before the Judiciary Committee on Criminal Justice, The Ohio State Senate, Columbus, OH. Am. H.B. no. 23 “Would regulate adult entertainment establishments and permit townships to regulate such establishments.”

Linz D., Yao, M. and Paul, B. (May 16, 2004). Testing The Assumption That Adult Businesses Produce Adverse Secondary Effects in Ohio. Testimony before the General Assembly of the State of Ohio, Sub. H.B. No. 426

Linz, D. and Paul, B. (2002). Paper on the Applicability of the 1977 Los Angeles Secondary Effects Study as a Basis to Justify the Preclusion of “Multiple Use’ Adult Businesses, and an Analysis of More recently Conducted “Secondary Effects” Studies. Presented in support of the amicus curiae brief of the First Amendment Lawyers Association, in *The City of Los Angeles v. Alameda Books, Inc.* Supreme Court of the United States.

Participant in the Development of the Report (2001) “Violence in the Media and Its Effect on Youth Violence,” in: *Youth Violence: A report of the Surgeon General.* U.S. Department of Health and Human Services.

Linz, D. (1999). Paper on the “Secondary effects studies” relied upon by government bodies when enacting legislation to regulate “adult “ businesses. Presented in support of the amicus curiae brief of the First Amendment Lawyers Association, in *Erie PA v. Paps A.M.* Supreme Court of the United States.

Wilson, B.J., Kunkel, D., Linz, D., Potter, W.J., Donnerstein, E., Smith, S.L., Blumenthal, E.Y., & Colvin (1997). Implications of the National Television Violence Study’s content-based findings for evaluating the industry’s V-chip ratings. Report to the Federal Communications Commission (CS Docket 97-55).

Wilson, B.J., Kunkel, D., Linz, D., Potter, W.J., Donnerstein, E., Smith, S.L., Blumenthal, E.Y., & Grey, T.E. (1996). National Television Violence Study (1996). Violence in television programming overall: University of California, Santa Barbara. Scientific Papers: National Television Violence Study. Studio City, CA: Mediascope.

Wilson, B.J., Kunkel, D., Linz, D., Potter, W.J., Donnerstein, E., Smith, S.L., Blumenthal, E.Y., & Grey, T.E. (1996). Television violence and its context: A content analysis 1994-1995. Executive Summary: National television violence study. Studio City, CA: Mediascope.

Linz, D. (1993). The effects of exposure to sex and violence and the likely impact of a statewide law to allow victims of pornography to be compensated for injuries. Testimony before the California Senate Judiciary Committee, Sacramento CA.

Linz, D. (1993). The effects of exposure to sex and violence and the likely impact of a zoning ordinance for businesses selling sexually explicit material. Testimony before the City Council, Santa Maria, CA.

Linz, D. (1991). Recent research on sex and violence in the media. Presentation, The Santa Barbara Rotary Club.

Linz, D. (1991). Obscenity law and social science measurement of community standards. Presentation, Santa Barbara Women Lawyers, Santa Barbara, CA.

Donnerstein E. & Linz, D. (1990). Evidence on the Causal Connection Between Exposure to Penthouse Magazine and Anti-social Conduct. Testimony before the Indecent Publications Tribunal, Wellington, New Zealand.

Linz, D. (1990). The effects of sexual violence in the mass media. Testimony before the Senate Judiciary Committee on California Senate Joint Resolution No. 65--"Relative to television violence".

Donnerstein, E., Wilson, B., & Linz, D. (1990). Review of social science research on the effects of sexually indecent materials. Enforcement of Prohibitions Against Broadcast Indecency in 18 U.S.C. § 1464, MM Docket No.89-494.

Linz, D. (November, 1989). The effects of exposure to pornography on attitudes about rape and rape victims. Testimony on proposed obscenity legislation before the Michigan House of Representatives and Senate.

Linz, D. (1988, November). The effects of media violence on children. Testimony before the California Legislature Senate Select Committee on Children and Youth. Riverside California.

Donnerstein, E., & Linz, D. (1986, June). Techniques designed to mitigate the impact of mass media sexual violence on adolescents and adults. Invited symposium presentation. Surgeon General's Workshop on Pornography and Public Health, Washington. In The Report of the Surgeon General's Workshop on Pornography and Public Health. Washington, DC: US Public Health Service, 1986.

Donnerstein, E., & Linz, D. (1985, Sept.). Presentation to the US Attorney General's Commission on Pornography, Houston, TX.



Linz, D., Donnerstein, E., & Penrod, S. (1985, January). Pornography, sexual aggression and the law. Presentation at Statewide Prosecutor Education and Training program, and meeting of the Wisconsin District Attorneys Association, Madison, WI.

Linz, D. (1984, October 16). Violent media imagery and violence against women. Sensitive Crimes Seminar, City of Madison Police Department, Madison, WI.

Linz, D. (1984, July). The relationship between pornography and violence. Testimony before the Illinois Commission on the Status of women on. Chicago.

Linz, D. (1984, February). Civil litigation and victims of violent pornography. Symposium on Media Violence and Pornography, Toronto, Canada.

Donnerstein, E., & Linz, D. (1984, January). New directions in research on violence in the media. Paper presented at the Symposium on TV Violence, National Coalition on Televised Violence, American Medical Association, Washington D.C.

Linz, D. (1982, April). Applying psychological research to the courtroom. State Bar of Wisconsin Annual Meeting, Madison, WI.

List of Federal and State Court Cases in which Daniel Linz has Testified

*Johnson v. County of Los Angeles Fire Dep't*, 865 F. Supp. 1430 (C.D. Cal. 1994).

*Deja Vu of Nashville, Inc. et al. v. Metropolitan Government of Nashville and Davidson County, et al.*, United States District Court for the Middle District of Tennessee, Nashville Division.

*Nite Moves Entertainment Inc. v. City of Boise*, United States District Court for the District of Idaho.

*State of Florida v. Calderon et al.*, County Court of the Thirteenth Judicial Circuit in and for Hillsborough County, Florida.

*Nightlife Partners, LTD; et al. v. City of Beverly Hills*, United States District Court, Central District of California.

*Kentucky Restaurant Concepts, Inc. v. The City of Louisville*, Jefferson County Kentucky in the United States District Court, Western District of Kentucky at Louisville.

*Route 62 video and Books, Inc., v. City of Alliance*, in the United States District Court for the Northern District of Ohio Eastern Division.

*J.R's Kitty Kat Lounge, Inc. v. City of South Bend*, in the St Joseph Superior Court, St Joseph County Indiana.

*R.V.S., LLC v. City of Rockford*, United States District Court, Northern District of Illinois, Western Division.

*Kentucky Restaurant Concepts, Inc. v. Louisville Jefferson County Metro Government*, Jefferson County Circuit Court, Division Six.

*Atlantic Enterprises v. The Mayor and Borough of Carlstadt*, Superior Court of New Jersey, Bergen County Vicinage.

*Washington Retailment, Inc. v. City of Centralia*, U.S. District Court Case NO. C03-5137.

*J.L. Spoons Inc. et al., v. Kenneth Morckel et al.*, U.S. District Court, Northern District of Ohio.

*Annex Books, et al. v. City of Indianapolis*, Case No. 03 CV 00918, United States District Court. Southern District of Indiana.

*Pennsylvania Pride Inc. v. Township of Southampton*, Case No. 2000-3181, United States District Court.

*Giovani Carandola, Ltd., et al. v. Ann Scott Fulton, et al.*, Case No. 1:01CV115, United States District Court.

*New Albany DVD, LLC, Plaintiff, vs. City of New Albany, Indiana, Defendant*. United States District Court Southern District of Indiana New Albany Division. 4:04-cv-00052-SEB-WGH.

*Daytona Grand, inc. d/b/a Lollipop's Gentlemen's Club, a Florida corporation, Miles Weiss and John Doe, plaintiffs, -vs- City of Daytona Beach, Florida, a municipal corporation, defendant*. United States District Court Middle District of Florida Orlando Division. Case no. 6:02-cv-1469-orl-28krs

22ND AVENUE STATION, INC., a Minnesota corporation, Plaintiff, v. City of MINNEAPOLIS, a municipal corporation, Defendant. United States District Court, District of Minnesota: 06-cv-00495-MJD-AJB.

VIP OF BERLIN, LLC v. THE TOWN OF BERLIN, CONNECTICUT, a Municipal Corporation; and HERMAN MIDDLEBROOKS, JR. in his official capacity as Town Manager for the Town of Berlin, Connecticut NO.: 3:06CV01811 (SRU) APRIL 17, 2007.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO  
EASTERN DIVISION. 84 VIDEO/NEWSSTAND, INC., *et al.*, Plaintiffs v. THOMAS SARTINI, *et al.*, Case No.: 1:07 CV 3190



UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
ALAMEDA BOOKS, INC.; et al., Plaintiff v. CITY OF LOS ANGELES, Case No. CV  
95-07771 DDP (CTx)

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF NEW YORK.  
FOR THE PEOPLE THEATRES OF N.Y., INC. d/b/a FAIR THEATRE and JGJ  
MERCHANDISE CORP., d/b/a VISHANS VIDEO a/k/a MIXED EMOTIONS,  
Plaintiffs, -against- THE CITY OF NEW YORK; HON. MICHAEL R. BLOOMBERG,  
as Mayor of the City of New York; AMANDA M. BURDEN, as Director of City  
Planning, Department of City Planning of the City of New York, and PATRICIA J.  
LANCASTER, as Commissioner of Buildings, Department of Buildings of the City of  
New York, Defendants. Index No: 121080/02.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF KANSAS.  
Abilene Retail # 30, Inc., Plaintiff, vs. Board of Commissioners of Dickinson County,  
Kansas, et al., Defendants. Case No. 2:04-CV-2330.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF  
VIRGINIA Richmond Division , IMAGINARY IMAGES, INC. dba PAPER MOON, *et*  
*al.*, Plaintiffs, v. Civil No.: 2:08cv398 PAMELA O'BERRY EVANS, *et al.*, Defendants.

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF NEW YORK.  
TEN'S CABARET, INC. f/k/a Stringfellow's of New York, Ltd. PUSSYCAT LOUNGE,  
INC., d/b/a/ "Pussycat Lounge; CHURCH STREET CAFÉ INC., d/b/a "Baby Doll";  
69-20 QUEENS BLVD. INC., d/b/a "Nickels." Plaintiffs, Index No. 121197/02 vs. THE  
CITY OF NEW YORK; MAYOR MICHAEL BLOOMBERG, as Mayor, etc., et al.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF  
TENNESSEE WESTERN DIVISION, ENTERTAINMENT PRODUCTIONS, INC., a  
Tennessee Corporation, d/b/a Christie's Cabaret, et al., Plaintiffs, v. SHELBY COUNTY,  
TENNESSEE et al. Defendants.

#### PERSONAL REFERENCES

Dr. Edward Donnerstein, Dean, Division of Social Sciences  
University of Arizona.

Dr. Neil Malamuth, Chair  
Department of Speech and Communication Studies Program, University of California,  
Los Angeles, CA 90026

Dr. Steven Penrod, Distinguished Professor of Psychology,  
John Jay School of Criminal Justice. New York, New York.

EXHIBIT 2: Peer reviewed articles



---

## **An Examination of the Assumption that Adult Businesses Are Associated with Crime in Surrounding Areas: A Secondary Effects Study in Charlotte, North Carolina**

---

Daniel Linz  
Kenneth C. Land  
Jay R. Williams

Bryant Paul  
Michael E. Ezell

Recent Supreme Court decisions have signaled the need for sound empirical studies of the secondary effects of adult businesses on the surrounding areas for use in conjunction with local zoning restrictions. This study seeks to determine whether a relationship exists between adult erotic dance clubs and negative secondary effects in the form of increased numbers of crimes reported in the areas surrounding the adult businesses, in Charlotte, North Carolina. For each of 20 businesses, a control site (matched on the basis of demographic characteristics related to crime risk) is compared for crime events over the period of three years (1998–2000) using data on crime incidents reported to the police. We find that the presence of an adult nightclub does not increase the number of crime incidents reported in localized areas surrounding the club (defined by circular areas of 500- and 1,000-foot radii) as compared to the number of crime incidents reported in comparable localized areas that do not contain such an adult business. Indeed, the analyses imply the opposite, namely, that the nearby areas surrounding the adult business sites have smaller numbers of reported crime incidents than do corresponding areas surrounding the three control sites studied. These findings are interpreted in terms of the business mandates of profitability and continuity of existence of the businesses.

### **Introduction**

**I**n a 1977 ABC News Special entitled *Sex for Sale: The Urban Battleground*, Howard K. Smith concluded a segment with the following:

---

We thank John Couchell, Assistant Director, Strategic Planning & Analysis, Charlotte-Mecklenburg Police Department for providing the data analyzed in this study and for helpful advice. Any inadequacies of analysis or errors of interpretation are, however, solely the responsibility of the authors of this article. Address all correspondence to Daniel Linz, Professor, Department of Communication and the Law and Society Program, University of California, Santa Barbara, CA 93106; e-mail: linz@comm.ucsb.edu.

Commercial sex is often called a victimless crime. We have shown that a glomeration of sex businesses, in fact, have many victims. Residents move out of the areas from fear, customers desert legitimate shops which have to sell out at a loss. City dwellers are victimized by having to pay more taxes to make up for the areas that are in arrears because of sex businesses. In the spreading decay, muggers, dope pushers move in. It's harder to spot their crimes in a general sea of rot. Police and courts tend to give up. Civilization living by rules moves out and we're all victims. Better solutions may emerge, but for now the Detroit plan is the best in sight. Leave aside individual arrests for obscenity, which the law seems to have an impossible time defining. Pass a zoning law allowing no sex-related establishment or service to exist within three blocks, say, of any other. Let none become the nucleus for a cancerous spread.

In the summer of 1976, the city of Detroit, Michigan introduced zoning laws designed to break up the concentrated areas containing sex-related "adult" businesses.<sup>1</sup> The assumption driving the dispersion of concentrated adult businesses was the presumed negative "secondary effects" of these businesses on the surrounding neighborhood. Enthusiasm for the Detroit zoning approach quickly spread to other cities.

This diffusion of the Detroit zoning approach throughout the nation over the last 25 years has produced a continuing history of constitutional litigation. Since 1976, the Supreme Court has decided a series of cases focusing on whether the free speech clause of the First Amendment allows cities and states to enact legislation controlling the location of adult businesses on the basis of presumed negative secondary effects.<sup>2</sup>

### **The Court's Presumption of Adverse Secondary Effects**

The rationale for the secondary effects doctrine was most completely laid out in *Renton v. Playtime Theatres, Inc.*, in 1986. In *Renton*, the Supreme Court considered the validity of a Renton municipal ordinance that prohibited any adult theater from locating within 1,000 feet of any residential zone, family dwelling, church, park, or school. The Court's analysis of the ordinance proceeded in three steps. First, the Court found that the Renton ordinance did not ban adult theaters altogether, but merely required that they be a certain distance from so-called "sensitive locations." The ordinance, the Court said, was properly considered

---

<sup>1</sup> "Adult" or "adult-oriented" or "sex-related" businesses may include pornography stores, massage parlors, and topless or nude dance nightclubs. In the present study, the adult businesses studied are topless nightclubs, also known as "gentlemen's clubs."

<sup>2</sup> See, e.g., *Young v. American Mini Theatres, Inc.*, 427 U.S. 50 (1976); *City of Renton v. Playtime Theatres Inc.*, 475 U.S. 41 (1986).



to be a time, place, and manner regulation. The Court next considered whether the ordinance was content neutral or content based. If the regulation were content based, it would be considered presumptively invalid and subject to the “strict scrutiny” standard. The Court held, however, that the ordinance was not aimed at the content of the films shown at adult theaters, but rather at the secondary effects of such theaters on the surrounding community, namely at crime rates, property values, and the quality of the city’s neighborhoods. Given this finding, the Court stated that the ordinance would be upheld as long as the city of Renton showed that its ordinance was designed to serve a substantial government interest such as a reducing crime rates or maintaining property values.

Further, in *Renton* the Court stated, for the first time, that a city interested in restricting the operation of adult businesses was not required to show adverse impact from operation of adult theaters in its own community if no data on adverse impacts existed, but could instead rely on findings of impacts from other cities as a rationale for supporting passage of an ordinance. The Court ruled that Renton could rely on the experiences of and studies produced by the nearby city of Seattle as evidence of a relationship between adult uses and negative secondary effects. Thus, the Court ruled that the First Amendment does not require a city to conduct new studies or produce new evidence before enacting an ordinance, as long as the evidence relied upon is reasonably believed to be relevant to the problem the city faces.

Since *Renton*, a number of cities, counties, and states have undertaken investigations designed to establish the presence of such secondary effects and their connection to adult facilities. These studies have, in turn, been shared with other municipalities and generally serve as the basis for claims that adult entertainment establishments are causally related to harmful secondary side effects, such as increased crime and decreases in property values. Many local governments have relied on this body of information as evidence of the secondary effects of adult businesses. Further, in most cases, cities and other governmental agencies have used the findings of a core set of studies from other locales as a rationale for instituting regulation of such businesses in their own communities.

In more recent years, the Court has considered the constitutionality of anti-nudity ordinances passed by municipalities or states that have relied on negative secondary effects to justify the legislation.<sup>3</sup> In a fractured decision issued in 1991, the Court in *Barnes v. Glens Theatre Inc.* held that the state of Indiana could

---

<sup>3</sup> See e.g., *Barnes v. Glens Theatre Inc.*, 501 U.S. 560 (1991); *City of Erie v. Pap’s A.M.*, 529 U.S. 277 (2000).

regulate public nudity.<sup>4</sup> Justice Souter in a concurring opinion ruled that the government could undertake such regulation on the basis of the *presumed* negative secondary effects on the surrounding community.<sup>5</sup>

In the 2000 decision *City of Erie v. Pap's A.M.*, the Court again held that municipalities have the right to pass anti-nudity ordinances on the assumption that nudity is associated with adverse secondary effects such as crime.<sup>6</sup> Again, the Court was fractured; however, three justices agreed with Justice O'Connor's opinion that in conformity with Justice Souter's concurrence in *Barnes*, combating negative secondary effects associated with adult businesses was a legitimate basis for the imposition of anti-nudity regulations.

Most notable for our purposes, however, was Justice Souter's partial concurrence and partial dissent in the *Pap's* decision. He significantly revised the position he took regarding the assumption of secondary effects in *Barnes*. In *Pap's*, Justice Souter said he was now of the opinion that the evidence of a relationship between adult businesses and negative secondary effects is at best inconclusive.<sup>7</sup> He called into question the reliability of past studies that purported to demonstrate these effects and suggested that municipalities wishing to ban nudity must show evidence of a relationship between adult businesses and negative effects.<sup>8</sup>

Most recently (2002) Justice O'Connor, joined by the Chief Justice, Justice Scalia, and Justice Thomas (with Justice Kennedy's concurrence) concluded that the city of Los Angeles may reasonably rely on its 1977 study to demonstrate that its present ban on multiple-use establishments serves the city's interest in reducing crime. In *City of Los Angeles v. Alameda Books, Inc., et al.*, the Court maintained that it was "reasonable for Los Angeles to suppose that a concentration of adult establishments is correlated with high crime rates because a concentration of operations in one locale draws, for example, a greater concentration of adult consumers to the neighborhood, and a high density of such consumers either attracts or generates criminal activity." Justice Kennedy, whose opinion may be the controlling one in the case, reiterated the assumption that adult businesses cause negative secondary effects. In his opinion in *Alameda* he asserts, "municipal governments know that high concentrations of adult businesses can damage the value and integrity of a neighborhood. The damage is measurable; it is

---

<sup>4</sup> *Barnes v. Glens Theatre Inc.*, 501 U.S. 560 (1991) (hereinafter *Barnes*).

<sup>5</sup> As will be discussed in depth below, restrictions on erotic dance have typically included requiring dancers to wear at least pasties and a G-string when performing.

<sup>6</sup> *City of Erie v. Pap's A.M.*, 529 U.S. 277 (2000) (hereinafter *Pap's*).

<sup>7</sup> *Id.* at 6-7 (Souter, D. concurring in part dissenting in part).

<sup>8</sup> *Id.* at 5 n.3.



all too real.” The Court held that a municipality may rely on any evidence that is reasonably believed to be relevant for demonstrating a connection between speech and a substantial, independent government interest.

However, the plurality added an important methodological caveat concerning the evidence necessary to validate the assumption that adult businesses cause secondary effects. The Court warned:

“This is not to say that a municipality can get away with shoddy data or reasoning. The municipality’s evidence must fairly support its rationale for its ordinance. If plaintiffs fail to cast direct doubt on this rationale, either by demonstrating that the municipality’s evidence does not support its rationale or by furnishing evidence that disputes the municipality’s factual findings, the municipality meets the *Renton* standard. If plaintiffs succeed in casting doubt on a municipality’s rationale in either manner, the burden shifts back to the municipality to supplement the record with evidence renewing support for a theory that justifies its ordinance.”

### **Empirically Testing the Assumption of Secondary Effects from Adult Businesses**

Justice Souter, joined by Justice Ginsburg, Justice Breyer, and Justice Stevens, took the admonishment by the plurality in *Alameda* that municipalities cannot rely on methodologically frail demonstrations of secondary effects a step further. Justice Souter faulted the city of Los Angeles because the city did not demonstrate that its theory that regulation requiring adult establishments disburse and be operated as free standing businesses will reduce crime. Justice Souter asked the city to demonstrate, not merely by appeal to common sense *but also with empirical data*, that adult businesses are associated with crime and that its ordinance will successfully lower crime.

In fact, Justice Souter claims that the only way to avoid a zoning ordinance such as that passed in Los Angeles from being unconstitutional due to the lack of content neutrality, a requirement set out in *Renton*, is to conduct empirical evaluations of whether such effects, assumed in the past, actually exist. He notes in *Alameda*:

“(the) risk of viewpoint discrimination is subject to a relatively simple safeguard, however. If combating secondary effects of property devaluation and crime is truly the reason for regulation, it is possible to show by empirical evidence that the effects exist, that they are caused by the expressive activity subject to zoning, and that the zoning can be expected either to ameliorate them or to enhance the capacity of the government to combat them . . . ”

### The Present Study

The first purpose of the present study is to conduct the type of empirical study demanded by Justice Souter and noted to be relevant by Justice O'Connor and the other justices in *Pap's*. Also, it is designed to avoid the collection of “shoddy data” or the use of (shoddy) “reasoning” as demanded in *Alameda Books*, in order to determine if a relationship exists between adult businesses and negative secondary effects, or whether, as Justice Souter has contended, such a relationship must not be assumed. Further, this evidence is obtained in accordance with established methodological procedures so as to insure a high level of scientific reliability.

Past studies claim to have found crime but lack the essential methodological features necessary to validly make such a claim. Paul, Linz, and Shafer (2001) found numerous problems among the most frequently cited studies by communities across the United States. For example, the Indianapolis, Indiana study (1986) failed to properly match study and control areas on variables, the Phoenix, Arizona study (1979) relied on crime data collected for only a one-year period, and in the Los Angeles study (1977) authors admitted that the police stepped up surveillance of adult businesses during the study period. Each of these methodological problems severely limits the utility of these studies.<sup>9</sup>

---

<sup>9</sup> As we noted above, the Court in *Alameda* warned that a municipality cannot get away with shoddy data or reasoning. The municipality's evidence must fairly support its rationale for its ordinance. What methodological features of an inquiry may prevent the collection of reliable data and sound reasoning concerning secondary effects? Unfortunately, when municipalities have conducted studies of crime and adult businesses in the past, there has not been a set of methodological criteria or minimum scientific standards to which the cities were required to adhere. Paul, Linz, and Shafer (2001) have argued that, without such standards, most cities that have passed legislation are relying on methodologically flawed data and research.

The basic requirements for the acceptance of scientific evidence for legal decision making were prescribed by the Supreme Court in the 1993 case of *Daubert v. Merrell Dow*, 509 U.S. 579 (1993). In *Daubert*, Justice Blackmun, writing for the Court, held that there are certain limits on the admissibility of scientific evidence offered by “expert witnesses” in federal courts. In an attempt to prevent the proliferation in courtrooms of what Peter Huber has called “junk science” and what the Supreme Court is now calling “shoddy data or reasoning,” the Supreme Court in *Daubert* opined that scientific knowledge must be grounded “in the methods and procedures of science,” and must be based on more than “subjective belief or unsupported speculation.” Thus, the Court said, “the requirement that an expert's testimony pertain to ‘scientific knowledge’ establishes a standard of evidentiary reliability.” The Court observed that “[i]n a case involving scientific evidence, evidentiary reliability will be based upon scientific validity.”

Offering “some general observations” as to how this connection can be made, the Court provided a list of factors that federal judges could consider in ruling on a proffer of expert scientific testimony: (1) the “key question” is whether the theory or technique under scrutiny is testable, borrowing Karl Popper's notion of falsifiability (Popper 1959); (2) although publication was not an absolute essential, the Court noted that peer review and publication increased “the likelihood that substantive flaws in methodology will be detected”; (3) an error rate or estimate of the probability that empirical relationships are due to chance should be calculated; (4) adherence to professional standards in using the



More recently, Linz and Paul (2002) have undertaken an examination of adult cabarets in the city of Fort Wayne, Indiana, that serve alcoholic beverages and that provide exotic entertainment wherein dancers are required to wear pasties and G-strings. Unlike previous studies conducted in other municipalities, specific

---

technique in question; and (5) finally, though not the sole or even the primary test, general acceptance could “have a bearing on the inquiry.”

In the present study, we specifically consider the impact of adult dance clubs on the occurrence of crimes reported to the police. We will limit our discussion of acceptable scientific procedures to those necessary to insure the proper implementation of such a crime study. Three criteria are crucial in insuring that a scientifically valid study of secondary crime effects has been conducted, as follows. First, in order to insure accurate and fair comparisons, a control area must be selected that is truly “equivalent” to the area containing the adult dance entertainment business(es) (cf. Campbell & Stanley 1963: 34; Babbie 1999: 240). Because most analyses of secondary effects attempt to uncover increases in crime, professional standards dictate that the control (non-adult dance) site must be comparable (matched) with the study (adult dance) site on variables related to crime. Of particular importance are that the study and control areas are matched for ethnicity and socioeconomic status of individuals in both areas. A concerted effort should also be made to include only comparison areas with similar real estate market characteristics such as proportion of commercial and industrial space in either area. The study and control areas should also be approximately equal in total population. Finally, because of the effect of businesses that serve alcoholic beverages on neighborhood deterioration and crime (Roncek & Maier 1991), the study and control areas should be matched on the presence of alcohol-serving establishments. The reasons for these concerns are discussed later in this article. In summary, “quasi-experimental” studies employ a test group or area and a matched control group or area. The most important consideration in such a design is whether the comparison group or control group are well matched.

Second, a sufficient period of elapsed time following the establishment of an adult dance entertainment business is necessary when compiling crime data in order to ensure that the study is not merely detecting an erratic pattern of social activity. Generally, the longer the time period for observation of the events under consideration, the more stable (and more valid) the estimates of the event’s effects tend to be (cf. Singleton, Straits, & Straits 1993: 213–41).

Third, the crime rate must be measured according to the same valid source for all areas considered (Campbell & Stanley 1963: 59). Studies of secondary effects typically focus on two general types of crime in relation to adult dance entertainment businesses. These two types of crime are “general criminal activity” (including, but not limited to, robbery, theft, assault, disorderly conduct, and breaking and entering) and “crimes of a sexual nature” (including, but not limited to, rape, prostitution, child molestation, and indecent public exposure). It is especially important that the measurement of these crimes is based on the same information source for both sites and throughout the entire study period. For example, if the study area measures crime by the number and type of calls made to the police department, the comparison area must also rely on such a measure when the two areas are compared.

In addition, the crime information source must be factually valid and reliable, such as a daily log kept by police, or a compilation of the number of calls for service made in a municipality recorded by street address or similar geographical locators. Any change in police surveillance techniques regarding adult dance entertainment businesses in a particular community must also be noted. Obviously, increased surveillance of an area simply because an adult dance club is located there will have an impact on the amount of crime detected by the police. If increased police surveillance and the presence of an adult dance club in a particular area are confounded in this way, it is impossible to tell whether crime has increased due to the presence of the club or simply because of the increased police activity. Finally, an error rate must be calculated. The error rate is the degree of chance a scientist will allow. In the social sciences, it is conventional to set the error rate at 5% or less (i.e., we will tolerate an error rate that says that up to 5 times out of 100 the results may be obtained by chance).

attention was given to developing an empirical approach that fulfilled the requirements set out by the Supreme Court for the proper conduct of a social scientific inquiry. A 1000-foot circumference surrounding each of eight exotic dance nightclubs in Fort Wayne was established. Comparison areas were selected in Fort Wayne and matched to the club areas on the basis of demographic features associated with crime and commercial property composition. The number of calls to the police from 1997 to 2000 in the areas surrounding the exotic dance nightclubs was compared to the number of calls found in the matched comparison areas. The analysis showed little difference, overall, between the total number of calls to the police reported in the areas containing the exotic dance nightclubs and the total number of offenses reported in the comparison areas.

The present study is also informed by two related bodies of thought about crime and place, social disorganization theory, and routine activity/crime opportunity theory. The second purpose of this study is to examine the impact of adult businesses in a local community in light of these perspectives. These approaches point to variables that predict the frequency and location of criminal activity in a community. This set of ideas is also especially relevant here, first, because they are the implicit theories employed by municipalities addressing the problem of adverse secondary effects, second, because they suggest a number of other variables, predictive of crime events, that must be considered as control variables in any study of the impact of adult businesses on crime, and finally, because these variables have been successful as predictors of crime events.

### **Routine Activities/Crime Opportunity Theory**

While perhaps not the ideal forum for the examination of criminological theory, investigating the secondary effects of adult bars as a stimulus for crime addresses a current and pressing legal policy issue. City planners and other representatives of local governmental bodies have explicitly claimed that adult businesses are associated with crime and disorder and have theorized that the presence of an adult business in a localized area increases the concurrence of offenders motivated to commit crimes together with suitable targets for the crimes.

In Phoenix, for example, the city adopted a zoning ordinance whose restriction of adult business to within 500 or 1000 feet of sensitive land uses such as churches, schools, and daycare centers is predicated on the idea that the presence of adult businesses attracts persons who will engage in crime. The Phoenix ordinance was based on the theory that there are direct impacts that uniquely



relate to this class of land use. In fact, the city planners in Phoenix asked: “are the crime impacts . . . directly related to the adult businesses being there, or to some other societal variables in the neighborhood?” Realizing that these other societal variables need to be controlled for, the Phoenix planners undertook an empirical study in which they considered adult land uses and negative secondary effects in light of other variables related to crime such as number of residents, median family income, percentage of non-white population, percentage of dwelling units built since 1950, and percentage of acreage used residentially and non-residentially (Planning Department of Phoenix 1979: 4).

More formal expressions of how certain societal factors that may be related to the commission of crime have come from criminologists propounding routine activities/crime opportunity theory (Cohen & Felson 1979; Cohen, Kluegel, & Land 1981). This approach begins by noting that, in order for a predatory crime (e.g., robbery) to occur, there must be a concurrence in space and time of (1) a motivated offender, (2) a suitable target, and (3) an absence of a guardian that is capable of preventing the crime. This theory then focuses on how changes in the time and space of how people order their lives can change the opportunity structure for crime and thus affect crime rates and rates of criminal victimization—even in the absence of an increase in the structural or psychological factors that produce increases in the number of motivated offenders.

Routine activities/crime opportunity theory has been quite successful in empirical tests (see, e.g., Miethe & Meier 1994). This theory also has been used to guide research by criminologists on so-called hot spots or locations in urban areas that attract large numbers of crime incidents (see, e.g., Roncek & Maier 1991; Smith, Frazee, & Davison 2000). Researchers have found that perpetrators of street crime such as robbery commit their crimes proximate to where they live, on face blocks with which they are familiar or which they traverse in their routine activities.

This approach suggests a number of variables that must be considered in any investigation of the relationship of crime events to adult businesses in a community. It is necessary to control for population size, because, all else being equal, blocks with many people may have more potential crime victims than do face blocks with few people. Somewhat surprisingly, however, the population control variable is often found to be negatively associated with the number of crimes such as street robberies, suggesting that robbers tend to target victims where fewer people reside, and perhaps where fewer witnesses are likely.

In addition, it is necessary to control for neighborhood business and housing characteristics such as multiple apartments, or even

multiple buildings at a given address under the assumption that, all else being equal, the more places, the more likely a robbery victimization will occur on a face block. Higher levels of crime tend to plague places with certain types of facilities and not others. In some cases, for example, crimes seem to be elevated by a target-rich environment—for example, thefts of 24-hour convenience stores, auto thefts from large parking lots, or robberies from shoppers in heavily frequented commercial areas (Engstad 1975; Duffala 1976). The presence of bars, restaurants, and gas stations identifies blocks that might be particularly attractive for potential offenders because of easy accessibility and the presence of people carrying cash, often under the influence of alcohol (Roncek & Maier 1991; Sherman et al. 1989; Stark 1987). The number of other commercial places, such as business offices, industrial buildings, and warehouse facilities on a block is also important in predicting crime events.

Specific land uses are not only important in themselves but also operate in interaction with variables indicative of social disorganization in determining the risk of crime. Variables that have been investigated and been found to be most important as predictors of crime activity include measures of racial composition (number of African Americans and racial heterogeneity), family structure (as measured by number of single-parent households), economic composition (as measured by family income), and the presence of motivated offenders including males between the ages of 18 and 25 (Miethe & McDowall 1993). These social disorganization variables have been examined on the basis of the assumption that a local area's population age structure (especially the presence of young adults) and its race/ethnic composition can affect both the size of the pool of motivated crime offenders and the presence of suitable targets for predatory crimes (see, e.g., Miethe & Meier 1994).

Similarly, the socioeconomic status of individuals in a local area can affect both the prevalence of motivated offenders and crime targets. For example, Cohen, Gorr, and Olligschlaeger (1993) found that crime hot spots tended to be in areas with higher levels of poverty or low income, and were likewise associated with low family cohesion—an indication of the prevalence of both motivated offenders and crime targets.

### **Research Question**

Once variables known to be related to crime events suggested by social disorganization and routine activities theories have been taken into account we may ask: does the presence of an adult business in a localized area increase the concurrence in space and time of offenders motivated to commit crimes together with suitable targets for the crimes in the absence of guardians capable of preventing or deterring the crimes?



The site for the present study was Charlotte, North Carolina. For each adult topless dance club in that community, a control site (matched on the basis of demographic characteristics related to crime risk) is compared for crime events over the period of three years (1998–2000) using data on crime incidents reported to the police. This research is designed to address the questions of whether and to what extent the adult dance clubs contribute to community disorder—that is, increased crime in neighborhoods—compared to their control neighborhoods that do not have adult dance clubs.

## **Data and Methods**

### **Establishing Matched Comparison Locations**

Twenty topless adult nightclubs in Charlotte, North Carolina are the focal points of the present study. It was not possible to randomly assign units of analysis to an experimental group and a control group to perform a “true” experiment to test the hypothesis that adult nightclubs in Charlotte engender negative effects. Instead, a “quasi-experiment” was conducted in which matched “control” areas were found and compared to “test” areas containing the adult business. In order to insure accurate and fair comparisons, a control area must be selected that is as “equivalent” as possible to the area containing the adult entertainment business(es).

The main hypothesis to be tested in the present study is that the presence of an adult nightclub increases the number of crime incidents reported in localized areas surrounding the club as compared to the number of crime incidents reported in comparable localized areas that do not contain an adult nightclub. In order to test this hypothesis, suitable control (non-adult nightclub) sites must be chosen that are comparable (matched) to the test (adult nightclub) sites on key demographic and other variables that are generally regarded as being related to the incidence of crime.

In order to insure that the research reported here utilized appropriately “matched” adult nightclub (test) and non-club (control) areas, a crime-mapping approach was utilized. Two radii—500 feet and 1,000 feet—were used to identify circular perimeters surrounding each of 20 adult nightclubs in Charlotte. These distances were chosen because they represent the city’s presumptions about negative secondary effects. The Charlotte city code, as is the case for hundreds of municipalities across the United States, mandates that adult establishments be no closer than 1000 feet from churches, schools, daycare centers, and other sensitive land uses. Other cities such as New York specify distances of 500 feet.

Comparison areas or control sites (census block groups) of physical size roughly comparable to the areas containing the adult nightclubs, each with 500 and 1000 feet in radius, were selected that matched the adult nightclub areas on the basis of several of the variables known to be related to the risk of crime victimization (on the basis of social disorganization and routine activities theory as reviewed above) and by further informally attempting to equate areas on the basis of commercial property composition. Additional variables were also taken into consideration in order to rule out alternative explanations but were not formally considered for matching purposes.

The following variables were used for the selection of control sites: total population size (1997), percentage of households that are female headed, percentage of the population that is African-American, percentage of the population aged 18–29, percentage of the adult population that is divorced, and median household income. Each of these variables was identified at the U.S. Census block group level. Properly “matching” the subject and control areas is critical in order to insure that the results we obtain can be ascribed to the presence or absence of (in this case) an adult nightclub, and not to some other irrelevant factor.

In addition, although not formally matched on these variables beforehand, measurements were taken of traffic patterns and number of businesses and commercial properties in the areas immediately surrounding the adult and control sites.<sup>10</sup> Traffic patterns may be important to consider because they are an indication of the number of people moving through an area both suitable as targets and as perpetrators of crime. Business composition is important because of the effect of the number of businesses on crime opportunity and neighborhood deterioration. These variables, while technically measured, are not included in the formal model testing. They will be examined to determine simply whether they covary with crime patterns. If it is found that they correspond to the pattern of crime in a particular area, we may have some indication that these features of the environment may be reasonable explanations for the findings we obtain.

The geographic information system computer program Maptitude (1999) was used to locate the census block group within which each club was located. For each census block group, a Maptitude data set provides counts for most of the demographic variables measured by the 1990 U.S. Census. In addition, 1997 supplements are provided for most variables. The values of each of the variables of interest were identified for the census block within

---

<sup>10</sup> The figures showing the names and locations of business composition are available from the authors.



which the adult nightclub was located. When the 1000-foot area surrounding a club location touched more than one census block, the average value for each of the relevant demographic variables was calculated across the blocks that overlapped with the club perimeters. A comparable area, matched for values on the crime-related variables, was then selected via Maptitude. All control areas were selected before the crime data were obtained and thus before any analysis of the crime data was undertaken. Finally, it should be noted that two variables often associated with social disorganization and routine activity theory, social class and residential mobility, are not available in census block data, and thus they cannot be included in the analysis. To the extent that social disorganization variables included in the model correlate with these unavailable variables, the consequences for our conclusions may be minor.

Table 1 displays a comparison of the values for each of the demographic characteristics measured at the census block level both for the adult nightclub locations and the control sites to which they were matched. Table 1 contains a column for the population size variable, four columns for the four percentage variables, and a final column for the median household income variable. Rows for the 20 adult nightclub sites are ordered alphabetically from top to bottom in Table 1, with rows for the three control sites ordered alphabetically at the bottom of the table. For each of the demographic and income variables in the table, it can be seen that there is a substantial amount of variability among the club and control sites.

To determine which control site to match with which adult nightclub location, the frequency distributions of each of the six demographic variables given in Table 1 were divided into five equally distributed levels (quintiles). For each demographic variable, each of the quintiles was assigned a numerical value that could range from 1 to 5, where 1 indicates that level of the demographic variable that is least likely to be associated with the occurrence of crime events and 5 being equal to the value that is most likely to be related to crime risk. For all but one of the demographic variables in Table 1, this resulted in the assignment of high code numbers for variables that had high values and low code numbers for variables that had low values. The only exception was median household income, for which research suggests that higher levels of household income will be associated with lower crime risk.

Table 2A contains the resulting codes for each of the demographic variables for each of the adult nightclubs and control sites. The right-most column of the table gives the combined means of the quintile codes—ranked from highest (4.0) to lowest (1.67)—where a higher mean quintile code indicates a location with a higher crime risk and a lower mean code indicates a location

**Table 1.** Absolute Values for Demographic Variables Related to Crime in Areas Around Club and Control Sites

Club	Population	Percentage of Households that Are Female Headed (%)	Percentage African American (%)	Percentage Age 18-29 (%)	Percentage Total Divorced (%)	Household Income (\$)
Baby Dolls	3881	0	21	72	2	38,624
Club Champagne	2480	19	59	23	11	32,922
Crazy Horse	1026	6	31	28	10	33,772
Diamond Club	1203	7	7	14	7	47,373
Fancy Cat	1483	18	53	22	11	32,486
Gentlemen's Club	45	67	58	13	16	32,188
Just Because	705	34	97	20	7	24,910
Leather 'n Lace N	3438	6	16	29	6	49,065
Leather 'n Lace S	525	17	13	20	10	35,854
Men's Club	5675	10	47	29	10	39,645
Office Lounge	4217	2	37	27	9	39,373
Paper Doll Lounge	761	30	18	16	11	35,298
Platinum Club	1204	7	07	14	7	47,373
Player's Club	1649	44	97	17	7	20,749
Polo Club	649	10	16	17	8	26,616
Tattletales	1008	13	15	19	12	28,746
Temptations	4028	10	38	26	9	41,254
Twin Peaks	4511	3	20	63	2	38,042
Uptown Cabaret	293	0	61	36	9	38,750
VIP Showgirls	1216	15	12	24	11	36,268
Exxon Control	1640	3	9	15	8	74,433
KFC Control	1084	14	95	18	8	32,172
McDonald's Control	3024	4	23	39	10	40,145



**Table 2A.** Quintile Scores for Demographic Variables in Areas Around Club and Control Sites<sup>a</sup>

Club	Population Size Code	% Fem. Head of Household Code	% African American Code	% Age 18–29 Code	% Divorced Code	Income Level Code	Combined Mean of Codes—Ranked
Club Champagne	4	4	5	3	4	4	4.00
Fancy Cat	3	4	4	3	5	4	3.83
Just Because	2	5	5	3	2	5	3.67
Player's Club	4	5	5	2	1	5	3.67
Men's Club	5	3	4	5	3	1	3.50
Gentlemen's Club	1	5	4	1	5	4	3.33
Temptations	5	3	4	4	3	1	3.33
<b>McDonald's Control</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>3.33</b>
Crazy Horse	2	2	3	4	4	4	3.17
Tattletales	2	3	2	2	5	5	3.17
VIP Showgirls	3	4	1	3	5	3	3.17
Office Lounge	5	1	3	4	3	2	3.00
Twin Peeks	5	1	3	5	1	3	3.00
<b>KFC Control</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>3.00</b>
Uptown Cabaret	2	5	2	1	4	3	2.83
Paper Doll Lounge	1	1	5	5	3	2	2.83
Baby Dolls	4	1	3	5	1	2	2.67
Polo Club	1	4	1	2	4	3	2.50
Leather 'n Lace S	1	3	2	2	2	5	2.50
Leather 'n Lace N	4	2	2	4	1	1	2.33
Diamond Club	3	2	1	1	2	1	1.67
Platinum Club	3	2	1	1	2	1	1.67
<b>Exxon Control</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.67</b>

<sup>a</sup>Values for each variable could fall within one of five equally distributed levels, and were assigned a value for this table that could range from 1 to 5, where 1 was equal to the level of that variable that was least likely to be associated with crime and 5 was equal to the value that was most likely to be related to crime. For all but one variable considered in this table, this resulted in high code numbers for variables that had high values and low code numbers for variables that had low values. The only exception was for income, where a higher value resulted in a lower code score and a lower value resulted in a higher code score, because higher levels of income were expected to be associated with lower levels of criminal activity.

with a lower crime risk. The adult nightclubs are reordered in Table 2A into three groups corresponding to the three control sites with which the various clubs are associated. The first group in the table identifies seven clubs located in relatively high-crime risk locations—Club Champagne, Fancy Cat, Just Because, Player’s Club, Men’s Club, Gentlemen’s Club, and Temptations. The control site for these clubs is a McDonald’s fast food establishment with a mean quintile social disorganization variable score of 3.33. A second group in Table 2A identifies five clubs of medium relative crime risk—Crazy Horse, Tattletales, VIP Showgirls, Office Lounge, and Twin Peeks. The control site for these clubs is a Kentucky Fried Chicken (KFC) fast food restaurant with a mean quintile social disorganization score of 3.0. A third group in Table 2A identifies eight clubs of low relative crime risk—Uptown Cabaret, Paper Doll Lounge, Baby Dolls, Polo Club, Leather ’n Lace South, Leather ’n Lace North, Diamond Club, and Platinum Club. The control site for these clubs is an Exxon gasoline service station with a mean quintile score of 1.67. Note that the average quintile score for each of the control sites is equal to the lowest mean quintile score of the clubs in the group to which it is matched. Because the mean quintile scores are indicative of the criminogenic potential in the areas surrounding the sites, this implies that most of the adult nightclubs to which the control sites are matched should be expected—solely on the basis of the demographics of the surrounding areas—to have higher numbers of crime events recorded.

Table 2B displays the vehicular traffic counts for club and control areas in recent years. As can be seen from the table, the relationship between the volume of vehicular traffic and relative crime risk is not straightforward. The high-crime risk control location has a much higher volume of vehicular traffic than the average of the adult nightclub study sites. This pattern does not hold for the medium- and low-crime risk locations, however. The medium-crime risk location has the lowest volume of traffic. The low-crime risk location has an intermediate level of traffic.

Land use, commercial establishments, and business patterns were also taken into consideration by a simple count of commercial establishments for each control location and the computation of average counts for the club locations. Table 2C displays these counts and averages. No particular pattern was observed here either. The high-crime risk control area has a large number of commercial sites compared to the test area. However, on average, the medium-crime risk area test sites have many more commercial businesses in the area than the medium-crime risk control area. Finally, the low-crime risk area has substantially more commercial establishments than the test sites.



**Table 2B.** Traffic Patterns at the Nearest Intersection to the Study or Control Sites Counted by the Charlotte-Mecklenburg Department of Transportation (all counts are taken at mid-block volume and are average weekday traffic patterns)

	Volume of Motor Vehicle Traffic	Year Count Taken
<b>Relatively High Crime Risk Locations</b>		
Club Champagne	No data for nearest intersection	
Fancy Cat	26,400	2000
Gentlemen's Club	35,000	2000
Just Because Sports	16,000	2002
Player's Club	12,000	2000
Men's Club	31,400	2002
Temptations	43,900	2000
Average	22,217	
Control Site		
McDonald's	82,100	2000
<b>Medium Relative Crime Risk Locations</b>		
Twin Peaks	34,200	2000
Crazy Horse	No data for nearest intersection	
Tattletales	32,100	2000
VIP Showgirls	32,000	2001
Office Lounge	40,600	2000
Platinum Club 2000	No data for nearest intersection	
Average	34,725	
Control Site		
Kentucky Fried		
Chicken	20,700	2000
<b>Relatively Low Crime Risk Locations</b>		
Polo Club	32,100	2000
Baby Dolls	24,000	2000
Paper Doll Lounge	37,800	2000
Diamond Club	35,000	2000
Leather 'n Lace S	No data for nearest intersection	
Leather 'n Lace N	No data for nearest intersection	
Uptown Cabaret	26,500	2002
Average	31,080	
Control site Exxon	45,900	2001

### Data on Crimes Reported

With support from the U.S. Department of Justice Office of Community Oriented Policing Services, the Charlotte-Mecklenburg Police Department maintains a computerized “reported incidents” information system for the city of Charlotte and Mecklenburg County, North Carolina. This system is capable of providing geo-coded information on all crime incidents reported at or near locations in the Charlotte area. Using this computerized database, brief descriptions of all crime incidents reported at or near each of the adult nightclubs and control sites identified above for the three years 1998–2000 were identified and provided to the authors by the Charlotte-Mecklenburg Police Department. The two perimeters identified above were employed, thus yielding records of incidents that occurred within a 500-foot radius and incidents that occurred within a 1,000-foot radius.

**Table 2C.** Counts of Number of Businesses at Control and Test Sites Within a 1,000-Foot Radius

	Number of Businesses/ Commercial Properties
<b>Relatively High-Crime Risk Locations</b>	
Club Champagne	21
Fancy Cat	36
Gentlemen's Club	49
Just Because Sports	18
Player's Club	11
Men's Club	61
Temptations	21
Average	31
Control Site	
McDonald's	57
<b>Medium Relative Crime</b>	
<b>Risk Locations</b>	
Twin Peaks	50
Crazy Horse	48
Tattletales	33
VIP Showgirls	37
Office Lounge	80
Platinum Club 2000	81
Average	55
Control Site	19
Kentucky	
Fried Chicken	
<b>Relatively Low Crime</b>	
<b>Risk Locations</b>	
Polo Club	84
Baby Dolls	0
Paper Doll Lounge	23
Diamond Club	67
Leather 'n Lace S	84
Leather 'n Lace N	34
Uptown Cabaret	31
Average	46
Control Site	89
Exxon	

There are no formal measurements of the accuracy with which the officers in the Mecklenburg Police Department or the dispatchers of the Computer Aided Dispatch (CAD) system locate a crime event. The dispatcher is at the mercy of the caller who relates an address. The police department does not keep an account of the discrepancy between the original address reported to the dispatcher and the address noted in any subsequent police report.

For crimes such as rape, robbery, and assault, the address of the actual offense may not be the address of the dispatch. Victims of these crimes sometimes go to other locations and call for service. The discrepancy between call address and actual address of the crime event may therefore be sizable, approximately 10%–20%, according to the Charlotte assistant crime analyst. But, these inaccuracies only occur for these crimes. The address of the crime location and the call location are highly consistent between the



CAD and the location of the crime for property crimes and serious assaults resulting in incapacitation and murder.

The database used for the present study contains only those crime incidents derived from the CAD database for which the police completed a report. This constitutes approximately 25%–30% of the entire CAD database. The accuracy of addresses listed in the report data file is not checked against the CAD, nor is it checked against a map of the city (although a procedure for verifying addresses has recently been implemented by the department). Accuracy is estimated by the crime analyst to be in the 94%–95% range.

For purposes of the present study, the authors grouped the reported crime incidents into six categories, ordered from the most to the least inclusive, as follows: total crimes (i.e., the total of all crime categories listed below), total Uniform Crime Reports (UCR) Index Crimes (i.e., the total of the UCR Violent and Property Crimes identified below), total Uniform Crime Reports Violent Crime Index Crimes (murder, rape, aggravated assault, and robbery), total Uniform Crime Reports Property Crime Index Crimes (burglary, larceny/theft, motor vehicle theft, and arson), sex crimes (the crime reports data had counts listed only as “sex offenses” to which were added rape/attempted rape counts, to define this variable), and all other crimes (minor incidents such as disorderly conduct, hit and run, non-aggravated assault, embezzlement, and forgery).

Counts of the number of incidents reported in each of these six categories for each of the three years of the study for each of the 23 adult nightclub and control sites for each perimeter constitute the dependent variables to be studied.

### Statistical Model

In addition to overall estimates of mean numbers of crime incidents surrounding the adult nightclub and control sites, we conducted a panel regression analysis of the data.<sup>11</sup> For this,

---

<sup>11</sup> The dependent variable is a positively skewed count variable. Therefore, we experimented with the estimation of either a Poisson or negative binomial regression model that more accurately accounts for such a dependent variable, using specifications identical to the OLS regression models presented in the article. However, due to the limited number of clubs/controls and the relatively large number of parameters in comparison (especially the club-specific fixed-effects that were included to account for unobserved heterogeneity at the club/neighborhood level), we routinely encountered convergence problems and were not able to reliably and robustly estimate these models. This was entirely expected by us given that the Poisson and binomial models are nonlinear models that are estimated via maximum likelihood methods. The maximum likelihood estimation (MLE) method requires, for convergence, a relatively well-defined likelihood surface. If we had access to either more years of crime data or more clubs/controls, these models would have been more feasible and more appropriate, as a larger number of observations would bring asymptotics into play more definitely and stabilize the likelihood surface. Therefore, we chose to work with the OLS estimates, which were statistically stable and substantively interpretable.

we use fixed-effects or least-squares dummy-variable regression models (see, e.g., Hannan & Young 1977; Hsiao 1986) to analyze the Charlotte crime events data arranged in a pooled time-series cross-section with site (club or control)-years as units of analysis. Effects are fixed for years and sites. Site-fixed-effect models eliminate bias created by the failure to include controls for unmeasured characteristics of the sites that have additive effects. Thus, fixed-effects models control for unmeasured characteristics of the sites that may affect the incidence of crime events at or near the adult nightclub and control sites. The regression model is:

$$Y_{it} = \beta_0 + \varepsilon_{it}, \quad (1)$$

where

$$\varepsilon_{it} = u_i + v_t + w_{it}$$

In this model, the regression parameter  $\beta_0$  denotes an overall constant term for the model, which corresponds to the overall average number of crime incidents of a given type across all sites and years. This overall average number of crime incidents is adjusted up or down for each site  $i$  and year  $t$  by the overall error term  $\varepsilon_{it}$ . The overall error term  $\varepsilon_{it}$  is composed of a cross-sectional (site) component  $u_i$  plus a year component  $v_t$  plus a purely random component  $w_{it}$ . The additive error term effects of the sites are estimated relative to a base nightclub that consistently has low numbers of crime incidents (Fancy Cat), so that most site-specific effects for most crime categories will be positive. Overall year effects on the numbers of reported crime incidents also are estimated for 1999 and 2000, with the year 1998 taken as the base year.

For all models, we used a heteroskedasticity-consistent covariance matrix to estimate the standard errors of the regression coefficients. This method of calculating the standard errors, often referred to in the statistical literature as the HC3 estimator, is a robust estimator similar to the one derived by White (1980), but adding a finite sample correction term to relax the asymptotic requirements of White's original formulation (Davidson & McKinnon 1993; Long & Ervin 2000; McKinnon & White 1985). The finite sample correction term produces a more conservative estimate of the variance of the parameter estimates by adding an adjustment term that accounts for the small sample size (Long & Ervin 2000). The HC3 estimator is an approximation of the jackknife variance estimator (Long & Ervin 2000; McKinnon & White 1985).



## Results

Table 3 reports overall results on mean numbers of crime incidents reported to the Charlotte Police Department for local areas (both 500- and 1,000-foot radii) surrounding both the 20 adult nightclubs and the three control sites.<sup>12</sup> The table includes the means for each of the three years 1998, 1999, and 2000, as well as for all three years combined. Means are given for each of the six categories of crime described earlier. For the adult nightclubs, two estimates of the means are given. This is due to the fact that one of the clubs, Baby Dolls, had no reported crimes within 1,000 feet during any of the three years. Therefore, in order to provide an estimate of the mean crimes reported that is not distorted by including a club in the denominators that did not contribute to the incidents in the numerators, two sets of mean estimates are reported—one that includes Baby Dolls and one that does not.

Several results in Table 3 merit comment. First, consider the overall means for our most comprehensive measure of crime incidents—the Total Crimes rows of Table 3. For this crime category, the mean number of incidents for all three years combined in the adult nightclub locations is between 59% and 62% of those reported for the control sites for the 500-foot perimeters and between 45% and 47% of those reported for the control sites for the 1,000-foot perimeters. Roughly similar bounds characterize the means for the combined years for all of the other crime categories in the table. Thus, with respect to all six categories of crime incidents under investigation, it is evident that the overall mean numbers of crime incidents for all three years combined are somewhat less in the areas surrounding the adult nightclubs than in the areas surrounding the control sites.

Next, consider the year-specific means of crime incidents reported in Table 3. For both the 500- and 1,000-foot perimeters and four of the crime categories in the table, namely Total Crimes, Total UCR Crimes, UCR Property Crimes, and Other Crimes, there is an evident difference between the adult nightclub and

---

<sup>12</sup> Recall that the three control sites were chosen solely on the basis of demographic characteristics of their surrounding neighborhoods that research motivated by crime opportunity/routine activities theory has found to be associated with crime risk. On this basis, we identified the McDonald's control site as a "high-crime risk" control site, the KFC site as a "medium-crime risk" site, and the Exxon station as a "low-crime risk" site. These characterizations of the relative crime risk potential of the sites are, in fact, corroborated by the data on crimes reported to the Charlotte Police Department, as reported in Table 3. For instance, for Total UCR Crimes, the average numbers of crime incidents reported across the three years within the 500-foot (1,000-foot) perimeters are 86.33 (294.67) at the McDonald's site, 69 (156.33) at the KFC site, and 24 (56) at the Exxon site. The orderings of the three sites by numbers of crime incidents reported for all of the other crime categories studied in this article are similar.

**Table 3.** Mean Number of Crimes Reported to the Police by Crime Type and Radius

Crime Type	Year	500-Foot Radius			1,000-Foot Radius		
		Clubs			Clubs		
		w/o B.D. <sup>a</sup>	w/ B.D. <sup>b</sup>	Controls	w/o B.D.	w/ B.D.	Controls
Total Crimes	1998	62.6	59.5	124.0	130.2	123.7	297.3
	1999	67.7	64.3	101.0	134.5	127.8	282.3
	2000	60.9	57.9	84.0	121.5	115.4	237.3
	All	63.7	60.6	103.0	128.7	122.3	272.3
Total UCR Crimes	1998	38.2	36.3	65.7	78.5	74.6	177.0
	1999	44.4	42.2	63.3	84.7	80.5	181.7
	2000	38.8	36.9	50.3	73.9	70.2	148.3
	All	40.5	38.4	59.8	79.0	75.1	169.0
UCR Violent Crimes	1998	7.0	6.7	17.7	12.0	11.4	34.7
	1999	7.0	6.6	19.0	13.4	12.8	36.7
	2000	6.2	5.9	10.7	11.2	10.7	26.0
	All	6.7	6.4	15.8	12.2	11.6	32.4
UCR Property Crimes	1998	31.2	29.7	48.0	66.5	63.2	142.3
	1999	37.4	35.6	44.3	71.3	67.7	145.0
	2000	32.6	31.0	39.7	62.7	59.6	122.3
	All	33.8	32.1	44.0	66.8	63.5	136.6
Sex Crimes	1998	0.53	0.50	0.33	1.05	1.00	1.00
	1999	0.32	0.30	0.33	0.79	0.75	0.33
	2000	0.26	0.25	0.67	0.63	0.60	3.67
	All	0.37	0.35	0.44	0.82	0.78	1.67
Other Crimes	1998	24.2	23.0	58.3	51.2	48.7	119.7
	1999	23.2	22.0	37.3	49.3	46.9	100.3
	2000	22.0	20.9	33.3	47.1	44.8	87.3
	All	23.1	22.0	43.0	49.2	46.8	102.4

<sup>a</sup>This mean excludes the club Baby Dolls, which had no reported crimes within 1,000 feet during the years 1998, 1999, and 2000.

<sup>b</sup>This mean includes the club Baby Dolls (i.e., the denominator is increased by 3).

control sites. That is, the trend in the means across the three years for the control sites for all of these crime categories is down, whereas there is little, if any, trend across the years for the adult nightclub sites. It is as if the levels of crime incidents in the control site areas are declining toward the already lower levels near the club sites. Even so, however, for all four categories, the mean numbers of crime incidents reported in the last year available, the year 2000, in the nightclub areas remain below those in the control areas. This is especially true when the perimeter around these locations is expanded to 1,000 feet, which, of course, permits the inclusion in the crime counts of incidents further removed from the club and control site premises. For two other crime categories in Table 3, UCR Violent Crimes and Sex Crimes, the trends across the three years are more muted for both the club and the control sites. This is due, in part, to the fact that the numbers of these



crimes are lower, so that even a slight increase in incidents can be influential in the computation of the means.

For a more precise statistical analysis of the crime events data, the regression model described above in Equation 1 was estimated. Table 4 reports parameter estimates and summary statistics for the full version of this regression model wherein the dependent variable is the Total UCR Crimes reported in the 500- and 1,000-foot perimeters of the adult nightclub and control sites.<sup>13</sup> This model takes the number of crime events reported for 1998 as the omitted year and the number of events reported for the Fancy Cat Club as the omitted adult nightclub site.<sup>14</sup> The coefficients of determination (R-squared) reported in Table 4 show that the fixed-effects regression models succeed in explaining over 90% of the variance in numbers of Total UCR Crimes reported in the two perimeters.

It can be seen from Table 4 that the partial regression coefficients estimated for the year 1999 are 4.78 and 5.74 for events reported within a 500-foot and 1,000-foot radius of the clubs and control sites, respectively. This means that, on average, about five more crime events were reported within 500 feet of all locations in 1999 than in 1998 and about six more within the 1,000-foot radius. By comparison, in the year 2000, the regression coefficients indicate a decrease of one to two crime events from that in 1998 within 500 feet and seven to eight within 1,000 feet of all locations. However, none of these year-specific regression coefficients has an associated *p*-value less than the .05 level of statistical significance, that is, statistically significant from zero. Therefore, it can be inferred that these year-to-year variations from the 1998 base year are sufficiently small that they are statistically meaningless.

Examining next the estimated partial regression coefficients for the adult nightclub and control sites in Group 1, recall that these are in relatively high-crime risk locations. A key comparison is the size of the coefficient estimated for the control site for this group, a McDonald's fast food restaurant, with the coefficients for the club sites in this group. It can be seen that the estimated coefficients for

---

<sup>13</sup> Full regression models were estimated for both the 500- and 1,000-foot perimeters and all six of the categories of crime incidents identified earlier in the text. We exhibit the regression model for Total UCR Crimes in Table 4, as this category consists of the most serious crimes reported to the police.

<sup>14</sup> Fancy Cat was chosen as the omitted site, because it has relatively low numbers of crime events within the defined areas. This means that the regression coefficients estimated for the other club and control sites will be positive coefficients, thus indicating the increase in crime events expected for their defined areas relative to those for Fancy Cat. Similarly, the year 1998 was chosen as the omitted year category so that the average number of crime events across all sites reported for 1999 and 2000 can be interpreted as the average increase or decrease expected in those years relative to 1998.

**Table 4.** Parameter Estimates from Fixed-Effects Dummy Variable Regression Model of Total UCR Crimes

Variable	500-Foot Radius			1,000-Foot Radius		
	Robust			Robust		
	b-Coeff.	HC3 S.E.	p-Value	b-Coeff.	HC3 S.E.	p-Value
Year 1999	4.78	4.61	0.305	5.74	6.07	0.350
Year 2000	-1.52	4.72	0.749	-7.52	6.41	0.247
<b>Group 1</b>						
Club Champagne	22.33	9.63	0.025	38.00	12.54	0.004
Just Because	26.67	13.14	0.048	69.33	21.93	0.003
Player's Club	45.00	8.59	0.000	85.33	11.00	0.000
Men's Club	63.00	21.18	0.005	64.67	23.01	0.007
Gentlemen's Club	101.33	8.47	0.000	94.33	10.42	0.000
Temptations	6.33	7.09	0.376	26.33	12.21	0.037
McDonald's	82.33	5.01	0.000	276.00	8.72	0.000
<b>Group 2</b>						
Crazy Horse	91.00	9.41	0.000	113.67	16.12	0.000
Tattletales	47.67	7.84	0.000	59.33	8.06	0.000
VIP Showgirls	6.00	5.37	0.270	34.33	5.50	0.000
Office Lounge	34.67	9.88	0.001	97.33	12.05	0.000
Twin Peeks	19.33	7.15	0.010	20.33	8.66	0.024
Kentucky Fried Chicken	65.00	16.53	0.000	137.67	23.96	0.000
<b>Group 3</b>						
Uptown Cabaret	93.00	19.65	0.000	109.00	13.62	0.000
Paper Doll Lounge	16.33	5.91	0.008	21.33	7.28	0.005
Baby Dolls	-4.00	5.19	0.445	-18.67	6.96	0.010
Polo Club	28.67	6.31	0.000	65.33	17.76	0.001
Leather 'n Lace South	31.00	6.09	0.000	79.00	11.86	0.000
Leather 'n Lace North	21.33	5.68	0.001	10.67	6.58	0.112
Diamond Club	8.67	5.86	0.146	90.33	14.36	0.000
Platinum Club	30.33	8.30	0.001	68.00	6.68	0.000
Exxon	20.00	5.60	0.001	37.33	11.70	0.003
Constant	2.91	5.20	0.578	19.26	5.93	0.002
R-Squared	0.91			0.95		

NOTE: The reference site is the Fancy Cat Club.

McDonald's are 82.33 and 276 for the 500- and 1,000-foot radii, respectively. These coefficients can be interpreted as indicating that, net of the overall constant and year-specific terms for the regression equations, the McDonald's site is expected to have about 82 and 276 more crime events reported on average per year than the Fancy Cat Club, respectively, for the two perimeters. For the 500-foot perimeter, the coefficient for McDonald's is substantially larger than those for all of the adult nightclubs in Group 1 except for the Gentlemen's Club. In the case of the 1,000-foot perimeter, the McDonald's coefficient is much larger than the coefficients of all of the club sites, including the Gentlemen's Club.<sup>15</sup>

<sup>15</sup> The fact that the regression coefficient estimated for the Gentlemen's Club for the 1,000-foot perimeter (94.33) is smaller than that for this club for the 500-foot perimeter (101.33) is not an error. To calculate the unconditional expected value for the club and control site locations, one must add the regression coefficient for the site to the overall constant term for the regression equation. Making this calculation, it can be seen that the average expected number of events across the three years for the 1,000-foot perimeter for the Gentlemen's Club is about 114 as compared to 104 for the 500-foot perimeter.



Consider next the adult nightclub and control sites in Group 2. Recall that these are medium-crime risk locations. In this group, the control site is the Kentucky Fried Chicken restaurant, which has an estimated regression coefficient of 65 for the 500-foot perimeter and 137.67 for the 1,000-foot perimeter. For the 500-foot perimeter around the sites, the KFC regression coefficient is substantially larger than those of all of the club locations in this group except those for the Crazy Horse Club. The same is true for the coefficients for the 1,000-foot perimeter model.

The adult nightclub and control sites in Group 3, the low-crime risk locations, were then examined. In this group, the Exxon service station is the control site. It has an estimated regression coefficient of 20 crime events for the 500-foot perimeter and 37.33 for the 1,000-foot perimeter. For the 500-foot perimeter around the sites, this coefficient is larger than those estimated for three adult nightclubs (Paper Doll Lounge, Baby Dolls, and Diamond Club), about the same as one club (Leather 'n Lace North), somewhat smaller than those for three club sites (Polo Club, Leather 'n Lace South, and Platinum Club), and much smaller than that for one club (Uptown Cabaret). For the 1,000-foot perimeter, the estimated regression coefficient for Exxon is larger than those for three clubs (Paper Doll Lounge, Baby Dolls, and Leather 'n Lace North) and smaller than those for five clubs (Uptown Cabaret, Polo Club, Leather 'n Lace South, Diamond Club, and Platinum Club).

We next turn to an assessment of the statistical significance of the differences between the net effects (i.e., the estimated partial regression coefficients) of the three groups of adult nightclub sites as compared to the corresponding control sites. For this, we estimated a set of constrained regression models, as reported in Tables 5A and 5B. Table 5A reports the results for the 500-foot perimeters around the sites; Table 5B reports the corresponding results for the 1,000-foot perimeters.

Each of these constrained models commenced with a corresponding full model, like that displayed in Table 4 for Total UCR Crime incidents reported within the 500-foot perimeter. We then constrained all of the adult clubs in one of the groups, namely Group 1, to have a common partial regression coefficient. For Total UCR Crimes, this group coefficient, 29.14, is reported in the first column of coefficients in Table 5A. The constrained model also estimated a partial regression coefficient for the Group 1 control site, the McDonald's fast food restaurant. This coefficient, 73.14, is reported in the second column of coefficients of Table 5A. An F-ratio then was computed for the null hypothesis that the common regression coefficient for the Group 1 sites is equal to the coefficient for the corresponding control site. This statistic, 27.60, is given in the third column of coefficients of Table 5A. The fourth

**Table 5A.** Summary Results of F-Tests Comparing the Equivalence of the Club Group Dummy Variables and the Matched Control Site: 500-Foot Radius

Model	Group	Group Coefficient	Control Coefficient	F-Value	<i>p</i> -Value	Model R-Squared
Total Crimes	1	47.05	128.33	13.40	0.0006	0.65
	2	65.33	106.00	1.70	0.1982	0.73
	3	43.67	44.33	0.00	0.9582	0.67
Total UCR Crimes	1	29.14	73.67	27.60	0.0000	0.59
	2	39.73	65.00	1.96	0.1682	0.73
	3	28.17	20.00	1.36	0.2487	0.66
UCR Violent Crimes	1	8.14	15.00	11.15	0.0016	0.61
	2	4.47	27.67	6.15	0.0167	0.78
	3	4.38	2.67	0.34	0.5623	0.51
UCR Property Crimes	1	21.00	58.67	21.63	0.0000	0.53
	2	35.27	37.33	0.02	0.8790	0.69
	3	23.79	17.33	1.95	0.1682	0.73
Sex Crimes	1	0.29	0.67	0.82	0.3689	0.30
	2	0.20	0.00	1.91	0.1738	0.40
	3	0.50	0.67	0.03	0.8572	0.17
Other Crimes	1	17.71	54.33	4.16	0.0468	0.65
	2	25.47	41.00	1.31	0.2579	0.67
	3	15.33	24.00	1.52	0.2227	0.62

NOTE: For Group 1, the reference site is the Diamond Club. For Groups 2 and 3, the reference site is the Fancy Cat Club.

column of coefficients reports the statistical significance of the F-ratio. For Group 1 Total UCR Crimes, it can be seen in Table 5A that the estimated difference of the partial regression coefficients for Total UCR Crimes for the Group 1 adult clubs and the control site for this group is highly statistically significant, that is, has a *p*-value or estimated probability of occurrence that is equal to zero to four decimal places. In other words, the numerical difference of the estimated partial regression coefficients for the Group 1 sites and the control site for Group 1 is not likely to be due to chance variations. Furthermore, the estimated coefficients show that the adult club sites in Group 1 are highly likely to have a net number of Total UCR Crimes that is much smaller than the control site.

Examining all of the estimated coefficients, F-ratios, and *p*-values in Table 5A, it can be seen that a pattern is readily apparent: for four of the crime categories—Total Crimes, Total UCR Crimes, UCR Violent Crimes, and UCR Property Crimes—the numerical differences of the estimated partial regression coefficients for the Group 1 sites (the adult nightclubs located in relatively high-crime risk areas) and the coefficients for the control site (the McDonald's fast food restaurant) are highly statistically significant. That is, these numerical differences are not likely due to chance fluctuations in the



**Table 5B.** Summary Results of F-Tests Comparing the Equivalence of the Club Group Dummy Variables and the Matched Control Site: 500-Foot Radius

Model	Group	Group Coefficient	Control Coefficient	F-ratio	<i>p</i> -value	Model R-squared
Total Crimes	1	-55.67	257.67	151.77	0.0000	0.87
	2	104.67	239.00	8.17	0.0063	0.87
	3	78.33	74.33	0.03	0.8561	0.79
Total UCR Crimes	1	-36.33	185.67	408.27	0.0000	0.87
	2	65.00	137.67	7.97	0.0069	0.87
	3	53.13	37.33	1.24	0.2698	0.79
UCR Violent Crimes	1	1.62	23.00	30.57	0.0000	0.67
	2	7.20	51.67	16.13	0.0002	0.89
	3	6.54	3.33	0.89	0.3508	0.76
UCR Property Crimes	1	-37.95	162.67	344.71	0.0000	0.87
	2	57.80	86.00	3.10	0.0849	0.86
	3	46.58	34.00	1.13	0.2936	0.79
Sex Crimes	1	0.67	0.67	0.00	1.0000	0.18
	2	0.67	2.33	0.52	0.4734	0.49
	3	0.67	1.67	0.46	0.4992	0.43
Other Crimes	1	-19.71	71.67	31.32	0.0000	0.81
	2	39.27	100.33	7.75	0.0077	0.82
	3	24.92	36.00	1.11	0.2973	0.74

NOTE: For group 1, the reference site is the Diamond Club. For groups 2 and 3, the reference site is the Fancy Cat club.

data. In other words, the expected numbers of crime events for these four categories of crime reported within 500-foot perimeters of the Group 1 adult nightclub locations are much lower than those reported within this perimeter for the control site. And these differences are not likely to be due to random or chance fluctuations.

For these four categories of crime incidents, the numerical differences of the coefficients for the Group 2 (clubs located in medium-crime risk areas) and Group 3 (clubs located in low-crime risk areas) adult nightclub sites and their respective control sites are not nearly as large and tend not to reach statistical significance. An exception is the Group 2 constrained model for UCR Violent Crimes, which has an F-ratio of 6.15. This F-ratio has a *p*-value or probability of occurrence under the null hypothesis of no difference in the regression coefficients for the club and control sites of .0167, which is statistically significant at the .05 level. Generally, however, the main conclusion from Table 5A for these four crime categories is that, within the 500-foot perimeters, there are significantly lower numbers of crime incidents reported around the Group 1 adult nightclubs than around the corresponding control site. For the Group 2 and Group 3 club sites, the

differences in the partial regression coefficients tend not to be as large and not attain statistical significance.

For the other two crime categories in Table 5A—Sex Crimes and Other Crimes—there is less of a pattern to the group differences. Recall that the number of sex crimes reported per year at any of the adult nightclub or control sites is very small. It is therefore not surprising that none of the numerical differences of regression coefficients for the groups of club sites and their corresponding control sites attain statistical significance. For the Other Crimes category, the numerical differences of the estimated regression coefficients for both the Group 1 clubs and their control site attain statistical significance. Even for these crimes, however, the numerical values of the regression coefficients for the Group 2 club locations (25.47) and their control site (41) indicate a larger expected number of crime incidents—about 16 per year—within the 500-foot perimeters around the club locations than around the control site. But the variability within the Group 2 club locations is sufficiently large that this numerical difference is not statistically significant.

What is the effect on the club group versus control site comparisons of enlarging the perimeters for crimes reported to 1,000 feet around the sites? Recall that this allows for the inclusion of more crime incidents from the neighborhoods around the club and control locations. Table 5B provides the answers. For four of the six crime categories—Total Crimes, Total UCR Crimes, UCR Violent Crimes, and Other Crimes—the estimates in Table 5B show that the adult club sites have estimated partial regression coefficients that are much smaller than those of the corresponding control sites for the Group 1 and Group 2 clubs. And these numerical differences all are statistically significant at the .05 level. Indeed, most of the F-ratios have *p*-values much smaller than .05. The estimated partial regression coefficients for the UCR Property Crimes category show a similar pattern of differences of club and control sites for the Group 1 clubs. However, while the coefficient difference is in a similar direction for the Group 2 clubs and control site for this crime category for the Group 2 clubs, the corresponding F-ratio has a *p*-value of .08, which does not exceed the .05 level of statistical significance. For the fifth crime category, Sex Crimes, the numerical differences between expected numbers of incidents reported for the club and control sites again are small and statistically insignificant. In brief, the main effect of enlarging the perimeters around the adult nightclub and control site locations from 500 to 1,000 feet for most categories of reported crime incidents is that the gaps in the expected numbers of crime incidents become very large and highly statistically significant for both the high- and the medium-crime risk locations.



## Conclusion

On the basis of the findings reviewed above, it must be concluded that there is little evidence in the data to support the main hypothesis stated earlier. Recall that we asked: once variables known to be related to crime events suggested by social disorganization and routine activities theories have been taken into account, does the presence of an adult business in a localized area increase the concurrence in space and time of offenders motivated to commit crimes together with suitable targets for the crimes in the absence of guardians capable of preventing or deterring the crimes? We found that, at least in Charlotte, North Carolina, it is not the case that the presence of an adult nightclub increases the number of crime incidents reported in localized areas surrounding the club as compared to the number of crime incidents reported in comparable localized areas that do not contain an adult nightclub.

Indeed, the empirical data and analyses reported above imply the opposite, namely, that the nearby areas surrounding the adult nightclub sites have smaller numbers of reported crime incidents than do corresponding areas surrounding the three control sites studied. Furthermore, it must be emphasized again that the control sites were chosen solely by matching set demographic characteristics (which were chosen on the basis of crime opportunity/routine activities theory and research) of the census block or blocks containing the adult nightclubs and control sites. Thus, these findings could not have been biased by the choice of the control sites. Further, although not incorporated into the formal model, examination of the vehicular traffic patterns and number of commercial establishments surrounding the adult businesses yielded no consistent pattern of findings. There were not, for example, consistently more business targets for crime or greater numbers of human traffic passing through the control areas that would account for the greater numbers of crimes in these locations compared to the adult locations.

Our regression analyses help to identify more precisely exactly where the adult nightclubs with relatively low numbers of reported crime incidents are located. Specifically, for local areas around the adult nightclub and control sites defined by 500-foot radii, the regression analyses show that it is in the high-crime risk locations in which the numbers of reported crimes are significantly lower than in the corresponding control site. In the medium- and low-crime risk club and control site locations, the regression models estimate smaller effect coefficients for crime risk of the club locations than for the corresponding control sites. However, the numerical differences of the coefficients for these two more moderate-crime

risk groups versus their control sites generally do not reach standard levels of statistical significance. The regression analyses for the clubs and control sites defined by the 1,000-foot radii (which allow for the inclusion of more crime incidents from the neighborhoods around the sites) show similar results for the high-crime risk locations. In addition, the 1,000-foot perimeter regression analyses similarly show that the medium-crime risk locations generally have significantly lower numbers of crime incidents than those reported for the corresponding control site.

Our analyses of the overall mean numbers of crime incidents (for the adult nightclubs compared to the control sites) for the years 1998–2000 suggest that Charlotte, like many cities across the country and the United States as a whole (U.S. Department of Justice, Federal Bureau of Investigation 2000), was experiencing declining numbers of crime incidents during this period. These analyses show that the overall lower numbers of crime incidents reported in the local areas around the adult nightclubs than around the control sites declined across the three years. That is, the differences decreased, thus indicating that, as the overall level of crime in Charlotte declined from 1998 to 2000, the numbers of crime incidents reported in local areas around the control sites declined toward the lower levels already present in the local areas surrounding the adult club sites. In other words, the areas around the adult club sites already had relatively low levels of reported crime in 1998. Then, as the overall levels of crime in Charlotte declined in 1999 and 2000, the numbers of crime incidents reported around the club sites remained at these low levels. But, during 1999 and 2000, the numbers of crime incidents reported around the control sites declined along with crime levels in the city as a whole and toward the already low levels of the locations around the club sites.

### **Implications for Crime Opportunity and Social Disorganization Perspectives**

What accounts for these findings? In contradiction to the hypothesis stated earlier in this article, why do the local areas surrounding the adult nightclubs in Charlotte have lower numbers of reported crime incidents than corresponding areas around the control sites? Why do we not find empirical evidence of the social disorganization/crime opportunity spillover of these adult establishments of the type cited at the outset of this article?

First, the adult nightclub business in the late-1990s in many respects may be quite unlike that of the 1960s and 1970s when these establishments were relatively new forums of entertainment in American society. As noted in the introduction to this article, adult nightclubs have been subjected to over two decades of



municipal zoning restrictions across the country, and they usually must comply with many other regulations as well. These clubs do not appear to be locations where potential offenders gather to prey on desirable targets in the absence of crime suppressors, such as employees whose role is to ensure the safety of customers and the maintenance of order within the clubs.

The establishments themselves have evolved more closely into legitimate businesses—establishments with management attention to profitability and continuity of existence. To meet these objectives, it is essential that the management and/or owners of the clubs provide their customers with some assurance of safety. Accordingly, adult nightclubs, including those in Charlotte, often appear to have better lighting in their parking lots and better security surveillance than is standard for non-nightclub business establishments. These may be factors producing fewer crime opportunities and lower numbers of reported crime incidents in the surrounding areas of the clubs.

The extensive management of the parking lots adjoining the exotic dance nightclubs, in many cases including guards in the parking lots, valet parking, and other control mechanisms, may be especially effective in reducing the possibility of violent disputes in the surrounding area. In addition, unlike other liquor-serving establishments (bars and taverns that do not offer adult entertainment) that may be present in the control areas, violent disputes in the areas surrounding exotic dance clubs between men over unwanted attention by other males to dates or partners are minimal due to the fact that the majority of patrons attend the clubs without female partners. Thus, the possibility of interpersonal aggression may be greatly reduced in the vicinity of adult dance clubs, compared to most other locations where adults congregate, such as bars or taverns that do not feature adult entertainment.

Findings from a qualitative, anthropological case study of several of the exotic dance clubs included in this study undertaken by Hanna (2001) are consistent with these speculations. Three adult clubs were chosen to reflect three different kinds of economically developed neighborhoods. Neighborhood residents had few complaints about the adult businesses and most neighboring business owners were quick to note that the reason they felt the adult clubs had few negative effects was because of very efficient management of the property and facilities.

A related, but alternative, explanation might also be considered. Perhaps victims of crime in areas surrounding adult clubs are not motivated to report crime incidents to the police. If this were the case, there may not be stable crime reporting across study and control sites. It could be that, compared to the control sites, more of the crime that occurs in the adult dance club zone goes

unreported. It seems plausible that many of the victims of crime in these areas might not want to draw attention to themselves. This may be a plausible alternative explanation for crimes such as personal assault and robbery; it would not be a reasonable explanation for burglary, serious property crimes in adjacent buildings, murder, or serious personal assault.

Finally, it is important to point out that imperfections in matching control and adult club areas may always be advanced to account for the findings here or for any other quasi-experimental study. While we attempted to match the sites on variables known to be related to crime as suggested by criminological theory and further examined business and traffic patterns and found no consistent pattern that could plausibly account for the results, it is never possible, logically, to rule out all alternative explanations based on some unobserved variable to match all possible variables. Indeed, we always fail to match on some unspecified variable. The challenge is to identify that variable before hand which may more reasonably account for the findings.

One specific difference between control and club sites may be worth noting, however, and could be the basis for further study. We chose specific business locations in the center of the control areas for our crime event counts, and this yielded two popular fast food restaurants and a gas station as control sites. There might be more appropriate control sites for comparison given the context of the secondary effects legal arguments.

Conceptually, it may be more appropriate to compare adult club sites with non-adult club sites so that one can determine whether the type of club activity affects the level of crime. This comparison may be implicit (if not explicit) in the minds of citizens and justices when considering whether an adult club should be allowed to locate in a particular area. Methodologically, using basic service type businesses such as fast food restaurants as control sites may confound the comparisons being made in the research, even if they are located in areas equivalent to those in which adult dance clubs are located.

There is an empirical study conducted in another locale, which may allay the concern that the control areas chosen in the present study would yield abnormally high crime rates relative to adult club locations. The Board of Commissioners of Fulton County, Georgia (Atlanta area) attempted to address the assumption that the consumption of alcoholic beverages in adult entertainment establishments may contribute to increased crime in the vicinity of such adult entertainment establishments. This study, conducted by the Fulton County Police Department, compared calls for service to the police that resulted in an arrest or a report in the vicinity of six liquor-serving establishments that featured adult entertainment



and six liquor-serving establishments that did not include adult entertainment (Fulton County Police 1997). The findings indicated substantially more calls for service to the police to liquor establishments that *did not* provide adult entertainment compared to liquor establishments featuring adult entertainment. These findings lend credibility to the outcome of the present study and suggest that the results are not a function of improperly matched control and test sites. Unfortunately, the Fulton County study did not match test and control areas on demographic variables known to be related to crime and is therefore methodologically limited.

The most informative approach would be to examine crime incidents surrounding adult businesses while simultaneously controlling for all other known or suspected causes of crime. This would include taking into account variables such as land use, social disorganization and crime opportunity, traffic patterns, and the presence or absence of alcohol-serving establishments. Future research should be devoted to the study of secondary effects of adult businesses with these methodological refinements.

### **Legal and Policy Implications**

It has been demonstrated through this study that there may be a sufficient basis for a serious challenge to the assumption made by municipalities and the courts that there is an empirical relationship between exotic dance businesses and at least one kind of negative secondary effect, specifically increases in crime. Further, this conclusion is based on research procedures that adhere more thoroughly to long-standing and well-accepted methodological procedures for insuring sound scientific conclusions than previous studies undertaken by municipalities across the country.

In *Pap's*, Justice O'Connor provides room for legal challenges, based on the collection of empirical evidence, to the assertions made by municipalities regarding a relationship between adverse secondary effects and nude dancing. In order to remain consistent with the Supreme Court's holding in *Pap's*, lower courts will be required to consider the methodological legitimacy of evidence of a relationship between negative secondary effects and the subject businesses collected both by governments and by those business owners who attempt to challenge government ordinances restricting their establishments.

Further, in *Alameda*, Justice O'Connor and others further refined her notions of how municipalities' assumptions about adult businesses and secondary effects may be challenged by admonishing cities that they cannot engage in shoddy data collection or reasoning in coming to the conclusion that adult businesses cause these effects. In evaluating the quality of the data collected and the

reasoning of municipalities, a standard such as that laid out in *Daubert* for the admissibility of scientific evidence may best serve the interests of justice.

The study presented here, we would argue, meets such standards for admissibility. The application of such standards, bolstered by the Court's opinion in *Alameda*, may force courts to reject studies that have been previously relied upon as evidence of negative secondary effects, and require new, more methodologically sound studies to demonstrate the necessity for regulations directed at the exotic dance industry.

### **Challenging Common Sense Assumptions About Adverse Secondary Effects**

This investigation suggests it may be best not to assume adverse secondary effects in the form of greater crime emanate from adult businesses in a community. Further tests of this assumption on a community-by-community basis are not tremendously difficult. Justice Souter noted in his opinion in *Alameda*:

. . . stress should be placed on the point that requiring empirical justification of claims about property value or crime is not demanding anything Herculean. Increased crime, like prostitution and muggings, and declining property values in areas surrounding adult businesses, are all readily observable, often to the untrained eye and certainly to the police officer and urban planner. These harms can be shown by police reports, crime statistics, and studies of market value . . .

And precisely because this sort of evidence is readily available, Justice Souter noted:

Reviewing courts need to be wary when the government appeals, not to evidence, but to uncritical common sense in an effort to justify such a zoning restriction. It is not that common sense is always illegitimate in First Amendment demonstration. The need for independent proof varies with the point that needs to be established, and zoning can be supported by common experience when there is no reason to question it. But we must be careful about substituting common assumptions for evidence, when the evidence is as readily available as public statistics and municipal property valuations, lest we find out when the evidence is gathered that assumptions are highly debatable.

In fact, in the *Alameda* case, Justice Souter has formulated a legal test based on empirical verification. He argues that the weaker the empirical evidence concerning secondary effects, the more likely the governmental action is not content neutral. He states:



. . . The lesson is that the lesser scrutiny applied to . . . zoning restrictions is no excuse for government failure to provide a factual demonstration for claims it makes about secondary effects; on the contrary, this is what demands the demonstration. And finally the weaker the demonstration of facts distinct from disapproval of the adult viewpoint, the greater the likelihood that nothing more than condemnation of the viewpoint drives the legislation. The danger is that without empirical verification the city has a right to experiment with a First Amendment restriction in response to a problem of increased crime that the city has never shown to be associated with adult businesses.

However welcome, this is an admittedly strong position in favor of empirical evidence to substantiate a legal assumption about human behavior. At the very least, however, a study like the one reported here could have the effect of shifting the burden of proof to municipalities to demonstrate that their theory of adverse secondary effects is correct.

## References

- Babbie, E. (1999) *The Basics of Social Research*. Belmont, CA: Wadsworth.
- Campbell, D. T., & J. C. Stanley (1963) *Experimental and Quasi-Experimental Designs for Research*. Chicago: Rand McNally.
- Cohen, L. E., & M. Felson (1979) "Social Change and Crime Rate Trends: A Routine Activity Approach," 44 *American Sociological Rev.* 588–608.
- Cohen, J., W. Gorr, & A. Olligschlaeger (1993) *Modeling Street-Level Illicit Drug Markets*, Working paper 93–64, The H. John Heinz III School of Public Policy and Management. Pittsburgh: Carnegie Mellon University.
- Cohen, L. E., J. R. Kluegel, & K. C. Land (1981) "Social Inequality and Predatory Criminal Victimization: An Exposition and Test of a Formal Theory," 46 *American Sociological Rev.* 505–24.
- Davidson, R., & J. G. McKinnon (1993) *Estimation and Inference in Econometrics*. New York: Oxford Univ. Press.
- Duffala, D. C. (1976) "Convenience Stores, Armed Robbery, and Physical Environmental Features," 20 *American Behavioral Scientist* 227–46.
- Engstad, P. A. (1975) "Environmental Opportunities and the Ecology of Crime," in R. A. Silverman & J. J. Teevan, eds., *Crime in Canadian Society*. Toronto: Butterworths.
- Fulton County Police (1997) *Study of Calls for Service to Adult Entertainment Establishments Which Serve Alcoholic Beverages, January 1995–May 1997*. Report to the Board of Commissioners of Fulton County, Georgia.
- Hanna, J. L. (2001) "Reality and Myth, What Neighbors Say About Exotic Dance Clubs: A Case Study in Charlotte, North Carolina," Unpublished manuscript, Univ. of Maryland.
- Hannan, M. T., & A. A. Young (1977) "Estimation in Panel Models: Results on Pooling Cross-Sections and Time Series," 1977 *Sociological Methodology* 52–83.
- Hsiao, C. (1986) *Analysis of Panel Data: Econometric Society Monographs*. New York: Cambridge.
- Linz, D., & B. Paul (2002) "Testing Assumptions Made by the Supreme Court Concerning the Negative Secondary Effects of Adult Businesses: A Quasi-

- Experimental Approach to a First Amendment Issue,” Paper presented at the 2002 International Communication Association, Acapulco, Mexico.
- Long, J. S., & H. Ervin (2000) “Correcting for Heteroskedasticity with Heteroskedasticity-Consistent Standard Errors in the Linear Regression Model: Small Sample Considerations,” 54 *The American Statistician* 217–24.
- McKinnon, J. G., & H. White (1985) “Some Heteroskedasticity-Consistent Covariance Matrix Estimators with Improved Finite Sample Properties,” 29 *J. of Econometrics* 53–57.
- Miethe, Terance D., & David McDowall (1993) “Contextual Effects in Models of Criminal Victimization,” 71 *Social Forces* 741–59.
- Miethe, T. D., & R. F. Meier (1994) *Crime and Its Social Context: Toward an Integrated Theory of Offenders, Victims, and Situations*. Albany, NY: State Univ. of New York Press.
- Paul, B., D. G. Linz, & B. J. Shafer (2001) “Government Regulation of Adult Businesses Through Zoning and Anti-Nudity Ordinances: Debunking the Legal Myth of Negative Secondary Effects,” 6 *Communications in Law & Policy* 355–92.
- Planning Department, City of Phoenix, Arizona (1979) *Relation of Criminal Activity and Adult Businesses*.
- Popper, K. (1959) *The Logic of Scientific Discovery*. New York: Basic Books.
- Roncek, D. W., & P. A. Maier (1991) “Bars, Blocks, and Crimes Revisited: Linking the Theory of Routine Activities to the Empiricism of ‘Hot Spots,’” 29 *Criminology* 725–53.
- Sherman, Lawrence W., Patrick R. Gartin, & Michael E. Buerger (1989) “Hot Spots of Predatory Crime: Routine Activities and the Criminology of Place,” 27 *Criminology* 27–55.
- Singleton, R. A., Jr., B. C. Straits, & M. M. Straits (1993) *Approaches to Social Research*. London: Oxford Univ. Press.
- Smith, W. R., S. G. Frazee, & E. L. Davison (2000) “Furthering the Integration of Routine Activity and Social Disorganization Theories: Small Units of Analysis and the Study of Street Robbery as a Diffusion Process,” 38 *Criminology* 489–524.
- Stark, Rodney (1987) “Deviant Places: A Theory of the Ecology of Crime,” 25 *Criminology* 893–909.
- U.S. Department of Justice, Federal Bureau of Investigation (2000) *Crime in the United States, 1999*. Washington, DC: U.S. Government Printing Office.
- White, H. (1980) “A Heteroskedastic-Consistent Covariance Matrix and a Direct Test of Heteroskedasticity,” 48 *Econometrica* 817–38.



# Peep Show Establishments, Police Activity, Public Place, and Time: A Study of Secondary Effects in San Diego, California

Daniel Linz

University of California, Santa Barbara

Bryant Paul

Indiana University

Mike Z. Yao

University of California, Santa Barbara

*An empirical study was undertaken in San Diego, California, to test assumptions made by the government and by conservative religious policy advocates that there is a greater incidence of crime in the vicinity of peep show establishments. We asked two questions: (a) Is criminal activity in San Diego particularly acute at peep show establishments compared to surrounding control locations? and (b) Is criminal activity in San Diego disproportionately greater at or near peep show establishments between the hours of 2 a.m. and 6 a.m. compared to other times of the day? The levels of crime activity and the expenditure of police resources were examined by measuring the number of calls-for-service (CFSs) to the police within a 1,000-ft. area on either side of the peep show establishments and comparably-sized control areas beyond the immediate 1,000-foot area. A more focused “late-night” (2 a.m. to 6 a.m.) analysis was also undertaken. The results showed no reliable evidence of differences in crime levels between the control and test areas, nor was there any evidence of disproportionately greater amounts of crime within the 2 a.m. to 6 a.m. time period in the areas surrounding the peep show establishments. We concluded that San Diego does not have a problem with crime at the peep show establishments generally, nor is there a heightened problem with crime during the 2 a.m. to 6 a.m. period. We discuss the implications of assuming that peep show establishments are associated with negative effects in the community and the possibility of viewpoint discrimination against sex communication.*

The members of the Community Defense Counsel of Scottsdale, Arizona, a politically conservative, religious-based organization devoted to the strict regulation or elimination of sex businesses, have a theory about sexual communication and place. They maintain that the neighborhoods or business districts surrounding sex businesses typically suffer declines in property values and increases in crime, especially sex crimes (Community Defense Counsel, 2004). On its webpage, the Community Defense Counsel offers the following in a section of the page entitled *Answers to frequently asked questions about sexually oriented businesses*: “Communities that have been the most effective in protecting their neighborhoods have been those that use a combination of aggressive enforcement of criminal obscenity laws and . . . stringent time, place, and manner regulations.”

We examined the so-called “secondary effects” of adult peep show establishments in a community both during the day and after hours. The city of San Diego was chosen for study because of an ordinance passed in October 2000 that

made it unlawful for any person to operate a “peep show booth” or “peep show device” between the hours of 2:00 a.m. and 6:00 a.m. The city claimed—consistent with the sex communication, place, and time theory advanced above—that the ordinance was needed to further a substantial government interest in combating crime in the geographical locations surrounding these adult businesses, particularly during late-night hours. We obtained empirical evidence and used it to test the government’s and religious conservatives’ assumptions of the harms associated with sexually-oriented adult businesses. Specifically, we asked two questions: is criminal activity in San Diego particularly acute at peep show establishments compared to surrounding control locations, and is criminal activity in San Diego disproportionately greater at or near peep show establishments between the hours of 2 a.m. and 6 a.m. compared to other times of the day?

## *Research on Sex Entertainment Establishments in the Community*

The place of adult entertainment establishments in the community, the characteristics of those who patronize them, and their impact on the community have been investigated by sex researchers from several points of view. One perspective examines the sexual interests and behavior of the individual patrons of adult businesses. Here, researchers have not focused on peep shows, but have

*Note.* This manuscript is a revised version of a paper presented at the 2004 Society for the Scientific Study of Sexuality Western Region Conference in San Diego, California, and an earlier report prepared at the behest of the plaintiffs in the case *Mercury Books, Inc., Plaintiffs, vs. City of San Diego, Defendant*, in the United States District Court for the Southern District of California.

Address correspondence to Daniel Linz, Department of Communication and Law and Society Program, University of California, Santa Barbara, Santa Barbara, CA, 93106; e-mail: linz@comm.ucsb.edu.

examined men's objectification of women through the use of materials commonly found at these venues. For example, researchers have examined exposure to these materials as a possible inhibitor of intimacy with women (Brooks, 1995), and they have examined men's attitudes toward non-relational sex given patronage of adult businesses (Good & Sherrod, 1997).

The second approach might be termed the sociology of the adult peep show. For example, the social environment of the adult bookstore and video peep show in a large Midwestern city was examined using a dramaturgical perspective based on covert participant observation (Tewksbury, 1990). A similar approach was taken by Frank (2003), who investigated the appeal of modern strip clubs for certain groups of late 20th century, heterosexually-identified American men.

A third approach, the feminist perspective, has assumed men are motivated to use the sex industry, including adult peep shows, out of a desire to maintain sexual mastery and power over women (Bartky, 1990; Edwards, 1993). These researchers note that although they are euphemistically labeled "adult entertainment," most of these places in the community are sources of commoditized sex. In this view, strip clubs, pornographic bookstores, peep shows and erotic massage parlors are primarily aimed at male consumers and perpetuate male domination and female oppression.

A fourth approach, perhaps best described as the communication/message effects approach, has included empirical investigation of pornographic content of adult videos and magazines found in adult bookstores and peep show arcades (Yang & Linz, 1990) and addressed methodological issues in the content analysis of pornography (Linz & Donnerstein, 1988). Other research has investigated the effects of exposure to sexually explicit materials and attitudes toward rape and other forms of sexual violence (Linz, 1989; Linz & Malamuth 1993; Malamuth, Heavey, & Linz, 1993).

Finally, other scholars have examined the impact of businesses such as peep shows on the culture of a community. Mosco (in press), for example, examined the impact of closing Times Square peep shows in New York City, and the marginalization of sex entertainment venues in the community through the process of urban renewal.

In this study, we examined peep shows from a different perspective. We empirically tested assumptions made by religious conservatives and lawmakers regarding the effects of sex businesses on crime and disorder in the space surrounding them.

### ***Time, Place, and Manner Restrictions***

The legal rationale for regulating peep show establishments was laid out most completely in *City of Renton v. Playtime Theatres, Inc.* (1986). The Supreme Court held that a Renton city ordinance could not be aimed at the content of the films shown at adult theaters. However, the Court stated that the ordinance would be upheld as long as the city of Renton showed that its ordinance was designed to serve a substantial government interest, such as reducing crime. Recently, in

*City of Los Angeles v. Alameda Books, Inc., et al.* (2002), the Supreme Court endorsed the secondary effects justification laid out in *Renton* but cautioned that municipalities must find a way to address the alleged secondary effects without reducing the availability of sex-related messages through the elimination of adult businesses. Justice Kennedy asserted in *Alameda Books* that the government cannot reduce secondary effects by reducing speech.

The Supreme Court has also considered the legality of attempts to regulate the form or manner of sexual messages within adult businesses. Several anti-nudity ordinances have been passed by municipalities or states. In 1991, the Court in *Barnes v. Glens Theatre, Inc.* held that the state of Indiana could regulate public nudity by requiring that dancers wear pasties and g-strings. In 2000, in the decision *City of Erie v. Pap's A.M.*, the Court again held that municipalities have the right to pass anti-nudity ordinances on assumption that combating negative secondary effects associated with adult businesses was a legitimate basis for the imposition of such regulations.

Limitations on the times when adult businesses may operate represent another form of restriction. The California State Supreme Court addressed the constitutionality of time restrictions in *People v. Glaze* (1980). The *Glaze* case was concerned with violation of a municipal ordinance requiring picture arcades to remain closed between the hours of 2 a.m. and 9 a.m. The Court held that when an ordinance not uniformly applicable to all commercial enterprises involves restrictions on activities protected by the First Amendment, the government must bear the burden of showing that the regulation is narrowly and explicitly drawn and necessary to further a legitimate government interest. The Court noted that the record before it failed to show either that criminal activity is particularly acute at picture arcades, or that it is prevalent between the hours 2 a.m. and 9 a.m.

The Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals has taken a different position on time-based restrictions of sex speech (*Center for Fair Public Policy v. Maricopa County*, 2003). The Court ruled that the time regulation passed by Maricopa County, Arizona, was valid, despite the large and obvious reduction in expression resulting from the time restrictions. The Court disavowed Justice Kennedy's assertion in *Alameda Books* that the government cannot reduce secondary effects by reducing speech—an effect, it may be argued, that results from limiting the times during the day when adult businesses may operate. The Court opined that Justice Kennedy was not talking about hours of operation restrictions when he said the government cannot reduce secondary effects by reducing speech, since this would have the effect of overturning hundreds of limitations undertaken by communities across the nation, and this, according to the Court of Appeals, was not his intention.

### ***Empirical Study of Secondary Effects of Adult Businesses***

According to the Community Defense Counsel, many land use studies, police investigations, and public health evalu-



ations conducted over the last 30 years document the negative secondary effects that sexually-oriented businesses bring to communities. These adverse impacts include decreased property values, the spread of sexually transmitted diseases (STDs), and increases in crimes ranging from indecent exposure to assault to rape.

Contrary to the assertions made by religious conservative organizations such as the Community Defense Counsel, Paul, Linz, and Shafer (2001) found that among the most frequently cited studies by communities across the United States, none had been subject to scientific peer review and most lacked essential methodological features that would ensure their reliability and validity. For example, the City of Indianapolis, Indiana study (1984) failed to match study and control areas on critical variables; the City of Phoenix, Arizona study (1979) relied on crime data collected for only a one-year period; and the City of Los Angeles study (1977) authors admitted that the police increased surveillance of adult businesses during the study period.

In contrast to the assertions of the Community Defense Counsel, Paul et al. (2001) concluded,

With few exceptions, the methods used in the most frequently cited studies are seriously and often fatally flawed. These studies, relied on by other communities throughout the country, do not adhere to professional standards of scientific inquiry, and nearly all fail to meet the basic assumptions necessary to calculate an error rate—a test of the reliability of findings in science. Those studies that are scientifically credible demonstrate either no negative secondary effects associated with adult businesses or a reversal of the presumed negative effect (p. 1).

Paul et al.'s critique applied to many of the studies used by the city of San Diego as justification for its ordinance.

When municipalities have conducted studies of crime and adult businesses in the past, there has not been a set of methodological criteria or minimum scientific standards to which the cities were required to adhere. First, to ensure accurate and fair comparisons, a control area must be selected that is truly “equivalent” to the area containing the adult entertainment business(es). Since most analyses of secondary effects attempt to uncover increases in crime, professional standards dictate that the control (non-adult) areas must be comparable (matched) with the study (adult) areas on variables related to crime. Of particular importance are that the study and control areas are matched for ethnicity and socioeconomic status of individuals in both areas. Second, a sufficient period of elapsed time following the establishment of an adult entertainment business is necessary when compiling crime data in order to ensure that the study is not detecting an erratic pattern of social activity. Generally, the longer the time period for observation of the events under consideration, the more stable (and more valid) the estimates of the event's effects tend to be (Singleton, Straits, & Straits, 1999). Third, the crime rate must be measured according to the same valid source of data for all areas considered (Campbell & Stanley, 1963). It is especially important that the measurement of crime is based on the same information source for both areas and

throughout the entire study period. For example, if the study area measures crime by the number and type of calls made to the police department, the comparison area must also rely on such a measure when the two areas are compared.

In addition, the crime information source must be factually valid and reliable, such as a daily log kept by police or a compilation of the number of calls-for-service made in a municipality recorded by street address or similar geographical locators. Any change in police surveillance techniques regarding adult entertainment businesses in a particular community must also be noted. Obviously, increased surveillance of an area simply because an adult business is located there will have an impact on the amount of crime detected by the police. If increased police surveillance and the presence of an adult business in a particular area are confounded in this way, it is impossible to tell whether crime has increased due to the presence of the adult business or because of the increased police activity. Finally, an error rate must be calculated. The error rate is the degree of chance a scientist will allow. In the social sciences, it is conventional to set the error rate at 5% or less (i.e., 95 times out of 100 the results could not be obtained by chance).

Recent studies utilizing sound methodological procedures have not found adverse secondary effects associated with adult businesses in the community. For example, Linz, Land, Williams, Paul, and Ezell (2004) sought to determine whether a relationship exists between adult erotic dance clubs and negative secondary effects in the form of increased numbers of crimes reported in the areas surrounding the adult businesses in Charlotte, North Carolina. For each of 20 businesses, a control site, matched on the basis of demographic characteristics related to crime risk, was compared for crime events over a period of three years (1998–2000) using data on crime incidents reported to the police. The presence of an adult nightclub did not increase the number of crime incidents reported in localized areas surrounding the club (defined by circular areas of 500- and 1,000-foot radii) as compared to the number of crime incidents reported in comparable localized areas that did not contain an adult business. The analyses implied the opposite: that areas surrounding adult businesses sites have smaller numbers of reported crime incidents than do corresponding areas surrounding the three control sites studied.

Previous research specifically addressing the possibility of greater adverse effects after hours also did not find the effects alleged by the Community Defense Counsel and lawmakers in San Diego. The city of Phoenix conducted a study in 1994 that examined cabarets, arcades, and bookstores in that community (City of Phoenix Planning Department, 1994). Interviews with residents, police officer interviews, and on-site observations were undertaken to determine what type of activities were taking place outside adult businesses. Calls-for-service to the police were also analyzed by time of day. Additional data from the

police department's Organized Crime Bureau Vice unit about specific violations, such as prostitution and disorderly conduct, noise/disturbance, and loitering, per time of day were also obtained.

The findings of the Phoenix (1994) study directly contradicted the government's assertions that adult businesses cause problems in the community. According to business owners who were surveyed, more vandalism, more crime against customers and businesses, and more money was spent on security in the control areas. Only 2% of police surveyed said adult businesses presented "a lot of problems" requiring their attention, compared to 31% who said the control business areas had "a lot of problems" requiring police attention.

### ***Condemnation of Sex-Related Communication Establishments and Viewpoint Discrimination***

It is important to study empirically the assumptions made by religious conservatives and government officials, because the lack of a quantifiable link between the presence of sexually-oriented businesses in the community and secondary crime effects may have serious consequences for social policy concerning sex communication. If the theory of negative secondary effects does not stand up to empirical scrutiny, the results of this study may point to an incidence of what Justice Souter in the *City of Los Angeles v. Alameda Books, Inc., et al.* (2002) has referred to as a weak demonstration of facts indicating "viewpoint discrimination." Justice Souter formulated a legal test based on the empirical verification of adverse secondary effects of adult businesses. He argued that because courts do not apply strict scrutiny to time, place, and manner regulations, municipalities need to be vigilant about restricting sex-related speech. In his view, sound empirical investigations of presumed adverse secondary effects are helpful in guarding against unconstitutional restrictions of freedom of sexual speech. Justice Souter noted that the weaker the demonstration of empirical facts as separate from disapproval of the viewpoints expressed in adult materials, the greater the likelihood that unconstitutional condemnation of the these viewpoints by the government is occurring.

This study may be considered an application of Justice Souter's viewpoint discrimination test. In this sense, the study is an attempt to determine if San Diego is engaging in disapproval of adult sex speech rather than attempting to regulate sex communication out of concern for empirically-verifiable, adverse secondary effects.

### ***Research Questions***

For each peep show establishment in San Diego, we compared a control area for crime events over a period of five years using data on crime incidents reported to the police. The research was designed to measure the extent to which the peep show establishments contributed to community disorder (i.e., increased crime in the immediate vicinity) compared to the control vicinities beyond the peep show establishments. We asked two questions:

1. Is criminal activity in San Diego particularly acute at peep show establishments compared to surrounding control locations?
2. Is criminal activity in San Diego disproportionately greater at or near peep show establishments between the hours of 2 a.m. and 6 a.m. compared to other times of the day?

### **METHOD**

To ensure accurate and fair comparisons, control areas were selected that were equivalent to the areas containing the peep show establishments. A sufficient period of time (five years) was used when compiling crime data in order to ensure that the study was not merely detecting an erratic pattern of social activity. The crime information source was a compilation of the number of calls for police service made in the municipality recorded by street address or similar geographical locators. Error rates were calculated for the statistics to determine if any observed differences between control and comparison areas were reliable differences or were due to chance (Paul et al., 2001).

The study included several steps. Data on calls-for-service were requested from the San Diego Police Department. Test areas ("inner areas") were established and defined to constitute 1,000 feet on either side of the center point of each peep show establishment on both sides of the street in San Diego (spanning a contiguous distance of 2,000 feet). These areas were measured along the street on which each peep show establishment was located. The width of each test area was determined to ensure all calls-for-service occurring within the 2,000-foot test area, and associated specifically with the street on which the business in question operated, were included in our analysis. Control areas ("outer areas") were established along that same street in the 1,000-foot distance adjacent to these two immediate 1,000-foot inner areas. There were no adult entertainment businesses of any type included in any of the control areas used in this study. The calls-for-service to the police were then plotted using a computerized mapping program.

All calls were plotted based on the longitude and latitude coordinates provided by the city's crime analyst. Comparisons of the number of crime incidents were then made for the inner and outer areas. We also made comparisons for the number of crime incidents occurring between the hours of 2 a.m. to 6 a.m. and those occurring throughout the entire 24 hours of the day.

### ***Locating Peep Show Establishments***

A "peep show establishment," for the purposes of this study, was defined as any place to which the public is permitted or invited where one or more "peep show devices" are maintained. According to the San Diego Municipal Code section 33.3302 a "peep show device" means any device which displays still or moving images that are distinguished or characterized by an emphasis on "specified sexual activities" or "specified anatomical areas." Although



the city of San Diego has 39 adult entertainment businesses, only 19 of those businesses are peep show establishments. Accordingly, for purposes of this study, only data tied to these 19 peep show locations were analyzed. Names and addresses of the 19 peep show establishments are available upon request.

### *Compiling a Crime Incident Database*

The neighborhoods surrounding the peep show establishments constituted the geographical area of the database for this study. A record of all calls-for-service for each police beat that included within it one or more of the city's 39 various adult entertainment businesses, or which included any areas within 2,000 feet of such businesses in the city of San Diego, was obtained from the City of San Diego. (A copy of the list of beats provided by the city may be obtained from the authors). This included a database with five years of Computer Aided Dispatch (CAD) data (January 1997 through December 2001), including (a) incident date and time, (b) incident type, (c) disposition, (d) incident addresses, and (e) XY coordinates for each of the beats.

To retrieve the data, the San Diego Police Department managing analyst researched the crime incident categories to make sure all applicable call types were included in the data retrieval. City analysts determined which of the Department's beats were associated with the requested locations (including the 2,000-foot area on either side of each establishment). We wrote a query to retrieve the data and then performed a download to place it into a database format. The Appendix lists the calls-for-service categories (CAD) used to compile data for the study by the San Diego Police Department data analyst.

### *Spatial Placement of Police Calls*

The Geographic Information System (GIS) expert within the San Diego Police Department suggested that the research on the requested locations should include geo-validation utilizing parcel data to ensure the points used for the radius were placed accurately. This proved to be impossible since the parcel data available through the city's GIS does not include the level of detail required for this type of validation. To overcome any problems associated with the inexact spatial placement of the points representing the addresses and the city's GIS base maps being accurate only to +/- 40 feet at a 95% confidence level, we placed a 1,040 foot radius (instead of a 1,000 foot radius) around locations to determine which beats needed to be included in the data set.

Additionally, since 1997, there have been several changes related to beat boundaries and numbering of beats. The data was extracted to include all appropriate calls, carefully taking into account changes in beat structure or beat boundaries over time.

The XY coordinates provided by the Department are in the Stateplane NAD 83 (California Zone VI) coordinate system. These coordinates provide a more precise location of the global position of the location of the call-for-service.

The resulting data extract included 607,903 calls-for-service records from the San Diego Police Department's CAD system. These records were used in our study.

### *Establishing Test and Control Areas*

The GIS mapping program *Maptitude* was used to establish the 1,000-foot strip on either side of the peep show location (inner area) and to establish an additional 1,000-foot area on each side beyond the inner area. Crime incidents occurring within the two inner 1,000-foot areas were then compared with the incidents in the two outer 1000-foot areas. An example of the placement of the two inner 1,000-foot and two outer 1,000-foot areas surrounding a peep show establishment address may be found in Figure 1.


This inner and outer 1000-foot measurement along city streets was employed because of the arrangement of commercial property in San Diego. As is common throughout California, the commercial zones routinely follow main streets or strips. The advantage to using such a measurement area as a control is that it also contains nearly identical neighborhood characteristics as the test area. This renders the two areas comparable on most dimensions aside from the presence of a peep show establishment.

## RESULTS

### *Police Activity at Peep Shows vs. Control Locations*

We made comparison of calls-for-service to the police for the inner and outer areas surrounding the peep show establishments to determine if criminal activity is high at or near San Diego peep shows compared to surrounding control locations. As shown in Table 1, the amount of crime within the inner and outer areas was nearly identical. For 10 of the peep show locations, crime incidents were higher in the inner 1,000-foot areas than in the outer areas. For nine of the locations, crime was lower in the inner areas compared to the outer areas. This result conforms to what would be expected by chance.

**Figure 1. An example of the placement of the two inner 1,000-ft. and two outer 1,000-ft. areas surrounding the peep show establishment addresses.**



**Table 1. Total Calls-for-Service for Inner and Outer 1000-ft. Areas Surrounding Peep Show Establishments in San Diego, CA**

Peep Show	Total inner	Total outer	Inner/Outer*
Establishment A	2551	2123	1.20
Establishment B	1421	1591	0.89
Establishment C	3444	1569	2.19
Establishment D	2552	2571	0.99
Establishment E	1930	1780	1.08
Establishment F	419	540	0.77
Establishment G	1182	941	1.25
Establishment H	2120	2099	1.01
Establishment I	5328	2304	2.31
Establishment J	1372	1907	0.71
Establishment K	726	558	1.30
Establishment L	306	451	0.67
Establishment M	1221	549	2.22
Establishment N	926	681	1.35
Establishment O	1068	1733	0.61
Establishment P	1424	2126	0.66
Establishment Q	423	605	0.69
Establishment R	332	216	1.53
Establishment S	755	1157	0.65
Average	1552.63	1342.15	1.16

\*Ratios greater than 1 indicate more calls-for-service in the 1,000-foot areas immediately on either side of the peep show establishments than in the adjacent 1,000-foot areas. Ratios below 1 indicate more calls-for-service in the adjacent areas.

To probe this apparent null finding, we conducted a series of statistical tests, beginning with a Mann-Whitney *U* Ranking Test. This is a non-parametric statistical procedure that tests the notion that two sample populations are equivalent in location or origin. The results were Mann-Whitney  $U = 180.00$ , mean rank inner = 19.53, mean rank outer = 19.47,  $z = -.015$ ,  $p = .988$ . This test indicated a failure to reject the null hypothesis that there is no difference between the inner and outer areas around peep show establishments in calls-for-service frequency.

We computed a statistically more powerful two-sample *t*-test for the mean levels of calls-for-service for the inner ( $M = 1,552.6$ ,  $SD = 1,250.70$ ) and outer areas ( $M = 1,342.2$ ,  $SD = 750.36$ ),  $t(36) = .629$ ,  $p = .533$ . This test indicated a failure to reject the null hypothesis at the conventional  $\alpha$  level of .05.

To guard against a Type II error (i.e., falsely accepting the null hypothesis when there is in actuality an effect), we conducted a compromise power analysis using a MS-DOS version of the computerized power calculator “G\*Power” (Erdfelder, Paul, & Buchner, 1996). The power of a statistical test is the probability of rejecting the null hypothesis given that the alternative hypothesis is true (Cohen, 1988; Kraemer & Thieman, 1987; Lipsey, 1990). Power depends on the type of test, the alpha level, the sample size and variance, and the effect size. Generally speaking, bigger samples, larger effect size, larger  $\alpha$ -level, and smaller sample variance will give more power to a statistical test.

In a typical controlled experiment, planned or *a priori* power analysis is often performed to ensure a study would have enough statistical power to reject the null hypothesis.

The goal is to make a decision about the sample size and alpha level that will be used in the study and the target effect size that will be “detectable” with the given level of statistical power. The sample size in this study is limited by the number of peep show establishments in the test area. Thus, an *a priori* power analysis often used to determine a minimum sample size is unsuitable.

Post-hoc or retrospective power analyses are often suggested to guard against a Type II error (i.e., falsely accepting a null hypothesis when there is a true effect) in situations where statistically non-significant results are found. In a post-hoc power analysis, the sample size and alpha-level are known, and the variance observed in the sample provides an estimate of the variance in the population. An inherent problem of a post-hoc power analysis is that when sample size is held constant, power is determined by the effect size. Specifically, the smaller the effect size becomes, the weaker the power would be. Thus, a retrospective power calculation based on observed effect size is rarely useful when increasing sample size is not a viable option to increase power (Thomas & Krebs, 1997). Such inappropriate use of post-hoc power analysis have been documented and discussed in detail by many researchers (Goodman & Berlin, 1994; Lenth, 2001; Levine & Ensom, 2001; Thomas & Krebs, 1997). Based on this logic, a post-hoc power analysis would tell us no more than what can be inferred from the observed *p*-value in this study because our sample size is limited by the number of peep shows in San Diego.

Nevertheless, it has been suggested that it is important to guard against Type II error if a statistically non-significant finding is obtained. In this study, while a primary goal was to test the hypothesis that areas immediately surrounding a peep show establishment would require more police attention than other nearby areas, a more interesting and important question is, if we fail to reject the null hypothesis at alpha level of .05, can we then be confident enough to accept the null hypothesis? The answer would be *no*. The conventional wisdom of setting the alpha level at .05 is based on the assumption that it would be more important to guard against a Type I error (i.e., false positive) than to guard against a Type II error because in most empirical studies, researchers are looking to confirm a hypothesis rather than to disconfirm it. In fact, it would be four times more likely to commit a Type II error than to commit a Type I error at alpha = .05. Thus, to guard against Type II error, a compromise power analysis should be conducted.

Compromise power analyses are primarily used in two situations: (a) for reasons that are beyond a researcher’s control (e.g., working with clinical populations), the sample size is too small to satisfy conventional levels of alpha level and power given the effect size; and (b) given conventional levels of significance, a sample is too large such that even negligible effects would force a rejection of the null hypothesis (Erdfelder et al., 1996). In a compromise power analysis, researchers would specify the relative seriousness of both Type I and Type II errors (Cohen, 1988).



with a beta/alpha ratio. An optimum critical value for the test statistic which satisfies this ratio is then calculated. Given a sample size, this optimum critical value can be regarded as a rational compromise between the demands for a low alpha risk and a large power level, given a fixed sample size.

Our analysis assumed a fixed sample size and the observed effect size and was conducted under the assumption that the relative ratio between alpha and beta is 1 (i.e., an equal likelihood of committing a Type I or Type II error). A new optimum critical value was obtained, two-tailed  $t$ -critical (36) = .78, power = .56, alpha = beta = .44. This analysis indicated a failure to reject the null hypothesis at a new and more liberal critical value.

To account for possible spatial dependence between the inner and outer areas around each peep show location, an OLS regression analysis was also conducted. A challenge associated with geo-coded crime data is the issue of spatial dependency. Criminologists have noticed that crime occurrences in a neighborhood are not independent of each other (Morenoff, Sampson, & Raudenbush, 2001; Smith, Frazee, & Davison, 2000). Thus, statistical models often used in criminology research, such as an Ordinary Least Square (OLS) regression, with data that have geographic units of analysis may violate the assumption of independence. Statistical errors are likely to be correlated across locations because of systematic ordering across spatial units of analysis, such as street blocks or census tracts (Smith et al., 2000). In our study, spatial dependence can be understood as the fact that the crime events in the inner 1,000-foot areas on either side of the adult business are related and influenced by crime events in the adjacent outer areas for each of the peep show locations.

Several techniques have been developed to estimate spatial dependence (Morenoff et al., 2001). The two frequently used forms of spatial dependence models are the spatial lag model and the spatial error model (Anselin, 1988). In this study, we utilized the spatial lag model to control for the influence of spatial dependency. The spatial lag model can be expressed by the following equation:

$$y = \rho Wy + X\beta + \varepsilon$$

where  $y$  is an  $N \times 1$  vector of observations on a dependent variable taken at each of  $\alpha$  locations,  $\rho$  is the spatial dependence coefficient,  $Wy$  is an  $N \times 1$  vector composed of elements of the spatial lags for the dependent variable (i.e., the product of  $W$  and  $y$ , where  $W$  is a  $N \times N$  covariance matrix of the spatial dependency among each locations),  $X$  is an  $N \times k$  matrix of exogenous variables,  $\beta$  is an  $k \times 1$  vector of parameters, and  $\varepsilon$  is a vector of error.

Our regression model used calls-for-service to the police observed in the inner and outer zones surrounding peep show locations as the dependent variable. A spatial lag term was introduced to the regression model before the inner/outer condition variable was entered into the equation in order to control for spatial dependency. We made the assumption that the inner and outer 1,000-foot areas

surrounding each peep show location were spatially interdependent on each other; we assigned an arbitrary weight of .50 to account for this dependence. We also assumed the entire 4,000-foot area around each peep show was independent of the 4,000-foot areas around other peep show locations.

The overall regression model was statistically significant,  $F(2, 35) = 11.92, p < .001$ . The spatial dependency term explained almost 36% of variance in the dependent variable ( $R^2 = .359$ ). However, the variable representing the inner and outer areas did not add significant contribution to the overall predictive power of our model,  $F(1, 35) = 1.684, p = .203$ , once spatial dependence was controlled.

### *Hotspot Analysis of Calls-for-Service*

A “hotspot” analysis was also undertaken. Although there is a voluminous literature on crime hotspots, we used the procedure employed in the Garden Grove study (McCleary & Meeker, 1991) as a precedent. The Garden Grove Study is frequently cited by municipalities across the country as justification for ordinances to limit adult businesses and sex communication.

The authors of the Garden Grove Study undertook a hotspot analysis by listing the relative rank of adult business addresses vs. other business addresses in the immediate surrounding area. This method involves comparing specific adult business addresses with the remaining neighborhood in terms of percentage of crime and the relative ranks of addresses. They reasoned that if the adult business accounted for 10-25% of crimes in a neighborhood, they constituted a significant source of crime events. They also computed the relative ranking of the adult business address among all addresses on Garden Grove Boulevard. They concluded that because three to five of the six adult businesses were found at the top 10 hotspots, this finding further bolstered their conclusion that these businesses were a significant source of crime. We employed the percentage and ranking method employed in the Garden Grove Study to crime events in San Diego.

Hotspot analyses pinpoint the exact source of the calls for service to the police. These results are summarized in Table 2. The analyses were conducted only for the 10 inner areas that had a greater number of calls than the control outer areas. Within each of the 10 inner areas we identified the 15 street addresses that had the greatest number of calls-for-service. These analyses indicated that in 6 of the 10 inner areas, the peep show was not among the top 15 addresses most frequently brought to the police’s attention through calls-for-service.

For the four peep shows ranked 15th and below, we conducted further analysis. The number of calls-for-service to the police at these four peep show establishments accounted for no more than 4% of the total calls-for-service in their respective test area. In the one instance where a peep show establishment did appear at the number 3 rank among the top 15 street addresses, that peep show establishment had an average of less than one incident per year

**Table 2. Calls-for-Service Hotspot Analyses in Areas Surrounding Peep Show Establishments in San Diego, CA**

Peep Shows	CFSs from Peep Shows	% of Total Rank	CFSs from top Inner	Hotspot
Establishment E	73	5	3.7%	316
Establishment H	34	12	1.7%	98
Establishment G	20	14	1.7%	104
Establishment R	4	3	1.2%	46

at its address. Finally, in no instance did the number of calls-for-service at any of the peep show establishments approach the frequencies of the top hotspot street addresses within the inner areas.

***Police Activity Between 2 a.m. and 6 a.m. Compared to Other Times of Day***

Three analyses were undertaken to answer the question of whether police activity in San Diego was disproportionately greater at or near peep show establishments between the hours of 2 a.m. and 6 a.m. compared to other times of day. We used the first analysis to determine if the number of calls-for-service was greater than would be expected given the number of hours comprising a day. Table 3 shows the results of analyses of the calls-for-service between the hours of 2 a.m. and 6 a.m. and a comparison of the frequency of these calls to the entire 24 hour period. If calls were distributed equally across the day, approximately 17% of those calls would be expected in the four-hour period between 2 a.m. to 6 a.m. If crime were a particularly acute problem at this time of day, it may be expected that more than 17% of the total criminal activity

**Table 3. Calls-for-Service for Inner 1,000-ft. Areas Surrounding Peep Show Establishments in San Diego Between the Hours of 2 a.m. and 6 a.m. as a Proportion of the Entire 24-Hour Period**

Peep show	Total inner	Inner 2-6	Inner 2-6/ Total inner
Establishment A	2551	249	0.09
Establishment B	1421	146	0.10
Establishment C	3444	463	0.13
Establishment D	2552	340	0.13
Establishment E	1930	247	0.12
Establishment F	419	44	0.10
Establishment G	1182	142	0.12
Establishment H	2120	201	0.09
Establishment I	5328	830	0.15
Establishment J	1372	140	0.10
Establishment K	726	89	0.12
Establishment L	306	27	0.08
Establishment M	1221	170	0.13
Establishment N	926	114	0.12
Establishment O	1068	135	0.12
Establishment P	1424	131	0.09
Establishment Q	423	39	0.09
Establishment R	332	21	0.06
Establishment S	755	76	0.10
Average	1552.63	189.68	0.11

would occur during that time. The results displayed in Table 3 show that 11% of calls-for-service occurred in the inner areas during the 2 a.m. to 6 a.m. period, contrary to the expectation of 17%.

Second, we compared this hours-based data to data from the control areas. Table 4 presents the results of these analyses. The percentage in the outer areas is on average 10.6, nearly identical to the 11% figure found for the inner areas immediately surrounding the peep show establishments.

The third step was to compare the inner areas and the outer areas using only those calls-for-service that occurred between 2 a.m. and 6 a.m.; Table 5 shows the results of these analyses. There was a nearly identical percentage of crime occurring in the inner areas as compared to the outer areas, indicated by the average ratio of inner to outer areas, which is very close to 1.00. As further confirmation a statistical test was performed, Mann-Whitney  $U = 176.00$ , inner mean rank = 19.74, outer mean rank = 19.26,  $z = -.131$ . The results of this test indicate there was no difference between the inner and outer areas in their call-for-service frequencies between the hours of 2 a.m. and 6 a.m.

We also performed a more powerful statistical test on the mean ratio between calls-for-service frequencies between 2 a.m. and 6 a.m. and the entire day for the inner areas ( $M = .11$ ,  $SD = .02$ ) and outer areas ( $M = .10$ ,  $SD = .02$ ) surrounding peep show establishments. The result of this test,  $t(36) = .77$ ,  $p = .45$ , indicated a failure to reject the null hypothesis at  $\alpha = .05$ .

We also conducted a compromise power analysis. Given fixed sample size and the observed effect size, a new optimum critical value was obtained under the assumption that the relative seriousness ratio between alpha and beta is 1 (two-tailed  $t$ -critical (36) = 1.54, power = .70). The results of

**Table 4. Calls-for-Service for the Outer 1,000-ft. Areas Surrounding Peep Show Establishments in San Diego Between the Hours of 2 a.m. and 6 a.m. Compared to the Remaining Time of Day**

Peep show	Total outer	Outer 2-6	Outer 2-6/Total
Establishment A	2123	282	0.13
Establishment B	1591	207	0.13
Establishment C	1569	152	0.09
Establishment D	2571	325	0.12
Establishment E	1780	172	0.09
Establishment F	540	51	0.09
Establishment G	941	129	0.13
Establishment H	2099	194	0.09
Establishment I	2304	237	0.10
Establishment J	1907	204	0.10
Establishment K	558	59	0.10
Establishment L	451	42	0.09
Establishment M	549	61	0.11
Establishment N	681	52	0.07
Establishment O	1733	244	0.14
Establishment P	2126	179	0.08
Establishment Q	605	69	0.11
Establishment R	216	16	0.07
Establishment S	1157	123	0.10
Average	1324.16	147.26	0.102



**Table 5. Calls-for-Service for Inner and Outer 1,000-ft. Areas Surrounding Peep Show Establishments in San Diego Between the Hours of 2 a.m. and 6 a.m. Compared to the Remaining Time of Day**

Peep show	Inner area	Outer area	Outer/Inner
Establishment A	249	282	1.13
Establishment B	146	207	1.41
Establishment C	463	152	0.32*
Establishment D	340	325	0.95*
Establishment E	247	172	0.69*
Establishment F	44	51	1.15
Establishment G	142	129	0.90*
Establishment H	201	194	0.96*
Establishment I	830	237	0.28*
Establishment J	140	204	1.45
Establishment K	89	59	0.66*
Establishment L	27	42	1.55
Establishment M	170	61	0.35*
Establishment N	114	52	0.45*
Establishment O	135	244	1.80
Establishment P	131	179	1.36
Establishment Q	39	69	1.76
Establishment R	21	16	0.76*
Establishment S	76	123	1.61
Averages	189.68	147.26	1.03

\* Higher number of crime incidents in the areas immediately surrounding the peep show establishments than in outer areas.

this analysis indicated a failure to reject the null hypothesis at a much more liberal level of  $\alpha = \beta = .30$ .

#### ***Hotspot Analysis of Calls-for-Service between 2 a.m. and 6 a.m.***

A crime “hotspot” analysis was also undertaken. The 10 locations that had more crime in the inner than outer areas between the hours of 2 a.m. and 6 a.m. were identified. Within each of these 10 inner areas, we identified the 15 street addresses that had the greatest number of calls-for-service. Eight peep show establishments ranked below 15. Table 6 displays the results of the hotspot analysis for these eight establishments. The number of calls-for-service at these eight businesses accounted for no more than 6.5% of the total calls-for-service in the 2,000-foot areas immediately adjacent to the peep show establishments. Additionally, the number of calls for service at any of the individual peep show establishments did not remotely

**Table 6. Calls-for-Service Hotspot Analyses in Areas Surrounding Peep Show Establishments in San Diego Between the Hours of 2 a.m. and 6 a.m.**

Peep Shows	CFS from Peep shows	Rank	Percent of Total Inner	CFSs from top “Hotspot”
Establishment C	13	10	2.8%	190
Establishment E	16	4	6.5%	60
Establishment D	10	5	2.9%	51
Establishment I	10	10	1.2%	120
Establishment M	9	5	5.3%	16
Establishment G	7	3	4.9%	22
Establishment H	5	6	2.5%	17
Establishment N	3	9	2.6%	34

approach the frequencies of the top hotspot street addresses within the inner areas.

#### **DISCUSSION**

The city of San Diego claimed, consistent with the place and time theory about sex businesses advanced by religious conservatives, that regulation was needed to further a substantial interest in combating harmful crime effects in the geographical locations surrounding adult peep show establishments, particularly during late-night hours. In the study presented here, we obtained empirical evidence and used it to test the governmental and religious assumptions of harm to the community from these establishments.

Overall, the results of the analyses suggest police activity in a given geographical space is not significantly related to the presence of peep show establishments in San Diego. Not only were calls-for-service to the police not acute at or near peep show establishments compared to surrounding control locations, but the peep show addresses themselves appeared to be among the least frequent sites coming to police attention. Further, the data showed no indication of a disproportionately greater amount of criminal activity near peep shows in San Diego between the hours of 2 a.m. and 6 a.m. compared to other times of the day. In fact, there were substantially *fewer* crimes than would be expected by a random distribution model.

Two features of our analyses strengthen confidence in the findings of this study. First, in order to guard against a Type II error (i.e., falsely accepting the null hypothesis when it should be rejected), we conducted a power analysis. This analysis indicated that the study design permitted a sufficient amount of statistical power to allow for confidence in the null results. Second, to account for the possible spatial dependence between calls-for-service in the inner and outer areas around each peep show location, we conducted analyses controlling for spatial dependence. Even after we controlled for the correlation of crime events between the areas surrounding the peep show and adjacent areas, there was no greater number of crime incidents in the peep show areas compared to the control areas than what would be expected by chance.

#### ***Crime Measurement and Null Findings in This Study***

The results of this investigation suggest it may be best not to assume adverse secondary effects in the form of greater police activity and crime emanating from adult businesses such as peep show establishments. However, the null findings do not obviate the need for future research. Although the study found no statistically significant effects with the measures and tests employed here, there is no guarantee that an alternate means of measurement might not yield an association between adult businesses such as peep shows and adverse secondary effects.

Criminologists use a variety of measures of crime; each measure has advantages and disadvantages. All measures have error associated with them and may be biased. One procedure that might have contributed to the null findings

in the present study is the use of calls-for-service to the police as a measure of crime and disorder. Using calls-for-service as the outcome variable may have introduced error in detecting crime events. This error may potentially decrease the probability of finding a negative effect for peep shows in the community and increase the probability of accepting the null hypothesis of no effects.

Many criminologists have employed citizens' telephone calls-for-service (CFSs) to police dispatch centers to measure crime at the address (Sherman, Gartin, & Burger, 1989), neighborhood (Bursik, Grasmick & Chamlin, 1990; Warner & Pierce, 1993), and city (Bursik & Grasmick, 1993) levels. According to its proponents, the CFSs measure offers a more valid description of aggregate levels of crime than either police records collated in the FBI's Uniform Crime Reports (UCR) or victimization data collected in the National Crime Survey.

At least two factors contribute to measurement bias in UCR data: citizens' decisions about whether to notify the police about criminal activity and police decisions about whether to take reports when citizens inform them that crimes have occurred (e.g., Black, 1970). Sources of bias in victimization data include, but are not limited to, citizens' failure to report crimes to interviewers, as well as other problems that are common to survey research, such as errors associated with interviewer effects, interviewee memory problems, and other response biases (e.g., Bailey, Moore & Bailer, 1978; Biderman & Lynch, 1991).

The most serious source of bias in CFSs data is the process by which police discover crimes: many come to their attention via means other than phone calls to dispatch centers. For example, citizens sometimes report crimes directly to officers on patrol and at station houses. Further, officers often observe criminal activity while patrolling their beats (Reiss, 1971). Errors in CFSs crime counts also vary according to neighborhood. Dispatch data are more likely to undercount the total number of crimes that come to the attention of the police in neighborhoods where residents believe that officers respond more slowly to their calls, where residents are more fearful of crime, and where they experience more criminal victimization.

Calls-for-service to the police were used in this study because other studies have relied on this measure of police and crime activity. These studies are routinely used as a means of justifying legislation restricting adult businesses, or in the absence of negative effects, have been cited as evidence for a lack of secondary effects. The most important of these studies is the Fulton County Georgia police study. The 11<sup>th</sup> Circuit Court U.S. of Appeals has cited this study as one that is particularly applicable to the secondary effects debate. The statistical information included in that study was obtained through the Fulton County Police Department computerized incident and calls-for-service reporting program (*Flanigan's Enters. v. Fulton County*, 2002).

Further, it has been generally agreed upon by criminal justice researchers that CFSs are a valid measure of the uti-

lization of police resources. This is particularly true with regard to time utilization by police. Thus, the measure may be especially useful in an hours-of-operation study. Often police resources are limited late at night. This scarcity of police personnel has been used as a justification by governments for curtailing First Amendment-related sex communication businesses after hours. To the extent that the findings of this study are limited to police resource utilization, concerns about measurement error and null findings are probably unwarranted.

A suggestion for future secondary effects studies is that researchers also rely on incident data in addition to calls-for-service in order to reduce the possibility of mistakenly accepting a null finding due to crime measurement error. The U.S. Department of Justice is replacing its long-established Uniform Crime Reporting (UCR) system with the more comprehensive and potentially more valid National Incident-Based Reporting System (NIBRS). NIBRS collects a wide range of information on victims, offenders, and circumstances for a greatly increased variety of offenses. Moreover, NIBRS collects information on multiple victims, multiple offenders, and multiple crimes that may be part of the same episode. The use of these more rigorously collected and more comprehensive incident-based data may allow for more confidence to be placed in future null findings should they be obtained.

### ***Condemnation of Sex-Related Communication Establishments, Public Space, Time, and Viewpoint Discrimination***

What does the lack of empirical evidence of a relationship between sexually-oriented businesses in the community and secondary crime effects, both during regular and late-night hours in San Diego, mean regarding the city's underlying rationale for regulating sex oriented businesses? Were the city to press ahead with legislation despite a lack of empirical evidence of adverse secondary effects, it may be an incidence of what Justice Souter in the *City of Los Angeles v. Alameda Books, Inc.* (2002) has referred to as a weak demonstration of facts indicating viewpoint discrimination.

In *Alameda*, Justice Souter said sound empirical investigations of presumed adverse secondary effects are helpful in guarding against unconstitutional restrictions of freedom of sexual speech. Lacking empirical proof of its own, the city of San Diego may be engaging in disapproval of adult speech rather than attempting to regulate sex communication out of concern for adverse secondary effects.

### **REFERENCES**

- Anselin, L. (1988). *Spatial econometrics: Methods and models*. Dordrecht, Holland: Kluwer Academic.
- Bailey, L., Moore T. F., & Bailer, B. A. (1978). An interviewer's variance study of the national crime study city sample. *Journal of the American Statistical Association*, 73, 16-23.
- Barnes v. Glens Theatre Inc., 501 U.S. 560 (1991).
- Bartky, S. L. (1990). *Femininity and domination: Studies in the phenomenology of oppression*. New York: Routledge.
- Biderman, A. D., & Lynch, J. P. (1991). Understanding crime statistics:



- Why the UCR diverges from the NCS. New York: Springer-Verlag.
- Black, D. J. (1970). Production of crime rates. *American Sociological Review*, 35, 733-748.
- Brooks, G. R. (1995). *The centerfold syndrome: How men can overcome objectification and achieve intimacy with women*. San Francisco, CA: Jossey-Bass.
- Bursik, R. J. Jr., & Grasmick, H. G. (1993). The use of multiple indicators to estimate crime trends in American cities. *Journal of Criminal Justice*, 29, 509-516.
- Bursik, R. J. Jr., Crasmick, H. G., & Chamlin, M. B. (1990). The effect of longitudinal arrest patterns on the development of robbery trends at the neighborhood level. *Criminology*, 28, 431-450.
- Campbell, D. T., & Stanley, J. C. (1963). *Experimental and quasi-experimental designs for research*. Chicago: Rand McNally.
- Center For Fair Public Policy v. Maricopa County, Arizona*, 336 F.3d 1153 (9th Cir. 2003).
- City of Erie v. Pap's A.M.*, 529 U.S. 277 (2000).
- City of Indianapolis, Indiana*. (1984). *Adult entertainment businesses in Indianapolis—An analysis*.
- City of Los Angeles, California*. (1977). *Study of the effects of the concentration of adult entertainment establishments in the city of Los Angeles*.
- City of Los Angeles v. Alameda Books, Inc.*, 535 U.S. 425 (2002).
- City of Phoenix, Arizona*. (1979). *Relation of criminal activity and adult businesses*.
- City of Phoenix Planning Department*. (1994, June). *Adult business study: Impacts in late evening/Early morning hours*.
- City of Renton v. Playtime Theatres, Inc.*, 475 U.S. 41. (1986).
- Cohen, J. (1988). *Statistical power analysis for the behavioral sciences* (2nd Ed.). Hillsdale, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates, Inc.
- Community Defense Counsel. (2004). *Secondary effects* [Online]. Available <http://www.communitydefense.org/seffects.cfm>.
- Edwards, S. S. M. (1993). Selling the body, keeping the soul: Sexuality, power, the theories and realities of prostitution. In S. Scott & D. Morgan (Eds.), *Body matters: Essays on the sociology of the body* (pp. 89-104). Washington, DC: Falmer Press.
- Erdfelder, E., Paul, F., & Buchner, A. (1996). G\*POWER: A general power analysis program. *Behaviour. Research Methods, Instruments, and Computers*, 28, 1-11.
- Flanagan's Enterprises, Inc. v. Fulton County, Georgia*. 242 F.3d 976. (11th Cir.2001).
- Frank, K. (2003). "Just trying to relax": Masculinity, masculinizing practices, and strip club regulars. *The Journal of Sex Research*. 40, 61-75.
- Fuller, R., & Miller, S. (1997, June). *Fulton County Police: Study of calls for service to adult entertainment establishments which serve alcohol beverages*.
- Good, G. E., & Sherrod, N. B. (1997). Men's resolution of nonrelational sex across the lifespan. In R. F. Levant & G. R. Brooks (Eds.), *Men and sex: New psychological perspectives* (pp. 181-204). New York: Wiley.
- Goodman, S. N., & Berlin, J. A. (1994). The use of predicted confidence intervals when planning experiments and the misuse of power when interpreting the results. *Annals of Internal Medicine*, 121, 200-206.
- Kraemer, H. C., & Thiemann, S. (1987). *How many subjects?* London: Sage.
- Lenth, R. V. (2001). Some practical guidelines for effective sample size determination. *The American Statistician*, 55, 187-193.
- Levine, M., & Ensom, M. H. H. (2001). Post hoc power analysis: An idea whose time has passed. *Pharmacotherapy*, 21, 405-409.
- Linz, D. (1989). Exposure to sexually explicit materials and attitudes toward rape: A comparison of study results. *The Journal of Sex Research*, 26, 50-84.
- Linz, D., & Donnerstein, E. (1988). Methodological issues in the content analysis of pornography. *Journal of Law Reform*, 21, 47-53.
- Linz, D., Land, K. C., Williams, J. R., Paul, B., & Ezell, M. (2004). An examination of the assumption that adult businesses are associated with crime in surrounding areas: A secondary effects study in Charlotte, North Carolina. *Law & Society Review*, 38, 69-104.
- Linz, D., & Malamuth, N. M. (1993). Communication concepts 5: Pornography. In S. Chaffee (Ed.), *Communication concepts series*. Newbury Park, CA: Sage.
- Lipsey, M. W. (1990). *Design sensitivity: Statistical power for experimental research*. Newbury Park, CA: Sage.
- Malamuth, N. M., Heavey, C. L., & Linz, D. (1993). Predicting men's anti-social behavior against women: The interaction model of sexual aggression. In G. C. N. Hall, R. Hirschman, J. Graham, & M. Zaragoza (Eds.) *Sexual aggression: Issues in etiology, assessment and treatment* (pp. 63-97). Washington, DC: Hemisphere.
- McLeary, R., & Meeker, J. W. (1991, October). *Final report to the city of Garden Grove: The relationship between crime and adult business operations on Garden Grove Boulevard*.
- Morenoff, J. D., Sampson, R. J., & Raudenbush, S. W. (2001). Neighborhood inequality, collective efficacy, and the spatial dynamics of urban violence. *Criminology*, 29, 517-559.
- Mosco, V. (in press). New York.com: A political economy of the "informational" city. *The Journal of Media Economics*.
- Newport News, Virginia. (1996, March). *Newport News Department of Planning and Development*.
- Paul, B., Linz, D., & Shafer, B. (2001). Governmental regulation of "adult" businesses through zoning and anti-nudity ordinances: Debunking the legal myth of negative secondary effects. *Communication Law & Policy*, 6, 255-391.
- People v. Glaze*, 27 Cal. 3d 841, 166 Cal. Rptr. 859. (1980).
- Reiss, A. J. (1971). *The police and the public*. New Haven, CT: Yale University Press.
- Schlosser, E. (1997, February 10). The business of pornography. *U.S. News & World Report*, 122, 43-52.
- Sherman, L. W., Gartin, P. R., & Burger, W. M. (1989). Hot spots of predatory crime: Routine activities and the criminology of place. *Criminology*, 27, 27-55.
- Singleton, R. A., Straits, B. C., & Straits, M. M. (1999). *Approaches to social research*. London: Oxford University Press.
- Smith, W. R., Frazee, S. G., & Davison, E. D. (2000). Furthering the integration of routine activity and social theories: Small units of analysis and the study of street robbery as a diffusion process. *Criminology*, 38, 483-523.
- Tewksbury, R. (1990). Patrons of porn: Research notes on the clientele of adult bookstores. *Deviant Behavior*, 11, 259-271.
- Thomas, L., & Krebs, C. J. (1997). A review of statistical power analysis software. *Bulletin of the Ecological Society of America*, 78, 126-139.
- Warner, B. D., & Pierce, G. L. (1993). Reexamining social disorganization theory using calls for services as a measure of crime. *Criminology*, 31, 493-518.
- Yang, N., & Linz, D. (1990). Movie ratings and the content of adult videos: The sex-violence ratio. *Journal of Communication*, 40, 28-42.

# APPENDIX

Calls-for-service categories (CAD) used to compile data for the peep show establishment study by the San Diego Police Department data analyst.\*

Description	Category	Description	Category
Arson in Progress	Arson	Disturbing Peace Noise Only	Disturbing the Peace
Arson Report	Arson	Disturbing Peace/Noise-Cost Rec	Disturbing the Peace
Battery	Arson	Preserve the Peace	Disturbing the Peace
Battery Report	Arson	Disturbing Peace w/Violence	Disturbing the Peace
ADW	Arson	Party Call	Disturbing the Peace
245 Suspect There Now	Arson	Drunk-Drugs/Alcohol	Drunk in Public
ADW Report	Arson	DWI	DUI
ADW-All Units Information	Arson	DWI With Cost Recovery	DUI
Threatening w/Weapon	Arson	DWI-All Units Information	DUI
Threatening w/Weapon Report	Arson	Indecent Exposure	Indecent Exposure
Tampering w/Veh in Progress	Auto Burglary	Indecent Exposure Report	Indecent Exposure
Vehicle Alarm/Audible	Auto Burglary	Murder	Murder
Vehical Caser	Auto Burglary	187 Suspect There Now	Murder
Tampering w/Veh Report	Auto Burglary	Murder-All Units Information	Murder
Auto Theft	Auto Theft	Ambulance Call, Overdose	Narcotics
Eval-Poss Unreported Car Theft	Auto Theft	Description	Category
Auto Theft (GPS Vehicle)	Auto Theft	Narcotics Activity	Narcotics
Car Theft Report	Auto Theft	Robbery	Robbery
Car Theft Recovery Report	Auto Theft	211 Suspect There Now	Robbery
Auto Theft All Units Info	Auto Theft	Robbery Alarm	Robbery
Stolen Veh-Lojack	Auto Theft	Robbery Caser	Robbery
Varda Alarm	Auto Theft	Robbery Car Jacking	Robbery
Prowler	Burglary	Robbery-Pronet	Robbery
Burglary in Progress	Burglary	Robbery Report	Robbery
459 Suspect There Now	Burglary	Robbery-All Units Information	Robbery
Burglary Alarm	Burglary	Assault-Sex Crime	Sexual Assault/Rape
Burglary Caser	Burglary	Assault-Sex Crime Report	Sexual Assault/Rape
Burglary Hot Prowl	Burglary	Rape	Sexual Assault/Rape
Burglary Report	Burglary	261 Suspect There Now	Sexual Assault/Rape
Child Molest	Child Molest	Rape Caser	Sexual Assault/Rape
288 Suspect There Now	Child Molest	Rape Report	Sexual Assault/Rape
Child Molest Caser	Child Molest	Discharging Firearms	Shooting at Home/Dwelling
Child Molest Report	Child Molest	Firing At Occupied Hse/Veh	Shooting at Home/Dwelling
Disturbing Peace	Disturbing the Peace	Firing At Occp'd Hse/Veh 1110	Shooting at Home/Dwelling
Dist Peace-Capp House	Disturbing the Peace	Firing At Unoccupied Veh	Shooting at Home/Dwelling
Domestic Vio/Occurring Now	Disturbing the Peace	Firing At Unoccupied Veh/Hse 1110	Shooting at Home/Dwelling
		Vandalism, In Progress	Vandalism
		Vandalism, Report	Vandalism
		Tagger	Vandalism

\*There is no call type associated specifically with lewd conduct. These calls overlap with and are included in child molest or indecent exposure categories. Residential and commercial burglaries do not have separately defined call types in CAD. There is no call types associated with truancy in CAD.



Hindawi Publishing Corporation  
Journal of Criminology  
Volume 2014, Article ID 783461, 14 pages  
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1155/2014/783461>

## Research Article

# Are Adult Businesses Crime Hotspots? Comparing Adult Businesses to Other Locations in Three Cities

**Christopher Seaman and Daniel Linz**

*University of California, Santa Barbara, CA 93106-4020, USA*

Correspondence should be addressed to Christopher Seaman; [cseaman@umail.ucsb.edu](mailto:cseaman@umail.ucsb.edu)

Received 9 September 2013; Revised 14 December 2013; Accepted 9 January 2014

Academic Editor: Paul B. Stretesky

Copyright © 2014 C. Seaman and D. Linz. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

This study addresses three questions pertinent to the debate concerning the secondary crime effects of adult businesses. (1) Are adult businesses hotspots for crime? (2) How do adult businesses compare with controls with regard to crime? (3) What subclasses of adult business are most likely to be associated with crime? A study of three cities reveals that adult businesses tended to fall outside the heaviest concentrations of criminal activity. Further, adult bookstores were less related to crime than both cabarets and on-site liquor-serving establishments. While adult cabarets were associated with ambient crime, crime was generally equivalent to nonadult liquor-serving establishments. A weighted intensity value analyses revealed that crime generally was more “intense” around liquor-serving establishments than around adult cabarets across the municipalities. These findings suggest that the relationship between cabarets and crime is not due to the presence of adult entertainment per se but rather due to the presence of liquor service. This finding is consistent with central precept of routine activities theory that areas that contain public establishments that serve alcohol facilitate crime.

## 1. Are Adult Businesses Crime Hotspots? Comparing Adult Businesses to Other Locations in Three Cities

Historically, courts have allowed cities and municipalities to regulate adult businesses featuring sexual expression via time, place, and manner restrictions, such as zoning ordinances, that limit where these businesses may locate. These regulations are justified under the assumption that governmental bodies have a substantial interest in combating the alleged “adverse secondary effects,” such as crime thought to be associated with these businesses [1]. Furthermore, in the case *City of Renton v. Playtime Theatres, Inc* [2], the U.S. Supreme Court has ruled that there need only be a “reasonable” belief that adult businesses are associated with secondary effects and that cities and municipalities need not rely upon studies from within their own community to establish that secondary effects occur but can instead draw upon evidence from other communities

The evidentiary standard to be used for the regulation of adult businesses was further refined by the court in the case

*City of Los Angeles v. Alameda Books* [3]. While the plurality said that Los Angeles had met the reasonableness standards set forth in the earlier *Renton* decision, Justice O’Connor, delivering the opinion of the Court, wrote the following.

*This is not to say that a municipality can get away with shoddy data or reasoning. The municipality’s evidence must fairly support the municipality’s rationale for its ordinance. If plaintiffs fail to cast direct doubt on this rationale, either by demonstrating that the municipality’s evidence does not support its rationale or by furnishing evidence that disputes the municipality’s factual findings, the municipality meets the standard set forth in Renton. If plaintiffs succeed in casting doubt on a municipality’s rationale in either manner, the burden shifts back to the municipality to supplement the record with evidence renewing support for a theory that justifies its ordinance.*

However, much of the empirical evidence for the alleged secondary effects accumulated by cities and municipalities is,

TABLE 1: Person crimes intensity values across East Hartford, Milford, and Richmond.

Point sources	East Hartford		Milford		Richmond	
	Average	Median	Average	Median	Average	Median
Cabaret	49.51	49.51	8.98	8.98	14.18	14.18
On-site liquor	26.71	14.78	8.52	4.26	16.51	12.09
Off-site liquor	22.20	20.16	5.03	5.18	N/A	N/A
Bookstores	11.06	11.06	4.92	4.90	8.24	5.86
Hotspots	39.93	26.25	9.37	7.51	40.78	30.08

TABLE 2: Property crimes intensity values across East Hartford, Milford, and Richmond.

Point sources	East Hartford		Milford		Richmond	
	Average	Median	Average	Median	Average	Median
Cabaret	114.35	114.35	50.31	50.31	50.88	49.67
On-site liquor	61.63	48.31	256.61	83.42	54.21	43.69
Off-site liquor	79.06	64.47	94.98	61.74	N/A	N/A
Bookstores	34.94	34.94	53.12	48.45	40.78	35.77
Hotspots	94.13	79.50	183.16	108.09	83.99	74.40

at best, inconclusive and may not meet the *Alameda* evidentiary standard [4]. More recent empirical examinations of the potential public safety hazards posed by adult businesses in communities in which they are located, such as San Diego, several cities in Ohio, Charlotte North Carolina, and several other municipalities, have been undertaken by Linz and his associates [5–8], as well as other researchers examining adult business in San Antonio [9]. These investigations suggest that adult businesses do not pose the public safety hazards assumed by municipalities and the courts.

Although studies published in peer-reviewed journals with a contrary view are few in number, at least one other author has claimed to find secondary crime effects for adult businesses, in contrast to the null findings of the Linz et al. and Enriquez et al. investigations. McCleary [10] claims to have found empirical evidence of crime increases when an adult entertainment business opened on an interstate highway off-ramp to a small rural village. To explain these findings McCleary relies on a variation of the hotspot theory of routine activities. In addition, McCleary [11] asserts that subclasses of adult businesses do not differ in ambient crime risk, a finding later contradicted by Seaman and Linz [8].

The present study addresses four questions pertinent to the debate concerning secondary crime effects of adult businesses, in light of the criminological theory of hotspots and routine activities. First, we ask the following. Are businesses that feature adult entertainment located in several cities in the Eastern United States hotspots for crime? How do adult businesses compare with other businesses in the community as places that attract crime? What types or subclasses of adult business are most likely to be associated with crime?

TABLE 3: Vice/disorder crimes intensity value across East Hartford, Milford, and Richmond.

Point sources	East Hartford		Milford		Richmond	
	Average	Median	Average	Median	Average	Median
Cabaret	39.04	39.04	13.83	13.83	12.62	15.22
On-site liquor	12.87	9.50	24.37	15.91	14.29	7.35
Off-site liquor	8.19	6.95	18.99	15.24	N/A	N/A
Bookstores	4.23	4.23	18.69	17.35	8.06	4.59
Hotspots	21.52	11.37	39.08	30.98	46.2	41.84

TABLE 4: Sex crimes intensity values across East Hartford, Milford, and Richmond.

Point sources	East Hartford		Milford		Richmond	
	Average	Median	Average	Median	Average	Median
Cabaret	0.96	0.96	1.34	1.34	0.21	0.00
On-site liquor	1.66	1.00	1.80	0.92	0.19	0.00
Off-site liquor	1.52	1.45	0.87	0.32	N/A	N/A
Bookstores	1.00	1.00	0.80	0.83	0.06	0.00
Hotspots	4.93	3.57	3.51	2.65	1.20	1.00

## 2. Hotspot Theory of Routine Activities

Routine activities theory is derived from a rational choice perspective which maintains that committing a crime is a purposive behavior that the criminal believes will benefit him in some way [12]. The theory states, in part, that crime activity is the result of a convergence in time and space of a likely offender, a suitable target, and the absence of capable guardians to prevent the crime. The more often that these three factors converge, the more often crime will occur. Further, the more valuable the target is perceived to be, or the easier it is to victimize the target, the more likely offenders will commit a predatory crime. Constructs from routine activities theory and environmental criminology have been used to explain crime trends in a variety of urban locations (see, e.g., [13]).

An empirical observation important to this theoretical perspective is the finding that the majority of crimes in any given city occur in a few geographic areas or “hotspots.” Ratcliffe and McCullagh [14] define hotspots as “aggregations of the raw crime data, designed to identify the sites of highest incident concentrations” (page 385). For example, Sherman et al. [15] found that, in Minneapolis, 50 percent of all calls to the police regarding predatory crime resulting in a patrol car being dispatched went to only 3 percent of all the addresses or intersections in the entire city. Consistent with the theory, research has shown that these crime hotspots are, indeed, often the result of the convergence of offenders, targets, and guardians in space and time [15]. For example, Ratcliffe et al. [16] found that increasing police foot patrols in areas known to be hotspots for violent crime led to a significant decrease of





Crimes in East Hartford, CT  
 ▲ Adult bookstores  
 ▲ Adult cabarets  
 • Mixed beverage locations



FIGURE 1: Crime kernel density estimation for East Hartford, CT.



Crimes in Milford, CT  
 ▲ Adult bookstores  
 ▲ Adult cabarets  
 • Mixed beverage locations



FIGURE 2: Crime kernel density estimation for Milford, CT.

violent crime rates compared to controls. Weisburd et al. [17] also found that higher concentrations of motivated offenders (in this case, juveniles at high risk for committing crimes) were associated with a higher likelihood of the area being a crime hotspot. In addition, Ratcliffe and McCullagh [14] have found evidence to suggest that hotspots can be categorized into one of two broad categories: hotspots, which are single remote locations characterized by a high incidence of crime, and hotbeds, which are a grouping of discrete locations with high incidence of crime that are spatially proximal.

*2.1. Sexually Oriented Businesses as Crime “Hotspots”.* McCleary [11] has modified the routine activities theory of hotspots and applied this modified theory to adult businesses. McCleary maintains that sexually oriented businesses draw potential targets (customers) to the area around the businesses that are attractive to criminals based on the “presumed” characteristics of these customers such as lack of residence in the neighborhood, being disproportionately male (and thus more likely to enter an adult business alone rather than with a group of friends), being open to vice overtures (i.e., offers of drugs, prostitution), carrying cash, and being reluctant to report their victimization to the police. McCleary proposes that because of the presence of so many attractive targets, presumably drawn there by the sexually oriented business, criminals are rationally motivated to seek out these areas and victimize these “soft” targets. McCleary surmises that because of these presumed features that sexually oriented businesses must be “hotspots” of crime.



Crimes in Richmond, VA  
 ▲ Adult bookstores  
 ▲ Adult cabarets  
 • Mixed beverage locations



FIGURE 3: Crime kernel density estimation for Richmond, VA.

However, we suggest that routine activities theory would actually predict the opposite of McCleary’s theory, for several reasons. First, routine activities theory posits that offenders are attracted to areas and locations that bring them into proximity with suitable targets, such as shopping malls, large retail store parking lots, and fast food restaurants [18]. These locations are most likely to be both target rich and congruent with the lifestyle of people that are likely to commit crimes than are other locations. Most adult businesses do not present




FIGURE 4: LandScan map for crimes per 1000 ambient population in East Hartford, CT.

an environment as target rich as several restaurants or grocery shopping locations. Particularly, locations such as adult cabarets may be too expensive, due to the expensive entry fees as well entertainment fees, and therefore incongruous with the lifestyles of the most likely offenders. Additionally, routine activities theory also implies that people and their property vary in suitability as targets for predatory crime and that these differences influence who is chosen by offenders or whether or not a crime even occurs at all [19]. While it might be the case that patrons of adult businesses would have high economic value that are theoretically attractive to offenders, a range of soft targets (or those who are easily victimized), such as elderly men and women and mothers with small children in tow carrying cash, would be considered theoretically the most valuable targets. These people are not frequent patrons of adult establishments. Moreover, the presence of people who are inattentive to their surroundings is far more likely at bars and restaurants, rather than adult business venues, because the former are controversial in the community and customers will be far more likely to be especially vigilant of potential danger. This should be especially true at bookstores, in which there is no alcohol being consumed on-site to lower the vigilance of customers. Further, adult cabarets, for example, are known for exceptionally high level of guardianship. These establishments often employ several male floor hosts and “bouncers.” Security personnel often patrol the parking area and may even have security cameras that oversee entries and locations in the club. These guardianship practices would contribute to relatively low levels of criminal activity at adult business locations.




FIGURE 5: LandScan map for crimes per 1000 ambient population in Milford, CT.

Empirical research has also not supported the notion that adult businesses are hotspots of crime. For example, Weisburd et al. [20] found that between four and five percent of street segments in the city accounted for 50 percent of crime incidents for each year over 14 years. As Cancino and McCluskey [21] have pointed out, these studies did *not* identify any adult businesses as hotspots.

*2.2. 7th Circuit Court of Appeals and Adult Businesses as Hotspots.* This hybrid theory and these hypothesized effects have been directly and most thoroughly addressed by the 7th Circuit Court of Appeals in *New Albany DVD, L.L.C. v. City of New Albany*, 581 F.3d 556 (7th Cir. 2010), cert denied, 130 S.Ct. 3410 (June 14, 2010). This case involved a zoning ordinance prohibiting the opening of a video store. The 7th Circuit Court of Appeals was suspicious of the reasoning underlying McCleary’s theory and questioned whether it was empirically supported. Referring to the McCleary theory as “the theft line of argument,” the court said

*The “theft” line of argument starts with the premise that many customers of adult establishments pay in cash, which makes them a target for thieves. (Page 6).*

The Court in *New Albany* further said the following.

*New Albany has not supplied evidence that fairly supports the idea that adult bookstores located near churches or residences attract thieves who then steal from the local denizens as well as the stores’ customers. We don’t say that the City will be unable to produce this evidence, but the lack*






FIGURE 6: LandScan map for person crimes per 1000 ambient population in Richmond, VA.

*of good evidence to this effect in the record—is enough to require an evidentiary hearing. (Page 7).*

Rather than using this two-step procedure employed by other researchers (e.g., [15, 22]), in which a point source is established as a hotspot before applying routine activities theory to understand the dynamics of crime at that location, McCleary's application of routine activities theory to adult businesses and secondary effects *begins and ends* with an adult address as a point source, never endeavoring to establish whether that point source is a crime hotspot in the context of the larger municipal, metropolitan, or other geographical areas. It can be argued that unless it can be established that there is an unusual amount or pattern of crime surrounding a particular geographical location, it would seem to be unnecessary to resort to a special theory such as routine activities to explain a crime pattern that is not anomalous.

The present study endeavors to answer the question: are adult businesses hotspots of crime activity?

**2.3. Comparing Adult Businesses to Other Locations.** Once the hotspot question has been addressed, subsequent questions involving the identifiable features of the adult businesses themselves and the features of other businesses and locales that may be associated with crime and the routine activities of who does what and where may be answered using a comparative analysis. Simply knowing that crime occurs in the vicinity of an adult business gives no indication of the relative frequency of crime compared to other locales. Here it is useful to understand the criminological impact of adult

businesses relative to other types of businesses and other locales in a community.

The 7th Circuit Court of Appeals in the case *Annex Books, Inc. v. City of Indianapolis, Ind.* [23] has said that crime in and around adult businesses must be compared to some control location in order to understand the relative criminological potency of an adult business. If such a comparative analysis is not undertaken, the court said the ordinance to regulate adult businesses in Indianapolis may be unconstitutional and a court hearing or court trial is necessary for the municipality and the adult businesses to determine whether or not the ordinance is fairly supported by the evidence collected in a scientifically valid manner.

The court, commenting on the comparative analysis issue further, went on to suggest that alcohol-serving businesses would constitute the most useful control or comparison points, as the court noted in *Annex Books*.

*Nor can we tell whether 41 arrests at one business over the course of 365 days is a large or a small number. How does it compare with arrests for drunkenness or public urination in or near taverns, which in Indianapolis can be open on Sunday and well after midnight? If there is more misconduct at a bar than at an adult emporium, how would that justify greater legal restrictions on the book-store—much of whose stock in trade is constitutionally protected in a way that beer and liquor are not. ([23, page 4])*

The 7th Circuit Court has stated that taverns and bars are appropriate comparison points for criminal activity in the vicinity of adult bookstores. In the present study we ask the following: how do adult bookstores compare with other businesses such as taverns and bars in the community as places that attract crime?

### 3. Are Subclasses of Adult Businesses Equal in Their Crime Impact?

In addition to the questions of whether adult businesses are hotspots of crime activity in the first place and how adult businesses compare to other businesses in the community, it is useful to know how *types* or *subclasses* of adult businesses compare to one another in terms of criminological impact. The courts have also weighed this question to some degree. The question for the courts has been how applicable is evidence of secondary effects associated with one type of business to conclusions about crime and public safety for other types of adult businesses. Specifically, the courts have been concerned with the question of whether “off-site” adult businesses (i.e., retail businesses in which adult entertainment is bought and then viewed at home) versus “on-site” adult businesses (i.e., businesses with adult entertainment on premises) are differentially associated with crime. Secondary effects studies have typically ignored salient differences among distinct adult business models.

Recall that, in *City of Los Angeles v. Alameda Books*, the court allowed adult businesses to challenge the relevance of

secondary effects evidence. If an adult business plaintiff could demonstrate that the government's evidence was *irrelevant* to the problem that its ordinance purported to address, the ordinance might be struck down. The Fifth, Seventh, and Tenth Circuit Courts of Appeal are "split" regarding the meaning of the *Alameda* decision for the relevance of secondary effects evidence. The Fifth Circuit decision *Encore Videos v. City of San Antonio* struck down a San Antonio ordinance when the city failed to include secondary effects studies of "off-site" adult bookstores in the defense of the ordinance. The court said that the evidence used by the city did not distinguish between "on-site" and "off-site" sexually oriented businesses and that it is reasonable to assume that "off-site" sexually oriented businesses would be associated with less crime, due to the fact that the patrons are not present at and around the location of the business for as long as they would be at "on-site" businesses.

The Seventh Circuit Court of Appeals, in the two separate cases mentioned above, has also said that distinctions between "subclasses" of adult businesses are important. The court has said that in order to regulate "off-site" adult businesses, cities and municipalities must provide reliable evidence that this class of businesses is associated with negative secondary effects. In *Annex*, the court said that the crime data must be relevant to the type of business for which secondary effects are being alleged.

*The City's only evidence about the four plaintiffs is that during 2002 the police made 41 arrests for public masturbation at Annex Books, the only plaintiff that offers private booths. (The masturbation was "public" in the sense that officers could see what customers were doing inside the booths.) The district court thought this datum enough, by itself, to support the 2003 amendments. Yet it is hard to grasp how misdemeanors committed in single-person booths justify the regulation of book and video retailers that lack such booths (Id. at 4.).*

In the companion decision in *New Albany DVD, LLC v. City of New Albany* [24], the city of Indianapolis and New Albany relied only upon studies that examined the impact of adult businesses with live entertainment or on-site viewing booths. The Seventh Circuit specifically required the city to provide evidence that off-site adult businesses are associated with negative secondary effects.

In contrast, the Tenth Circuit has not agreed with the Fifth and Seventh Circuit courts. In *Doctor John's, Inc. v. City of Roy* [25], the court upheld the constitutionality of an ordinance in Roy, Utah, writing that "*Alameda Books* reiterated that a city does not face a "high bar" in meeting its initial obligation to show an ordinance is narrowly tailored towards a significant interest; it need only show that its evidence "fairly support[s]" its rationale."

The question of adult business subclass differences may be extended beyond the possible differences between "off-site" (i.e., retail businesses in which adult entertainment is bought and then viewed at home) and "on-site" adult businesses (i.e., businesses with adult entertainment on premises) and whether secondary crime effects are similar for both. A

potentially more important question concerns the difference between the two predominate business subtypes, the adult cabaret or nightclub that offers live entertainment such as exotic dancing and often serves alcohol and the book/video store where no such live entertainment is offered but where on-premise viewing of adult materials is possible. This differential crime potential of these businesses has not been addressed by the courts at all. However, there is good reason to believe that the two types will differ in terms of crime impact.

Compared to the adult book/video store, the adult cabaret may be a more frequent source of criminal activity simply because this business model nearly always includes alcohol service. A central precept of routine activities theory is that areas that contain public establishments that serve alcohol as an important part of their retail activity facilitate general crime [22, 26–31]. It has also been shown that higher levels of alcohol outlet density are geographically associated with higher rates of violence [32, 33]. Therefore, there may be significant differences in crime between adult businesses that serve alcohol and those that do not. Further, the amount of traffic and number of visitors at any business will affect the extent to which criminal activity occurs around that location [15, 34]. Adult businesses that offer live entertainment such as cabarets might be expected to have more traffic and patrons on-site than will bookstores.

In the present study we explore the question of what types or subclasses of adult business are most likely to be associated with crime. We wonder, on the basis of the foregoing, if cabarets will be more criminogenic than adult bookstores. We also wonder about the results of comparisons of adult cabarets and other alcohol serving businesses in the community and if cabarets are more or less criminogenic than bars and taverns.

#### 4. Summary of Research Questions

In summary, relying upon the hotspot theory of routine activities as applied to sexually oriented businesses, the following research questions were investigated. RQ 1: are businesses that offer sexually oriented communication "hotspots" of criminal activity? RQ 2: is the geospatial relationship between crime and adult cabarets lower, equal to, or higher than the geospatial relationship between crime and adult bookstores? RQ 3: is the geospatial relationship between crime and adult cabarets lower or higher than the geospatial relationship between crime and nonadult establishments with liquor service? Is the geospatial relationship between crime and adult bookstores lower or higher than the geospatial relationship between crime and nonadult establishments with liquor service?

An empirical study of three cities, Richmond VA, Milford CT, and East Hartford CT, was undertaken to address these research questions.

#### 5. Method

*5.1. Measuring Criminal Activity.* Criminal activity was measured using crime incident data gathered with Incident Based Reporting (IBR) rules, which was obtained from law



enforcement agencies. IBR systems, which are defined at the local and state levels, involve comprehensive data collection at the incident level on the various aspects of reported criminal incidents. Depending upon the design of the particular system, the information collected can include details about the incident location, offense(s), offender(s), victim(s), property, and arrestee(s). The crime data for Milford covered the years of 2000–2008, East Hartford covered the years of 2005–2008, and Richmond covered the years from March 2007 to September 2008.

These data were then aggregated into specific “types” of crime in order to get a sense of what kinds of crimes were most prevalent in specific areas of the cities. Crimes including robberies, murders, assaults, and the like were aggregated as “Person Crimes.” Crimes including burglaries, criminal mischief, shoplifting, and autothefts were aggregated as “Property Crimes.” Crimes that involve alcohol or drug intoxication, possession of narcotics, prostitution, and so forth were aggregated as “Vice and Disorder Crimes.” Finally, crimes such as indecent exposure, sexual assaults, and lewd and lascivious behavior were listed as “Sex Offenses.”

**5.2. Adult Businesses Locations.** Both cabarets and bookstores were located using a combination of information provided by the liquor control boards and public directories. In East Hartford, CT, there are two cabarets, Kahoots and Venus Lounge, which are located at 639 Main Street and 1268 Main Street, respectively. There are also two adult bookstores, Aircraft Book and News and Video Lane, which are located at 349 Main Street and 775 Silver Lane, respectively. It should be noted, however, that Venus Lounge was not an adult business during the full period of crime observations in the study. In 2008 the Venus Lounge became the Main Street Café, which is currently a bar that does not feature adult entertainment.

In Milford, CT, there are five adult bookstores: Milford Book and Video, located at 784 Boston Post Road; Penthouse Books, located at 9 Banner Drive, Romantix, located at 120 Boston Post Road; Video Pleasures, located at 110 Bridgeport Ave.; Vinnys Adult Superstore, located at 753 Boston Post Road. There is also one cabaret, Keepers, which is located at 354 Woodmont Road.

In Richmond, VA, there are seven cabarets: two Paper Moon Gentleman’s Clubs located at both 6710 Midlothian Turnpike and 3300 Norfolk Street; Daddy Rabbit’s, located at 3206 Broad Rock Road; Pure Pleasure, located at 68 Labbrook Concourse; Candy Bar, located at 3904 Hull Street Road; Velvet, located at 3S 15th Street; Richard’s Restaurant, located at 1732 Altamont Ave. There are also four adult bookstores in Richmond, VA; Broadway Books, located at 5100 Midlothian Tpke number A; B&T Adult Books, located at 1203W Broad St.; Quality Books, located at 8S Crenshaw Ave.; Triangle Bookstore, located at 1001N Boulevard.

**5.3. Locating Liquor-Serving Businesses.** Liquor-serving businesses that do not feature adult entertainment were located using records obtained through the alcohol control boards in Connecticut and Virginia. For both East Hartford and Milford, these records included businesses, like bars and

restaurants, which served liquor on the premises. Also included were “off-site” businesses, like liquor stores, which sold packaged liquor that could be taken home. For Richmond, the records did not distinguish between “off-site” liquor-serving businesses and those that only sold beer and wine. In fact, it was not clear from the records that off-site stores that sold liquor were even included at all. No off-site businesses, such as a grocery, had any indication that liquor was being served there and there was no specific business types listed for liquor stores or other such establishments. Because these two very different types of businesses could not be distinguished and it could not be established that “off-site” liquor-serving businesses were represented at all, only on-site liquor-serving establishments were included in the Richmond analysis.

**5.4. Constructing Crime Maps Using ArcGIS.** The crime events were then assigned latitude and longitude coordinates through geocoding in the WGS1984 coordinate system and then plotted on to a map using ArcGIS. In order to have the corresponding maps of the cities in ArcGIS, TIGER Lines provided by the U.S. Census were downloaded and added to the data file. Because the TIGER Lines were provided in a different coordinate system (NAD83), crime events were reprojected into NAD83 coordinates in order to have all elements in the data file be in the same coordinate system.

**5.5. Overview of Analysis Strategy.** In this study, three different types of analyses were performed across the three cities: (1) kernel estimation, (2) crime rate calculations using ambient population data provided by the Oak Ridge National Laboratory, and (3) buffer analysis examining crime hypothesized to emanate from a given point source using “intensity value analysis,” which takes into account the element of spatial sensitivity. Each of these analyses gives a unique picture of the relationship between sexually oriented businesses and criminal activity.

**5.6. Kernel Density Estimation.** Kernel estimation or kernel density is a procedure that maps the location and relative density of plotted points. Cancino and McCluskey [21] suggest that using a kernel density function is a better way to understand where the concentration and proximity of crime are in a given area. Concerning this method, Anselin et al. [35] explain the following.

*Kernel estimation or kernel smoothing is one method for examining large-scale global trends in point data. The goal of kernel estimation is to estimate how event levels vary continuously across a study area based on an observed point pattern for a sample of points. Kernel estimation creates a smooth map of values using spatial data. The smoothed map appears like a spatially based histogram, with the level at each location along the map reflecting the point pattern intensity for the surrounding area. (Page 227)*

Kernel density estimations were performed using the function available in ArcGIS. Crime types were combined to create a map showing the incidence of all crimes in each city. Given that there is no steadfast rule in determining search radius or bandwidth [35], the defaults given in ArcGIS were used to determine the cell output and search radius. Square meters were used as the area units, due to being the measurement system utilized by NAD83. For ease of presentation and in order to make fine grain distinctions in crime concentrations, the range of data was broken into 32 intervals, separated by natural breaks in the data, with each interval being given a particular shade. It should be noted that the use of 32 intervals is mostly arbitrary; 32 intervals were enough to show subtle differences in crime frequency across the three cities, but slightly more or fewer intervals could potentially have been used. However, unless the number of intervals was drastically different, it is unlikely that this would significantly affect the interpretation of the analysis. Darker shades corresponded to heavier concentrations of crime.

The major advantage of using the kernel density technique is that it gives an intuitive visual representation of where the crime is concentrated in a given area. Cancino and McCluskey [21] analogize this technique to a “Doppler Radar,” in which one can see on a map where the heaviest and most concentrated rainfall (or in this case, crime) is located. This allows for an excellent exploratory examination of crime. Further, for our purposes, if adult businesses really are crime hotspots, we should see them located within these heavily concentrated areas of criminal activity. This technique is useful because it allows for a “bird’s eye view” of where the criminal activity is spatially clustering, and whether these “clusters” of crime are proximate to adult businesses.

*5.7. Crime Rates Using Ambient Population Data.* Next, we examined crime rates across the three cities using ambient population data provided by the Oak Ridge National Laboratory. This data provides an estimate of the number of people within a given area during a 24-hour period, using probability coefficients that take into consideration factors such as proximity to roads, slope and land cover of area, and presence of nighttime lights. This estimate of ambient population provides a better measure to calculate a rate than simply the number of residents within a given area, because commercial areas often have many potential victims (e.g. customers) but few to no residents living within those areas. Therefore, calculating a crime rate based only upon the number of residents will inflate the perceived “dangerousness” of commercial areas over residential areas and will lead to an inaccurate estimate of crime risk. The LandScan dataset provides a grid of blocks approximately one square kilometer in size which each contain an estimated 24-hour ambient population count. For the sake of brevity, crime rates were calculated for all crimes across the three cities for each of these blocks, providing a crime rate per 1000 people within each LandScan block. Adult businesses were then located within their respective LandScan block and compared to crime rates across the whole city to examine whether adult businesses tend to be located in areas with high crime rates,

relative to the rest of the city. This analysis will be used as a hotspot analysis, similar to the kernel density estimation, which accounts for the impact of ambient population in the calculation of a crime rate.

*5.8. Intensity Value Analysis.* In crime hotspot research, researchers conventionally have constructed a buffer of pre-determined distance around a point source and then examined the frequency of crime events that fall within that buffer in order to establish the point source’s relative contribution of crime. Further, all crime events are usually assigned the same value, regardless of their distance from the hypothesized point source [36]. This lack of “spatial sensitivity” prevents the researcher from drawing conclusions about the relative contribution of a point source to ambient criminal activity. Ideally, an intensity value analysis must be undertaken in order to include the element of spatial sensitivity in any buffer analysis examining crime hypothesized to emanate from a given point source [36].

An intensity value is a value (usually one) that is weighted by the distance from a spatial event to a point source within a buffer of a specified distance. Like conventional buffer analyses, the researcher must specify the length of the radius from the point source to the edge of the buffer. Unfortunately, there is little research available on what an appropriate buffer size is, and the choice is often arbitrary [36]. However, in the area of secondary effects, cities have often constructed their ordinances requiring adult businesses to be 1000 feet away from residential areas and/or other adult businesses. Given that cities and municipalities have often maintained that a 1,000-foot buffer was sufficient distance to keep ambient criminal activity from creeping into areas that needed to be protected, 1,000-foot buffers were used in the intensity value analysis due to their legal significance. Crime events were converted from latitude/longitude coordinates into Cartesian coordinates using ArcGIS and then analyzed using buffer intensity analysis software developed by Ratcliffe [37].

This intensity value analysis software utilizes an inverse weighting procedure in which each crime event within the buffer of a point source is given a weighted value depending on its distance from that point source. The exact algorithm used in order to weight particular crime events is too rather arbitrary, but results rarely vary as a result of the algorithm utilized [36]. For the purposes of this study, a nonlinear inverse weighting method based on an exponential value was used, such that crime events half the distance from the point source contribute a weighted value of 0.33 to the final intensity calculation.

Using this algorithm, each crime event within 1,000 feet of the adult businesses was weighted and added together to get a final intensity value for that particular business. This value is, of course, both descriptive and relative. In order to make meaningful comparisons, intensity values were also calculated for the approximately top 100 hotspots for each type of crime and also for liquor-serving establishments within the city. In addition, for Milford and East Hartford, both “off-site” (e.g., liquor stores) and “on-site” (bars and nightclubs) liquor-serving establishments were able to be



obtained. As mentioned above, in Richmond only “on-site” liquor-serving establishments were included.

Further, adult cabarets and bookstores were separately compared in order to test whether there were any noticeable differences between the two classes of business.

## 6. Results

*6.1. Kernel Density Estimation Analyses.* The kernel density estimation was used to investigate RQ 1: are businesses that offer sexually oriented communication “hotspots” of criminal activity? Kernel density estimations work best as simple visual examples and will be relied upon as such here.

*6.1.1. East Hartford.* The kernel density estimation map for East Hartford, CT, can be seen in Figure 1. All of the adult businesses, except for the Venus Lounge, are not located within the heaviest (or, in a visual sense, “darkest”) clusters of crime. However, this cluster does not appear to be centered around Venus Lounge specifically. Rather, Venus Lounge appears to be located in a larger area in which crime is prevalent and which also contains at least two other nonadult alcohol-serving establishments. However, conservatively, it can be said that Venus Lounge is somewhat spatially related to high occurrences of person, property, and vice/disorder crime. Adult bookstores did not seem to have any sort of spatial relationship to crime.

*6.1.2. Milford, CT.* The kernel density estimation map for Milford, CT, can be seen in Figure 2. None of the adult businesses are wholly within any of the heaviest clusters of crime activity. In fact, the heaviest cluster of crime in the city seems to be centered on a nonadult liquor-serving establishment. Therefore, there does not seem to be any spatial relationship between adult businesses and heavy clusters of criminal activity in Milford, CT.

*6.1.3. Richmond, VA.* Kernel density estimation map for Richmond, VA, can be seen in Figure 3. Neither the adult bookstores nor the adult cabarets are completely located within any of the densest areas of crimes. Once again, there does not seem to be a clear spatial relationship between adult businesses and crime.

*6.2. Ambient Population Crime Analysis.* Ambient population crime analysis was also used to investigate RQ 1: are businesses that offer sexually oriented communication “hotspots” of criminal activity? Much like the kernel density estimation, this analysis is meant to provide an overall visual picture of areas of heavy crime within each city and is not meant to provide detailed quantitative information about specific differences between subclasses of adult businesses or between adult businesses and liquor-serving establishments. Thus, as with the prior analysis, the ambient crime analysis will be utilized to provide a general sense of where the “hotspots” of crime exist within each city.

*6.2.1. East Hartford.* The ambient population crime analysis map for East Hartford, CT, can be seen in Figure 4. While the two cabarets are present in areas with a relatively high rate of crime to ambient population, there does not appear to be a unique relationship between crime and the cabarets, and most criminogenic area in the city contains no adult businesses at all. Furthermore, the bookstores are located in areas that have relatively low incidence of crime.

*6.2.2. Milford, CT.* The ambient population crime analysis map for Milford, CT, can be seen in Figure 5. While adult businesses generally are located within relatively heavy areas of crime, no adult businesses are located in any of areas that are most strongly related to crime. This is especially significant considering the area containing two adult bookstores, is no more criminogenic than neighboring areas that contain no adult businesses at all. Given that there are two adult businesses in the same area, we should have expected this block to be particularly high in crime if McCleary’s [11] version of routine activities were true. Therefore, there does not seem that adult businesses are “hotspots” of crime, when accounting for ambient population in Milford, CT.

*6.2.3. Richmond, VA.* The ambient population crime analysis map for Richmond, VA, can be seen in Figure 6. There were two cabarets that were located in the areas among the highest rate of crime in the city, while four other cabarets were not. Further, none of the adult bookstores were located in areas that had very high crime rates. Thus, in Richmond, there was some limited support that adult businesses may be located in hotspots of crime; though it was more common that they were not in these areas.

*6.3. Intensity Value Analysis.* This analysis specifically addresses the following research questions. RQ 1: is the geospatial relationship between crime and adult cabarets lower, equal to, or higher than the geospatial relationship between crime and adult bookstores? RQ 2: is the geospatial relationship between crime and adult cabarets lower or higher than the geospatial relationship between crime and nonadult establishments with liquor service? RQ 3: Is the geospatial relationship between crime and adult bookstores lower or higher than the geospatial relationship between crime and nonadult establishments with liquor service?

Hotspots were identified by examining the total number of crimes per address for each city. An address was considered to be a “hotspot” if it fell within the approximately top one hundred most criminogenic addresses in a given city. There were slight discrepancies in the number of addresses included as hotspots for each city, given that some addresses that fell below and above the cutoff for inclusion in the top one hundred had equal numbers of crimes. Therefore, the basic strategy taken was to include as close to 100 addresses as possible and stop where a natural break in the number of crimes per address occurred in the data. These addresses were then geocoded and analyzed using the intensity value analysis. An average intensity value for the hotspots was

calculated, in order to compare the criminogenic impact of an “average” hotspot to that of an adult business.

For the purposes of interpreting the results of the intensity value analyses, the mean will be given primary importance over the median. This choice was made because hotspots, which are outliers responsible for the majority of the crimes in a given city, are exactly what are of interest in this approach. So, given that means are sensitive to outliers, they are the better measure of what is and is not a “hotspot” in this case.

**6.3.1. Person Crimes.** Results of the intensity value analysis for person crimes across the three cities can be seen in Table 1. There are considerable differences across the three cities in how “intense” criminal activity is around the cabarets as compared to the hotspots and the nonadult liquor-serving establishments.

In East Hartford, the cabarets have a higher mean intensity value than both the hotspots and on-site liquor-serving businesses controls. Looking at this more closely, this is almost entirely due to the Venus Lounge; the mean intensity value for Venus Lounge is 182.14, while it is only 46.55 at Kahoots. In Milford, the cabaret was a fraction more intense than the on-site liquor-serving establishments in terms of their mean person crime intensity and slightly less intense than the mean hotspot intensity value. In Richmond, the cabarets have lower mean intensity values for person crimes than do the on-site liquor-serving establishments and are almost half as intense as the mean hotspot value.

One clear finding, however, is that the bookstores have the lowest mean intensity value for person crimes, compared to liquor selling point sources and other hotspot point sources, and bookstores show considerable differences in mean intensity values compared to the adult cabarets and the on-site liquor-serving establishments.

**6.3.2. Property Crimes.** Results of the intensity value analysis for property crimes across the three cities can be seen in Table 2. Once again, for the cabarets, there were differences across the three cities. In East Hartford, the cabarets had higher mean intensity values for property crime than did the on-site liquor-serving establishments or hotspots. Again, this difference can almost entirely be attributed specifically to the Venus Lounge; the mean intensity value for Venus Lounge was 80.63, while it was 18.39 for Kahoots.

In Milford, the cabaret had a considerably lower mean intensity value than either the on-site liquor-serving establishments or the hotspots. Finally, in Richmond, the cabarets had a slightly lower mean intensity value than did the on-site liquor establishments and a noticeably lower mean intensity value compared to the hotspots. Once again, the bookstores had, across all three cities, the lowest mean intensity value for property crime.

**6.3.3. Vice Disorder Crimes.** Table 3 displays the results of the intensity value analysis for vice/disorder crime. The cabarets showed a similar pattern as for the last two crime types. In East Hartford, the cabarets had higher mean intensity values than both the on-site liquor-serving establishments

and the hotspots. Once more, this was solely because of the Venus Lounge. The mean intensity value at the Venus Lounge was 46.55, while it was 18.39 at Kahoots. In Milford, the mean intensity value for cabarets was lower than both the on-site liquor-serving establishments and the hotspots. In Richmond, the cabarets had a slightly lower mean intensity value than the on-site liquor-serving establishments did and a much lower mean intensity value than the hotspots.

In both East Hartford and Richmond, the bookstores once again had the lowest mean intensity value. However, in Milford, the mean intensity value for the bookstores was higher than that of the cabaret but still lower than any of the alcohol serving establishments or hotspots.

**6.3.4. Sex Crimes.** Table 4 displays the results of the intensity value analysis for sex crimes. In East Hartford, the cabarets had the lowest mean intensity value out of all point sources included in the analysis. In Milford, the cabaret mean intensity value was lower than both the on-site liquor-serving establishments and the hotspots. Finally, in Richmond, the mean intensity value was just slightly higher for the cabarets than for the on-site liquor establishments but lower than the hotspots. In East Hartford, the bookstores had the second lowest mean intensity values, with the cabarets being a fraction lower. For both Milford and Richmond, the bookstores had the lowest mean intensity value of all point sources included in the study.

## 7. Discussion

The present study used crime data and adult business locations in East Hartford, Milford, and Richmond to answer several questions. Are adult businesses hotspots of crime? Are adult businesses more or less criminogenic than liquor-serving establishments? There are several conclusions that can be drawn from the analyses of these secondary effects.

**7.1. Adult Businesses as Hotspots of Crime?** Are businesses that offer sexually oriented communication “hotspots” of criminal activity? Results of the kernel density estimation indicated that the adult businesses tended to fall outside the heaviest concentrations of criminal activity. In addition, results of the intensity value analysis indicated that the mean intensity value in the 1,000-foot area around the adult businesses was generally lower than the mean intensity value around the approximately top 100 hotspots in their respective cities. This was particularly salient for the adult bookstores. There was no city in the study and no type of crime for which the adult bookstores had a higher mean intensity value than the hotspots.

This finding was also true for the cabarets in Milford and Richmond. For no type of crime did the cabarets have a higher mean intensity value than the hotspots. This was not the case for East Hartford, however. One venue, the Venus Lounge, solely accounted for the higher cabaret mean intensity value for person, property, and vice/disorder crime. It should be further noted that the results for the Venus Lounge are partially confounded by the fact that at least two nonadult



alcohol-serving establishments are located adjacent to this business, and it is not known to what extent ambient crime activity is due to either of these two other businesses. Finally, while the ambient population analysis indicated that cabarets may be hotspots in Richmond, VA, this was contradicted by the results of the intensity value analysis. In summary, for the most part, with a few exceptions, adult businesses, either cabarets or bookstores, were not “hotspots” of crime in our study.

This finding suggests that the results of data analyses using the two-step procedure often employed by other researchers of first locating a crime hotspot and then applying routine activities theory to understand the dynamics of crime in the hotspot (e.g., [15, 22]) may yield a different account of crime patterns in a given municipality than an inquiry which begins and ends with the assumption that the adult business address is a point source of crime. The results of the present study suggest that since there is no unusual amount or pattern of crime surrounding the geographical location of adult businesses, it would seem to be unnecessary to resort to a special theory such as routine activities to explain a crime pattern that is not anomalous. McCleary's application of routine activities theory to adult businesses and secondary effects may be misapplied.

*7.2. Are There Differences between Bookstores and Cabarets?* Our findings consistently obtained across several different methods strongly suggest that there are critical crime differences between cabarets and adult bookstores in these cities. Compared to cabarets, adult bookstores, overwhelmingly, were unassociated with crime in virtually every analysis in the study. Consistently, adult bookstores were not located in areas shown to be regions of high criminal activity in kernel density estimations and had the lowest mean intensity values. Furthermore, the adult bookstores were even found to be less related to crime than both on-site and off-site liquor-serving establishments. In these particular cities, at least, there does not seem to be much evidence to support treating adult bookstores as a public safety hazard.

This finding is important for the secondary effects debate. McCleary [11] has argued that differences between subclasses of adult businesses (such as cabarets and bookstores) are trivial and should not affect theorizing about the crime impact of adult businesses. He writes the following.

*In lawsuits, SOB (“sexually oriented business”) plaintiffs have argued that their narrowly-defined SOB subclass is exempt from criminological theory. But in fact, the relevant criminological theory applies to all subclasses. To the extent that two SOB subclasses draw similar patrons from similarly wide catchment areas, theory predicts similar ambient crime risks. Put simply, similar causes (the presence of many high-value targets and low levels of police presence) have similar effects (i.e., high ambient crime risk). [11, page 54]*

However, McCleary's assertion that all subclasses will have equivalent effects on crime has not been well tested empirically. The present study is a strong empirical test of

McCleary's suppositions and suggests that there are substantial differences in the criminogenic impact of subtypes of adult businesses. The research results reported here suggest that municipalities should be far less concerned about the safety hazards posed by adult book/video stores compared to alcohol serving cabarets.

*7.3. Are Adult Businesses More or Less Criminogenic than Liquor-Serving Establishments?* In this study we asked is the geospatial relationship between crime and adult cabarets lower or higher than the geospatial relationship between crime and establishments with liquor service but no adult entertainment? We also asked is the geospatial relationship between crime and adult bookstores lower or higher than the geospatial relationship between crime and nonadult establishments with liquor service?

Examining the results of the three separate analyses, we found that the magnitude of the relationship between adult book/video stores and crime was far smaller than the relationship between liquor-serving establishments and crime. While adult cabarets were found to be associated with ambient crime, this level of crime was generally no greater than that found for liquor-serving establishments that did not have adult entertainment. In fact, the intensity value analyses revealed that, across the municipalities, crime generally was more “intense” around liquor-serving establishments than around adult cabarets. These findings suggest that the relationship between cabarets and crime is not due to the presence of adult entertainment per se but rather due to the presence of liquor service. This finding is consistent with a central precept of routine activities theory that areas that contain public establishments that serve alcohol facilitate general crime [22, 26–33]. This finding, while not technically inconsistent with the McCleary's [10] proposal that the presence of attractive targets, presumably drawn there by the sexually oriented business, brings in rationally motivated criminals that seek to victimize these “soft” targets, does suggest that this process is not one that is endemic to or unusually strongly associated with adult businesses. The present study demonstrates that it cannot be assumed that adult businesses must be “hotspots” of crime merely because of the presumed features of the geographic areas that contain such businesses.

*7.4. Study Limitations.* There are several limitations to the current study. The cities included in the study may not be representative of other locations across the country. The conclusions based on these data may not be easily generalized from these cities to cities in the United States at large. These venues were chosen on the basis of convenience, due to the quality of crime data available in a desirable format. However, given the difficulties associated with getting high quality, usable crime data for even one city, trying to do a representative random sample of cities to use for this analysis was beyond the scope of this study. A broader range of different cities and towns should be analyzed and compared in order to determine if there are any specific differences in secondary effects by national location. Ideally,

future research needs to be done in which cities are sampled representatively in order to maximize generalizability. This being unlikely, at the very least, a broader range of cities, towns, and municipalities needs to be analyzed and compared in order to determine if there are any specific differences in secondary effects across localities. While this study may not be broadly generalizable, it is still important to note that we observed the same pattern of relationships across three cities of varying population and geographic location. Therefore, this study suggests some evidence to suggest that these findings may hold generally and provides a strong impetus for future research in this regard.

Another limitation of the present study lies in the philosophical difficulties associated with trying to provide evidence for a null effect. Finding few differences between cabarets and bars in the present analyses used in this study, with the methods employed, is not technically a demonstration that no effects exist.

It is also important to note that we cannot necessarily establish that any crime that is spatially proximal to an adult business or nonadult alcohol-serving establishment is actually caused by that business. Future research would need to undertake a longitudinal quasiexperimental design to more soundly make such causal claims.

Another potential limitation of this study is that it did not measure the structural characteristics that would predict crime at both adult business locations as well as nonadult alcohol-serving establishments. It should be noted, however, that Seaman and Linz [8] mirrored the present results when controlling for such factors. Nonetheless, future research should integrate the structural characteristics of the urban environment in order to more precisely assess the spatial relationship between crime, subclasses of adult businesses, and nonadult alcohol-serving establishments.

In summary, there are observable differences in the crime activity between adult book/video store locations and adult cabaret locations, as well as between adult businesses in general and nonadult liquor-serving establishments. While it cannot be concluded that adult bookstores are not related to crime at all, it can be said that the adult bookstores in our convenience sample of municipalities are overall less strongly associated with crime than the adult cabarets. The same can be said concerning the strength of relationship between adult businesses and crime and the nonadult liquor-serving establishments and crime, the latter relationship showing evidence of greater strength.

*7.5. Legal and Policy Implications.* In this study we have conducted a series of comparative analyses. The Court of Appeals in *Annex Books* noted the usefulness of such a comparative analysis and suggested that this type of inquiry is undertaken so that crime in and around adult businesses could be put into some perspective relative to crime at other businesses in the community, particularly alcohol-serving businesses. The court recognized that this comparative process has First Amendment implications. Without such comparative analyses and a demonstration that adult businesses present some special problem to the community as hotspots of crime, any

legislation singling out adult businesses and the expression that occurs there for special regulation may therefore be based on the content of speech and not the secondary crime effects associated with adult businesses.

There has been a recent attempt to deliberately exclude such comparative analysis by various state legislatures who apparently fear that a finding of little difference in crime around adult businesses that compared other locations may appear to make state regulations less than content neutral. The Missouri State Legislature, for example, recently passed a law regulating adult businesses which deliberately excepts municipalities and other governmental bodies from undertaking comparisons of the secondary effects of adult businesses versus other businesses in the community R.S.Mo. § 573.525.2(3). The law incorporates the following language: “each of the foregoing negative secondary effects constitutes a harm which the state has a substantial interest in preventing or abating or both. Such substantial government interest in preventing secondary effects, which is the state’s rationale for sections 573.525 to 573.537, exists independent of any comparative analysis between sexually oriented and non-sexually oriented businesses.”

This language strongly suggests that the legislative purpose in adopting the legislation would likely subject the restrictions to “strict scrutiny” as content based. The language of the statute implies an intention to treat erotic expression differently from similarly situated nonerotic speech. The application of intermediate scrutiny to adult use regulations is only appropriate when “the ‘predominate concerns’ motivating the ordinance were with the secondary effects of adult [speech] and not with the content of adult [speech].” Intermediate scrutiny, as a legal policy then, is reserved for restrictions on expression which are “justified without reference to the content of the regulated speech.” The Missouri Legislature claimed to be doing so to ameliorate the adverse secondary effects alleged to be associated with that expression. But, in the next breath, the general assembly betrayed its concern with the content of the expression by stating that even if businesses offering books, magazines, videos, and live entertainment with a nonerotic theme produced the same or even greater secondary effects, SB 586 and 617 in a comparative analysis, the state would only regulate businesses offering adult content. Under Missouri law, a nightclub that presents comedy acts and a nightclub that presents adult dancers are treated differently, notwithstanding the fact that they might cause precisely the same sorts of adverse secondary effects.

Precluding comparative analyses may cause First Amendment problems for municipalities. Undertaking comparative analyses as in the present study in which an attempt is made to identify adult businesses as hotspots for crime relative to other locations and asking how adult businesses compare with other businesses in the community as places that attract crime may be one way to avoid creating content based legal restrictions.



## Conflict of Interests

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interests regarding the publication of this paper.

## References

- [1] Young v. American Mini Theatres, 427 U.S. 50, 1976.
- [2] City of Renton v. Playtime Theatres, Inc, 475 U.S. 41, 1986.
- [3] City of Los Angeles v. Alameda Books, 535 U.S. 425, 2002.
- [4] B. Paul, D. Linz, and B. Shafer, "Governmental regulation of "adult" businesses through zoning and anti-nudity ordinances: debunking the legal myth of negative secondary effects," *Communication Law & Policy*, vol. 6, pp. 255–391, 2001.
- [5] D. Linz, K. C. Land, J. R. Williams, B. Paul, and M. E. Ezell, "An examination of the assumption that adult businesses are associated with crime in surrounding areas," *Law and Society Review*, vol. 38, no. 1, pp. 69–1, 2004.
- [6] D. Linz, B. Paul, and M. Z. Yao, "A secondary effects study of peep show establishments in San Diego," *The Journal of Sex Research*, vol. 43, no. 2, pp. 182–193, 2006.
- [7] D. Linz, M. Yao, and S. Byrne, "Testing supreme court assumptions in *California v. la Rue*: is there justification for prohibiting sexually explicit messages in establishments that sell liquor?" *Communication Law Review*, vol. 7, no. 1, pp. 23–53, 2007.
- [8] C. Seaman and D. Linz, "The secondary effects doctrine since alameda: an empirical re-examination of the justifications for laws limiting first amendment protection," *Journal of Media Law and Ethics*, vol. 2, no. 4, pp. 192–214, 2010.
- [9] R. Enriquez, J. M. Cancino, and S. P. Varano, "A legal and empirical perspective on crime and adult establishments: a secondary effects study in San Antonio," *Journal of Gender, Social Policy, and the Law*, vol. 15, no. 1, pp. 1–41, 2006.
- [10] R. McCleary, "Rural hotspots: the case of adult businesses," *Criminal Justice Policy Review*, vol. 19, no. 2, pp. 153–163, 2008.
- [11] R. McCleary, "Crime-related secondary effects: secondary effects of "off-site" sexually-oriented businesses," Report Commissioned by Texas City Attorneys Association, 2008.
- [12] M. Felson and R. V. Clarke, *Opportunity Makes the Thief*, Police Research Series, Paper 98, Policing and Reducing Crime Unit, Research, Development and Statistics Directorate, London, UK, 1998.
- [13] T. A. Danner, "Violent times: a case study of the Ybor City Historic District," *Criminal Justice Policy Review*, vol. 14, pp. 3–29, 2003.
- [14] J. H. Ratcliffe and M. J. McCullagh, "Hotbeds of crime and the search for spatial accuracy," *Journal of Geographical Systems*, vol. 1, no. 4, pp. 385–398, 1999.
- [15] L. W. Sherman, P. R. Gartin, and M. E. Buerger, "Hot spots of predatory crime: routine activities and the criminology of place," *Criminology*, vol. 27, no. 1, pp. 27–55, 1989.
- [16] J. H. Ratcliffe, T. Taniguchi, E. R. Groff, and J. D. Wood, "The Philadelphia foot patrol experiment: a randomized controlled trial of police patrol effectiveness in violent crime hotspots," *Criminology*, vol. 49, no. 3, pp. 795–831, 2011.
- [17] D. Weisburd, E. R. Groff, and S.-M. Yang, "Understanding and controlling hot spots of crime: the importance of formal and informal social controls," *Prevention Science*, 2013.
- [18] L. E. Cohen and M. Felson, "Social change and crime rate trends: a routine activity approach," *American Sociological Review*, vol. 44, pp. 588–608, 1979.
- [19] M. Felson and L. E. Cohen, "Human ecology and crime: a routine activity approach," *Human Ecology*, vol. 8, no. 4, pp. 389–406, 1980.
- [20] D. Weisburd, S. Bushway, C. Lum, and S.-M. Yang, "Crime trajectories at places: a Longitudinal Study of Street Segments in the City of Seattle," *Criminology*, vol. 42, no. 2, pp. 283–322, 2004.
- [21] J. Cancino and J. McCluskey, An empirical analysis of Dr. Richard McCleary's San Antonio SOB case study (Secondary Effects of "Off-Site" Sexually-oriented Businesses) commissioned by the Texas City Attorney's Association, *Unpublished Report*, 2009.
- [22] D. Roncek and P. Maier, "Bars, blocks, and crime revisited: linking the theory of routine activities to the empiricism of 'hot spots,'" *Criminology*, vol. 29, no. 4, pp. 725–753, 1991.
- [23] Annex Books,v. City of Indianapolis, IN 2855813, 7th Cir. Sept. 3, 2009.
- [24] New Albany DVD, LLC v. City of New Albany, No. 05-1286, 7th Cir. Sept. 10, 2009.
- [25] Doctor John's, v. City of Roy, 465 F. 3d 1150, 1169, 10th Cir. 2006.
- [26] R. Block and C. Block, "Space, place, and crime," in *Crime and Place: Crime Prevention Studies*, J. Eck and D. Weisburd, Eds., vol. 4, pp. 124–141, Criminal Justice Press, Massey, NJ, USA, 1995.
- [27] "Minnesota Crime Commission analysis of the relationship between adult entertainment establishments, crime, and housing values," Tech. Rep., The Minneapolis City Council, Minneapolis, MN, USA, 1980.
- [28] D. Roncek and R. Bell, "Bars, blocks and crimes," *Journal of Environmental Systems*, vol. 11, no. 1, pp. 35–46, 1981.
- [29] D. Roncek and M. Pravatiner, "Additional evidence that taverns enhance nearby crime," *Sociology and Social Research*, vol. 73, no. 4, pp. 185–188, 1989.
- [30] L. Shannon, "Ecological evidence of the hardening of the inner city," in *Metropolitan Crime Patterns*, R. Figlio, S. Homkin, and G. Rengert, Eds., pp. 92–128, Willow Tree, Monsey, NY, USA, 1986.
- [31] L. Sherman, "Hot spots of crime and criminal careers of place," in *Crime and Place: Crime Prevention Studies*, J. Eck and D. Weisburd, Eds., vol. 4, pp. 27–55, Criminal Justice Press, Massey, NJ, USA, 1995.
- [32] R. Scribner, D. Cohen, S. Kaplan, and S. H. Allen, "Alcohol availability and homicide in New Orleans: conceptual considerations for small area analysis of the effect of alcohol outlet density," *American Journal of Public Health*, vol. 98, pp. 243–251, 1999.
- [33] R. A. Scribner, D. P. MacKinnon, and J. H. Dwyer, "The risk of assaultive violence and alcohol availability in Los Angeles county," *American Journal of Public Health*, vol. 85, no. 3, pp. 335–340, 1995.
- [34] K. J. Rice and W. R. Smith, "Testing routine activity and social disorganization theory: socio-ecological models of automobile theft," *Journal of Research in Crime and Delinquency*, vol. 39, no. 3, pp. 304–336, 2002.
- [35] L. Anselin, J. Cohen, D. Cook, W. Gorr, and G. Tita, "Spatial analysis of crime," in *Criminal Justice 2000*, D. Duffee, Ed., vol. 4 of *Measurement and Analysis of Crime and Justice*, pp. 213–262, National Institute of Justice, Washington, DC, USA, 2000.
- [36] J. H. Ratcliffe and T. Taniguchi, "Is crime higher around drug-gang street corners? Two spatial approaches to the relationship between gang set spaces and local crime levels," *Crime Patterns and Analysis*, vol. 1, no. 1, pp. 23–46, 2008.

- [37] J. H. Ratcliffe, *Buffer Intensity Calculator (Version 2. 3) [Computer Software]*, Temple University, Philadelphia, Pa, USA, 2007.



# A LEGAL AND EMPIRICAL PERSPECTIVE ON CRIME AND ADULT ESTABLISHMENTS: A SECONDARY EFFECTS STUDY IN SAN ANTONIO, TEXAS

ROGER ENRIQUEZ<sup>a</sup>

JEFFREY M. CANCINO<sup>b</sup>

SEAN P. VARANO<sup>c</sup>

Introduction .....	2
I. Adult Entertainment and the First Amendment.....	3
II. What Causes Crime? .....	6
A. Human Display Establishments and Crime .....	6
B. Social Disorganization Theory and Crime.....	7
C. Alcohol Outlet Institutions and Crime .....	9
III. San Antonio, TX—Rationale for Enactment.....	10
IV. Present Study .....	12
A. Research Objective .....	12

---

<sup>a</sup> Roger Enriquez received his J.D. from the University of Iowa and is an Assistant Professor of Criminal Justice at the University of Texas at San Antonio. His research agenda includes empirical testing of anecdotal legal theories with respect to jurors, false confessions, and secondary effects. Recent publications have appeared in the *Journal of Gender, Race and Justice*, and *Policing: An International Journal of Police Strategies and Management*.

<sup>b</sup> Jeffrey Michael Cancino is Assistant Professor of Criminal Justice at Texas State University-San Marcos. His research interests include police culture, social disorganization theory, homicide, and Latino studies. Recent publications have appeared in *Policing: An International Journal of Police Strategies and Management*, *Homicide Studies*, the *Journal of Criminal Justice*, and *Criminal Justice Policy Review*.

<sup>c</sup> Sean P. Varano is an Assistant Professor in the College of Criminal Justice at Northeastern University. His research interests include juvenile justice policy, intervention programs for serious juvenile offenders, homicide characteristics, and aspects of technology in the criminal justice system. His recent publications involve exploring changing characteristics of homicide events, the involvement of drugs in homicide, and police-led intervention strategies for serious juvenile offenders.

## 2 JOURNAL OF GENDER, SOCIAL POLICY &amp; THE LAW [Vol. 15:1

B. Data and Methods.....	13
1. Defining Local Community by Block Groups.....	13
2. Community Characteristics.....	15
3. Texas Alcohol Beverage Commission (TABC) Data .....	15
4. Human Display Establishment Data .....	16
5. Official Crime Data .....	16
6. Geo-coding TABC Data, Human Display Establishments, and Crime.....	17
7. Dependent Variables.....	18
8. Independent Variables.....	18
V. Findings.....	19
VI. Present Study Conclusions.....	34
VII. Legal Implications.....	34
Conclusion .....	41

## INTRODUCTION

When Oliver Wendell Holmes Jr. wrote his seminal work *The Path of Law* in 1897, he argued that “the man of the future is the man of statistics....”<sup>1</sup> Holmes was a philosophical pragmatist who thought judges should assess the consequences of a decision by using relevant statistical techniques and theories. Roscoe Pound, the Dean of Harvard Law School, argued that judges had to take into consideration the sociological consequences of their decisions and advocated a broadening of legal training to include the social sciences, economics, sociology, and political science.<sup>2</sup> Both Pound and Holmes embraced the notion that judges could play a creative role in rendering decisions when the case involved special or novel circumstances.

Nearly 110 years have passed since Holmes announced that the “path of law” might lead to the doorstep of the statistician. Today, courts have shown an increasing willingness to use statistical evidence to bolster or undermine a plaintiff’s claim.<sup>3</sup> For example, in a case of

---

1. Oliver Wendell Holmes, *The Path of the Law*, 10 HARV. L. REV. 457, 469 (1897) (emphasizing the role that statistics would play in the practice of the law in the future).

2. See generally Roscoe Pound, *A Survey of Social Interests*, 57 HARV. L. REV. 1 (1943) (arguing that the training and practice of law remains overly rigid and that legal training should evolve to include varied disciplines).

3. See J. Mitchell Pickerill, Book Review, 24 JUST. SYS. J. 242 (2003) (reviewing JOSEPH L. GASTWIRTH ED., *STATISTICAL SCIENCE IN THE COURTROOM* (2000)) (explaining that statistical evidence has been used in cases involving DNA evidence,



recent vintage, the Supreme Court ruled that a municipality may regulate adult-entertainment establishments because they are concerned with the adverse "secondary effects" those establishments create.<sup>4</sup> Part I of this article discusses the development of the so-called secondary effects doctrine and the First Amendment.

#### I. ADULT ENTERTAINMENT AND THE FIRST AMENDMENT

The Supreme Court has long recognized that adult establishments have a constitutionally protected right to free expression.<sup>5</sup> In *Schad v. Borough of Mount Ephraim*, the Court ruled that municipalities could promulgate zoning restrictions that affect time, place, and manner of expression of adult businesses but could not ban them out of existence.<sup>6</sup> In *Barnes v. Glen Theatre, Inc.*, the Supreme Court upheld a public nudity law, but eight of nine justices agreed that nude dancing was a form of expressive conduct worthy of First Amendment protection.<sup>7</sup> The *Barnes* case generated four separate opinions. However, the concurrence written by Justice Souter provides the most lucid explanation of the secondary effects rationale. Souter argued that secondary effects are "correlated with the existence of establishments offering [nude] dancing."<sup>8</sup> Whether nude dancing caused the secondary effects was not the issue, the mere presence of the correlation was sufficient to permit a municipality to regulate.<sup>9</sup> While correlation is a statistical term, the Court failed to articulate a threshold for intervention. Judges and lawyers were left without any

---

environmental and toxic torts litigation, product liability, discrimination, monetary damages, warranty contracts, tobacco litigation, jury selection methods, the death penalty and deterrence, sentencing issues, pornography, fraud, and drug trafficking).

4. See *City of Los Angeles v. Alameda Books, Inc.*, 535 U.S. 425, 429-30 (2002) (reversing and remanding because the City of Los Angeles could rely on a study, even one conducted years ago, of the secondary effects of adult establishments in promulgating regulations on adult establishments).

5. See, e.g., *Schad v. Borough of Mount Ephraim*, 452 U.S. 61, 66 (1981) ("[N]ude dancing is not without its First Amendment protections from official regulation.").

6. *Id.* at 76 (demonstrating that while some of the activities involved in adult establishments may fall under the aegis of free expression, municipalities are not powerless to govern when and where that expression occurs).

7. 501 U.S. 560, 565-66 (1991) (indicating, however, that nude dancing of this variety was at the outermost bounds of constitutionally protected free expression).

8. *Id.* at 585 (Souter, J. concurring) (hinting that a secondary effects argument would be viewed more harshly if applied to a more traditionally accepted form of expression like the theatre).

9. See *id.* at 585-86 (Souter, J. concurring) ("To say that pernicious secondary effects are associated with nude dancing establishments is not necessarily to say that such effects result from the persuasive effect of the expression inherent in nude dancing. It is to say, rather, only that the effects are correlated with the existence of establishments offering such dancing, without deciding what the precise causes of the correlation actually are.").

indication as to how strong or weak the correlation must be; however, the Court did hold that a study relied on by a municipality need not be local. “[L]egislation seeking to combat the secondary effects of adult entertainment need not await localized proof of those effects.”<sup>10</sup> Again, while the *Barnes* Court did not explicitly call for empirical evidence, it does not seem plausible that a litigant could establish the presence (or absence) of secondary effects without the use of statistical data. Ironically, Justice Souter may have come to the same conclusion too late for the litigants in *Barnes* when the Court decided *City of Erie v. Pap’s A.M.*<sup>11</sup> There, Souter acknowledged that he had made an error in his *Barnes* concurrence when he said that a governmental entity did not need localized proof of secondary effects. He commented, “[A]fter many subsequent occasions to think further about the needs of the First Amendment, I have come to believe that a government must toe the mark more carefully than I first insisted. I hope it is enlightenment on my part, and acceptable even if a little late.”<sup>12</sup>

In *City of Los Angeles v. Alameda Books, Inc.*, Justice O’Connor, in a plurality opinion, permitted municipalities to “rely on any evidence that is ‘reasonably believed to be relevant’ for demonstrating a connection” between adult businesses and harmful secondary effects.<sup>13</sup> It appears that the evidence adduced by the municipality provided the rationale for the adoption of the ordinance. Although O’Connor did not specifically state that *statistical* evidence was necessary to justify an ordinance that restrains adult businesses, it is clearly implied. After all, the word *connection* is nearly synonymous with the statistical term *correlation*. Moreover, O’Connor was quick to point out that a municipality could not get away with “shoddy data.”<sup>14</sup> In so doing, she provided additional evidence that the Court at least contemplated a municipality’s use of statistics to substantiate its rationale in adopting an ordinance. It is clear that the most

---

10. *Id.* at 584 (Souter, J., concurring) (explaining that municipalities may rely on studies conducted by other localities on the secondary effects of similar establishments in determining what regulations to place on adult establishments).

11. See *City of Erie v. Pap’s A.M.*, 529 U.S. 277, 310-11 (2000) (Souter, J., concurring in part and dissenting in part) (finding that the City of Erie’s regulation was content-neutral and consistent with the First Amendment).

12. *Id.* at 317 (Souter, J., concurring in part and dissenting in part) (explaining that he should have required the state to have produced better evidence of their motivation for regulating in *Barnes*).

13. 535 U.S. 425, 438 (2002) (plurality opinion) (quoting *City of Renton v. Playtime Theatres Inc.*, 475 U.S. 41, 51-52 (1986)) (pointing out that the Court had specifically refused to set a high bar for municipalities).

14. *Id.* at 438-39 (“The municipality’s evidence must fairly support the municipality’s rationale for its ordinance.”).



prudent course for a municipality that wishes to regulate adult businesses should be to procure good statistical evidence that adult businesses and harmful secondary effects are correlated prior to the adoption of an ordinance regulating those activities.

Conversely, adult establishments that are adversely affected by an ordinance and wish to challenge its constitutionality must “cast direct doubt” on the rationale (or evidence) used to support the adoption of the ordinance.<sup>15</sup> Therefore, the Supreme Court has clearly placed the burden of proof on the plaintiff. The plaintiffs can shift the burden back on the municipality by (1) “demonstrating that the municipality’s evidence does not support its rationale;” or (2) “by furnishing evidence that disputes the municipality’s factual findings.”<sup>16</sup> Under either regime, it does not appear plausible that a plaintiff could succeed in shifting the burden of proof to the municipality without the use of an expert trained in research methods or statistics to scrutinize the municipalities study or by commissioning a study of their own with findings that differ from the municipality’s findings.<sup>17</sup> Once the burden is shifted, the municipality must “supplement the record with evidence renewing support for a theory that justifies its ordinance.”<sup>18</sup> In the instant case, the Court found that that plaintiff failed to “offer a competing theory, let alone data” to undermine the municipality’s rationale for the ordinance.<sup>19</sup> Ultimately, the Court ruled that the ordinance at issue was constitutional.<sup>20</sup>

At first blush, *Alameda* appears to merely affirm a line of cases where the Supreme Court has consistently upheld the constitutionality of zoning, nudity, and indecency ordinances that target adult businesses.<sup>21</sup> However, because of the way the plurality opinion was written, the practical effect on parties that wish to prevail in similar cases is that they should probably enlist the assistance of a

---

15. *See id.* (holding that the statistical evidence is subject to judicial scrutiny for accuracy and relevance).

16. *Id.* (describing the burden-shifting mechanism).

17. When a plaintiff commissions a separate study, he does so at his own peril because the commissioned study may corroborate the municipality’s findings.

18. *See id.* at 439 (citing *City of Erie v. Pap’s A.M.*, 529 U.S. 277, 298 (2000)).

19. *Id.* at 437 (“While the city certainly bears the burden of providing evidence that supports a link between concentrations of adult operations and asserted secondary effects, it does not bear the burden of providing evidence that rules out every theory for the link between concentrations of adult establishments that is inconsistent with its own.”).

20. *Id.* at 442-43 (holding that the municipality could regulate adult businesses).

21. *See, e.g., Pap’s A.M.*, 529 U.S. 277; *Barnes v. Glen Theater, Inc.*, 501 U.S. 560 (1991); *Renton v. Playtime Theatres*, 475 U.S. 41 (1986); *Young v. American Mini Theatres*, 427 U.S. 50 (1976).

6 JOURNAL OF GENDER, SOCIAL POLICY & THE LAW [Vol. 15:1  
 statistician as soon as feasible.

## II. WHAT CAUSES CRIME?

### *A. Human Display Establishments and Crime*

Few studies have attempted to study the interaction between adult businesses and crime. In an unpublished study, Linz and Paul<sup>22</sup> used San Diego Police Department calls for service within a 1000-foot area to determine whether there was a greater incidence of crime in a 1000-foot vicinity of peep show establishments compared to 1000-foot control sites (based on census socioeconomic and demographic characteristics). The findings revealed no significant relationship between San Diego peep shows within the 1000-foot perimeter and crime. In a related study conducted in Daytona Beach, Florida, Linz, Fisher, and Yao<sup>23</sup> estimated the effects of social disorganization variables, alcohol sites, and adult cabarets on police calls for service at the census block level. The authors also matched 1000-foot perimeter control sites with those of the adult cabaret perimeters to help isolate the sources of crime. The regression analysis showed no association between adult cabarets and crime at the census block level. Rather, the results indicated that social disorganization and alcohol establishments were better predictors of crime. Using a similar methodological design and data, Linz et al.<sup>24</sup> found that adult businesses were not associated with crime in Charlotte, North Carolina. This study is the first peer-reviewed article to be published on the topic of adult establishments.

While the Linz studies are promising, they are far from dispositive. One question remains open: Does the presence of adult businesses in a particular area increase crime? If the answer is negative, then might there be other area characteristics or institutions that are responsible for increases in crime?

For well over seven decades, criminologists<sup>25</sup> have relied upon

---

22. Daniel Linz & Bryant Paul, A Secondary Effects Study Relating to Hours of Operation of Peep Show Establishments in San Diego, California (2002) (unpublished study) (on file with author).

23. Daniel Linz et al., Evaluating Potential Secondary Effects of Adult Cabarets in Daytona Beach, Florida: A Study of Calls for Service to the Police (Aug. 30, 2003) (unpublished study) (on file with author).

24. Daniel Linz et al, *An Examination of the Assumption That Adult Businesses are Associated with Crime in Surrounding Areas: A Secondary Effects Study in Charlotte, North Carolina*, 38 L. & SOC'Y REV. 69 (2004) (finding that the incidents of crime in areas containing adult establishments are in fact lower than similar areas without adult establishments).

25. For purposes of this paper, a criminologist is a researcher who studies the causes and correlates of crime.



social disorganization theory to understand the causes of crime rates across urban neighborhoods.<sup>26</sup> The body of research based upon this theory consistently shows that the local community context matters.

### *B. Social Disorganization Theory and Crime*

Social disorganization theory specifies that economically impoverished local communities characterized by residential instability and ethnically diverse populations are more likely to lack social organization than more affluent, homogenous neighborhoods with stable populations.<sup>27</sup> In short, social disorganization theory is primarily concerned with community mechanisms of social control that enable residents to realize collective norms and regulate behavior in order to improve the quality of life. Three structural characteristics are most closely associated with social disorganization theory: (1) economic status, (2) residential mobility, and (3) population composition.<sup>28</sup> Each concept merits a brief description. First, socially disorganized communities characterized by low economic status lack the necessary financial resources to effectively establish informal social control (e.g., neighborhood watch groups) over community social problems (e.g., crime).<sup>29</sup> Second, residential mobility<sup>30</sup> impedes the development of social ties among neighbors.<sup>31</sup> The inability to establish social relations promotes crime by undercutting communal prevention and problem solving. Third, researchers conceptualize population composition as recent arrivals, foreign-born persons, and those who have migrated from other parts of the country.<sup>32</sup> The connection between population composition and crime is based on the premise that a mix of racial and ethnic groups disrupts the equilibrium of neighborhood social control, which in turn leads to increased crime and delinquency.<sup>33</sup>

Based on these three concepts, most scholars hypothesized that crime rates would be higher in socially disorganized neighborhoods.<sup>34</sup>

---

26. See C.R. SHAW & H.D. MCKAY, *JUVENILE DELINQUENCY AND URBAN AREAS: A STUDY OF RATES OF DELINQUENCY IN RELATION TO DIFFERENTIAL CHARACTERISTICS OF LOCAL COMMUNITIES IN AMERICAN CITIES* (UNIVERSITY OF CHICAGO PRESS 1969) (1942) (establishing the history or legacy of social disorganization theory).

27. See *id.*

28. See *id.*

29. See *id.* at 147-52.

30. Residential mobility is a community characteristic whereby residents are continuously moving, leaving the neighborhood in a constant state of transition.

31. See SHAW, *supra* note 26, at 147-49.

32. See *id.* at 152.

33. See *id.* at 155.

34. See *id.*

Empirical evidence showed that crime was due to weakened community social organization, which resulted from structural constraints (e.g., low-economic status, high residential instability, and population composition) endemic in the environment. Ultimately, researchers concluded that community attributes explain crime patterns better than individual characteristics (e.g., an individual's race) do.<sup>35</sup> Thus, social disorganization is a theory about places, not persons, so the root causes of crime can be traced back to the characteristics of the community and not the individual.<sup>36</sup>

Recent research has gathered considerable evidence in support of social disorganization theory. Along the way, scholars have reformulated and refined the classic social disorganization model<sup>37</sup> and have applied the principles of social disorganization to explain the link between local institutions (e.g., bars and families—or lack thereof) and crime.<sup>38</sup> For example, scholars have reported that rates of family disruption (measured as divorced families), low socio-economic status, residential stability, and heterogeneity accounted for

---

35. See *id.* at 920.

36. See *id.*; see also R. J. Sampson & W. J. Wilson, *Toward a Theory of Race, Crime, and Urban Inequality*, in CRIME AND INEQUALITY 37, 37-54 (J. Hagan & R.D. Peterson eds., 1995) (using community characteristics like poverty and residential instability to bolster the argument that social disorganization theory is about places not persons).

37. See Robert J. Bursik, *Social Disorganization and Theories of Crime and Delinquency: Problems and Prospects*, 25 CRIMINOLOGY 519 (1988) (recognizing the work of many researchers who have made methodological departures from Shaw's original social disorganization model when performing related research); D. Wayne Osgood & Jeff M. Chambers, *Social Disorganization Outside the Metropolis: An Analysis of Rural Youth Violence*, 38 CRIMINOLOGY 81, 81-82 (2000) (arguing the importance of performing social disorganization research outside of its traditional urban setting); RUTH ROSNER KORNHAUSER, *SOCIAL SOURCES OF DELINQUENCY: AN APPRAISAL OF ANALYTIC MODELS* 1-20 (1978) (explaining how different views on the concept of culture lead sociologists and anthropologists to formulate many theories on the best way to link social conditions and crime); Robert J. Sampson & W. Byron Grove, *Community Structure and Crime: Testing Social Disorganization Theory*, 94 AM. J. OF SOC. 774, 782 (1989) [hereinafter Sampson & Grove, *Community Structure and Crime*] (choosing as a superior research model an updated version of the classic research model that is also influenced by social-network theory, systemic theory, and macrosocial conceptualization); Sampson et al., *Neighborhood and Violent Crime: a Multilevel Study of Collective Efficacy*, 277 SCIENCE 918, 918-19 (1997) [hereinafter Sampson et al., *Neighborhood and Violent Crime*] (incorporating the measure of "collective efficacy" into social disorganization research); Robert J. Sampson & Stephen W. Raudenbush, *Systemic Social Observation of Public Spaces: A New Look at Disorder in Urban Neighborhoods*, 105 AM. J. OF SOC. 603, 605-08 (1999) [hereinafter Sampson & Raudenbush, *Disorder*] (considering the importance of using direct observation for research concerning social disorganization).

38. See Ruth D. Peterson et al., *Disadvantage and Neighborhood Violent Crime: Do Local Institutions Matter?*, 37 J. RES. CRIME & DELINQ. 31, 32 (2000) (lamenting many researchers' failure to fully evaluate the importance of local institutions while investigating social correlates to crime rates); see also Maria Luisa Alaniz et al., *Immigrants and Violence: The Importance of Neighborhood Context*, 20 HISP. J. BEHAV. SCI. 155 (1998).



much of the effect on rates of burglary.<sup>39</sup> In addition, studies show that burglary is influenced by other community characteristics, such as single parent households.<sup>40</sup>

The most robust tests of social disorganization theory examined the effects of three social disorganization concepts (concentrated disadvantage, immigrant population, and residential instability) on violent crime.<sup>41</sup> Results from their analysis showed that concentrated disadvantage (i.e., poor racially segregated residents) and residential instability were significantly related to homicide rates. In a separate study focused on non-violent crime, researchers reported that concentrated disadvantage and mixed land use were strongly associated with less serious crimes, such as physical and social disorder.<sup>42</sup> While most scholars have focused on community, social, and economic predictors associated with social disorganization, other researchers also have examined the relationship between various types of institutions (i.e., banks, libraries, recreation center, and bars) within the community that may also influence crime.

### *C. Alcohol Outlet Institutions and Crime*

The rationale for linking the impact of local institutions with crime can be found in the tenets of social disorganization theory.<sup>43</sup> The logic behind the connection lies in the assumption that socially disorganized communities are less likely to attract and sustain so-called conventional institutions such as banks, libraries, and recreation centers that help control crime.<sup>44</sup> Conversely, bars (so-

39. See Sampson & Grove, *Community Structure and Crime*, *supra* note 37, at 798.

40. See James P. Lynch & David Cantor, *Ecological and Behavioral Influences on Property Victimization at Home: Implications for Opportunity Theory*, 29 J. RES. CRIME & DELINQ 335, 339 (1992) (arguing that examining the "ecological context" of crime, along with the more traditional variables of social disorganization, will provide more accurate and reliable results); Douglas A. Smith & G. Roger, *Household Characteristics, Neighborhood Composition, and Victimization Risk*, 68 SOCIAL FORCES 621, 625-28 (1989) (contending that multi-level analysis, which combines both individual and aggregate statistics, paints the most accurate picture of crime's correlates); Pamela Wilcox Rountree, Kenneth C. Land & Terance D. Miethe, *Macro-Micro Integration In the Study of Victimization: A Hierarchical Logistic Model Analysis Across Seattle Neighborhoods*, 32 CRIMINOLOGY 387, 396 (1994) (measuring correlation between crime and characteristics, such as the number of residents that live alone).

41. See Sampson et al., *Neighborhood and Violent Crime*, *supra* note 37, at 923 (proffering that these three variables combine to create an important construct called "collective efficacy" that can be reliably linked to rates of violence in neighborhoods).

42. See Sampson & Raudenbush, *Disorder*, *supra* note 37, at 624, Table 2.

43. See SHAW, *supra* note 26.

44. See Peterson et al., *supra* note 38, at 33 (positing that social disorganization leads to economic divestment in the area, which in turn hurts positive institutions' chances of prospering).

called weak institutions), which are more prevalent in disorganized neighborhoods, tend to thwart crime control efforts.<sup>45</sup> Thus, researchers have characterized the presence of bars as an indirect indicator of social disorganization<sup>46</sup> and more recently as "crime generators."<sup>47</sup> In fact, scholars have found a higher concentration of alcohol outlets in 213 socially disorganized California cities than in those cities not characterized as socially disorganized.<sup>48</sup> Therefore, the combination of weak institutions like bars and social disorganization attributes are likely to foster crime.<sup>49</sup>

### III. SAN ANTONIO, TX—RATIONALE FOR ENACTMENT

Clearly influenced by recent Supreme Court jurisprudence,<sup>50</sup> the city of San Antonio passed several ordinances aimed at curtailing

45. See generally *id.* at 35 (noting that bars may encourage criminal behavior by serving as a gathering place for idle individuals whose collective judgment may suffer due to inebriation).

46. See *id.* (arguing that a large number of bars in a particular area is a sign of that neighborhood's inability to control the proliferation of "weak" and potentially crime-inducing institutions).

47. See Marc Quimet, *Aggregation Bias in Ecological Research: How Social Disorganization and Criminal Opportunities Shape the Spatial Distribution of Juvenile Delinquency in Montreal*, 42 CAN. J. OF CRIMINOLOGY 135, 140-41 (1992) (pointing out that areas such as bars, malls, and subways may breed crime because they tend to place likely victims and likely offenders in the same space).

48. See R. K. Watts & J. Rabow, *Alcohol Availability and Alcohol Related Problems in 213 California Cities*, 7(1) ALCOHOLISM: CLINICAL AND EXPERIMENTAL RESEARCH 47-58 (Winter 1983).

49. See ROBERT NASH PARKER & LINDA-ANNE REBHUN, *ALCOHOL AND HOMICIDE: A DEADLY COMBINATION OF TWO AMERICAN TRADITIONS* 77-101 (David Luckenbill ed., 1995); Richard A. Scribner, David P. MacKinnon & James H. Dwyer, *The Risk of Assaultive Violence and Alcohol Availability in Los Angeles County*, 85 AM. J. PUB. HEALTH 335, 338-39 (1995) (finding a significant association between the density of alcohol outlets and assaultive violence); JAMES F. SHORT, *POVERTY, ETHNICITY, AND VIOLENT CRIME* 54-56 (1997) (citing over a dozen researchers whose work all demonstrate the ill effects resulting from a lack of strong local institutions); Mercer L. Sullivan, *Puerto Ricans in Sunset Park, Brooklyn: Poverty Amidst Ethnic and Economic Diversity*, in IN THE BARRIOS: LATINOS AND THE UNDERCLASS DEBATE 1, 16, 22 (Joan Moore & Raquel Pinderhughes eds., 1993) (observing crime's prevalence in Sunset Park, Brooklyn, an area with few employment opportunities and many non-traditional families); WILLIAM JULIUS WILSON, *THE TRULY DISADVANTAGED: THE INNER CITY, THE UNKNOWN, AND PUBLIC POLICY* 25 (1987) (finding that housing projects in Chicago, which had high rates of single parent households and poverty, as well as an abundance of minors, became hotbeds of criminal activity within the city).

50. See SAN ANTONIO, TEX., CODE OF ORDINANCES ch. 21, art. 9, § 101002 (2006) ("WHEREAS, the City Council finds that similar adverse secondary effects have impacted the City of Jackson, Mississippi as described at *J&B Entertainment, Inc. v. City of Jackson, Mississippi*, 152 F.3d 362 (5th Cir. 1998); the City of Erie, Pennsylvania as described at *City of Erie v. Pap's A.M.*, 120 S. Ct. 1382 (2000); and other cities as described in *City of Renton v. Playtime Theaters, Inc.*, 475 U.S. 41 (1986), *Young v. American Mini Theatres*, 426 U.S. 50 (1976), *Barnes v. Glen Theatre, Inc.*, 501 U.S. 560 (1991), and *City of Los Angeles v. Alameda Books, Inc.*, 121 S. Ct. 1223 (2001).").



perceived problems with area strip clubs.<sup>51</sup> The municipality's ordinances prohibit, *inter alia*, nude dancing, lap dancing, and VIP rooms; require topless dancers to maintain a distance of three feet from patrons at all times; and require dancers, bouncers, and managers to apply for and wear photo ID badges while on duty.<sup>52</sup> Among the reasons for the enactment of the ordinance the "City Council [found] that there are adverse secondary effects resulting from public places where a state of nudity, semi-nudity, or specified sexual acts occur or exist."<sup>53</sup> Moreover, the "City Council [found] that prostitution, violent crimes, and crimes against persons, promotion of prostitution, indecent exposure, lewd conduct, illegal drug possession, and illegal drug dealing occur with greater frequency at or near the aforementioned public places."<sup>54</sup> The City Council also found that the "unrestricted operation of certain sexually oriented businesses may be detrimental to the public health, safety, and welfare by contributing to the decline of residential and business neighborhoods and the growth of criminal activity."<sup>55</sup> Clearly, one of the aims of the ordinance was to combat a perceived increase in crime.<sup>56</sup>

The findings presented by the City Council were the result of statistical analysis in addition to findings from other cities and counties outside the jurisdiction of San Antonio indicating that similar adverse secondary effects were linked to adult businesses.<sup>57</sup> While the City relied upon in-house statistical analysis and findings

---

51. See Laura Jesse, *Badge Will be Item Dancers Can't Shed—Council Toughens Strip Club Rules—Clubs Will go to Court*, SAN ANTONIO EXPRESS-NEWS, Dec. 18, 2004, at 1B.

52. See SAN ANTONIO, TEX., CODE OF ORDINANCES ch. 21, art. 9, §§ 100190, 101002 (2006) (prohibiting nude dancing, lap dancing, and VIP rooms, as well as requiring dancers, bouncers, and managers to wear photo badges).

53. *Id.* (defining adverse secondary effects as violations of the law "caused by the existence of or geographic proximity to a human display establishment").

54. *Id.* (listing other perceived negative effects such as reduction in surrounding property value and the threat of infection and disease from unsanitary conditions caused by bodily fluids).

55. *Id.* (quoting § 243.001 TEX. LOC. GOV'T CODE § 243.001 (2006)).

56. See *id.* (citing studies showing increases in crime associated with human display establishments). Additionally, the city was concerned with declines in property values. While the present study does not take diminution of real property values into account, the authors performed an unpublished study that shows no correlation between adult cabarets and decreases in property value. See also Jeffrey Cancino, *Assessing the Effects of Human Display Establishments on Property Values: An Empirical Study in San Antonio, Texas* (Feb. 27, 2004) (unpublished study) (on file with author) (assessing whether proximity to human display establishments affects property values).

57. See §§ 100190, 101002 (relying upon the findings set forth in the legal opinions of the cities of Jackson, Miss. and Erie, Pa. as providing additional support for enacting the regulations).

presented by other local governments to support its position, both approaches are controversial and have been criticized. An examination of previous city-studies showed severe methodological limitations that raise concerns and may warrant further investigation.<sup>58</sup> For example, scholars report that the Indiana study (1986) failed to properly match (based on key variables) study site with control site, the Phoenix study (1979) used only one year of crime data, and the Los Angeles (1977) results were biased due to a direct increase in enforcement during the evaluation period.<sup>59</sup> In short, the reliability of previous city-studies is dubious at best and most likely fatally flawed.

Moreover, the in-house studies relied upon by the City Council were just as problematic. In fact, the police department's chief statistician admitted, "crime can't be definitely linked to activity in the clubs."<sup>60</sup>

#### IV. PRESENT STUDY

##### A. Research Objective

In order to ascertain the rationality of the municipality's ordinance, a study was undertaken. The primary research objective of the study was to determine the effect of human display establishments on crime after controlling for social disorganization characteristics and alcohol outlets. To accomplish this objective, multiple sources of data were used to measure social disorganization characteristics in the community, identify the unit of analysis, and identify local institutions of interest. In terms of analysis, four analytical strategies were employed.

The first strategy is more descriptive in nature. Consistent with previous adult establishment research, 500-and 1000-foot concentric zone perimeters were constructed around human display establishments and control sites. The human display establishments

---

58. See Bryant Paul et al., *Government Regulation of "Adult" Businesses Through Zoning and Anti-Nudity Ordinances: Debunking the Legal Myth of Negative Secondary Effects*, 6 COMM. L. & POL'Y 355, 367, 372-76, 385 (2001) (emphasizing that the methodology used in the most frequently cited studies is often flawed by poor selection of control areas, insufficient time periods, change in police presence, and segmented surveys preventing a study from being reliable).

59. See, e.g., *id.* at 379-81 (proffering that the authors of the study failed to find controls sufficiently similar in zoning mix, population, and age of housing, asserting that by only using data from one year the study could not demonstrate reliability or predictability and adding that an increase in police presence of the studied area makes the study's findings suspect).

60. See Jesse, *supra* note 51, at B1 (interviewing the police department's chief statistician).



were matched with a control site based on similar socioeconomic and demographic census characteristics. Once the concentric zones were fitted with the census data, crime incidents occurring within the 500- and 1000-foot perimeters were mapped for analysis. Note that such preliminary analysis provides a frequency distribution of incidents per year and the averages for several crime outcomes. This rather cursory analysis is followed by a bivariate analysis. Next, an analysis of variance is conducted to help determine whether statistical differences in crime rate means among three types of block groups exist. Finally, a more rigorous multivariate stepwise regression analysis is performed. Before proceeding with the various types of analysis, a thorough review of the data and methods are provided. For the sake of brevity, only the more rigorous multivariate stepwise regression analysis will be published in this paper.<sup>61</sup>

### *B. Data and Methods*

Four independent data sources—2000 Census data, Texas Alcohol Beverage Commission (TABC) data, adult human display establishment data, and official San Antonio Police Department crime records—were used to assess the effects of human display establishments on crime, net of social disorganization predictors, and alcohol outlets. The following subsections highlight the collection procedures for these data.

#### *1. Defining Local Community by Block Groups*

Urban sociological research is replete with controversies about the proper operationalization regarding what constitutes a “neighborhood” or “community.”<sup>62</sup> For example, when studying crime rates and crime-related outcomes, the criminological literature shows that scholars normally select pre-constructed units from the census bureau ranging from geographically large tracts,<sup>63</sup> to small

61. See Cancino, *supra* note 56.

62. Since the early ecological studies of the Chicago School, researchers have struggled to adequately define the term “community.” For the most part, the term has been defined rather loosely. For example, researchers have defined community in three different ways: (1) social aspects, such as group solidarity, cohesion, and social interaction; (2) geographic features, such as census tracts, block groups, roads, and businesses; and (3) socio-geographic characteristics where researchers attempt to identify both social and geographic components. See generally TERANCE D. METHE & ROBERT F. MEIER, *CRIME AND ITS SOCIAL CONTEXT* 3, 21-22 (1994) (emphasizing the influences that the social or geographic characteristics of a community can influence crime rates).

63. See, e.g., Sampson et al., *Neighborhood and Violent Crime*, *supra* note 37, at 919 (combining census tracts in Chicago spatially to create clusters approximately the size of local neighborhoods); Pamela Wilcox Rountree & Kenneth C. Land, *Burglary Victimization Perceptions of Crime Risk and Routine Activities: A Multilevel Analysis*

block groups,<sup>64</sup> and smaller face blocks.<sup>65</sup> There appears to be a methodological trade-off with respect to the type of aggregate chosen. When researchers do not have access to a high number of crime incidents, using a larger unit of analysis (e.g., census tract) helps to compensate for such deficiency by increasing the reliability and minimizing measurement error.<sup>66</sup> Choosing among these aggregates is convenient, but the reality is that determining the appropriate aggregate usually is dictated by characteristics of the study, data availability, and variables used.<sup>67</sup>

In the present study, there exist a relatively large number of crime incidents. Therefore, it was decided to use block groups as the unit of analysis. There is sufficient justification for using census block groups over larger tracts and smaller face blocks.<sup>68</sup> Moreover, alcohol outlets

---

*Across Seattle Neighborhoods and Census Tracts*, 33 J. RES. CRIME & DELINQ. 147, 147 (1996) (classifying individuals within larger units according to census tracts in the city of Seattle).

64. See Denise C. Gottfredson et al., *Social Area Influences on Delinquency: A Multilevel Analysis*, 28 J. RES. CRIME & DELINQ. 197, 206 (1991) (studying data according to block groups consisting of about 10 city blocks and having a population between 1,000 and 1,200 persons and using enumeration districts of about 500 to 600 persons where Census Bureau data did not contain such blocks); see also Alaniz et al., *supra* note 38, at 155-174; Ora Simcha-Fagan & Joseph E. Schwartz, *Neighborhood and Delinquency: An Assessment of Contextual Effects*, 24 CRIMINOLOGY 667, 673-74 (1986) (studying neighborhoods consisting of geographically contiguous study areas that included block groups with similar demographics).

65. Compare MEITHE & MEIER, *supra* note 62, at 81 (studying the effect of proximity to high crime areas on increased victimization rates by averaging the indications of social and economic deterioration occurring within three blocks of an individual's residence), with William R. Smith et al., *Furthering the Integration of Routine Activity and Social Disorganization Theories: Small Units of Analysis and the Study of Street Robbery as a Diffusion Process*, 38 CRIMINOLOGY 489, 494-95 (2000) (finding face blocks the preferable method of analysis, but elaborating that its small size may result in higher statistical rates of interaction because they are more likely to share similar characteristics), and Ralph B. Taylor & Jeanette Covington, *Community Structural Change and Fear of Crime*, 40 SOC. PROBS. 374, 379-80 (1993) (selecting one side of each census block randomly and choosing another side where that side did not meet the necessary criteria but refraining from using both sides of one block).

66. See, e.g., Quimet, *supra* note 47, at 150 (advising the use of larger areas of study where crime or delinquency rates are low to increase reliability).

67. See *id.* at 151 (explaining that a researcher must tailor the study to the type of analysis most suited to the information available, and finding neighborhoods most suitable for social disorganization models and census tracts best for variables from opportunity models).

68. Prior social disorganization research has used block groups: see, e.g., Michael D. Reisig & Jeffrey Michael Cancino, *Incidencies in Nonmetropolitan Communities: The Effects of Structural Constraints, Social Conditions, and Crime*, 32 J. CRIM. JUST. 15, 19-20 (2004) (constructing units of analysis based on those used for "neighborhood clusters," in conjunction with block groups); Jeffrey Michael Cancino, *Breaking from Orthodoxy: The Effects of Social Disorganization on Perceived Burglary in Nonmetropolitan Communities*, 28 AM. J. CRIM. JUST. 1 (2003). Moreover, census face blocks are too small given San Antonio's relatively large population and are more reflective of residential areas.



and human display establishments are not situated in the face block residential fashion; instead, such establishments are represented more accurately by a block group combination of business and residential locales. Overall, block groups are the most appropriate unit of analysis for the current research objectives and are thus used as the defining feature of the local community.

Census 2000 data was used to identify the unit of analysis—block groups (BGs) and to identify an array of socioeconomic and demographic items at the BG-level, such as total population, number of whites, number of Latinos, and the like. Note that census items are not direct indicators of social disorganization; rather, they reflect key structural conditions suggested by a long line of theorists as causes of social disorganization.<sup>69</sup> Overall, 1,016 BGs were used. Population sizes for the BGs ranged from 4 to 9,922 individuals, with a mean population of approximately 1,400.

## 2. Community Characteristics

The community characteristics were also derived from 2000 Census data.<sup>70</sup> The specific community characteristics include absolute values for median household income in dollars, African-Americans, Latinos, males age 15 to 29, divorced adult residents, female-headed households, vacant housing units, and renter-occupied housing units. In an effort to be consistent with scholarship in the field, these specific community characteristics were selected because research indicates that these characteristics tend to increase the relative level of crime and disorder. If social disorganization controls are not taken into account, then it is possible that any apparent effects of human display establishments on crime might be overestimated.

## 3. Texas Alcohol Beverage Commission (TABC) Data

Consistent with prior research that collected alcohol outlet data,<sup>71</sup> the current study obtained a list of all “on-site” alcohol serving institutions from the TABC. This entity makes such information publicly available via the Internet in a downloadable version.<sup>72</sup> The

69. See Eric Silver, *Extending Social Disorganization Theory: A Multilevel Approach to the Study of Violence Among Persons with Mental Illness*, 38 CRIMINOLOGY 1043, 1056-67 (2000) (indicating that census tract measures do not directly demonstrate “neighborhood social disorganization processes,” but instead reveal structural conditions that theorists consider to cause social disorganization).

70. See U.S. Census Bureau, Census 2000 Gateway, <http://www.census.gov/main/www/cen2000.html> (last visited July 22, 2006).

71. See Alaniz et al., *supra* note 38, at 155-74; Peterson et al., *supra* note 38, at 39-41 (studying the apparent “crime-producing influence” bars have on their surrounding neighborhoods).

72. See Texas Alcoholic Beverage Commission Homepage, <http://www.tabc.state>.

information includes, for example, the physical street address, type of license, name of business, and length of operation. Alcohol establishments were limited to locations that allow for the consumption of alcohol on-site, thereby excluding those businesses that are mere retailers of alcohol, deemed more appropriately as "off-site." The use of on-site outlets makes intuitive sense because the types of alcohol establishments specified in this analysis are conceptually similar to human display establishments. That is, businesses providing the consumption of alcohol on-site and human display establishments attract people who spend a considerable amount of time in one location.

#### 4. Human Display Establishment Data

The physical street addresses of seven human display establishments (P.T.'s, Sugar's, Allstars, Tiffany's, Wild Zebra, Palace, and Babes) were collected. The exact addresses were verified by referencing the 2003 telephone directory, followed by calling the establishments.

#### 5. Official Crime Data

The San Antonio Police Department (SAPD) provided official crime incident (e.g., assault, robbery, and the like) records for three calendar years, 2000-2002. This data was obtained via the Freedom of Information Act/Texas Open Records Act. The data included several attributes of the criminal event including date, time, location (address), type of criminal incident, and the police district in which the event occurred. Using the location where the crime occurred is informative in that it provides researchers with proximal causes of crime.<sup>73</sup> At least three years of crime data is considered sufficient to avoid annual fluctuations and increases the likelihood of having sufficient incidents to calculate reliable rates.<sup>74</sup>

---

ox.us/ (last visited Oct. 11, 2006).

73. See, e.g., MEITHE & MEIER, *supra* note 62, at 47 (asserting that physical nearness to high-crime areas increases the likelihood of becoming a victim of crime because living in these areas increases one's risk of coming into contact with offenders); Smith et al., *supra* note 65, at 503 (linking the presence of criminal activities with the residential area of offenders, who go about their activities around their residence and move along nearby and often used streets); Taylor & Covington, *supra* note 65, at 375 (highlighting other studies demonstrating that, when individuals and particularly youths see crime rates increase in an area, they assume that residents can no longer supervise that area, leading to more frequent problems with teen groups).

74. See Peterson et al., *supra* note 38, at 39 (restating that researchers often use three-year average rates in order to have a sufficient number of total rates to obtain reliable results); Robert J. Sampson, *Urban Black Violence: The Effect of Male Joblessness and Family Disruption*, 93 AM. J. OF SOC. 348, 360 (1987) (noting the practice of previous researchers to use a three-year average rate for robbery and homicide arrests that is employed for the purposes of reducing both the influence of



### 6. Geo-coding TABC Data, Human Display Establishments, and Crime

To perform the proposed analysis, it was necessary to import addresses from the human display establishments, alcohol outlets, and SAPD's crime incidents into the proper format for geocoding. To carry out this task, two procedures were required. First, the 2000 census block group information was downloaded from the United States Department of Census web site.<sup>75</sup> Second, each of the data sets was subsequently geocoded using Geographic Information Systems (GIS) software (ArcView 8.1). This process entails assigning the address of each crime incident, on-site alcohol outlet, and human display establishment to their respective location on a computer-generated map. The ArcView software was used to create the 500- and 1000-foot concentric zone perimeters around the human display establishment and control site.

Approximately seventy percent of crime data across the three years was successfully geocoded. Computer matching is performed using mathematical computations and indices. In some cases the GIS software could not find the exact location of crime incidents with a sufficient level of precision on the computer-generated map. There are several explanations for this problem. First, a sizable number of the incidents did not have valid addresses. For example, a visual review of the unmatched addresses reveals only the street name without a numerical identifier (e.g., Main Street, San Antonio, TX). Another possible source is errors made during the entry process by SAPD, yet there is no way to verify this. Despite these minor problems, approximately 45,000 crime incidents were successfully geocoded per year on average. Although these numbers may under represent the actual level of crime in any given geographical location, underestimation is expected to be equally distributed across geographical locations thereby not misrepresenting the results of this analysis. Overall, the geocoding process is consistent with prior research.<sup>76</sup>

---

random changes and any missing data); Robert J. Sampson et al., *Race and Criminal Violence: A Demographically Disaggregated Analysis of Urban Homicide*, 31 CRIME & DELINQ. 47, 54 (1985) (employing "a three-year average rate" of arrests for homicides in order to reduce the influence of random changes). But see Steven F. Messner & Reid M. Golden, *Racial Inequality and Racially Disaggregated Homicide Rates: An Assessment of Alternative Theoretical Explanations*, 30 CRIMINOLOGY 421, 430 (1992) (deciding to use a five year period of study because the events studied occur infrequently in some cities).

75. See U.S. Census Bureau Homepage, <http://www.census.gov/> (last visited Oct. 11, 2006).

76. See Peterson et al., *supra* note 38, at 38-39 (using violent crime data reported by the police and broken down by census tracts to investigate the influence of local institutions on crime rates); Alaniz et al., *supra* note 38, at 155-74 (using census tracts as an aggregate unit of analysis).

One additional methodological procedure is worth mentioning—the selection of control sites. After obtaining relevant socioeconomic and demographic census characteristics, the researcher drove to each human display establishment to gain some familiarity with the general surroundings. Selecting a control site that matched the human display establishment based on census data was not the only particular interest; instead, the goal was to ensure that the control site was similar in terms of broader environmental features. While the census data reflected a comparable match for the human display and control sites, discrepancies were apparent in the broader environment (e.g., apartment complex, heavy business area, large grocery stores, and a high volume of traffic in terms of people) on two occasions and therefore were not used.

### 7. *Dependent Variables*

The dependent variables (i.e., outcome of interest) were the rate (per 1,000) of crimes for several different types of criminal incidents. Some scholars argue that crime rates should be used when estimating the effects of environmental predictors.<sup>77</sup> Thus, six types of crime rates (public order, drug offenses, sex offenses, assault, robbery, and firearm offenses) and the total crime rate are specified as the dependent variables (seven variables total). Calculating crime rates involved a two-step process. The first step required computing the three year crime average (2000-2002) for each crime type across all block groups (i.e., 1,016). Once calculated, rates were determined for each census block group by dividing the total number of events by the BG population and then multiplying the result by 1,000. The computations are represented in the following formula where *X* refers to the specific crime group (e.g., drug offenses):

$$Rate_x = \left( \frac{3YearAverageTotalEvents}{Pop2000} \right) \times 1,000$$

### 8. *Independent Variables*

To capture sources of social disorganization, the 2000 census data was used to measure eight variables: (1) renter (percent BG housing units that are renter occupied), (2) Latino (percent BG population that is Latino), (3) Black (percent BG population that is Black), (4) divorced (percent BG population that is divorced), (5) median

---

77. See, e.g., Quimet, *supra* note 47, at 135 (including crime rates in an analysis of how social disorganization variables predict crime).



household income (BG median household income in dollars), (6) vacant (percent BG housing units that are vacant), (7) male 15-29 years of age (percent BG male population in crime prone 15-29 age category), and (8) female headed householder (percent BG household population that is female headed). Note that only a few social disorganization variables were selected in order to achieve conceptual clarity and parsimonious statistical modeling. Moreover, scholars have used similar social disorganization predictors.<sup>78</sup>

Researchers have operationalized alcohol outlets in various ways, such as on-site, off-site, and total. Consistent with other research, alcohol density outlets are measured as the number of on-site alcohol outlets in each BG per 1000 population.<sup>79</sup> Human display establishments were dummy coded and measured as the presence and non-presence of such establishments within a BG (1 = human display establishment in the BG, 0 = no human display establishment in the BG). Only seven human display establishments were included in the present research.

#### V. FINDINGS

As mentioned earlier, four analytical strategies were selected. First, crime incidents occurring within the 500- and 1000-foot concentric zone perimeters for human display and control sites were assessed. Second, bivariate analysis (the analysis of two variables simultaneously for the purposes of determining a relationship between them) was conducted among the variables to obtain a preliminary understanding of the bivariate relationships. Next, an analysis of variance was conducted to help determine whether statistical differences in crime rate means among three types of block groups exist. The final stage of analysis included a procedure known as ordinary least squares ("OLS") stepwise regression. This analytical technique helps determine which variable(s) makes a significant contribution in predicting crime. Significantly, explained variance fluctuations in the dependent variable(s) could be detected.<sup>80</sup> Stated differently,

---

78. See, e.g., Alaniz et al., *supra* note 38, at 155; Kwabena Gyimah-Brempong, *Alcohol Availability and Crime: Evidence from Census Tract Data*, 68 S. ECON. J. 2, 2-21 (2001) (employing census tract data and a reduced-form crime equation to find that, in Detroit, alcohol availability positively and significantly relates to occurrences of crime).

79. See, e.g., Alaniz et al., *supra* note 38, at 167; Gyimah-Brempong, *supra* note 78, at 10 (evaluating the relationship between crime and alcohol availability by calculating "the total number of alcohol licenses of all types granted per 1000 people in a census tract").

80. See ALAN ACRESTI & BARBARA FINLAY, *STATISTICAL METHODS FOR THE SOCIAL SCIENCES* 530-31 (Prentice-Hall, Inc. 1997) (1986) (explaining forward selection and stepwise regression procedures).

stepwise regression allows researchers to observe any significant change among variables and specified models in a systematic fashion. The central research question is: do human display establishments influence crime after controlling for social disorganization predictors and alcohol outlets, which are known to be associated with crime? Therefore, the authors will focus exclusively on the Stepwise Regression and Multivariate Models.<sup>81</sup>

To address the central research question, three models are estimated. Model 1 specifies variables associated with social disorganization. Model 2 reflects the social disorganization variables in addition to alcohol density outlets. Model 3 (the full model) includes social disorganization predictors, alcohol density outlets, and human display establishments.

Table 1 presents all models for the crime rate of public order. In Model 1, the significant F-statistic ( $F = 4.888$ ) indicates that the independent variables are sufficient in explaining the variation in the dependent variable (public order). The  $R^2$  value is .037, meaning that the proportion of variation in public order explained by Model 1 is approximately 3%. Upon closer examination, four of the eight social disorganization predictors (Latino, Black, median household income, males 15-29 years of age) are significantly correlated with public order. Moreover, the magnitude of such relationships is strong, but in the opposite direction.

Table 1. Stepwise Regression Analysis for Public Order

Variable	Model 1 $\beta$	Model 2 $\beta$	Model 3 $\beta$
Renter	-.00 (1.50) [-9.79]	-.06 (1.48) [-2.21]	-.06 (1.48) [-2.15]
Latino	-.16** (1.24) [-4.64]	-.15** (1.20) [-4.28]	-.15** (1.21) [-4.32]
Black	-.08** (2.43) [-5.71]	-.05 (2.37) [-3.75]	-.05 (2.37) [-3.71]

81. Other tables and models are available upon request.



2006]	CRIME AND ADULT ESTABLISHMENTS			21
Divorced	-.05 (5.11) [-7.20]	-.06 (4.96) [-9.27]	-.06 (4.96) [-9.14]	
Median household income	-.18** (.00) [-8.35]	-.15** (.00) [-7.03]	-.15** (.00) [-7.00]	
Vacant	.08** (3.57) [9.34]	.06 (3.47) [6.76]	.06 (3.47) [6.60]	
Males 15-29 years of age	-.08** (5.95) [-14.12]	-.08** (5.77) [-13.63]	-.08** (5.77) [-13.39]	
Female-headed households	-.06 (1.63) [-2.80]	-.03 (1.59) [-1.56]	-.03 (1.59) [-1.54]	
Alcohol density outlets	-----	.30** (.10) [.85]	.26** (.10) [.86]	
Human display est.	-----	-----	-.03 (3.40) [-3.55]	
Constant	9.683**	8.545**	8.508**	
F Statistic	4.888**	12.128**	11.025**	
R <sup>2</sup>	.037	.098	.099	

Note: Standard errors in parentheses and unstandardized coefficients in brackets.

- $p < .05$ , \*\*  $p < .01$

In Model 2, the results are similar; however, after controlling for alcohol density outlets, the F-statistic ( $F = 12.128$ ) increased tremendously. More interesting is the observed  $R^2$  value (.098) when compared to the  $R^2$  (.037) for Model 1. By adding the alcohol density outlet variable to the regression equation, the proportion of explained variance in public order increased 6%. This increase is reflected in the magnitude and positively significant correlation (.30) between alcohol density outlets and the crime of public order. As expected and consistent with prior research, as alcohol density outlets increase, so does the crime of public order. In Model 3 (full model), the addition of human display establishments revealed an insignificant yet inverse relationship with the dependent variable. Stated differently, the observed inverse relationship suggests that as human display establishments decrease, crime increases. The F-statistic (11.025) decreased, but the alcohol density outlet variable remained significant. In terms of  $R^2$  (.099), there was no significant increase in explained variance. Thus, Model 2 is the superior model. Overall, human display establishments are not significantly related to public order crimes. Instead, it appears that based on the full model comparison in strength of correlations and significance, alcohol density outlets (.26) are stronger determinants of crime.

Table 2 presents all models for the crime rate of drug offenses. The findings in Model 1 indicate a weak and insignificant model. For example, the F-statistic (1.370) is insignificant and the  $R^2$  value (.011) is small (i.e., 1% of the explained variance). Here, only two of the social disorganization predictors are significant (Latino and median household income). In Model 2, by adding alcohol density outlets, the model improved significantly. The F-statistic ( $F = 4.088$ ) reached significance and the  $R^2$  value (.035) increased 2%. The observed relationship between alcohol density outlet and the rate of drug offenses (.17) was significant and apparently caused the significance of Latino and median household income in Model 1 to disappear. Model 3 showed no improvement in the explained variance, nor did it yield any significant variables besides alcohol density outlets. More importantly, the results showed a weak and insignificant relationship (.02) between human display establishments and drug offenses. Hence, when specified, the alcohol density outlets variable produced a strong and positive association with drug offenses across all models. The empirical evidence indicates that human display establishments do not cause drug crimes.



2006]

## CRIME AND ADULT ESTABLISHMENTS

23

Table 2. Stepwise Regression Analysis for Drug Offenses

Variable	Model 1 $\beta$	Model 2 $\beta$	Model 3 $\beta$
Renter	.01 (8.94) [1.30]	-.03 (8.97) [-6.59]	-.03 (8.98) [-6.80]
Latino	-.09* (7.41) [-14.90]	-.08 (7.32) [-13.55]	-.08 (7.33) [-13.38]
Black	-.02 (14.50) [-8.08]	-.00 (14.39) [-.74]	-.00 (14.40) [-.88]
Divorced	-.05 (30.42) [-45.68]	-.06 (30.10) [-53.42]	-.06 (30.11) [-53.92]
Median household income	-.10* (.00) [-2.79]	-.09 (.00) [-2.30]	-.09 (.00) [-2.31]
Vacant	.03 (21.26) [16.78]	.01 (21.09) [7.16]	.01 (21.12) [7.84]
Males 15-29 years of age	-.06 (35.44) [-56.97]	-.05 (35.02) [-55.14]	-.06 (35.06) [-56.10]
Female-headed households	-.01 (9.72) [-2.79]	.01 (9.64) [1.84]	.01 (9.65) [1.79]
Alcohol density outlets	-----	.17** (.63) [3.16]	.16** (.63) [3.10]

Human display est.	-----	-----	.02 (20.67) [14.03]
Constant	45.496**	41.244**	3.868**
F Statistic	1.370	4.088**	3.724**
R <sup>2</sup>	.011	.035	.036

Note: Standard errors in parentheses and unstandardized coefficients in brackets.

\*  $p < .05$ , \*\*  $p < .01$

Table 3 presents all models for the crime rate of sex offenses (e.g., rape). In Model 1, the findings indicated that four of the eight social disorganization variables were significantly related to rape. For example, a significant positive association (.09) was observed between the percentage of vacant housing units and rape. With respect to the overall model, the F-statistic ( $F = 4.212$ ) shows that the independent variables explain the variation in the dependent variable. The R<sup>2</sup> value (.032) reveals a 3% portion of the explained variance according to the current model. In Model 2, the four social disorganization variables remain significant, but the inclusion of alcohol density outlets trumps these variables in terms of magnitude of correlation. A significantly strong positive relationship (.15) is observed between alcohol density outlet and rape. The current model also shows a significant increase in the F-statistic (6.069). The R<sup>2</sup> has also increased by 2%. In the final full model, the significance and relationship for alcohol density outlets has remained the same, and the coefficient for human display establishment is .00. Although significant, the F-statistic decreased (5.458) and the R<sup>2</sup> value (.052) was unchanged. Once again, Model 2 is superior and human display establishments do not appear to influence sex offenses, such as rape.



2006]

CRIME AND ADULT ESTABLISHMENTS

25

Table 3. Stepwise Regression Analysis for Sex Offenses (Rape)

Variable	Model 1 $\beta$	Model 2 $\beta$	Model 3 $\beta$
Renter	-.03 (.79) [-.58]	-.06 (.80) [-1.21]	-.06 (.80) [-1.21]
Latino	-.11** (.66) [-1.75]	-.11** (.65) [-1.64]	-.11** (.65) [-1.64]
Black	-.04 (1.29) [-1.38]	-.02 (1.28) [-.80]	-.02 (1.28) [-.80]
Divorced	-.03 (2.70) [-2.55]	-.04 (2.68) [-3.17]	-.04 (2.68) [-3.17]
Median household income	-.20** (.00) [-4.69]	-.18** (.00) [-4.30]	-.18** (.00) [-4.30]
Vacant	.09** (1.89) [5.29]	.08** (1.88) [4.53]	.08** (1.88) [4.54]
Males 15-29 years of age	-.08* (3.15) [-6.98]	-.07* (3.12) [-6.83]	-.07* (3.12) [-6.84]
Female-headed households	-.02 (.86) [-.41]	-.00 (.86) [-3.75]	.00 (.86) [-3.84]
Alcohol density outlets	-----	.15** (.06) [.25]	.15** (.06) [.25]

Human display est.	-----	-----	.00 (1.84) [.20]
Constant	5.683**	5.346**	5.348**
F Statistic	4.212**	6.069**	5.458**
R <sup>2</sup>	.032	.052	.052

Note: Standard errors in parentheses and unstandardized coefficients in brackets.

\*  $p < .05$ , \*\*  $p < .01$

Table 4 presents all models for the crime rate of assault. In Model 1, two social disorganization variables (i.e., Latino and median household income) are significantly related to assault. The F-statistic ( $F = 7.439$ ) is significant, and the R<sup>2</sup> value (.056) reveals a 5% portion of the explained variance for assault according to the current model. Model 2 indicates a relatively significant and strong positive association (.22) between alcohol density outlets and assaults. Here, the F-statistic ( $F = 12.395$ ) almost doubled in value when compared to Model 1. More importantly, the explained variance ( $R^2 = .100$ ) for assault showed a 5% increase over Model 1. In other words, 10% of the explained variance in assault is attributed to Model 2. After controlling for human display establishments, Model 3 findings showed a weak (.01) and insignificant relationship with assault. As the model indicates, the only significant variables were Latino, median household income, divorced, female-headed household, and alcohol density outlets. Similar to the tables previously presented, the F-statistic ( $F = 11.148$ ) decreases and the R<sup>2</sup> value (.100) remains unchanged compared to Model 2 when human display establishments are added to the regression model. Overall, Model 2 is more parsimonious.



2006]

CRIME AND ADULT ESTABLISHMENTS

27

Table 4. Stepwise Regression Analysis for Assault

Variable	Model 1 $\beta$	Model 2 $\beta$	Model 3 $\beta$
Renter	-.04 (6.85) [-6.18]	-.08* (6.79) [-14.47]	-.08* (6.80) [-14.51]
Latino	-.15** (.68) [-20.34]	-.14** (5.55) [-18.92]	-.14** (5.55) [-18.89]
Black	-.05 (11.10) [-14.08]	-.02 (10.90) [-6.36]	-.02 (10.91) [-6.39]
Divorced	-.05 (23.31) [-36.44]	-.06* (22.80) [-44.57]	-.06* (22.82) [-44.66]
Median household income	-.30** (.00) [-6.09]	-.27** (.00) [-5.57]	-.27** (.00) [-5.58]
Vacant	.06 (16.29) [31.38]	.04 (15.98) [21.28]	.04 (16.01) [21.41]
Males 15-29 years of age	-.03 (27.16) [-21.50]	-.02 (26.53) [-19.58]	-.03 (26.56) [-19.76]
Female-headed households	-.05 (7.44) [10.06]	-.07* (7.31) [14.94]	.07* (7.31) [14.92]
Alcohol density outlets -----		.22** (.47) [3.32]	.22** (.48) [3.31]

Human display est.	-----	-----	.01 (15.66) [2.71]
Constant	62.559**	58.091**	58.119**
F Statistic	7.439**	12.395**	11.148**
R <sup>2</sup>	.056	.100	.100

Note: Standard errors in parentheses and unstandardized coefficients in brackets.

\*  $p < .05$ , \*\*  $p < .01$

Table 5 presents all models for the crime rate of robbery. In Model 1, several of the social disorganization predictors are significantly related to robbery. The F-statistic ( $F = 6.893$ ) is significant, meaning that the independent variables sufficiently explain the variation in robbery. The R<sup>2</sup> value (.052) suggests that 5% of the variation in robbery is explained by this regression model. In Model 2, many of the same social disorganization predictors remained significant (with the exception of median household income) and alcohol density outlet was significantly and positively correlated (.23) with robbery. The magnitude in correlation for alcohol density outlets surpassed those variables associated with social disorganization. The F-statistic ( $F = 12.195$ ) doubled, and Model 2 accounted for a 4% (observed R<sup>2</sup> = .098) increase in explained variance. In Model 3, the inclusion of human display establishments produced a weak (.02) and insignificant relationship with robbery. Alcohol density outlets, however, remained statistically significant. Model 3 showed a decrease in the F-statistic (11.012) and an unchanged R<sup>2</sup> value (.099). Thus, controlling for human display establishments does not produce any additional explained variance in robbery. Model 2 remains the superior model.



2006]

CRIME AND ADULT ESTABLISHMENTS

29

Table 5. Stepwise Regression Analysis for Robbery

Variable	Model 1 $\beta$	Model 2 $\beta$	Model 3 $\beta$
Renter	-.00 (.73) [-5.28]	-.05 (.72) [-.96]	-.05 (.72) [-.97]
Latino	-.15** (.61) [-2.12]	-.14** (.59) [-1.96]	-.14** (.59) [-1.95]
Black	-.10** (1.18) [-3.24]	-.07* (1.16) [-2.40]	-.07* (1.16) [-2.41]
Divorced	-.03 (2.48) [-2.54]	-.05 (2.43) [-3.43]	-.05 (2.43) [-3.47]
Median household income	-.10* (.00) [-2.17]	-.07 (.00) [-1.61]	-.07 (.00) [-1.62]
Vacant	.11** (1.74) [5.61]	.09** (1.70) [4.51]	.09** (1.70) [4.56]
Males 15-29 years of age	-.09** (2.89) [-8.03]	-.09** (2.82) [-7.82]	-.09** (2.83) [-7.90]
Female-headed households	-.19** (.79) [4.31]	-.21** (.78) [4.84]	.21** (.78) [4.84]
Alcohol density outlets	-----	.23** (.05) [.36]	.22** (.05) [.36]

30 JOURNAL OF GENDER, SOCIAL POLICY &amp; THE LAW [Vol. 15:1]

Human display est.	-----	-----	.02 (1.67) [1.08]
Constant	3.573**	3.085**	3.097**
F Statistic	6.893**	12.195**	11.012**
R <sup>2</sup>	.052	.098	.099

Note: Standard errors in parentheses and unstandardized coefficients in brackets.

\*  $p < .05$ , \*\*  $p < .01$

Table 6 presents all models for the crime rate of firearm offenses. In Model 1, four of the eight social disorganization predictors (i.e., Latino, divorced, median household income, males 15-29 years of age) were significantly related to firearm offenses. The F-statistic ( $F = 4.004$ ) was significant, and 3% ( $R^2 = .031$ ) of the variation in firearm offenses is explained by Model 1. After controlling for alcohol density outlets, Model 2 indicates a somewhat surprising result. In particular, the observed relationship between this variable and firearm offenses is weak (.02) and insignificant (the aforementioned social disorganization variables remain significant). Given such relationship, it is important to notice that the F-statistic (3.583) has remained significant but has decreased. Likewise, the  $R^2$  value (.031) is unchanged. In previous tables, Model 2 findings showed an increase in the F-statistic and  $R^2$ ; however, in this case it appears that including alcohol density outlets does not improve the model. The findings for Model 3 also show a weak and insignificant coefficient for alcohol density outlets (.02) and human display establishments (.00). The full model F-statistic (3.222) has decreased but has remained significant, while the  $R^2$  value (.001) is consistent with the previous models. Among the estimated models regarding firearm related offenses, Model 1 is the superior model.



2006]

## CRIME AND ADULT ESTABLISHMENTS

31

Table 6. Stepwise Regression Analysis for Firearms

Variable	Model 1 $\beta$	Model 2 $\beta$	Model 3 $\beta$
Renter	-.05 (1.31) [-1.69]	-.06 (1.33) [-1.81]	-.06 (1.33) [-1.81]
Latino	-.14** (1.08) [-3.64]	-.14** (1.09) [-3.62]	-.14** (1.09) [-3.62]
Black	-.02 (2.12) [-1.06]	-.02 (2.13) [-.95]	-.02 (2.13) [-.95]
Divorced	-.08* (4.45) [-10.20]	-.08* (4.46) [-10.31]	-.08* (4.46) [-10.31]
Median household income	-.21** (.00) [-8.14]	-.20** (.00) [-8.07]	-.20** (.00) [-8.07]
Vacant	.01 (3.11) [.51]	.00 (3.13) [.37]	.00 (3.13) [.38]
Males 15-29 years of age	-.07* (5.17) [-10.76]	-.07* (5.19) [-10.73]	-.07* (5.20) [-10.74]
Female-headed households	-.02 (1.42) [-.78]	-.02 (1.43) [-.72]	.02 (1.43) [-.72]
Alcohol density outlets	-----	.02 (.09) [4.57]	.02 (.09) [4.51]

Human display est.	-----	-----	.00 (3.06) [.14]
Constant	9.756**	9.69	9.696**
F Statistic	4.004**	3.583**	3.222**
R <sup>2</sup>	.031	.031	.031

Note: Standard errors in parentheses and unstandardized coefficients in brackets.

\*  $p < .05$ , \*\*  $p < .01$

Table 7 presents all models for total crime rate. The results in Model 1 show that four (Latino, divorced, median household income, and males 15-29 years of age) out of eight social disorganization predictors are significant. Model 1 also reveals a significant F-statistic ( $F = 5.708$ ), meaning that the independent variables are sufficient in explaining the variation in total crime. In addition, Model 1 accounted for 4% of the explained variance. After controlling for alcohol density outlets, the F-statistic ( $F = 8.513$ ) was significant and increased in size compared to Model 1. An increase of 2% ( $R^2 = .071$ ) in explained variance by Model 2 also was observed. With regard to the predictors in Model 2, five of the eight social disorganization variables are significantly related to total crime. Moreover, the relationship between alcohol density outlets and total crime is significant and shows a positive association (.17). In Model 3, controlling for human display establishments does not account for any increase in explained variance ( $R^2 = .071$ ). The F-statistic ( $F = 7.720$ ) shows a slight decrease, but remains significant. More importantly, the alcohol density outlet coefficient (.17) is still significant, while the human display establishment coefficient (.02) is weak and insignificantly related to total crime. Overall, Model 2 regains supremacy.



2006]

CRIME AND ADULT ESTABLISHMENTS

33

Table 7. Stepwise Regression Analysis for Total Crime

Variable	Model 1 $\beta$	Model 2 $\beta$	Model 3 $\beta$
Renter	-.04 (40.72) [-41.62]	-.08* (40.77) [-80.22]	-.08* (40.81) [-81.33]
Latino	-.18** (33.75) [-142.44]	-.17** (33.30) [-135.83]	-.17** (33.33) [-134.93]
Black	-.04 (66.03) [-76.22]	-.02 (65.44) [-40.25]	-.02 (65.46) [-40.98]
Divorced	-.09** (138.60) [-375.47]	-.10** (136.85) [-413.36]	-.10** (136.91) [-415.99]
Median household income	-.24** (.00) [-.00]	-.22** (.00) [-2.72]	-.22** (.00) [-2.73]
Vacant	.05 (96.88) [130.04]	.03 (95.92) [82.95]	.03 (96.04) [86.47]
Males 15-29 years of age	-.09** (161.49) [-432.06]	-.09** (159.25) [-423.11]	-.09** (159.41) [-428.12]
Female-headed households	-.03 (44.27) [39.62]	-.05 (43.85) [62.33]	.05 (43.86) [62.01]
Alcohol density outlets	-----	.17** (2.84) [15.48]	.17** (2.87) [15.18]

Human display est.	-----	-----	.02 (93.98) [73.51]
Constant	381.526**	360.703**	361.469**
F Statistic	5.708**	8.513**	7.720**
R <sup>2</sup>	.043	.071	.071

Note: Standard errors in parentheses and unstandardized coefficients in brackets.

\*  $p < .05$ , \*\*  $p < .01$

#### VI. PRESENT STUDY CONCLUSIONS

After controlling for socioeconomic and demographic community characteristics associated with social disorganization, weak institutional dimensions such as alcohol outlets, and the presence of human display establishments, three general findings emerged. First, several of the social disorganization predictors were significant throughout the models. Second, according to the F-statistics and R<sup>2</sup> values, Model 2 was superior for six out of the seven crime dependent variables, and the seventh variable was best analyzed under Model 1. With this said, Model 3 (which controlled for human display establishments) was inferior for each of the seven crime outcomes. Third, when alcohol density outlet was specified in fourteen models, its coefficient was significant and the magnitude trumped other predictor coefficients, whereas the coefficient for human display establishments was consistently weak and insignificant in each of the seven models. In short, the empirical evidence tempers the San Antonio City Council's contention that the presence of human display establishments produces crime. Instead, the results point to weak institutions, namely alcohol outlets and community characteristics associated with social disorganization theory as causes and correlates of crime.

#### VII. LEGAL IMPLICATIONS

According to the plurality test in *Alameda*,<sup>82</sup> the present study would certainly cast "direct doubt" on the rationale or evidence used

82. See *City of Los Angeles v. Alameda Books, Inc.*, 535 U.S. 425, 438-39 (2002) (holding that the municipality's statistical evidence, which is subject to judicial scrutiny, must support its rationale for the ordinance).



to support the adoption of the San Antonio ordinance.<sup>83</sup> This would then shift the burden back to the municipality. However, it is not clear what evidence a court would require from a municipality to justify the ordinance. In *Alameda*, the Court ruled that the municipality must "supplement the record with evidence renewing support for a theory that justifies its ordinance."<sup>84</sup> Unfortunately, the Court failed to address the issue of quality and quantum of evidence necessary to renew support. Despite the Supreme Court's failure, a number of cases have percolated through various federal courts and may prove helpful to adult establishments and municipalities alike.

In *R.V.S., L.L.C. v. City of Rockford*,<sup>85</sup> the Seventh Circuit Court of Appeals applied the *Alameda* standard and ruled that the municipality failed to carry its burden, stating that "Rockford has produced little evidence of harmful secondary effects connected to Exotic Dancing Nightclubs beyond the assumption that such effects exist."<sup>86</sup> Further, the court was willing to give a great deal of deference to a municipality's experience; however, they were not willing to accept a connection *ipse dixit*.<sup>87</sup> At trial, Dr. Daniel Linz, who has principally conducted many studies in the field of negative secondary effects,<sup>88</sup> provided expert testimony that found no adverse secondary effects associated with nude or semi-nude dancing.<sup>89</sup> Ultimately, the court ruled that Rockford had not adequately engaged in an empirical assessment and therefore failed to meet the burden as prescribed in *Alameda*.<sup>90</sup>

In *Encore Videos, Inc. v. City of San Antonio*,<sup>91</sup> the court ruled that the municipality failed to carry its burden to justify a regulatory

---

83. See SAN ANTONIO, TEX., CODE OF ORDINANCES ch. 21, art. 9, §§ 100190, 101002 (2006) (asserting that restrictions on adult businesses were justified because such business caused many negative effects, including a rise in crime in the area surrounding the establishments)

84. *Id.* at 439.

85. 361 F.3d 402, 411 (7th Cir. 2004) (striking down ordinance that prohibited the existence of "exotic dancing nightclubs" within 1,000 feet of churches, schools, residences, or other "exotic dancing nightclubs").

86. *Id.* at 411.

87. See *id.* ("Rockford does not identify any studies, judicial opinions, or experience-based testimony that it considered in adopting the Ordinance.").

88. See generally *supra* notes 22-24.

89. *R.V.S.*, 361 F.3d at 406-07 ("Linz found no studies concerning the secondary effects of establishments where performers wear clothing.").

90. *Id.* at 415-16 ("Without further direction from the Supreme Court, we cannot constitutionally lower the already modest evidentiary hurdle for justifying regulations of sexually explicit but non-obscene speech on secondary effects grounds, especially in a case where mainstream speech is affected.").

91. 330 F.3d 288, 294-95 (5th Cir. 2003) (striking down an ordinance that banned the establishment of "sexually oriented businesses" within 1,000 feet of residences).

ordinance because the studies it relied upon either excluded establishments that provide only take-home videos or failed to differentiate between on-site and off-site adult establishments. The court cited *Alameda* as support for the proposition that a "municipality's evidence must fairly support the municipality's rationale for its ordinance."<sup>92</sup> It ruled that "given the expansive reach of the ordinance in the instant case, we must require at least some substantial evidence of secondary effects."<sup>93</sup> Finding no such evidence in the record, the court struck down the ordinance.<sup>94</sup>

In *G.M. Enterprises, Inc. v. Town of St. Joseph, Wisconsin*,<sup>95</sup> the court ruled that the presentation of a report that found the majority of the studies relied upon by the municipality to be "fundamentally unsound" and methodologically flawed did not do enough to call into question the town's findings. The plaintiffs submitted an affidavit from Dr. Daniel Linz that critiqued the town's studies and tended to show that nude dancing at the Club did not create secondary effects.<sup>96</sup> It is clear that the plaintiff in *G.M. Enterprises* proffered at least as much evidence as the plaintiffs in *Encore Videos* and *R.V.S.*; however, this Court ruled differently than the others.<sup>97</sup> The *G.M. Enterprises* Court held that the:

[p]laintiff submitted some evidence that might arguably undermine the Town's inference of the correlation of adult entertainment and adverse secondary effects, including a study that questions the methodology employed in the numerous studies relied upon by the Board; evidence of an increase of property values near the Club; and evidence that the majority of police calls in regards to the Club originated during periods of time when no semi-nude dancing

92. *Id.* at 295 (citing *City of Los Angeles v. Alameda Books, Inc.*, 535 U.S. 425, 438 (2002)).

93. *Id.*

94. *Id.* at 295 (requiring the city to provide "at least some substantial evidence of secondary effects specific to adult business that sell books or videos").

95. 350 F.3d 631, 636 (7th Cir. 2003) (upholding an ordinance that prohibited "sexually oriented businesses" from allowing its employees, entertainers, and/or performers to make physical contact with, or come within a certain distance of, the business's patrons).

96. *Id.* at 636 (noting that plaintiff presented evidence showing that property values near the Club had increased over time to argue that the Club had not created negative secondary effects, particularly increasing crime or diminishing the viability of the neighborhood).

97. Compare *id.* (upholding a town ordinance based on simple deference to the local legislature's judgment, ignoring the empirical data presented against the ordinance), with *Encore Videos, Inc. v. City of San Antonio*, 330 F.3d 288 (5th Cir. 2003) (rejecting a town ordinance because the local legislature failed to persuasively establish an evidentiary connection between the regulated activity and crime), and *R.V.S., L.L.C. v. City of Rockford*, 361 F.3d 402 (7th Cir. 2004) (rejecting a town ordinance because the local legislature failed to empirically demonstrate the existence of the claimed secondary effects).



occurred. Although this evidence shows that the Board might have reached a different and equally reasonable conclusion regarding the relationship between adverse secondary effects and sexually oriented businesses, it is not sufficient to vitiate the result reached in the Board's legislative process.<sup>98</sup>

In essence, the court ruled that the "quality and quantum of evidence" produced by the municipality was enough to renew support for the ordinance and was therefore constitutional.<sup>99</sup>

In *Annex Books, Inc. v. City of Indianapolis*, the court found sufficient evidence to support the municipality's rationale of combating secondary effects to uphold the validity of an ordinance aimed at regulating adult businesses that rent and display adult oriented videos, magazines, and other materials.<sup>100</sup> Again, Dr. Daniel Linz provided expert testimony on behalf of the plaintiffs to show that the studies relied upon by the municipality used shoddy data and unsound methodology.<sup>101</sup> In this case, what seemed to hold sway over the court was not the evidence of secondary effects, but rather what the court dubbed "actual effects."<sup>102</sup> "Specifically, the data revealed that the police made forty one (41) arrests at Annex Books for public masturbation between December 5, 2001 and November 5, 2002."<sup>103</sup> Ultimately, the court found the number of actual arrests at Annex Books "compelling" and ruled to find sufficient evidence of adverse secondary effects to uphold the ordinances.<sup>104</sup>

In *Giovanni Carandola, Ltd. v. Fox*, an adult entertainment liquor regulation was challenged because it allegedly violated the First Amendment.<sup>105</sup> The court concluded that the legislature's stated purpose was reduction of secondary effects.<sup>106</sup> Therefore, the court

---

98. *G.M. Enter.*, 350 F.3d at 636.

99. *See id.* at 638-39 (resolving a discrepancy between evidence presented by plaintiffs and evidence presented by the local government through deference to the local legislature's judgment).

100. 333 F. Supp. 2d 773, 788-89 (S.D. Ind. 2004).

101. *Id.* at 786-87 ("Dr. Linz cites in his finding that the authors themselves often admit that they do not find evidence of adverse secondary effects associated with adult businesses.").

102. *See id.* at 787 (referring to data that police made forty-one public masturbation arrests as evidence of "actual effects" in the court's decision-making calculus).

103. *Id.*

104. *Id.* at 788-89 (employing the *Alameda* standard to determine that the city presented "a reasonable basis" for its ordinance).

105. 396 F. Supp. 2d 630, 633 (M.D.N.C. 2005).

106. *Id.* at 639 ("The preamble to the statute . . . affirms that its intent is 'to address the harmful secondary effects of such entertainment, including higher crime rates, public sexual conduct, sexual assault, prostitution, and other secondary effects.'").

utilized the *Alameda* framework to analyze the connection between regulation of liquor at adult establishments and secondary effects.<sup>107</sup>

In an effort to cast doubt on the state's rationale, plaintiffs used the expert testimony of Dr. Daniel Linz, who critiqued the studies relied upon by the legislature.<sup>108</sup> He pointed out that most of the studies could be found on a web site created by the National Law Center for Children and Families, whose stated purpose is to protect children and families from the harmful effects of illegal pornography.<sup>109</sup> The court provided, in painstaking detail, Linz's critiques of the studies specifically referred to in the preamble to the North Carolina statute at issue.<sup>110</sup> Moreover, Linz provided two studies from North Carolina to further his contention that adult businesses do not increase crime or decrease property value.<sup>111</sup> The Charlotte and Greensboro studies used similar methodologies as the present study and ultimately concluded that adult cabarets do not produce harmful secondary effects.<sup>112</sup> The court ruled that "considering the evidence presented, the Plaintiffs carried their initial *Alameda Books* burden by casting direct doubt on the state's evidence of secondary effects."<sup>113</sup>

Under *Alameda*, once the burden is shifted from the plaintiff, the state may "supplement the record with evidence renewing support for a theory that justifies the ordinance."<sup>114</sup> The State of North Carolina employed expert testimony from Dr. Richard McCleary, a professor of Criminology at University of California – Irvine, which "tended to rehabilitate the evidence relied upon by the General Assembly" in

---

107. See *id.* ("[T]he legislature's stated purpose is in accord with *Alameda Books* . . .").

108. *Id.* at 641-42 (noting that Dr. Linz presented five methodological questions to guide an analysis of the legislature's studies and summarily rejected the studies based on the answers to those questions).

109. *Id.* at 641-43 ("The NLC provides these 'canned' summaries to governments across the United States to foster more regulation of sexually oriented businesses.").

110. *Id.* at 643-45 (devoting a full paragraph of description to Linz's assessment of each study referred to in the North Carolina Statute's preamble) (reviewing N.C. GEN. STAT. § 18B-1005.1 (2005)) (stating that the Legislature has "reviewed studies of the secondary effects of sexually oriented businesses that have been conducted in locations across the United States" which "fairly support" its reasonable belief that the statute will reduce the negative secondary effects of adult entertainment).

111. *Id.* at 645-47 (summarizing that Dr. Linz testified about studies that he conducted and found no correlation between increased crime rates and the location of sexually oriented businesses, and which he argued were "more methodologically sound than the studies relied upon by the [North Carolina] General Assembly").

112. *Id.* at 645-47 (describing the Charlotte study as examining twenty adult cabarets' effects on crime in surrounding 100- and 500-foot areas, and describing the Greensboro study as employing regression analysis instead of control groups to examine every adult cabaret in the city).

113. *Id.* at 647.

114. *City of Los Angeles v. Alameda Books, Inc.*, 535 U.S. 425, 441 (2002).

favor of finding association between negative secondary effects and adult businesses.<sup>115</sup> Moreover, Dr. Cleary specifically called into question the validity and reliability of the two North Carolina studies that Dr. Linz conducted.<sup>116</sup> His most salient criticism centered on the use of too many research methods—Dr. Linz used “different measurements of crime, different methods of choosing control areas, and different statistical analysis.”<sup>117</sup> Left with conflicting expert opinions, the court held that “the evidence does not provide a substantial basis of fact to support an inference that sexually oriented businesses negatively impact property values or create urban instability.”<sup>118</sup> However, the court found that it was reasonable to infer that sexually oriented business are associated with higher incidents of crime and concluded that the statute was therefore constitutional.<sup>119</sup>

The post-*Alameda* jurisprudence makes it clear that courts are struggling with the issue of “quantum and quality” of evidence necessary to establish an association or link between sexually oriented businesses and negative secondary effects. Although the Supreme Court has ruled that empirical studies or localized studies are unnecessary to support the rationale behind adoption of those ordinances, the likelihood of either side prevailing without them is not very good, as courts have ruled ordinances unconstitutional in cases where the municipality provides little or no empirical or local support for the rationale of ameliorating negative secondary effects.<sup>120</sup> However, it is important to note that the *Alameda* decision clearly placed the burden on the plaintiff to cast “direct doubt” on the municipality’s rationale. In other words, all nudity or zoning ordinances that affect adult businesses should be presumed constitutional. However, cases like *R.V.S.* and *Encore Videos* illustrate

---

115. *Giovani Garandola*, 396 F. Supp. 2d at 647 (characterizing Dr. McCleary’s evidence as attempting to associate negative secondary effects and adult businesses).

116. *Id.* (contending that the variables Dr. Linz used in his Charlotte study “include too many false alarms . . . and leave out many crimes that are discovered by patrolling or means other than a 911 call to the police,” and criticizing Dr. Linz’s use of regression analysis as distorting the results in his Greensboro study).

117. *Id.* at 650.

118. *Id.* at 651.

119. *Id.* at 652 (declining to determine whether the evidence presented by plaintiffs or defendants had more credibility, and instead finding that the competing studies indicated that both sides had a reasonable basis for their findings and upholding the legislature’s judgment).

120. See, e.g., *R.V.S., L.L.C. v. City of Rockford*, 361 F.3d 402 (7th Cir. 2004) (rejecting a town ordinance based on lack of demonstrated secondary effects); *Encore Videos, Inc. v. City of San Antonio*, 330 F.3d 288 (5th Cir. 2003) (rejecting a town ordinance for lack of evidentiary connection between the regulated activity and crime).



the willingness of courts to rule in favor of adult businesses when municipalities fail to provide empirical or localized proof of secondary effects.

By contrast, in cases such as *Giovanni Carandola*, where both parties offered expert testimony to assist the court in ascertaining the validity of empirical studies relied upon by the legislature and localized studies conducted by one of the parties, a court likely will not substitute its opinion for the opinion of the legislature as to which expert is most correct.<sup>121</sup> Therefore, it is reasonable to believe that courts will rule in favor of the municipality where both parties provide empirical data or localized proof of the existence, or lack thereof, of negative secondary effects.

*Annex Books* provides a good illustration of where a court will look at so-called actual effects to bolster the rationale for passage of restrictive ordinances. In *Annex Books*, the court noted that police made 41 arrests for public masturbation at the Annex Books location.<sup>122</sup> Clearly, this factor was important to the court in reaching the decision that passage of the ordinance was justified. In cases where actual effects exist it is not likely that courts will give great weight to evidence that shows a lack of negative secondary effects.

Lastly, *G.M. Enterprises* is a good illustration of an adult business that failed to provide sufficient localized studies or empirical data to cast direct doubt on the municipality's rationale for enactment of an ordinance.<sup>123</sup> There, the evidence only tended to "undermine" the correlation and did not cast "direct doubt," which apparently meant to "vitiate" the municipality's rationale and not "undermine" the rationale.<sup>124</sup> It is a valuable lesson to adult businesses that want to challenge the constitutionality of this type of ordinance. While municipalities are not required to provide empirical studies or localized studies finding negative secondary effects, good analysis of recent case law seems to suggest that adult businesses should proffer

---

121. See *Giovanni Carandola*, 396 F. Supp. 2d at 663 (deferring to the judgment of the legislature with respect to an ordinance regulating adult entertainment establishments).

122. *Annex Books, Inc. v. City of Indianapolis*, 333 F. Supp. 2d 773, 787 (S.D. Ind. 2004) (accepting the number of actual arrests at Annex Books as enough evidence to motivate the ordinance).

123. See *G.M. Enter., Inc. v. Town of Saint Joseph, Wis.*, 350 F.3d 631, 636-37 (7th Cir. 2003) (deferring to the local legislature's decision to restrict adult business despite empirical data presented against the ordinance).

124. See *id.* at 639-640 ("*Alameda Books* does not require a court to re-weigh the evidence considered by a legislative body, nor does it empower a court to substitute its judgment in regards to whether a regulation will best serve a community, so long as the regulatory body has . . . consider[ed] evidence 'reasonably believed to be relevant to the problem' addressed.").

2006]

CRIME AND ADULT ESTABLISHMENTS

41

both types of studies.

#### CONCLUSION

Increasingly, courts are using statistical data to either bolster or undermine a plaintiff's claim. When a plaintiff attacks the constitutionality of an ordinance that targets adult businesses in an attempt to combat the secondary effects they create, courts likely will look to experts to assist in determining the existence of those secondary effects. Plaintiffs and municipalities should be aware that the likelihood of prevailing increases when good research methods are employed to produce sound empirical data and/or localized studies. However, establishments with incidents of so-called actual effects are cautioned because a court likely will weigh evidence of actual effects quite heavily against adult establishments.<sup>125</sup> As the present study demonstrates, the causes and correlates of crime are many. Social disorganization theory suggests that crime flourishes in communities that have weakened community social organization due to structural constraints like low-economic status, high residential instability, and diverse population composition. Moreover, socially disorganized communities are more likely to attract weak institutions such as bars. The combination of weak institutions and social disorganization attributes are likely to foster crime. Clearly, determining which establishments do or do not affect crime is a delicate matter. Both municipalities and adult businesses should take into consideration all of the factors before rushing to judgment. After all, as Justice Holmes said over one-hundred years ago: "the man of the future is the man of statistics."<sup>126</sup>

---

125. See, e.g., *Annex Books*, 333 F. Supp. 2d at 787 (responding to empirical data presented against a city ordinance by noting that "the City has rebutted Plaintiffs' evidence to the contrary on adverse secondary effects [by presenting actual effects]").

126. Holmes, *supra* note 1, at 457.

